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## Preface

It probably seems strange for an author to wait 20 years to revise a professional publication, especially one that has been continuously in print over the entire period. To those of you who were

# hoping for at least one revision in the intervening 

 years, I can only offer my apology and the excuse that other obligations prevented me from undertaking such a revision.
## Much has changed in

 option markets over the last 20 years. Most markets are now fully electronic, and the days of floor trading are clearly numbered. Only in theUnited States do optiontrading floors still exist, and even those are inevitably giving way to electronic trading.

Twenty
years
ago,
organized
option
markets
existed only in the major industrialized nations. But as the importance of derivatives as both an investment vehicle and a risk-management tool has become widely recognized,
new option
markets have opened in

## countries around the world.

 Options are now traded not only on traditional products stocks, interestrates, commodities, and foreign currencies-but also on a bewildering array of new products-real estate, pollution, weather, inflation, and insurance. Many exchanges have also added variations on traditional products-short-term and midcurve options,

# options, options on spreads, and implied and realized volatility contracts. 

 Not only has there been a dramatic increase in the number of option markets, but the traders in those markets have become increasingly sophisticated. When this text was firstpublished, knowledgeable traders could only be found at firms that traded derivatives

## professionally_market-

 making firms, hedge funds, investment banks, and other proprietary trading firms.Now, many retail customers have a level of knowledge equal to that of a professional trader. At the same time, universities are adding
many cases, those who
choose a career in derivatives trading have already had in-

# depth exposure 

# There is still a core body of 

 material that a serious option trader needs to master, and this core material is much the same as it has always been. The previous edition of this text was an attempt to presentthis material in a manner that was easily accessible and that did not require a familiarity with advanced mathematics. This edition retains that approach. Although some presentations may have been changed in the interest of improving an explanation or clarifying a concept, all the major topics from the previous edition have been retained.

# So what's new in this 

 edition? As in the first edition, an attempt has been made to explain important concepts in the simplest possible manner using an intuitive rather than mathematical approach. However, it is also true that a full understanding of many option concepts requires a familiarity withmore advanced mathematics.
Consequently,
some

# explanations <br> have <br> been 

 expanded to include a discussion of the relevant mathematics. But even these discussions tend to avoid mathematical concepts with which many readersare unlikely to be familiar. Many chapters have also been expanded to include a more detailed discussion of the relevant topics. In addition, there are several completely new chapters covering
forward pricing, risk dynamics, the Black-Scholes model,
pricing,
contracts.
option
and volatility
language, binomial

# As with any living <br> As with any living <br> As with any living 

terminology,
and market specifically,
option terminology, has changed over time. Some terms that were common when the first edition appeared have gone
out of favor or disappeared completely. Other terms that did not previously exist have gained wide acceptance. This is reflected in small changes to the vocabulary used in this text.

> It is almost impossible to keep up with the amount of information that is available on options. Not only do new books appear with greater
frequency, but the Internet
has enabled traders to find relevant source material almost instantaneously. For this reason, the Bibliography has been eliminated. This should not be construed as an attempt to discourage readers from consulting other sources. This book represents only one approach to options that of a professional trader. Many excellent option books are available, and any aspiring option trader
will
want to consult a broad range of texts in order to understand the many different ways one can approach option markets. For those who are interested in the mathematics of option pricing, this text is in no way meant to take the place of a good university textbook on financial engineering. Nothing in this text is really new, and all the concepts will be familiar, in
one form or another, to most experienced option traders. The presentation represents my best attempt, as an option educator, to present these concepts in a clear and easily accessible manner. The material is based not only on what I have personally learned throughout my career but also on the knowledge and experiences of many others with whom I have been privileged to work. In

# particular, my colleagues Tim Weithers <br> cases from embarrassing errors. 

 remaining errors, of which there are almost certainfew, are strictly my own.
I make no claim to
having found a magic secret to successful option trading.

Anyone seeking such a formula will have to look elsewhere. The secret, if there is one, is in learning as much as possible, applying in the real world what has been learned, and analyzing both one's successes and one's failures.

# Financial 

## Contracts

My friend Jerry lives in a small town, the same town in which he was born and raised. Because Jerry's
parents are no longer alive and many of his friends have left, he is seriously thinking of packing up and moving to a larger city. However, Jerry recently heard that there is a plan to build a major highway that will pass very close to his hometown. Because the highway is likely to bring new life to the town, Jerry is reconsidering his decision to move away. It has
also occurred to Jerry that the

# highway 

 business opportunities.For many years, Jerry's family was in the restaurant business, and Jerry is thinking of building a restaurant at the main intersection leading from the highway into town. If Jerry does decide to build the restaurant, he will need to acquire land along the highway. Fortunately, Jerry has located a plot of land,
currently owned by Farmer Smith, that is ideally suited for the restaurant. Because the land does not seem to be in use, Jerry is hoping that Farmer Smith might be willing to sell it. If Farmer Smith is indeed willing to sell, how can Jerry acquire the land on which to build his restaurant? First, Jerry must find out how much Farmer Smith wants for

## the land. Let's say $\$ 100,000$.

 If Jerry thinks that the price is reasonable, he can agree to pay this amount and, in return, take ownership of the land. In this case, Jerry and Farmer Smith will have entered into a spot or cash transaction.
## In a cash transaction,

 both parties agree on terms, followed immediately by an exchange of money for
# goods. The trading of stock <br> on an exchange is usually 

 considered to be a cash transaction: the buyer and seller agree on the price, the buyer pays the seller, and the seller delivers the stock. The actions essentially take place simultaneously. (Admittedly, on most stock exchanges, there is a settlement period between the time the price is agreed on and the time the stock is actually deliveredand payment is made. However, the settlement period is relatively short, so for practical purposes most traders consider this a cash transaction.)

## However, it has also

 occurred to Jerry that it will probably take several years to build the highway. Because Jerry wants the opening of his restaurant to coincide with the opening of the highway,
## he doesn't need to begin

 construction on the restaurant for at least another year. There is no point in taking possession of the land right now-it will just sit unused for a year. Given his construction schedule, Jerry has decided to approach Farmer Smith with a slightly different proposition. Jerry will agree to Farmer Smith's price of $\$ 100,000$, but he will propose to Farmer Smith thatthey complete the transaction in one year, at which time Farmer Smith will receive payment, and Jerry will take possession of the land. If both parties agree to this, Jerry and Farmer entered

a
have

## contract.

Smith
will
contract, the parties agree on the terms now, but the actual exchange of money for goods does not take place until some later date, the maturity or
expiration date.
If Jerry and Farmer

## Smith enter into a forward

 contract, it's unlikely that the price Farmer Smith will want for his land in one year will be the same price that he is asking today. Because both the payment and the transfer of goods are deferred, there may be advantages or disadvantages to one party or the other. Farmer Smith maypoint out that if he receives full payment of $\$ 100,000$ right now, he can deposit the money in his bank and begin to earn interest. In a forward contract, however, he will have to forego any interest earnings. As a result, Farmer Smith may insist that he and Jerry negotiate a one-year forward price that takes into consideration this loss of interest.

## Forward <br> contracts <br> are

common when a potential buyer requires goods in the future or when a potential seller knows that a supply of goods will be ready for sale in the future. A bakery may need a periodic supply of grain to support operations. Some grain may be required now, but the bakery also knows that additional grain will be required at regular intervals in the future. In
order to eliminate the risk of rising grain prices, the bakery can buy grain in the forward market-agreeing on a price now but not taking delivery or making payment until some later date. In the same way, a farmer who knows that he will have grain ready for harvest at a later date can sell his crop in the forward market to insure against falling prices.

When a forward contract is traded on an organized
exchange, it is referred to as a futures contract. exchange,
 the a futures specifications for a forward contract are standardized to more easily facilitate trading. The exchange specifies the quantity and quality of goods to be delivered, the date and place of delivery, and the method
of

Additionally, the exchange guarantees the integrity of the contract. Should either the buyer or the seller default, the exchange assumes the responsibility of fulfilling the terms of the forward contract.

> The earliest futures
exchanges enabled producers and users of physical commodities-grains, precious metals, and energy
products-to protect
themselves against price fluctuations. More recently, many exchanges have introduced futures contracts on financial instruments stocks and stock indexes, interest-rate contracts, and foreign currencies. Although there is still significant trading in physical commodities, the total value of exchange-traded financial instruments now greatly exceeds the value of physical

## commodities.

## Returning to Jerry, he

finds that he has a new problem. The government has indicated its desire to build the highway, but the necessary funds have not yet been authorized. With many other public works projects competing for a limited amount of money, it's possible that the entire
highway project could be
canceled. If this happens, Jerry intends to return to his original plan and move away. In order to make an informed decision, Jerry needs time to see what the government will do. If the highway is actually built, Jerry wants to purchase Farmer Smith's land. If the highway isn't built, Jerry wants to be able to walk away without any obligation.
Jerry believes that he
will know for certain within a year whether the highway project will be approved. As a result,

Jerry
approaches
Farmer
Smith
with
a
new proposition. Jerry and Farmer Smith will negotiate a oneyear forward price for the land, but Jerry will have one year to decide whether to go ahead with the purchase. One year from now, Jerry can
either buy the land at the agreed-on forward price, or
he can walk away with no obligation or penalty. There is much that can happen over one year, and without some inducement Farmer Smith is unlikely to agree to this proposal. Someone may make a better offer for the land, but Farmer Smith will be unable to accept the offer because he must hold the land in the event that Jerry decides to
buy. For the next year, Farmer Smith will be a hostage to Jerry's final decision.

## Jerry understands

Farmer Smith's dilemma, so he offers to negotiate a separate
payment
compensate Farmer Smith for this uncertainty. In effect, Jerry is offering to buy the right to decide at a later date whether to purchase the land.

# Regardless of Jerry's final 

 decision, Farmer Smith will get to keep this separate payment. If Jerry and Farmer Smith can agree on this separate payment, as well as the forward price, they will enter into an option contract. An option contract gives one party the right to make a decision at a later date. In this example, Jerry is the buyer of a call option, giving him the right to decide at a later datewhether to buy. Farmer Smith is the seller of the call option. Deciding whether to buy the land for his restaurant is not Jerry's only problem. He owns a house that he inherited from his parents and that he was planning to sell prior to moving away. Before hearing about the highway project, Jerry had put up a "For Sale" sign in front of the house, and a young couple,
seeing the sign, showed enough interest in the house to make an offer. Jerry was seriously considering accepting the offer, but then the highway project came up. Now Jerry doesn't know what to do. If the government goes ahead with the highway and Jerry goes ahead with his restaurant, he wants to keep his house. If not, he wants to sell the house. Given the
situation, Jerry might make a

# proposal to the couple similar 

 to that which he made to Farmer Smith. Jerry and the couple will agree on a price for the house, but Jerry will have one year in which to decide whether to actually sell the house.
## Like Farmer Smith, the

 couple's initial reaction is likely to be negative. If they agree to Jerry's proposal, they will have to make temporaryhousing arrangements for the next year. If they find another house they like better, they won't be able to buy it because they might
eventually be required to purchase Jerry's house. They will spend the next year in housing limbo, a hostage to Jerry's final decision. As with Farmer Smith, $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Jerry } & \text { understands the } \\ \text { couple's } & \text { dilemma and offers }\end{array}$
to compensate them for their inconvenience by paying an agreed-on amount.
Regardless of Jerry's final
decision, the couple will get to keep this amount. If Jerry and the couple can agree on terms, Jerry will
have purchased a put option from the couple. A put option gives one party the right to decide whether to sell at a later date.
Perhaps the most

## familiar type of option

 contract is insurance. In many ways an insurance contract is analogous to a put option. A homeowner who purchases insurance has the right to sell all or part of the home back to the insurance company at a later date. If the home should burn to the ground, the homeowner will inform the insurance company that he now wishes to sell the home back to the insurance
# company for the insured 

 amount. Even though the home no longer exists, the insurance company is paying the homeowner as if it were actually purchasing the home. Of course, if the house does not burn down, perhaps even appreciating in value, thehomeowner is under no
obligation to sell the property to the insurance company.
As
with
an
insurance
contract, the purchase of an option involves the payment of a premium. This amount is negotiated between the buyer and the seller, and the seller keeps the premium regardless of any subsequent decision on the part of the buyer.
Many terms of an
insurance contract are similar to the terms of an option contract. An option, like an insurance
contract,
has
an
expiration date. Does a homeowner want a six-month insurance policy? A one-year policy? The insurance contract may also specify an exercise price, how much the holder will receive if certain events occur. This exercise price, which may also include a deductible amount, is analogous to an agreed-on forward price.

The logic used to price

# option contracts is also 

 similar to the logic used to price insurance contracts. What is the probability that a house will burn down? What is the probability that someone will have an automobile accident? What is the probability that someonewill die?

By assigning probabilities to different occurrences, an . company
 try to
determine a fair value for the
insurance contract. The insurance company hopes to generate a profit by selling the contract to the customer at a price greater than its fair value. In
the same
way,
someone
dealing
with
exchange-traded
contracts
may also ask, "What is the probability that this contract will go up in value? What is the probability that this $\begin{array}{lcc}\text { contract } & \text { will go } & \text { down in } \\ \text { value?" } & \text { By } & \text { assigning }\end{array}$

# probabilities <br> different outcomes, it may be possible to determine the contract's fair value. 

## In later chapters we will

 take a closer look at how forwards, futures, and options are priced. For now, we can see that their values are likely to depend on or be derived from the value of some underlying asset. When my friend Jerry wanted to enterinto a one-year forward contract to buy the land from Farmer Smith, the value of the forward contract derived from (among other things) the current value of the land. When Jerry was considering buying a call option from Farmer Smith, the value of that option derived from the value of the forward contract. When Jerry was considering selling his house, the value of the put option derived from

## the current value of the

 house. For this reason, forwards, futures, and options are commonly referred to as derivativecontracts
or,
simply, derivatives.
There
is
one
other
common type of derivatives contract.

A
swap is
an agreement to exchange cash flows. The most common type, a plain-vanilla interestrate swap, is an agreement to
exchange fixed interest-rate payments for floating interest-rate payments. But a swap can consist of almost any type of cash-flow agreement between two parties. Because swaps are not standardized and therefore most often traded off exchanges, in this text we will restrict our discussion to the most common derivatives _forwards, futures, and options.

# Buying and Selling 

## We usually assume that in

 order to sell something, we must first own it. For most transactions, the normal order is to buy first and sell later. However, in derivative markets, the order can be reversed. Instead of buying first and selling later, we can sell first and buy later. The profit that results from a
## purchase and sale is usually

 independent of the order in which the transactions occur. We will show a profit if we either buy first at a low price and sell later at a high price or sell first at a high price and buy later at a low price.Sometimes we may want to specify the order in which trades take place. The first trade to take place, either
buying or selling, is an
opening trade, resulting in an open position. A subsequent trade, reversing the initial trade, is a closing trade. A widely used measure of trading activity in exchangetraded derivative contracts is the amount of open interest, the number of contracts traded on an exchange that have not yet been closed out. Logically, the number of long and short contracts that have not been closed out must be
equal because for every buyer there must be a seller.

## If a trader first buys a

 contract (an opening trade), he is long the contract. If the trader first sells a contract (also an opening trade), he is short the contract. Long and short tend to describe a position once it has been taken, but traders also refer to the act of making an opening trade as either going long
## (buying) or going short (selling).



Chasing tide

Sellist $\longrightarrow$ Opensintposition $\longrightarrow$ Bu|lien

# A <br> long <br> position <br> will 

usually result in a debit (we must pay money when we buy), and a short position will usually result in a credit (we expect to receive money when we sell). We will see later that these terms are also used when trading multiple contracts, simultaneously buying some contracts and selling others. When the total trade results in a debit, it is a long position; when it results

## in a credit, it is a short

 position.
## The terms long and short

 may also refer to whether a trader wants the market to rise or fall. A trader who has a long stock market position wants the stock market to rise. A trader who has a short position wants the market to fall. However, when referring to derivatives, the terms can be confusing because a traderwho has bought, or is long, a derivative may in fact want the underlying market to fall in price. In order to avoid confusion, we will refer to either a long or short contract position (we have either bought or sold contracts) or a long or short market position (we want the underlying market to rise or fall).

## Notional Value of a

## Forward Contract

## Because a forward contract

 is an agreement to exchange money for goods at some later date, when a forward contract is initially traded, no money changes hands. Because no cash flow results, in a sense, there is no cash value associated with the contract. But a forwardcontract does have a notional value or nominal value. For physical commodities, the notional value of a forward contract is equal to the number of units to be delivered at maturity multiplied by the unit price. If a forward contract calls for the delivery of 1,000 units at a price of $\$ 75$ per unit, the notional value of the contract is $\$ 75 \times 1,000=\$ 75,000$.

# For some <br> forward 

contracts, physical delivery is not practical. For example, many exchanges trade futures contracts on stock indexes. But it would be impractical to actually deliver a stock index because it would require the delivery of all stocks in the index in exactly the right proportion, which in some cases might mean delivering fractional shares. For financial futures, where the
contract is not settled through physical
delivery,
the
notional value is equal to the cash price of the index or instrument multiplied by a point value. A stock index that is trading at 825.00 and that has a point value of $\$ 200$ has a notional value of 825.00 $\times \$ 200=\$ 165,000$.

\[

\]

contract is set by the
exchange so that the contract has a notional value that is deemed reasonable for trading. If the point value is set too high, trading in the contract may be too risky for most market participants. If the point value is set too low, transaction costs may be prohibitive because it may require trading a large number of contracts

## Settlement

## Procedures

## What actually happens

 when a contract is traded on an exchange? The settlement procedure-the manner in which the transfer of money and ownership of a contract is facilitated-depends on the rules of the exchange and the type of contract traded.Consider a trader who

# buys 100 shares of a $\$ 50$ 

 stock on an exchange. The total value of the stock is 100 $\times \$ 50=\$ 5,000$, and the buyer is required to pay the seller this amount. The exchange, acting as intermediary, collects $\$ 5,000$ from the buyer and transfers this money to the seller. At the same time, the exchange takes delivery of the shares from the seller and transfersthese to the buyer. This is
essentially a cash transaction with the exchange making both delivery and payment. Suppose that the stock that was originally purchased at $\$ 50$ per share subsequently rises to $\$ 60$. How will the buyer feel? He will certainly be happy and may mentally record a profit of $\$ 1,000(100$ shares times the $\$ 10$ increase per share). But he can't actually spend this $\$ 1,000$
because the profit is unrealized -it only appears on paper (hence the term paper profit). If the buyer wants to spend the $\$ 1,000$, he will have to turn it into a realized profit by going back into the marketplace and selling his 100 shares to someone else at $\$ 60$ per share. This stock-type settlement requires full and immediate payment, and all profits
or
losses
are

# unrealized until the position is closed. 

Now
consider
what
happens when a futures
contract is traded on an exchange. Because a futures contract is a forward contract, there is no immediate exchange of money for goods. The buyer pays no money, and the seller receives none. But by entering into a forward contract, both the

## buyer and the seller have

 taken on future obligations. At contract maturity, the seller is obligated to deliver, and the buyer is obligated to pay. The exchange wants to ensure that both parties live up to these obligations. To do this, the exchange collects a margin deposit from each party that it holds as security against possible default by the buyer or seller. Theamount of margin is

## commensurate with the risk

 to the exchange and depends on the notional value of the contract, as well as the possibility of price fluctuations over the life of the futures contract. An exchange will try to set margin requirements high enough so that the exchange is reasonably protected against default but not so high that it inhibits trading.
## For example, consider

 the futures contract calling for delivery of 1,000 units of a commodity at a unit price of $\$ 75$. The notional value of the contract is $\$ 75,000$. If the exchange has set a margin requirement for the contract at $\$ 3,000$, when the contract is traded, both the buyer and seller must immediatelydeposit
\$3,000
with
the
exchange.

# What <br> happens <br> if <br> the price of the commodity 

 subsequently rises to $\$ 80$ ? Now the buyer has a profit of $\$ 5 \times 1,000=\$ 5,000$, whereas the seller has a loss of equal amount. As a result, the exchange will now transfer $\$ 5,000$ from the seller's account to the buyer's account. This daily variation credit or debit results from fluctuations in the price of the futures contract as long as the
# position remains open. Futures-type settlement, where there is an initial margin deposit followed by daily cash transfers, is also known as margin and variation settlement. 

A futures trader can close out a position in one of two ways. Prior to maturity of the futures contract, he can make an offsetting trade, selling out the futures
contract he initially bought or buying back the futures contract he initially sold. If the position is closed through an offsetting purchase or sale, a final variation payment is made, and the margin deposit is returned to the trader.

## Alternatively, a trader

may choose to carry the position to maturity, at which time physical settlement will take place. The seller must
make delivery, and the buyer must pay an amount equal to the current and payment have been made, the margin deposits will be returned to the respective parties. In our example, the original trade price was $\$ 75$. If the price of the commodity at maturity is $\$ 90$, the buyer must pay $\$ 90 \times 1,000$ $\$ 90,000$.

## It may seem that the

buyer has paid $\$ 15$ more per unit than the original trade price of $\$ 75$. But recall that as the futures contract rose in price from $\$ 75$ to $\$ 90$, the buyer was credited with $\$ 15$ in the form of variation. The total price paid, the $\$ 90$ final price less the $\$ 15$ variation, was indeed equal to the agreed-on price of $\$ 75$ per unit.

## Futures contracts such as

stock indexes, which are not settled through physical delivery, can also be carried to maturity. In this case, there is one final variation payment based on the underlying index price at maturity. At that time, the margin deposits are also returned to the parties. These types of futures, where no physical delivery takes place at maturity, are said to be cash-settled.

## A futures trader must

always have sufficient funds to cover the margin requirements for any trade he intends to make. But he should also have sufficient funds to cover any variation requirements. If the position moves against him and he does not have sufficient funds, he may be forced to close the position earlier than intended.

# There is an important 

# distinction between margin 

 and variation. Margin ${ }^{1}$ is money collected by the exchange to ensure that a trader can fulfill future financial obligations should the market move against him. Even though deposited with the exchange, margin deposits still belong to the trader and can therefore earn interest for the trader.Variation is a credit or debit that results from fluctuations in the price of a futures contract. A variation payment can either earn interest, if the variation results in a credit, or lose interest, if the variation results in a debit.

## Examples of the cash

flows and profit or loss for a series of stock and futures trades are shown in Figures 11 and $1-2$, respectively. In
each example, we assume that the opening trade was made at the first day's settlement price so that there is no profit and loss (i.e., a P\&L of zero) at the end of day 1. For simplicity, we have also ignored any interest earned on credits or interest paid on debits.

Figure 1-1 Stock-type settlement.
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Figure 1-2 Futures-type settlement.

## contrattsize: 1,000 unts marginpertontract: 33000

|  | Fulures <br> price <br> (per unit) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Curent } \\ \text { futures } \\ \text { Irade posstion } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Margin } \\ & \text { requirement } \end{aligned}$ | Varialion | Cunvadive realized PQL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day 1 lopeningtrade\| |  | sell short 9 futures 9futures | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \times 33000 \\ & =527,000 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | 0 |
| Day? | \$77 | notrade short 9 9furues | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \times 53000 \\ & =\$ 27,000 \end{aligned}$ | $(577-575) x-9$ <br> $\times 1,000$ <br> $=-\{18,000$ | - $\$ 18000$ |
| Day 3 | 574 | buy short 2 iturues 7 futures | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \times \$ 3000 \\ & =321000 \end{aligned}$ | ( $374-5771 x-9$ $\times 1,000$ $=+\$ 27,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\$ 18,000 \\ & +527,00 \\ & =\$ \$ 9000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Oay 4 | 570 | buy short 4 fitures 3 futues | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \times \$ 3000 \\ & =\$ 9000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \|\$ 70-574\| x=7 \\ x 1,000 \\ =+\$ 28,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \$ 9,000 \\ +58000 \\ \\ =+\$ 337000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days } \\ & \text { (cosing) } \end{aligned}$ | 880 | buy <br> $3 f i t u r e s$ |  | $(580-570) x-3$ <br> $\times 1,000$ <br> $=-30,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & +577000 \\ & -\$ 30000 \\ & =+\$ 7,000 \end{aligned}$ |

# We make this very 

important distinction between stock-type
settlement
and
futures-type
settlement because some contracts are settled like stock and some contracts are settled like futures. It should come as no surprise that stock is subject to stock-type settlement and futures are subject to futurestype settlement. But what about options? Currently, all

# exchange-traded options in North America, whether <br> options on stock, stock indexes, futures, or foreign currencies, are settled like stock. Options must be paid for immediately and in full, 

 and all profits or losses are unrealized until the position is liquidated. In stock option markets, this is both logical and consistent because both the underlying contract and options on that contract aresettled using identical procedures. However, on U.S. futures options markets, the underlying contract is settled one way (futures-type settlement), while the options are settled in a different way (stock-type settlement). This can sometimes
cause problems when a trader has bought or sold an option to hedge a futures position. Even if the profits from the option position exactly offset
the losses from the futures position, the profits from the option position, because the options are settled like stock, are unrealized. But the losses from the futures position will require an immediate cash outlay to cover variation requirements. If a trader is unaware of the different settlement procedures, he can occasionally find himself with unexpected cash-flow problems.

## The settlement situation

## on most exchanges outside

 North America has been simplified by making option and underlying settlement procedures identical. If the underlying is subject to stocktype settlement, then the options on the underlying are subject to stock-type settlement.is subject If the underlying settlement, then the options are subject to futures-type
settlement. Under this method, a trader is unlikely to have a surprise variation requirement on a position that he thinks is well hedged.
In this text, when presenting option examples, we will generally assume the settlement convention used in North America, where all options are subject to stocktype settlement.

## Market Integrity

## Anyone who enters into a

 contract to buy or sell wants to be confident that the counterparty will fulfill his responsibilities under the terms of the contract. A buyer wants to be sure that the seller will deliver; a seller wants to be sure that the buyer will pay. No one will want to trade in a marketplaceif there is a real possibility that the counterparty might default on a contract. To guarantee the integrity of an exchange-traded contract, exchanges assume the responsibility for both delivery and payment. When a trade is made on an exchange, the link between buyer and seller is immediately broken and replaced with two new links. The exchange becomes the
buyer from each seller. If the buyer defaults, the exchange will guarantee payment. The exchange also becomes the seller to each buyer. If the seller defaults, the exchange will guarantee delivery. To protect itself against possible default, an exchange will

## establish

independent entity and is responsible for processing and guaranteeing all trades made on the exchange. $\stackrel{2}{2}$ The clearinghouse assumes the ultimate responsibility for ensuring the integrity of all exchangetraded contracts. $\underline{3}$

Figure 1-3 The clearing process.
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## The clearinghouse is

 made up of member clearing firms. A clearing firm processes trades made by individual traders and agrees to fulfill any financial obligation arising from those trades. Should an individual trader default, the clearing firm guarantees fulfillment of that trader's responsibilities.No individual may trade on an exchange without first
becoming associated with a clearing firm.
As part of its
responsibilities, a clearing firm will collect the required margin from individual traders and deposit these funds with the clearinghouse. ${ }^{4}$ In some cases, the clearinghouse may permit a clearing firm to aggregate the positions of all traders at the firm. Because
some traders will have long positions while other traders will have short positions in the same contract, the clearinghouse may reduce the margin deposits required from the clearing firm. At its discretion, and depending on market conditions, a clearing firm may require an individual trader to deposit more money with the clearing firm than is required by the clearinghouse.

# The current <br> system <br> of 

 guarantees-individual trader, clearing firm, and clearinghouse-has proven effective in ensuring the integrity of exchange-traded contracts. Although individual traders and clearing firms occasionally fail, a clearinghouse has never failed in the United States.1 A margin requirement for a professional trader on an equity options exchange is sometimes referred to as a haircut.
$\underline{2}$ In the United States, the two largest derivatives clearinghouses are the Options Clearing Corporation, responsible for processing all equity option trades, and the CME Clearing House, responsible for processing all trades made on exchanges falling within the CME Group. For instruments other than derivatives, such as stock and bonds, the Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation provides clearing services for many U.S. exchanges.
$\underline{3}$ Although the exchange and
clearinghouse may be separate entities, for simplicity, we will occasionally use the terms interchangeably.
${ }^{4}$ We noted earlier that, in theory, there is no loss of interest associated with a margin deposit. In practice, the amount of interest paid on margin deposits will vary by clearing firm and is typically negotiated between the clearing firm and the individual customer.

## Forward Pricing

What should be the fair price for a forward contract? We can answer this question by considering the costs and benefits of buying now
compared with buying on
some future date. In a forward contract, the costs and benefits are not eliminated; they are simply deferred. They should therefore be reflected in the forward price.
forward price $=$ current cash price + costs of buying now benefits of buying now

Let's
return to
our
example from Chapter 1
where my friend Jerry wanted to acquire land on which to build a restaurant. He was considering both a cash purchase and a one-year forward contract. If he enters into a forward contract, what should be a fair one-year forward price for the land? If Jerry wants to buy the land right now, he will have to pay Farmer Smith's asking price of $\$ 100,000$. However,
in researching the feasibility of a one-year forward contract, Jerry has learned the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
1 . & \text { The cost of } \\
\text { money, } & \text { whether } \\
\text { borrowing } & \text { or } \\
\text { lending, } & \text { is } \\
\text { currently } & 8.00 \\
\text { percent annually. } \\
2 . \\
\text { The land must pay }
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 2,000$ in real estate taxes; the taxes are due in nine months. 3. There is a small oil well on the land that pumps oil at the rate of $\$ 500$ per month; the oil receivable at the end of each month.

If Jerry decides to buy the land now, what are the
costs compared with buying the land one year from now? First, Jerry will have to borrow $\$ 100,000$ from the local bank. At a rate of 8 percent, the one-year interest costs will be

$$
8 \% \times \$ 100,000=\$ 8,000
$$

## If Jerry buys the land now,

 he will also be liable for the $\$ 2,000$ in property taxes due in nine months. In order to
## pay the taxes, he will need to

 borrow an additional $\$ 2,000$ from the bank for the remaining three months of the forward contract$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 2,000+(\$ 2,000 \times 8 \% \times \\
3 / 12)=\$ 2,000+\$ 40= \\
\$ 2,040
\end{gathered}
$$

The total costs of buying now are the interest on the cash price, the real estate taxes, and the interest on the

## taxes

# $\$ 8,000+\$ 2,040=\$ 10,040$ 

What are the benefits of buying now? If Jerry buys the land now, at the end of each month he will receive $\$ 500$ worth of oil revenue. Over
the
12-month
life
of
the
forward
contract,
he
will

## receive

$$
12 \times \$ 500=\$ 6,000
$$

## Additionally,

revenue. At the end of the first month, he will be able to invest $\$ 500$ for 11 months at 8 percent. At the end of the second month, he will be able to invest $\$ 500$ for 10 months. The total interest on the oil revenue is
$(\$ 500 \times 8 \% \times 11 / 12)+(\$ 500$ $\times 8 \% \times 10 / 12)+\ldots+(\$ 500 \times$

$$
8 \% \times 1 / 12)=\$ 220
$$

## The total <br> benefits <br> of

buying now are the oil revenue plus the interest on the oil revenue
$\$ 6,000+\$ 220=\$ 6,220$

If there are no other considerations, a fair oneyear forward price for the land ought to be

# Assuming that Jerry and 

 Farmer Smith agree on all these calculations, it should make no difference to either party whether Jerry purchases the land now at a price of $\$ 100,000$ or enters into a forward contract to purchase the land one year from now at
# a price of $\$ 103,820$. The transactions are essentially the same. 

Traders in forward or
futures contracts sometimes refer to the basis, the difference between the cash price and the forward price. In our example, the basis is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 100,000-\$ 103,820=- \\
\mathbf{\$ 3 , 8 2 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

In most cases, the basis
will be a negative number the costs of buying now will outweigh the benefits of buying now. However, in our example, the basis will turn positive if the price of oil rises enough. If one year's worth of oil revenue, together with the interest earned on the revenue, is greater than the $\$ 10,040$ cost of buying now, the forward price will be less
than the
cash
price.
C onsequently, the basis will

## be positive.

How should we calculate
the fair forward price for exchange-traded futures contracts? This depends on the costs and benefits associated with a position in the underlying contract. The costs and benefits for some commonly traded futures are listed in the following table:
Instrument CasisofBuyng Now Benafitoo Buying Now

Physidal commodity Inteeston cashnpice Storage costs Insuarcecosist

## Convenienceryed tobe

discussed)

Divenond (Ifany) Interestondividends

Boncs androtes Intereston bond or noteprice Couponpayments Interestoncoupon payments Foreignourency Interestcos of borowing the donestic curercicy Intersteance on the foregnourrency

## Physical

## Commodities

## (Grains, Energy Products, Precious

## Metals, etc.)

# If we buy a physical commodity now, we will 

 have to pay the current price together with the interest on this amount. Additionally, we will have to store thecommodity until maturity of the forward contract. When we store the commodity, we would also be wise to insure it against possible loss while in storage. If

C
commodity price ${ }^{2}$
$t=$ time to maturity of the forward
contract

## $r=$ interest

rate
$S \quad=\quad$ annual
storage costs
per commodity
unit
$i=$ annual
insurance costs per commodity
unit는

## then the forward price $F$

 can be written as$F=C \times(1+r \times t)+(s \times t)+$ $(i \times t)$
Initially, it
may seem
that there are no benefits to $\begin{array}{lcc}\text { buying } & \text { a } & \text { physical } \\ \text { commodity, } & \text { so the basis }\end{array}$ should always be negative. A normal or contango commodity market is one in which long-term futures contracts trade at a premium to short-term contracts. But sometimes the opposite
occurs-a futures contract will trade at a discount to cash. If the cash price of a commodity is greater than a futures price, the market is backward

# its factory running. If the <br> company cannot obtain the 

commodity, it may have to take the very costly step of temporarily
closing the factory.

The
cost
of
such
drastic act
company's
may, in the
prohibitive. In order to avoid this, the company may be willing to pay an inflated price to obtain the commodity right now. If commodity supplies are tight, the price that the company may have to pay could result
backward market-the cash price will be greater than the price of a futures contract. The benefit of being able to obtain a commodity right now is sometimes referred to as a convenience yield.
It can be difficult to assign an exact value to the convenience yield. However, if interest costs, storage costs, and insurance costs are
known, a trader can infer the
convenience yield
observing the relationship between the cash price and futures prices. For example, consider a three-month forward
contract
on
a commodity

Three-month
forward price
$F=\$ 77.40$
Interest rate $r$
$=8$ percent
Annual storage
$\operatorname{costs} s=\$ 3.00$ Annual insurance costs

$$
i=\$ 0.60
$$

What should be the cash price $C$ ? If

$$
F=C \times(1+r \times t)+(s \times t)+(i \times t)
$$

## then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\frac{F-(s+i) \times t}{1+r \times t} \\
& =\frac{77.40-(3.00+0.60) \times 3 / 12}{1+0.08 \times 3 / 12} \\
& =\frac{76.50}{1.02}=\$ 75.00
\end{aligned}
$$

If the cash price in the marketplace is actually $\$ 76.25$, the convenience yield
ought to be $\$ 1.25$. This is the additional amount users are willing to pay for the benefit of having immediate access to the commodity.

## Stock

If we buy stock now, we will have to pay the current price together with the interest on this amount. In return, we will receive any
dividends that the stock pays over the life of the forward contract together with the interest earned on the dividend payments. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\text { stock price } \\
& t=\text { time to } \\
& \text { maturity of the } \\
& \text { forward }
\end{aligned}
$$

contract

$$
r=\text { interest }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rate over the } \\
& \text { life of the }
\end{aligned}
$$

## forward

 contract$d_{i}=$ each dividend
payment expected prior to maturity of the forward
contract
$t_{i}=$ time remaining to maturity after each dividend
payment $r_{i}=$ the applicable interest
rate
(the

# forward rate ${ }^{4}$ ) from each dividend 

 payment to maturity of the forward contract
## then the forward price $F$

 can be written asExample

Stock price $S=$ $\$ 67.00$
Time
to
maturity $t=8$ months

Interest rate $r$ $=6.00$ percent Semiannual dividend payment $d=$ \$0.33

## Time to next dividend

# payment $=1$ month 

# From this, we know that 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}=8 \text { months }-1 \text { month }=7 \text { months } \\
& t_{2}=8 \text { months }-1 \text { month }-6 \text { months }=1 \text { month }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=6.20 \% \\
& r_{2}=6.50 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

then $a$ fair eight-month

## forward price for the stock

 should be
$-[0.3 x \times[|+0.066 x|| | 194]$
$=60,60-(0.3+19-0.3920=60,00603$

## Except for long-term

 stock forward contracts, there will usually be a limited number of dividend payments, and the amount of interest that can be earned on each payment will be small. For simplicity, we willaggregate all the dividends $D$ expected over the life of the forward contract and ignore any interest that can be earned on the dividends. The forward price for a stock can then be written as

$$
F=[S \times(1+r \times t)]-D
$$

> An approximate eight- month forward price should be

# $67.00 \times(1+0.06 \times 8 / 12)-(2$ <br> $$
\times 0.33)=\mathbf{6 9 . 0 2}
$$ 

## Bonds and notes

## If we treat the coupon

 payments as if they were dividends, we can evaluate bond and note forward contracts in a similar manner to stock forwards. We must pay the bond price together with the interest cost on that
# price. In return, we will receive fixed coupon payments on which we can earn interest. If 

$B=$ bond price
$t=$ time to maturity of the forward contract $r=$ interest rate over the life of the forward
contract
$c_{i}=$ each coupon expected prior to maturity of the forward contract $t_{i}=$ time remaining to maturity after each coupon payment $r_{i}=$ applicable
interest rate from each coupon payment

# maturity of the forward contract 

## then the forward price $F$

 can be written as$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =B+(B \times r \times t)-\left[c_{1} \times\left(1+r_{1} \times t_{1}\right)\right]-\cdots-\left[c_{n} \times\left(1+r_{n} \times t\right)\right] \\
& =\left[B \times(1+r \times 1)-\left[\left[c_{n} \times\left(1+r_{n} \times t_{n}\right)\right]\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Bond price } B= \\
& \$ 109.76 \\
& \text { Time }
\end{aligned}
$$

# maturity $t=10$ months 

Interest rate $r$
$=8.00$ percent
Semiannual
coupon
payment $c$
5.25 percent
Time to next
coupon
payment $=2$
months

From this, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}=10 \text { months }-2 \text { months }=8 \text { months } \\
& t_{1}=10 \text { months }-2 \text { months }-6 \text { months }=2 \text { months }
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=8.20 \% \\
& r_{2}=8.50 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## then a fair 10-month

## forward price for the bond

## should be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=[109.76 \times(1+0.08 \times 10 / 12]]-[3.25 \times(1+0.002 \times 8 / 1.2] \\
&-[5.55 \times(1+0.085 \times 2 / 12)] \\
&=117.0773-5.5370-5.3244=106.2159
\end{aligned}
$$

## Foreign Currencies

## With <br> foreign-currency

## forward contracts, we must

 deal with two different rates _the domestic interest rate we must pay on the domestic currency to buy the foreign currency and the foreign interest rate we earn if we hold the foreign currency. Unfortunately, if we begin with the spot exchange rate,add the domestic interest costs, and subtract the foreign-currency benefits, we get an answer that is expressed in different units. To calculate a foreigncurrency forward price, we must first express the spot exchange rate $S$ as a fraction -the cost of one foreigncurrency unit in terms of domestic-currency units $C_{d}$ divided by one foreign-

## currency unit $C_{f}$

## Suppose that we have a

 domestic rate $r_{d}$ and a foreign rate $r_{f .}$. What should be the forward exchange rate at the end of time $t$ ? If we invest $C_{f}$ at $r_{f}$ and we invest $C_{d}$ at $r_{f}$, the exchange rate at time $t$ ought to be
## $F=\frac{C_{d} \times\left(1+r_{d} \times t\right)}{C_{f} \times\left(1+r_{f} \times t\right)}$

$$
=\frac{C_{d}}{C_{f}} \times \frac{1+r_{d} \times t}{1+r_{f} \times t}
$$

$$
=S \times \frac{1+r_{d} \times t}{1+r_{f} \times t}
$$

# For example, suppose that $€ 1.00=\$ 1.50$. Then 

$$
S=\frac{1.50}{1.00}=1.50
$$

# Dollar interest rate $r_{\mathrm{s}}=6.00 \%$ 

Euro interest rate $r_{t}=4.00 \%$

## then the six-month forward

 price is
## $F=\frac{1.00 \times(1+0.06 \times 0 / 12)}{1.00 \times(1+0.04 \times 6 / 12)}$

$$
=\frac{1.50}{1.00} \times \frac{1+0.06 \times 6 / 12}{1.00 \times(1+0.04 \times 6 / 12)}
$$

$$
=\frac{1.50 \times 1.30}{1.02}=1.5147
$$

## Stock and Futures

## options

## In this text we will focus

 primarily on the two most common classes of exchangetraded options-stock options and futuresoptions. Although there iS
some trading in options on physical commodities, bonds, and foreign currencies in the over-the-counter (OTC) market, $\frac{6}{}$ almost all exchangetraded options on these instruments
are
futures

# options. 

# bond options is really trading 

 options on bond futures. For both stock options and futures options, the value of the option will depend on the forward price for the underlying contract. We have already looked at the forwardprice for a stock. But what is the forward price for a futures contract? A futures contract is a forward contract. Therefore, the forward price for a futures contract is the futures price. If a three-month futures contract is trading at $\$ 75.00$, the threemonth forward price is $\$ 75.00$. If a six-month futures contract is trading at $\$ 80.00$, the six-month forward price is $\$ 80.00$. In some ways, this makes options on futures
easier to evaluate than options on stock because no additional calculation is required to determine the forward price.

Arbitrage

If asked to define the term arbitrage, a trader might describe it as "a trade that results in a riskless profit." Whether there is such a thing
as a riskless profit is open for debate because there is almost always something that can go wrong. For our purposes, we will define arbitrage as the buying and selling of the same or very closely related instruments in different markets to profit from an apparent mispricing. For example, consider a commodity that is trading in London at a price of $\$ 700$ per

## unit and trading in New York

 at a price of $\$ 710$ per unit. Ignoring transaction costs and any currency risk, there seems to be an arbitrage opportunity by purchasing the commodity in London and simultaneously selling it in New York. Will this yield an arbitrage profit of $\$ 10$ ? Or are there other factors that mustbe considered?
One
consideration
might
be
transportation costs.
buyer in New York will expect delivery of the commodity. If the commodity is purchased in London, and if it costs more than $\$ 10$ per unit to ship the commodity from London to New York, any arbitrage profit will be offset by the transportation costs. Even if transportation costs are less than $\$ 10$, there are also insurance costs because no one will want to risk loss of the commodity in
transit, either by air or by sea, from London to New York. Of course, anyone trading a commodity professionally ought to know the transportation and insurance costs. Consequently, it will be immediately obvious whether an arbitrage profit is possible. In a foreign-currency market, a trader may attempt to profit by borrowing a low-interest-rate

# currency and using this to purchase a high-interest-rate foreign currency. The trader hopes to pay a low interest rate and simultaneously earn 

 a high interest rate. However, this type of carry trade is not without risk. The interest rates may not be fixed, and over the life of the strategy, the interest rate that must be paid on the domestic currency may rise while the interest rate that can be earned on the
# foreign currency may fall. 

 Moreover, the exchange rate is not fixed. At some point, the trader will have to repay the domestic currency that he borrowed. He expects to do this with the foreign currency that he now owns. If the value of the foreign currency has declined with respect to the domestic currency, it will cost him more to repurchase the domestic currency and repaythe loan. The carry trade is

# sometimes referred to as 

 arbitrage, but in fact, it entails so many risks that the term is probably misapplied. Because cash markets and futures markets are so closely related, a common type of cash-and-carry arbitrage involves buying in the cash market, selling in the futures market, and carrying the position to maturity.Returning

# previous stock example: 

Stock price $S=$ $\$ 67.00$
Time maturity $t=8$ months

Interest rate $r$
$=6.00$ percent
Expected dividends $D=$ 0.66

Ignoring interest on the

## dividend, the calculated

 eight-month forward price is$$
\begin{gathered}
67.00 \times(1+0.06 \times 8 / 12)- \\
0.66=69.02 \\
\text { Suppose that there is a }
\end{gathered}
$$ market in forward contracts on this stock and that the price of an eight-month forward contract is $\$ 69.50$. What will a trader do? If the trader believes that the contract is worth only $\$ 69.02$,

he will sell the forward contract at $\$ 69.50$ and simultaneously buy the stock for $\$ 67.00$. The cash-andcarry arbitrage profit should be

$$
69.50-69.02=0.48
$$

## To confirm this, we can list

 all the cash flows associated with the transaction, keeping in mind that at maturity the trader will deliver the stockand in return receive the agreed-on forward price of 69.50 .


## Fluctuations in the price of either the stock or the futures

contract will not affect the results. Both the initial stock price $(\$ 67.00)$ and the price to be paid for the stock at maturity $(\$ 69.50)$ are fixed and cannot be changed. Even though fluctuations in the stock or futures price do not represent a risk, other factors may affect the
outcome of the strategy. If interest rates rise, the interest costs associated with buying
the stock will rise, reducing
the potential profit. $\overline{7}$
Moreover, unless the
company has actually announced the amount of the dividend, the expected dividend payment might be an estimate based on the company's past dividend payments. If the company unexpectedly cuts the dividend, the arbitrage profit will be reduced.

## Given

mispricing
contract, a trader might question his own evaluation. Is $\$ 69.02$ an accurate forward price? Perhaps the interest rate of 6 percent is too low. Perhaps the dividend of $\$ 0.66$ is too high.

## We initially made our

 calculations by solving for $F$ in terms of the spot price, time, interestrates,
and

## dividends

$$
F=[S \times(1+r \times t)]-D
$$

## If we know the forward

 price $F$ but are missing one of the other values, we can solve for that missing value. If we know the forward price, time to maturity, interest rate, and dividend, we can solve for $S$, the implied spot price of the underlying contract
## $\frac{F+D}{1+r \times t}$

## If we know everything

 except the interest rate $r$, we can solve for the implied interest rate

If we know everything except the dividend $D$, we can solve for the implied
dividend

$$
D=[S \times(1+r \times t)]-F
$$

## Implied values are an

 important concept, one that we will return to frequently. If a trader believes that a contract is fairly priced, the implied value must represent the marketplace's consensus estimate of the missing value. Returning to our eightmonth forwardsuppose that we believe that all values except the interest rate are accurate. What is the implied interest rate?


# $$
D=[S \times(1+r \times t)]-\mathrm{F}=
$$ <br> $$
[67.00 \times(1+0.06 \times 8 / 12)]-
$$ <br> $$
69.50=0.18
$$ <br> If two dividends are 

 expected over the life of the forward contract, the marketplace seems to expect two payments of $\$ 0.09$ each.
## Dividends

derivative contracts on stock, a trader may be required to make an estimate of a stock's future dividend flow. A trader will usually need to estimate the amount of the dividend and the date on which the dividend will be paid. To better understand dividends, it may be useful to define some important terms in the dividend process.

# on which a company 

 announces both the amount of the dividend and the date on which the dividend will be paid. Once the company declares the dividend, the dividend risk is eliminated, at least until the next dividend payment.Record Date. The date on which the stock must be owned in order to receive the dividend. Regardless of the
date on which the stock is purchased, ownership of the stock does not become official until the settlement date, the date on which the purchaser of the stock officially takes possession. In the United States,
the settlement date for stock is normally three business days after the trade is made (sometimes referred to as $T+$ $3)$.


## Ex-Dividend Date (Ex-

 Date). The first day on which a stock is trading without the rights to the dividend. In the United States, the last day on which a stock can be purchased in order to receive the dividend is three businessdays prior to the record date. The ex-dividend date is two business days prior to the record date.

## Exaliwerodade

## Tlabd dale [Exated <br> Rexomdat
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## On the ex-dividend date,

quotes for the stock will indicate that the stock is trading ex-div, and all quotes will be posted with the amount of the dividend deducted from the stock price. If a stock closes on the day prior to the ex-dividend date at a price of $\$ 67.50$ and opens the following day (the ex-dividend date) at a price of $\$ 68.25$, and the amount of the dividend is $\$ 0.40$, the price of

## the stock will read

$$
68.25+1.15 \text { ex-div } 0.40
$$

## If the stock had opened

 unchanged, the price would have been the previous day's price of $\$ 67.50$ less the dividend of $\$ 0.40$, or $\$ 67.10$. With the stock at $\$ 68.25$, its price increase is $\$ 1.15$.
## Payable Date. The date on

 which the dividend will be
# paid to qualifying 

 shareholders (those owning shares on the record date). The amount of the dividend can often be estimated from the company's past dividend payments. If a company pays quarterly dividends, asis common in the United States, and has paid a dividend of 25 cents for the last 10 quarters, then it is reasonable to
assume that in the future the company will continue to pay 25 cents.
We have generally ignored the interest that can be earned on dividends, so it may seem that the date on which the dividend will be paid is not really important. If, however, the date on which the dividend will be paid is expected to fall close to the maturity date of a
derivative contract, a slight miscalculation of the
dividend
date
can
significantly alter the value of the derivative.

## Short Sales

Many derivatives strategies involve buying and selling either stock or futures contracts. Except for the situation when a market is
locked, $\underline{8}$ there are no restrictions on the buying or selling of futures contracts. There are also no restrictions on the purchase of stock or on the sale of stock that is already owned. However, there may be situations in which a trader will want to sell stock short, that is, sell stock that he does not already own. The trader hopes to buy back the stock at a later date
at a lower price.
Depending

on

# exchange or local regulatory 

 authority, there may be special rules specifying the conditions under which stock can be sold short. In all cases, however, a trader who wants to sell stock short must first borrow the stock. This is possiblebecause many institutions that hold stock may be willing to lend out the
stock to facilitate a short sale. A brokerage firm holding a client's stock may permitted under its agreement with the client to lend out the stock. This does not mean that one can always borrow stock. Sometimes it will be difficult or even impossible to borrow stock, resulting in a short-stock squeeze. But most actively traded stocks can be borrowed with relative ease, with the borrowing usually

## facilitated by the trader's

 clearing firm.
## Consider a trader who

borrows 900 shares of stock from a brokerage firm in order to sell the stock short at a price of $\$ 68$ per share. The purchaser will pay the trader $\$ 68 \times 900$, or $\$ 61,200$, and the trader will deliver the borrowed stock.

The purchaser of the stock does not care whether the stock
was sold short or long
(whether the seller borrowed the stock or actually owned it). As far as the purchaser is concerned, he is now the owner of record of the stock. Borrowed stock must eventually be returned to the lender, in this case the brokerage firm. As security against this obligation, the brokerage firm will hold the $\$ 61,200$ proceeds from the
sale. Because the $\$ 61,200$, in theory, belongs to the trader, the firm will pay the trader interest on this amount. At the same time, the trader is obligated to pay the brokerage firm any dividends that accrue over the short-sale period.

How does the brokerage firm as the lender profit from this transaction? The lending firm profits because it pays

## the trader only a portion of

 the full interest on the $\$ 61,200$. The exact amount paid to the trader will depend on how difficult it is to borrow the stock. If the stock is easy to borrow, the trader may receive only slightly less than the rate he would expect to receive on any ordinary cash credit. However, if relatively few shares are available for lending, the tradermay
receive
only
a
fraction of the normal rate. In the most extreme case, where the stock is very difficult to borrow, the trader may receive no interest at all. The rate that the trader receives on the short sale of stock is sometimes referred to as the short-stock rebate.
We can make
a
distinction between the long rate $r_{l}$ that applies to ordinary borrowing and lending and
the short rate $r_{s}$ that applies to the short sale of stock. The difference between the long and short rates represents the borrowing costs $r_{b c}$

$$
r_{l}-r_{s}=r_{b c}
$$

In a previous example we determined the forward price for a stock

Stock price $S=$
$\$ 67.00$
Time
to
maturity $t=8$ months

Interest rate $r$
$=6.00$ percent
Expected
dividend
payment $D=$ \$0.66

Ignoring interest on the dividends, the eight-month forward price is

# $67.00 \times(1+0.06 \times 8 / 12)$ <br> $0.66=69.02$ 

If the price of an eightmonth forward contract is $\$ 69.50$, there is an arbitrage opportunity by selling the forward contract and purchasing the stock.
Suppose that instead the eight-month forward contract is trading at a price of $\$ 68.75$. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Now there seems to be an } \\ \text { arbitrage } & \text { opportunity by }\end{array}$

## purchasing

2 percent in borrowing costs, the trader will only receive
the short rate of 4 percent. The forward price is now

$$
\begin{gathered}
67.00 \times(1+0.04 \times 8 / 12)- \\
0.66=68.13
\end{gathered}
$$

If the trader attempts to execute the arbitrage by selling the stock short, he will lose money because

$$
68.13-68.75=-0.62
$$

A trader who does not own
the stock can only profit if the forward price is less than $\$ 68.13$ or more than $\$ 69.02$. Between these pr
arbitrage is possible. What interest rate should apply to option transactions? Unlike stock, an option is not a deliverable security. It is a contract that is
created between a buyer and a seller. Even if a trader does not own a specific option, he need not
"borrow" the option in order to sell it. For this reason, we always apply the ordinary long rate to the cash flow resulting from either the purchase or sale of an option.
$\underline{1}$ At this point, we will assume that the same interest rate applies to all transactions, whether borrowing or lending. Admittedly, for a trader, the interest cost of borrowing will almost always be higher than the interest earned when lending.
$\underline{2}$ In this chapter only, we will use a capital $C$ to represent the price of a commodity. In all other chapters, $C$ will refer to the price of a call option.
$\underline{3}$ For physical commodities, both storage and insurance costs usually are quoted together as one price.
$\underline{4}$ The forward rate is the rate of interest that is applicable beginning on some future date for a specified period of
time. Forward rates are often expressed in months

$1 \times 5$<br>forwar,

rate
fourmonth rate beginn in one month
$3 \times 9$
forwarı
rate
six-
month
rate
beginn

## in

three months
$4 \times 12$
forwars rate eightmonth rate beginn in four months

A forward-rate agreement (FRA) is an agreement to borrow or lend money for a fixed period, beginning on some future date. A $3 \times 9 \mathrm{FRA}$ is an agreement to borrow money for six months,
but beginning three months from now.
5 Later, in Chapter 22, we will also look at stock index futures and options.
${ }^{6}$ The OTC market, or over-the-counter market, is a term usually applied to trading that does not take place on an organized exchange.
$\underline{7}$
If money has been borrowed or lent at a fixed rate, there is no interest-rate risk. However, most traders borrow and lend at a variable rate, resulting in interest-rate risk over the life of the forward contract.
${ }^{8}$ Some futures exchanges have daily price limits for futures contracts. When a futures contract reaches this limit, the
market is said to be locked or locked limit. If the market is either limit up or limit down, no further trading may take place until the price comes off the limit (someone is willing to sell at a price equal to or less than the up limit or buy at a price equal to or higher than the down limit).

## Contract

Specifications
and Option
Terminology

# Every option market brings 

 together traders and investors with different expectations and goals. Some enter the market with an opinion on which direction prices will move. Some intend to use options to protect existing positions against adverse price movement. Some hope to take advantage of price discrepancies between similar or related products. Some act as middlemen, buying andselling as an accommodation to other market participants and hoping to profit from the difference between the bid price and ask price. Even though expectations and goals differ, every trader's education must include an understanding of option contract specifications and a mastery of the terminology used in option markets. Without a clear

## understanding of the terms of

 an option contract and the rights and responsibilities under that contract, a trader cannot hope to make the best use of options, nor will he be prepared for the very real risks of trading. Without a facility in the language of options, a trader will find it impossible to communicate his desire to buy or sell in the marketplace.
## Contract <br> Specifications

There are several aspects to contract specifications.

Type
In Chapter 1,
We introduced the two types of options. A call option is the right to buy or take a long
position in an asset at a fixed price on or before a specified date. A put option is the right to sell or take a short position in an asset.

## Note the difference

between
an option
and
a futures
contract.
A
futures contract requires delivery at a fixed price. The buyer and seller of a futures contract both have clearly defined obligations that
they
must
meet. The seller must make delivery, and the buyer must take delivery. The buyer of an option, however, has
choice. He can choose to take delivery (a call) or make delivery (a put). If the buyer of an option chooses to either make or take delivery, the seller of the option is obligated to take the other side. In option trading, all rights lie with the buyer and all obligations with the seller.

## Underlying

## The underlying <br> or,

more simply, the underlying is the security or commodity to be bought or sold under the terms of the option contract. If an option is purchased directly from a bank or other dealer, the quantity of the underlying can be tailored to meet the buyer's individual requirements. If the option is purchased on an exchange,
the quantity of the underlying is set by the exchange. On stock option exchanges, the underlying is typically 100 shares of stock. ${ }^{1}$ The owner of a call has the right to buy 100 shares; the owner of a put has the right to sell 100 shares. If, however, the price of an underlying stock is either very low or very high, an exchange may adjust the number
of
shares
in
the
underlying contract in order to create a contract size that is deemed reasonable for trading on the exchange. ${ }^{2}$ On all futures options exchanges, the underlying is uniformly futures contract; the owner of a put has the right to sell one futures contract. Most often, the underlying for an option
on a futures contract is the futures
month of the option. The
underlying for an April
futures option is an April
futures contract; the underlying for a November futures option is a November futures contract. However, an exchange may also choose to list serial options on futures -option expirations where there is no corresponding

# futures <br> month. <br> When <br>  

For
example,
many a quarterly cycle, with trading in March, June, September, and December futures. The underlying for a March
option is a March futures contract; the underlying for a June option is a June futures contract. If there are also serial options, then

## The

 underlying for a January or February option is a March futures contract. Theunderlying for an April or May option is a June futures contract. The underlying for a July or August option is a September futures contract. The

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { underlying for } \\
\text { an October or } \\
\text { November } & \\
\text { option is a } \\
\text { December } & \\
\text { futures } & \\
\text { contract. }
\end{array}
$$

Some
interest-rate
futures
markets
[e.g.,
Eurodollars at the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, Short Sterling and Euribor at the London International

## Financial Futures Exchange],

 in addition to listing longterm options on a long-term futures contract, may also list short-term options on the same long-term futures contract. A March futures contract maturing in two years may be the underlying for a March option expiring in two years. But the same futures contract may also be the underlying for a March option expiring in one year.Short-term options on longterm futures are listed as midcurve options. The options
can be
one-year midcurve (a short-term option on a futures contract with at least one year to maturity), two-year midcurve (a shortterm option on a futures contract with at least two years to maturity), or fiveyear midcurve (a short-term option on a futures contract with at least five years to

## maturity).

## Expiration Date or

## Expiry

The expiration date is the date on which the owner of an option must make the final decision whether to buy, in the case of a call, or to sell, in the case of a put. After expiration, all rights and
obligations under the option
contract cease to exist. On many stock option
exchanges, the expiration date for stock and stock index options is the third Friday of the expiration month. $\frac{3}{}$ Of more importance to most traders is the last trading day, the last business day prior to expiration on which an option can be bought or sold on an exchange. For most stock options, expiration day and
the last trading day are the same, the third Friday of the month. However,

Good
Friday, a legal holiday in many countries, occasionally falls on the third Friday of April. When this occurs, the last trading day is the preceding Thursday.
 were introduced in the United States, trading in expiring contracts ended at the close of
business on the third Friday of the month. However, many derivative strategies require carrying an offsetting stock position to expiration, at which time the stock position is liquidated. Consequently, stock exchanges found that as the close
of
trading approached on expiration Friday, they were faced with large orders to buy or sell stock. These large orders often
had
the
effect
of

# disrupting <br> trading 

distorting prices at expiration. To alleviate the problem of large order imbalances at expiration, some derivative exchanges, working with the stock exchanges on which the underlying stocks
were traded, agreed to establish an expiration value for a derivatives contract based on the opening price of the underlying
contract
rather
than the closing price on the last trading day. This $A M$ expiration is commonly used for stock index contracts. Options on individual stocks are still subject to the traditional $P M$ expiration, where the value of an option is determined by the underlying stock price at the close of trading on the last trading day.

Although the expiration

# date for stock options is 

 relatively uniform, expiration date for futures options can vary, depending on the underlying commodity or financial instrument. For futures on physical commodities, such as agricultural or energy products, delivery at maturity may take several days. As a consequence,several days or even weeks prior to the maturity of the futures

## contract,

most commonly in the month prior to the futures month. An option on a March futures contract will expire in February; an option on a July futures contract will expire in June;
an option
on
a November futures contract
will
expire
in
October.
A
trader will need to consult the
exchange
calendar

# determine <br> the <br> exact <br> expiration date, which is set by each individual exchange. 

Exercise Price or

## Strike Price

## The exercise or strike price

 is the price at which the underlying will be delivered should the holder of an option choose to exercise his right to buy or sell. If the option isexercised, the owner of a call will pay the exercise price; the owner of a put will receive the exercise price.
The exercise prices available for trading on an option exchange are set by the exchange, usually at equal intervals and bracketing the current price of the underlying contract. If the
price of the underlying contract is 62 when options
are introduced, the exchange may set exercise prices of 50 , $55,60,65,70$, and 75. At a later date, as the price of the underlying
moves
up
Or
down, the exchange can add additional exercise prices. If the price of the underlying rises to 70 , the exchange may add exercise prices of 80,85 , and 90. Additionally, if the exchange feels that it will further facilitate trading, it can introduce intermediate
exercise prices-521/2, $571 / 2$, $62 \frac{1}{2}, 67 \frac{1}{2}$.
As an example of an exchange-traded option, the buyer of a crude oil October 90 call on the New York Mercantile Exchange has the right to take a long position in one October crude oil futures contract for 1,000 barrels of crude oil (the underlying) at a price of $\$ 90$ per barrel (the exercise price) on or before

## the October expiration (the

 expiration date). The buyer of a General Electric March 30 put on the Chicago Board Options Exchange has the right to take a short position in 100 shares of General Electric stock (the underlying) at a price of $\$ 30$ per share (the exercise price) on or before March expiration (the expiration date).> Option

# specifications are further outlined in Figure 3-1. 

Figure 3-1 Option contract specifications.


## Exercise and

Assignment

## The buyer of a call or a put

## option has the right

exercise that option prior to its expiration date, thereby converting the option into a long underlying position in the case of a call or a short underlying position in the case of a put. A trader who exercises a crude oil October

90 call has chosen to take a long position in one October crude oil futures contract at $\$ 90$ per barrel. A trader who exercises a GE March 30 put has chosen to take a short position in 100 shares of GE stock at $\$ 30$ per share. Once an option is exercised, the rights and obligations associated with the option cease to exist, just as if the option had been allowed to expire.

## A trader who intends to

 exercise an option must submit an exercise notice to either the seller of the option, if purchased from a dealer, or to the exchange, if the option was purchased exercise notice is submitted, the seller of the option has been assigned. Depending on the type of option, the seller will be required to take a longor short position in the
underlying contract at the option's exercise price. Once a contract has been traded on an exchange, the link between buyer and seller is broken, with the exchange becoming the counterparty to all trades. Still, when a trader exercises an option, the exchange must assign someone to either buy or sell the underlying contract at the exercise price. How does the
exchange make this decision? The party who is assigned must be someone who has sold the option and has not closed out the position through an offsetting trade. Beyond this, the exchange's decision on who will be assigned is essentially random, with no trader having either a greater or
lesser probability of being
assigned.

New traders sometimes become confused about
whether the exercise and assignment result in a long position (buying the underlying contract) or a short position (selling the underlying contract). The following summary may help: if you




## underlying contract, when an

 exchange-traded option is exercised, it can settle into$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. The physical } \\
& \text { underlying } \\
& 2 . \quad \mathrm{A} \\
& \text { futures }
\end{aligned}
$$

position

## 3. Cash

## Settlement into the

## Physical Underlying

If a call option settles into the physical underlying, the exerciser pays the exercise price and in return receives the underlying. If a put option settles into the physical underlying, the exerciser receives the exercise price and in return must deliver the
underlying. Stock options always settle into the physical underlying.

> You exercise one January 110 call on stock.

> | You must |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| pay $100 \quad \times$ |  |
| $\$ 110$ |  |
| $\$ 11,000$. |  |
| You receive |  |
| 100 shares of |  |

stock.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { You are assigned } \\
& \text { on six April } 40 \\
& \text { calls on stock. } \\
& \text { You receive } \\
& 600 \times \$ 40= \\
& \$ 24,000 . \\
& \text { You must } \\
& \text { deliver } 600 \\
& \text { shares } \\
& \text { stock. }
\end{aligned}
$$

You exercise two
July 60 puts on
stock.

> You receive $200 \times \$ 60=$ $\$ 12,000$. You must deliver shares stock.

## You are assigned on three October 95

 puts on stock.$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { You must } \\
\text { pay } 300 \times \$ 95
\end{gathered}
$$

# $=\$ 28,500$ 

# You receive <br> 300 shares of stock. 

## Note that the cash flow

 resulting from settlement into the physical underlying depends only on the exercise price. In our examples, whether the price of the stock at exercise is $\$ 10$ or $\$ 1,000$, the exerciser of a call paysonly the exercise price, not the stock price. The exerciser of a put receives only the exercise price. Of course, the profit or loss resulting from the option trade will depend on both the stock price and the price originally paid for the option. But the cash flow when the option is exercised is independent of these.

Settlement into a

## Futures Position

## If an option settles into a

 futures position, it is just as if the exerciser is buying or selling the futures contract at the exercise price. Theposition is immediately
subject to futures-type settlement, requiring a margin deposit and accompanied by a variation payment. An underlying futures contract is currently trading at
85.00 with a point value of $\$ 1,000$. Margin requirements are $\$ 3,000$ per contract.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { You exercise one } \\
& \text { February } 80 \text { call. } \\
& \text { You } \\
& \text { immediately } \\
& \text { become long } \\
& \text { one futures } \\
& \text { contract at a } \\
& \text { price of } 80 .
\end{aligned}
$$

You
must
deposit with the exchange the required margin
of \$3,000. You will
receive
of $(85-80) \times$ \$1,000 \$5,000.

# You are assigned on six May 75 calls. 

## You

immediately
become short six futures
contracts at a price of 75 . You must deposit with the exchange the required margin of $6 \times$ \$3,000 \$18,000.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { have a } \\
& \text { variation debit } \\
& \text { of }(75-85) \times \\
& \$ 1,000 \times 6=- \\
& \$ 60,000
\end{aligned}
$$

You exercise four August 100 puts. You
immediately
become short four futures
contracts at a

## price of 100 .

 You mustdeposit with
the exchange
the required
margin of $4 \times$
$\$ 3,000$
$\$ 12,000$. .

You
will
receive
a variation credit of $(100-85) \times$ $\$ 1,000 \times 4=$
$\$ 60,000$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { You are assigned } \\
& \text { on two November } \\
& 95 \text { puts. } \\
& \text { You }
\end{aligned}
$$

immediately
become long
two futures
contracts at a

$$
\text { price of } 95
$$

You must
deposit with
the exchange
the required margin of $2 \times$ \$3,000
\$6,000. You will
have
variation debit
of $(85-95) \times$
$\$ 1,000 \times 2=-$
$\$ 20,000$.

## Settlement into Cash

This type of settlement is

## used primarily for index

 contracts where delivery of the underlying contract is not practical. If exercise of an option settles into cash, no underlying position results. There is a cash payment equal to the difference between the exercise price and the underlying price at the end of the trading day.An underlying index is fixed at the end of the trading
day at 300 . The exchange has assigned a value of $\$ 500$ to each index point.

You exercise three March 250 calls.

You have
no underlying
position.
Your

| account will |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| be | credited |
| with | $(300-$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 250) \times \$ 500 \times \\
& 3=\$ 75,000
\end{aligned}
$$

You are assigned
on seven June 275 calls.

You have
no underlying
position.
Your account will
be debited by
$(275-300) \times$
$\$ 500 \times 7$

$$
\$ 87,500 .
$$

## You exercise two September 320 puts.

You have<br>no underlying<br>position.<br>Your<br>account will<br>be credited<br>with (320<br>$300) \times \$ 500 \times$<br>$2=\$ 20,000$.

# You are assigned on four December 340 puts. <br> You have <br> no underlying position. Your 

 account will be debited by $(300-340) \times$ $\$ 500 \times 4=$ $\$ 80,000$.
## Exercise Style

## In <br> addition <br> to <br> the

underlying contract, exercise price,
expiration
date,
and
type, an
option
is
further
identified by its exercise style, either European or American. A European option can only be exercised at expiration. In practice, this means that the holder of a European option must make the final decision whether to
exercise or not on the last business day prior to
expiration. In contrast, an
American option can be
exercised on any business day prior to expiration.

# The designation of an 

option's exercise style as either European or American has nothing to do with geographic location. Many options traded in the United States are European, and

# many options traded <br> in <br> <br> Europe <br> <br> Europe <br> <br> are <br> <br> are <br> <br> American. 4 

 <br> <br> American. 4}

Generally, options on futures and options on individual stocks tend to be American. Options on indexes tend to be European.

Option Price
Components

As in any competitive
market, an option's price, or premium, is determined by supply and demand. Buyers and sellers make competitive bids and offers in the marketplace. When a bid and offer coincide, a trade is made.
The premium paid for an
option can be separated into two components-the intrinsic value and the time value. An option has intrinsic
value if it enables the holder of the option to buy low and sell high or sell high and buy low, with the intrinsic value being equal to the difference between the buying price and the selling price. With an underlying contract trading at $\$ 435$, the intrinsic value of a 400 call is $\$ 35$. By exercising the option, the holder of the 400 call can buy at $\$ 400$. If he then sells at the market
price of $\$ 435, \$ 35$ will be
credited to his account. With an underlying contract trading at $\$ 62$, the intrinsic value of a 70 put is $\$ 8$. By exercising the option, the holder of the put can sell at $\$ 70$. If he then buys at the market price of \$62, he will show a total credit of \$8.
A call will only have intrinsic value if its exercise price is less than the current market price
underlying contract because
no one would choose to buy high and sell low. A put will only have intrinsic value if its exercise price is greater than the current market price of the underlying contract because no one would choose to sell low and buy high. The amount of intrinsic value is the amount by which the exercise price is less than the current underlying price in the
case
of
a
call
or
the
amount by which the exercise price is greater than the current underlying price in the case of a put. No option can have an intrinsic value less than zero. If $S$ is the spot price of the underlying contract and $X$ is the exercise price, then

Call intrinsic
value
maximum of
either 0 or $S-$

# Put intrinsic 

 value maximum of either 0 or $X-$ $S$.Note that the intrinsic value is independent of the expiration date. With the underlying contract at $\$ 83$, a March call
and
a September 70 call both have an intrinsic value of $\$ 13$. A

June 90 put and a December 90 put both have an intrinsic value of $\$ 7$.

## Usually, an option's

 price in the marketplace will be greater than its intrinsic value. The time value, sometimes also referred to as the option's time premium or extrinsic value, is the additional amount of premium beyond the intrinsic value that traders are willingto pay for an option. Market participants are willing to pay this additional amount primarily because of the protective characteristics afforded by an option over an outright long or short position in the underlying contract.

## An option's premium is

 always composed of precisely its intrinsic value and its time value. Examples of intrinsic value and time value
# shown in Figure 3-2. If a $\$ 400$ call is trading at $\$ 50$ with the underlying trading at $\$ 435$, the time value of the call must be $\$ 15$ because the intrinsic value is $\$ 35$. The 

 two components must add up to the option's total premium of $\$ 50$. If a $\$ 70$ put on a stock is trading for $\$ 11$ with the stock trading at $\$ 62$, the time value of the put must be $\$ 3$ because the intrinsic value is \$8. Again, the intrinsic valueand the time value must add up to the option's premium of \$11.

Figure 3-2 Intrinsic value and time value.

Undelling contraditiading a $\$ 4 \times 5$ Arii 40 cal Irdingad 1 50

| \$50 | $=$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35 \\ (\$ 435-\$ 400) \end{gathered}$ | t | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15 \\ (\$ 50-\$ 35) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Price | $=$ | Intrinsic value. | + | Time value |
| $y$ |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| \$11 | $=$ | $\$ 8$ | + | \$3 |
|  |  | $(870-862)$ |  |  |

Undelling montrad Iadingal So:
Occober 70pittadingatisil

## Even though an option's

 premium is always composed of its intrinsic value and its time value, one or both of these components can be zero. If the option has no intrinsic value, its price in the marketplace will consist solely of time value. If the option has no time value, its price will consist solely of intrinsic value. In the latter case, traders say that theoption is trading at parity. Although an option's intrinsic value can never be less than zero, it is possible for a European option to have a negative time value. (More about this in Chapter 16 when we look at the early exercise of American options.) When this happens, the option can trade for less than parity. Usually, however, an option's premium will reflect some
nonnegative amount of time value.

## In the Money, At the

 Money, and Out of the Money
## Depending <br> on <br> the

relationship
between
an
option's exercise price and the price of the underlying contract, options are said to

## be in the money, at the

 money, and out of the money. Any option that has a positive intrinsic value is said to be in the money by the amount of the intrinsic value. With a stock at $\$ 44$, a $\$ 40$ call is in the money by $\$ 4$. A $\$ 55$ put on the same stock is in the money by $\$ 11$. An option with no intrinsic value is said to be out of the money, and its price consists solely of time value. In order to be in themoney, a call must have an exercise price lower than the current price of the underlying contract, and a put must have an exercise price higher than the current price of the underlying contract. Note that if a call is in the money, a put with the same exercise price and underlying contract must be out of the money. Conversely, if the put is in the money, a call with the same exercise price must
be out of the money. In our examples with the stock at $\$ 44$, the $\$ 40$ put is out of the money by $\$ 4$ and the $\$ 55$ call is out of the money by $\$ 11$.

## Finally, an option whose

 exercise price is equal to the current price of the underlying contract is said to be at the money. Technically, such an option is also out of the money because it has no intrinsic value. Traders makethe distinction between at-the-money and out-of-themoney options because, as we shall see, at-the-money

often and have very specific characteristics, and desirable options tend to be the most actively traded. precise, for an option to be at the money, its exercise price must be exactly equal to the

## current price

# traded options, the term is 

 commonly applied to the call and put whose exercise price is closest to the current price of the underlying contract. With a stock at $\$ 74$ and $\$ 5$ between exercise prices ( $\$ 65$, $\$ 70, \$ 75, \$ 80$, etc.), the $\$ 75$ call and the $\$ 75$ put are the at-the-money options. Theseare the call and put options
with exercise prices closest to the current price of the underlying contract. In-, at-, and out-of-the-money options are outlined in Figure 3-3.

Figure 3-3 In-, at-, and out-of-themoney options.

## Cirex undeying pixe



## Automatic Exercise

## At expiration an in-the-

 money option will always have some intrinsic value. A trader can capture this value by either selling the option in the marketplace prior to expiration or exercising theoption and the When immediately
 position. traded introduced,
anyone
exchange-
underlying
to exercise an option was required to formally submit an exercise notice to the exchange. If someone forgot to submit an exercise notice for an in-the-money option, the option would expire unexercised, and the trader would lose the intrinsic value. This is an outcome that no rational person would accept.
Unfortunately, in the early days of option trading, this occurred occasionally for
various reasons: perhaps the trader was unaware that he was required to submit an exercise notice, perhaps the trader was out of communication with the exchange and was therefore unable to submit an exercise notice, or perhaps there was an error on the part of the clearing firm in processing the exercise notice.
To avoid a situation
where an in-the-money option expires unexercised, which would be an embarrassment to both the individual trader and the exchange, most exchanges have instituted an automatic exercise policy. The exchange will exercise on behalf of the option holder any in-the-money option at expiration, even if an exercise notice has
not
been
submitted.
The
criteria
for
automatic exercise may vary
from one exchange to another and may also vary depending on who holds the option. For example, because of transaction costs, it may not be economically worthwhile to exercise an option that is only very slightly in the money. Therefore, the exchange may automatically exercise only options that are in the money by some predetermined amount. If the automatic exercise threshold
is 0.05 , then an option must be in the money by at least 0.05 in order for the exchange to exercise the option. If the option is in the money by
0.03 , a trader may still exercise the option but must do so by submitting an exercise notice. On the opposite side, if the option is in the money by 0.06 , a trader who feels that the option is not worth exercising
may submit
a
do
not
exercise
notice. Otherwise, the exchange will automatically exercise the option on the trader's behalf.

# Because professional 

 traders and retail customers have different cost structures, the exchange may have a different automatic exercise threshold for each party. The threshold may be 0.05 for retail customers but only 0.02 for professionals.determine who is a professional trader and who is not, an exchange will usually specify the criteria necessary for inclusion in each
category.

Option Margining
Depending on the exchange and the type of
underlying contract, options can be subject to either stock-

# type settlement or futures- 

 type settlement. However, once an option trade is made, there are additional risks that the clearinghouse must consider. Is the risk to an option position limited or unlimited? If unlimited, how should the clearinghouse protect itself? When the risk of an option position is limited, the margin that must be depositedwith the clearinghouse will never be greater than the maximum risk to the position. The buyer of an option can never have risk greater than the premium paid for the option, and the clearinghouse will never require a margin deposit greater than this amount. Even if an option position is very complex, as long as there is a maximum risk to the position, there will also be a maximum margin
requirement.
Some option positions,
however, have unlimited risk. For such positions, the clearinghouse must consider the risk associated with a
wide
variety
of
outcomes. Once this is done, the clearinghouse can require a margin deposit commensurate with the perceived risk of the position. Unlike futures margining, where
clearinghouse sets a fixed margin deposit for each open futures position, there is no single method of determining the margin for a complex option position. However, all methods are risk-based, requiring an analysis of the position's risk under a broad range of market conditions. In the United States, the Options Clearing Corporation has developed its own riskedbased margining system for
stock and index options. The most widely used margining system on futures exchanges is the
Analysis Standard Portfolio
system
developed
Mercantile
by the
Chicago
of Risk
(SPAN)

Exchange. Both margining systems
create an
with

## outcomes

array
of possible respect to both the underlying price and the perceived speed with which the underlying
price can change. The
clearinghouse then uses this array to reasonable requirement. $\underline{5}$ determine
$\underline{1}$ One hundred shares is sometimes referred to as a round lot. An order to buy or sell fewer than 100 shares is an odd lot.
$\underline{2}$ Many exchanges also permit trading in flex options, where the buyer and seller may negotiate the contract specifications, including the quantity of the underlying, the expiration date, the exercise price, and the exercise style.
$\underline{3}^{3}$ In the early days of option trading, exchange-traded options often expired on a nonbusiness day, typically on a Saturday. This gave the exchange an extra day to process the paperwork associated with expiring options.
$\underline{4}^{\text {It does appear that the first options }}$
traded in the United States carried with them the right of early exercise-hence the term American option.
$\underline{5}$ A description of SPAN margining can be found at http://www.cmegroup.com/clearing/risk. management. A description of the riskbased margining system used by the Options Clearing Corporation can be found at http://www.optionsclearing.com/riskmanagement/margins/.

Profit and Loss

The trader who enters an option market for the first time may find himself
subjected to a form of
contract shock. Unlike a trader in equities or futures, whose choices are limited to a small number of instruments, an option trader must often deal with a bewildering assortment of contracts. With several expiration months, with multiple exercise prices available in each month, and with both calls and puts at each exercise price, it is not unusual for an option trader to be faced with what at first
seems like an overwhelming number of different contracts. With so many choices available, a trader needs some logical method of deciding which options actually represent profit opportunities. Which should he buy? Which should he sell? Which should he avoid? The choices are so numerous that a prospective option trader might be inclined to give up in frustration.

## To begin, a trader might

 ask a very obvious question: what is an option worth? The question may be obvious, but the answer, unfortunately, is not, because option prices can be affected by many different market forces. However, there is one time in an option's life when everyone ought to be able to agree on the option's value.At expiration, an option is worth exactly its intrinsic value:
zero if it is out of the money or the difference between the underlying price and the exercise price if it is in the money.

Following is a series of underlying prices and the value at expiration for two options, a $\$ 95$ call and $\$ 110$ put:

| 30 | 1 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 1 | 25 |
| 0 | 1 | 20 |
| 28 | 1 | 13 |
| 10 | 1 | 10 |

Undellying Pirce 950
105

| 110 | 15 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 115 | 20 | 0 |
| 120 | 2 | 0 |
| 10 | 30 | 0 |

## For the 95 call, if the underlying price at expiration

is 95 or below, the call is out of the money and therefore worthless. If, however, the underlying price rises above 95 , the 95 call will go into the money, gaining one point in value for each point that the underlying price rises above 95. For the 110 put, if the underlying price is 110 or above, the put is out of the money and therefore worthless. But if the underlying price falls below

110 , the 110 put goes into the money, gaining one point in value for each point decline in the underlying price.

## Parity Graphs

## For someone who has

 bought an option, the intrinsic value represents a credit, or positive value. The buyer of the option will be able to buy low and sell high. Forsomeone who has sold an option, the intrinsic value represents a debit, or negative value. The seller of the option will be forced to buy high and sell low. ca use an option's intrinsic value to draw a graph of the value of an option position at expiration as a function of the price of the underlying contract. Figure 4-1 shows such a graph for a long call position. Below the exercise
price, the option has no value. Above the exercise price, the option gains one point in value for each point increase in the underlying price.

Figure 4-1 Long call.


## Figure 4-2 shows the

value of a short call position at expiration. Now, if the option is in the money, the value of the position is negative. For every point the underlying rises above the exercise price, the position loses one point in value.

Figure 4-2 Short call.


## We can create the same

 type of expiration graphs for long and short put positions, as shown in Figures 4-3 and 4-4. For a long or short put, the value of the position is zero if the underlying price is above the exercise price. For a long put, the position gains one point for each point decline in the underlyingprice.
For a short
put, the position loses one point in
value for each point decline in the underlying price.

Figure 4-3 Long put.


## Figure 4-4 Short put.


put) as the hockey-stick diagrams.
The four basic parity
graphs highlight one of the
most important characteristics of option trading. Buyers of options have limited risk (they can never lose more than the price of the option) and unlimited profit potential. Sellers of options have limited profit potential (they can never make more than the price of the option) and unlimited risk. $\frac{1}{}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Given } \\
& \text { the } \quad \begin{array}{r}
\text { apparently } \\
\text { lanced }
\end{array} \\
& \text { risk-reward }
\end{aligned}
$$

unbalanced
tradeoff, new option traders tend to have the same reaction: why would anyone do anything other than buy options? The purchase of an option results in a position with limited risk and unlimited
profit, which certainly seems
more desirable than the limited profit and unlimited risk that result from the sale of an option. Yet, in every option market, there are traders who
are willing to sell options. Why are they willing to do this in the face of this apparently unbalanced riskreward tradeoff? The answer has to do with not just the best and worst that can happen but also with the likelihood of those occurrences. It's true that someone who sells an option is exposed to unlimited risk, but if the amount received for the option is great enough and
the perceived risk is low enough, a trader might be willing to take that risk. In later chapters we will see the very important role probability plays in option pricing.

## Slope

## From the parity graphs, we

 can see that if an option is out of the money, its value isunaffected by changes in the price of the underlying contract. If the option is in the money, it will either gain or lose value as the underlying price changes. The slope of the graph is the change in value of the option position with respect to changes in the price of the underlying contract, often expressed as a fraction
slope $=\frac{\text { change in position value }}{\text { change in underlying price }}$

We can summarize the slopes of the basic positions as follows:
postion

## 

## Laymaintemweyal



# Itawifitenmenyal <br> . 



## 

H

# In addition to parity graphs for individual options, 

we can also create parity graphs for positions consisting of multiple options by adding up the slopes of the individual options. Figure 4-5 is the parity graph of a position consisting of a long call and long put at the same exercise price. We
can calculate the total slopes as follows:
Bewh the rectisp pite 5008
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Figure 4-5 (a) Long call and long put at the same exercise price. (b) Combined position.


## The combined position will

 gain value if the underlyingprice moves in either direction away from the exercise price. The position is typical of many option strategies that may be sensitive to the magnitude of movement in the underlying contract rather than the direction of movement.

## Many option strategies

 involve combining optionswith the underlying contract, so we will also want to consider the slope of an underlying
position.
As
shown in Figure 4-6, the
slope of a long underlying position is always +1 , and the slope of a short underlying position is always -1 . The slopes are constant regardless of the underlying price. This is an important distinction between an option position and an underlying position.

Because of the insurance feature of an option, the parity graph of an option position will always bend at the exercise price.

Figure 4-6 Long and short underlying position.
Pasition value



## Figure 4-7 shows the

parity graph of a position that combines two long call options at the same exercise price and with a short underlying contract. Below the exercise price, the total slope is -1 ( 0 for the out-of-the-money calls, -1 for the short underlying). Above the exercise price, the total slope is $+1 \quad(+2$ for the in-themoney calls, -1 for the short

## underlying contract). This

 parity graph is identical to the position in Figure 4-5, which must mean that the same option strategy can be constructed in more than one way. This is an important characteristic of options that we will look at in more detail in Chapter 14 . Note also that the location of the underlying position is irrelevant to the parity graph. Regardless ofthe price of the underlying,
the slope is always either +1 for a long underlying position or -1 for a short underlying position.

Figure 4-7 (a) Long two calls and short an underlying contract. (b)
Combined position.


## Figure $4-8$ is the parity

 graph of a long call and short put at the same exercise price. Below the exercise price, the total slope is +1 ( 0 for the long out-of-the-money call, +1 for the short in-the-money put). Above the exercise price, the total slope is also $+1(+1$ for the in-the-money call, 0 for the out-of-themoney put). The slope of the entire position is always +1 ,
# exactly the same as a long 

 underlying contract.Figure 4-8 (a) Long call and short put at the same exercise price. (b) Combined position.


## If a position consists of

## many <br> different <br> contracts,

 includingunderlying
contracts and calls and puts over a wide range of exercise prices, the parity graph for the position may be quite complex. But the procedure for constructing the graph is always the same: determine the slopes of the graph below the lowest exercise price,
above the highest exercise
price, and between all the intermediate exercise prices, and then connect all the line segments.

Consider this position:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-4 underlying contracts } \\
& \text { t3 Gjcalls } \\
& \text { +2 } 70 \text { calls } \\
& -675 \text { calls } \\
& \text { +3 } 75 \mathrm{puls}
\end{aligned}
$$

## graph look like?

## To determine the slopes

of a complex position, it may be helpful to construct a table showing the slopes of the individual contracts over all intervals. We can then add up the individual slopes to get the total slope over each interval.

| Contrad | Beow65 | $65-70$ | $70-75$ | $75-80$ | Above80 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +365 calls | 0 | +3 | +3 | +3 | +3 |
| +2 65puts | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +2 70calls | 0 | 0 | +2 | +2 | +2 |
| -4 70puts | +4 | +4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6 | 75 calls | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 |
| -6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| +3 | 75 pults | -3 | -3 | -3 | 0 |
| +4 80calls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +4 |
| -2 80puts | +2 | +2 | +2 | +2 | 0 |
| -4 | Undelyingcontracts | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 |
| Total | -3 | +2 | 0 | -3 | -1 |

## The entire parity graph

is shown in Figure 4-9. Note that for this graph there is no $y$-axis. For complex graphs where options are bought and sold at many different exercise prices, it may not be possible to position the graph along the $y$-axis. Nevertheless, the parity graph tells us something about the characteristics of the position. Here we can see that the

## potential <br> downside, <br> profit <br> on <br> the potential loss on the upside, is unlimited.

Figure 4-9

## Position
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## Expiration Profit and

## Loss

A parity graph may tell us the characteristics of an option position at expiration, but an equally important consideration will be the profit or loss that results from the position. Whether the position makes or loses
money will depend on the
prices at which the contracts are bought and sold. The purchase of options will create a debit, whereas the sale of options will create a credit. For a simple option position, the expiration profit and loss (P\&L) graph will be the parity graph shifted downward by the amount of any debit or upward by the amount of any credit.

Consider the following

option prices with the underlying contract trading at a price of 98.00 :

|  | $k$ | \$ | 9 | 100 | 105 | 110 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal | 14.25 | 975 | 62) | 350 | 175 | 0.75 | 0.5 |
| Pits | 025 | 1.00 | 265 | 450 | 775 | 11.5 | 16.8 |

## Figure 4-10 shows the

 parity graph of a long 100 call position. If the option is purchased at a price of 3.50 ,
# we can construct <br> the <br> expiration P\&L graph by <br> shifting the entire parity graph down by this amount. If the underlying is anywhere below 100 at expiration, the option will be worthless, and the position will lose 3.50. With the underlying above 100, the slope of the graph is +1 ; the option will gain one point in value for each point increase in the price of the underlying. We can also see 

that there is a breakeven price at which the option position will be worth exactly 3.50 . Logically, this must occur at an underlying price of 103.50 .

Figure 4-10 Long a 100 call at a price of 3.50 .


## Figure 4-11 shows the

parity graph of a short 95 put position. If the option is sold at a price of 2.25 , we can construct the expiration P\&L graph by shifting the entire graph up by this amount. With an underlying price anywhere above 95 at expiration, the option will be worthless, and the position will show a profit of 2.25 . With
an
underlying price
below 95 , the slope of the graph is +1 ; the position will lose one point for each point decline in the price of the underlying. The breakeven price for the position is 92.75 , the price at which the 95 put will be worth exactly 2.25 .

Figure 4-11 Short a 95 put at a price of 2.25 .


## The relative expiration

value of long option positions at different exercise prices 95,100 , and $105-$ is shown in Figure 4-12. The same relative value for long put positions is shown in Figure 4-13. Calls with lower exercise prices have greater values (i.e., they enable the holder to buy at a lower
price), whereas puts with
higher exercise prices have
greater values (i.e., they enable the holder to sell at a higher price).

Figure 4-12 Long a 95 call -6.25 ; long a 100 call -3.50 ; long a 105 call 1.75 .
105 call


# Figure 4-13 Long a 95 put -2.25 ; long a 100 put -4.50 ; long a 105 put 7.75. 


For more complex
positions, it may not be immediately
clear
whether the position will result in a credit or debit. In this case, we can construct an expiration P\&L graph by first determining the slopes of the graph over all the intervals. Then we can calculate the P\&L at one point, and from this one P\&L point, we can use the slopes to determine

## the P\&L at all other points.

## Consider the following

position
Ppstion

## Cortactifice

+ $\%$ (al
(2)
- N Nat

115
2 1 1 Mp
717
\& Unediguartars
980

## The slopes of the position

## are

| Contec | Beinf 45 | 9\%. 9.15 | Abovel 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + 9\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| -1 1056 $0^{4}$ | 1 | 0 | - |
| -2105 puts | +4 | 4 | 1 |
| If Undelying coitrats | 2 | - | - 2 |
| Todal | 1 | H | $-1$ |

It is usually easiest to determine the P\&L at an exercise price, so let's use 95. The P\&L at an underlying price of 95 is

entire expiration P\&L graph for the position. Below 95, the slope of the graph is 0 , so the P\&L is always -3.00 . Between 95 and 105, the slope is +1 , so the $P \& L$ at 105 (10 points higher) is $3.00+10.00=+7.00$. Above 105 , the slope is -2 , with the position losing one point for each point increase in the price of the underlying.

Figure 4-14

| $\begin{aligned} & +195 \mathrm{cal}-6.25 \\ & -1105 \mathrm{cal}-1.75 \\ & -2105 \text { puts }-7.75 \\ & -2 \text { underfyng contracts }-98.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## The position has two

 breakeven prices, one between 95 and 105 and one above 105. With a P\&L of 3.00 at 95 and a slope of +1 between 95 and 105, the first breakeven is$$
\begin{aligned}
& 95.00+(3.00 / 1)=98.00 \\
& \text { With a P\&L of }+7.00 \text { at } \\
& 105 \text { and a slope above } 105 \text { of } \\
& -2, \text { the second breakeven is }
\end{aligned}
$$

## $105.00+(7.00 / 2)=108.50$

## Finally, let's go back to

parity-graph position shown in Figure 4-9. Suppose that we are told that at expiration with an underlying price of 62.00 the position will show a profit of 2.10 . What will be the P\&L at expiration if the underlying price is 81.50 ? Using the slopes, we can work our way from 62.00 to 81.50

##  Dinginimin 


63 $)^{1000}$
$-64)$
4
$-69+(2 x y)=350$


$$
\text { MDTD } 0-110 \quad-1 \quad-110-1 x \mid 50=-13,4
$$

# At an underlying price of 81.50 , the position will show 

a loss of 13.40 . We can also see that there are three breakeven prices for the position:

All critical points for the position are shown in Figure 4-15.

Figure 4-15

## Position

-4 under
+365 cal
+270 cal
-575 cat
+380 cal

Slopes

## Below 65

Between 65 and 70 +2
$-3$
Between70anc 75 0
Between 75 and $80-3$
Above $80 \quad-1$
$65 \quad 70 \quad 75 \quad 80$
81.50
$1^{1}$ Admittedly, in traditional stock and commodity markets, a put does not represent unlimited profit potential to the buyer nor unlimited risk to the seller because the underlying contract cannot fall below zero. But for practical purposes most traders think of both calls and puts as having unlimited potential value.

## Theoretical

## Pricing Models

In Chapter 4, we considered the value of an option and the profit or loss resulting from an option

# strategy at the moment of expiration. From the expiration which an underlying contract 

 moves can be an important consideration in choosing an option strategy. A trader who believes that the underlying market will rise will be more inclined either to buy calls or sell puts. A trader who believes that the underlyingmarket will fall will be more inclined either to buy puts or sell calls. In each case, the directional movement in the underlying market will increase the likelihood that the strategy will be profitable.
However,
an
option trader has an additional problem that we might call the "speed" of the market. If we ignore interest and dividend considerations,
trader who believes that a stock will rise in price within a specified period can be reasonably certain of making a profit if he is right. He can simply buy the stock, wait for it to reach his target price, and then sell the stock at a profit.

## The situation is not quite

 so simple for an option trader. Suppose that a trader believes that a stock will rise in pricefrom $\$ 100$, its present price, to $\$ 115$ within the next five months. Suppose also that a $\$ 110$ call expiring in three months is available at a price of $\$ 2$. If the stock rises to $\$ 115$ by expiration, the purchase of the $\$ 110$ call will result in a profit of $\$ 3$ (\$5 intrinsic value minus the $\$ 2$ cost of the option). But is this profit a certainty? What will happen if the price of the
stock remains below $\$ 110$ for

## the next three months and

 only reaches $\$ 115$ after the option expires? Then the option will expire worthless, and the trader will lose his \$2 investment.
## Perhaps the trader would

 do better to purchase a $\$ 110$ call that expires in six months rather than three months. Now he can be certain that when the stock reaches $\$ 115$, the call will be worth at least$\$ 5$ in intrinsic value. But what if the price of the sixmonth option is $\$ 6$ ? In this case, the trader still might show a loss. Even if the underlying stock reaches the target price of $\$ 115$, there is no guarantee that the $\$ 110$ call will ever be worth more than its $\$ 5$ intrinsic value.
A trader in an
market is underlying interested almost exclusively

## in the direction in which the

 market will move. Although an option trader is also sensitive give some thought to how fast the market is likely to move. If a trader in the underlying stock and an option trader in the same market take long market positions in their respective instruments and the market does in fact move higher, the stock trader isassured of a profit, while the option trader may show a loss. If the market fails to move sufficiently fast, the favorable directional move may not be enough to offset the option's loss in time value. A speculator will often buy options for their seemingly favorable riskreward characteristics (limited risk, unlimited reward), but if he purchases options, not only must he be
right about market direction, he must also be right about market speed. Only if he is right on both counts can he expect to make a profit. If predicting the correct market direction is difficult, correctly predicting direction and speed is probably beyond most traders' capabilities.

The concept of speed is crucial in trading options.
Indeed, many option
strategies depend only on the speed of the underlying market and not at all on its direction. If a trader is highly proficient at predicting directional moves in the underlying market, he is probably better advised to trade the underlying instrument. Only when a trader has some feel for the speed component can he hope to successful
option market.

## The importance of Probability

## One can never be certain

 about future market conditions, so almost all trading decisions are based on some estimate of probability. Weoften express

# evaluation we need to be 

 more specific. We need to define probability in way that will enable us to do the types of calculations required to make intelligent decisions in the marketplace. If we can do this, we will find that probability and the choice of strategy go hand in hand. If a trader believes that a strategy has a very high probability of profit and a very low probability of loss, he will besatisfied with a small potential profit because the profit is likely to be quite secure. On the other hand, if the probability of profit is very low, the trader will demand a large profit when market conditions develop favorably. Because of the importance of probability in the decision-making process, it will be worthwhile to
consider some simple probability concepts.

## Expected Value

Suppose that we are given the opportunity to roll a sixsided die, and each time we roll, we will be paid a dollar amount equal to the number that comes up. If we roll a one, we are paid $\$ 1$; if we roll a two, we are paid $\$ 2$; and so on up to six, in which case we are paid $\$ 6$. If we are given the opportunity to roll the die an infinite number of times,
on average, how much do we expect to receive per roll?

We can calculate the
answer using some simple arithmetic.

There
are six possible numbers, each with equal probability. If we add up the six possible outcomes $1+2+3+4+5+6=21$ and divide this by the six faces on the die, we get $21 / 6=31 / 2$. That is, on average, we can expect to get back $\$ 31 / 2$ each
time we roll the die. This is
pay for the privilege of
rolling the die, what is a reasonable price? If we purchase the chance to roll the die for less than $\$ 31 / 2$, in the long run, we expect to show a profit. If we pay more than $\$ 31 / 2$, in the long run, we expect to show a loss. And if we pay exactly $\$ 31 / 2$, we
expect to break even. Note
the qualifying phrase in the long run. The expected value of $\$ 31 / 2$ is realistic only if we are allowed to roll the die many, many times. If we are allowed to roll only once, we cannot be certain of getting back $\$ 31 / 2$. Indeed, on any one roll, it is impossible to get back $\$ 31 / 2$ because no face of the die has exactly $31 / 2$ spots. Nevertheless, if we pay less than $\$ 31 / 2$ for even one roll of the die, the laws of
probability are on our side because we have paid less than the expected value. In a similar vein, consider a roulette bet. The roulette wheel has 38 slots, numbers 1 through 36 and 0 and $00 .{ }^{1}$ Suppose that a casino allows a player to choose a number. If the player's number comes up, he receives $\$ 36$; if any other number comes up, he receives

## nothing. What is the expected

 value for this proposition? There are 38 slots on the roulette wheel, each with equal probability, but only one slot will return $\$ 36$ to the player. If we divide the one outcome where the player wins $\$ 36$ by the 38 slots on the wheel, the result is $\$ 36 / 38$ $=\$ 0.9474$, or about 95 cents. A player who pays 95 cents for the privilege of picking a number at the roulette table
## can expect to approximately

 break even in the long run.Of course, no casino will
let a player buy such a bet for to pay more than the expected return, typically $\$ 1$. The 5cent difference between the $\$ 1$ price of the bet and the $95-$
cent expected value represents the profit potential, or edge, to the casino. In the long run, for every dollar bet at the roulette table, the casino can expect to keep about 5 cents.
Given
the
preceding
conditions,
any
player interested in making a profit would rather switch places with the casino. Then he would have a 5 -cent edge on
his side by selling bets worth 95 cents for $\$ 1$. Alternatively, the player would like to find a casino where he could purchase the bet for less than its expected value of 95 cents, perhaps 88 cents. Then the player would have a 7-cent edge over the casino.

## Theoretical Value

The theoretical value of a
proposition is the price one would be willing to pay now to just break even in the long run. Thus far, the only factor we have considered in determining the value of a proposition is the expected value. We used this concept to calculate the 95 -cent fair price for the roulette bet.
Suppose that in our
roulette example the casino decides to
change
the
conditions slightly. The player may now purchase the roulette bet for its expected value of 95 cents, and as before, if he loses, the casino will immediately collect his 95 cents. Under the new conditions, however, if the player wins, the casino will send him his $\$ 36$ winnings in two months. Will both the
player and the casino still break
even
on
the
proposition?

Where did the player get the 95 cents that he bet at the roulette wheel? In the immediate sense,

hemay have taken it out of his pocket. But a closer examination may reveal that he withdrew the money from his bank prior to visiting the casino. Because he won't receive his winnings for two months, he will have to take into consideration the two months of interest he would
have earned had he left the 95 cents in the bank. The theoretical value of the bet is really the present value of its expected value, the 95 cents expected value discounted by interest. If interest rates are 12 percent annually, the theoretical value is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 95 \text { cents } /(1+0.12 \times 2 / 12) \approx \\
& 93 \text { cents } \\
& \text { Even if the player }
\end{aligned}
$$

# purchases the bet for its 

 expected return of 95 cents, he will still lose 2 cents because of the interest that he could have earned for two months if he had left his money in the bank. The casino, on the other hand, will take the 95 cents, put it in an interest-bearing account, and at the end of two months collect 2 cents in interest. Under the new conditions, if a player pays 93 cents for the
## roulette bet today and

 receives his winnings in two months, neither he nor the casino can expect to make any profit in the long run.
## The two most common

 considerations in option evaluation are the expected return and interest. There may, however, be other considerations. Suppose that the player is a good client, and the casino decides to sendhim a 1-cent bonus a month from now. He can add this additional payment to the previous theoretical value of 93 cents to get a new theoretical value of 94 cents. This is similar to the dividend paid to owners of stock in a company. In fact, dividends can be an additional consideration in evaluating both stock and options on stock.

# If a casino is selling 

 roulette bets that have an expected value of 95 cents for a price of $\$ 1$, does this guarantee that the casino will make a profit? It does if the casino can be certain of staying in business for the 'long run'" because over long periods of time the good and bad luck will tend to even out. Unfortunately, before the casino reaches the long run, it must survive the short run.It's possible that someone can walk up to the roulette wheel, make a series of bets, and have their number come up 20 times in succession. Clearly, this is very unlikely, but the laws of probability say that it could happen. If the player's good luck results in the casino going out of business, the casino will never reach the long run. The goal of option

## evaluation is to determine,

 through the use of a theoretical pricing model, the theoretical value of an option. The trader can then make an intelligent decision whether the price of the option in the marketplace is either too low or too high and whether the theoretical edge is sufficient to justify making a trade. But determining the theoreticalvalue is
theoretical value is based on the laws of probability, which are only reliable in the long run, the trader must also consider the question of risk. Even if a trader has correctly calculated an option's theoretical value, how will he control the short-term bad luck that goes with any probability calculation? We shall see that in the real world, an option's theoretical value iS always
to
question. For this reason, a trader's ability to manage risk is at least as important as his ability to calculate
theoretical value.

A Word on Models
What is a model? We can think of a model as a scaleddown or more easily managed representation of the real world. The model may be a

## physical one, such as a model

 airplane or architectural model, may be a mathematical one, such as a formula. In each case, we use the model to better understand the world around us. However, it is unwise, and sometimes dangerous, to assume that the model and the real world that it represents are identical in every way. We may have an excellent model, but it is unlikely to be
an exact replica of the real world.

## All models, if they are to

be effective, require us to make certain prior assumptions about the real world. Mathematical models require the input of numbers that quantify these assumptions. If we feed incorrect data into a model, we can expect an incorrect representation of the real
world. As every model user knows, 'Garbage in, garbage out." $\begin{array}{cc}\text { These } & \text { general } \\ \text { observations about models }\end{array}$ are no less true for option pricing models. An option model is only someone's idea of how an option might be evaluated under certain conditions. Because either the model itself or the data that we feed into the model might

# be incorrect, there is no 

 guarantee that modelgenerated values will be accurate. Nor can we be sure that these values will bear any logical resemblance to actual prices in the marketplace.
## A new option trader is

 like someone entering a dark room for the first time. Without any guidance, he may grope around, hoping that he eventually finds whathe is looking for. The trader who is armed with a basic understanding of theoretical pricing models enters the same room with a candle. He can make out the general layout of the room, but the dimness of the candle prevents him from distinguishing every detail. Moreover, some of what he sees may be distorted by the flickering of the candle. In spite of these limitations, a

# trader is more likely to find what he is looking for with a small candle than with no illumination at all. $\underline{2}$ 

 The real problems with theoretical pricing models arise after the trader has acquired some sophistication. As he gains confidence, he may begin to increase the size of his trades. When this happens, his inability to make out every detail in the room,as well as the distortions caused by the flickering candle flame, misinterpretation of what he thinks he sees can lead to financial disaster because any error in judgment will be greatly magnified. The sensible approach is to make use of a model, but with a full awareness of what it can and cannot do. Option
traders will find that a theoretical pricing model is an invaluable understanding the pricing of options. Because tool insights gained from a model, the great majority of successful option traders rely on some type of theoretical pricing model. However, an option trader, if he is to make the best use of a theoretical pricing model, must be aware of its limitations as well as its
strengths. Otherwise, he may be no better off than the trader groping in the dark. $\underline{3}$ A Simple Approach

How might we adapt the concepts of expected value and theoretical value to the pricing of options? Consider an underlying contract that at expiration can take on one of five different prices: $\$ 80$,

# $\$ 90, \quad \$ 100, \quad \$ 110, \quad$ or $\quad \$ 120$ 

 Assume, moreover, that each of the five prices is equally likely with 20 percent probability. The prices and probabilitiesare
shown
Figure 5-1.
Figure 5-1


What will be the expected value<br>for<br>this<br>contract at expiration? Twenty percent of the time, the contract will be worth

$\$ 80 ; 20$ percent of the time, the contract will be worth $\$ 90$; and so on, up to the 20 percent of the time, the contract is worth $\$ 120$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
(20 \% \times \$ 80)+(20 \% \times \$ 90)+ \\
(20 \% \times 100)+(20 \% \times \$ 110) \\
+(20 \% \times \$ 120)=\$ 100
\end{gathered}
$$

At expiration, the expected value for the contract is $\$ 100$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Now consider the } \\
\text { expected value of a } 100 \text { call }
\end{gathered}
$$

## using the same underlying

 prices and probabilities. We can more easily see the value of the call by overlaying the parity graph for the call on our probability distribution. This has been done in Figure 5-2. If the underlying contract is at $\$ 80, \$ 90$, or $\$ 100$, the call is worthless. If, however, the underlying contract is at $\$ 110$ or $\$ 120$, the option will be worth its intrinsic value of $\$ 10$ and $\$ 20$, respectively:$$
\begin{gathered}
(20 \% \times 0)+(20 \% \times 0)+ \\
(20 \% \times 0)+(20 \% \times \$ 10)+ \\
(20 \% \times \$ 20)=\$ 6
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 5-2


## If we want to develop a

 theoretical pricing model using this approach, we might propose a series of possible prices and probabilities for the underlying contract at expiration. Then, given an exercise price, we can calculate the intrinsic value of the option at each underlying price, multiply this value by its associated probability, add up all these numbers, andthereby obtain an expected value for the option. The expected value for a call at expiration is

where each $S_{i}$ is a possible underlying price

## for a put is



In the foregoing example, we used a simple scenario with only five possible price outcomes, each with identical probability. Obviously, this is not very realistic. What changes might we make to develop a model that more accurately reflects
the real world? For one thing, we need to know the settlement procedure for the option. If the option is subject to stock-type settlement, we must pay the full price of the option. If the 100 call has an expected value of $\$ 6$ at expiration, the theoretical value will be the present value of this amount. If interest rates are 12 percent annually
(1
percent
per
month) and the option will

# expire in two months, the 

 theoretical value of the option is

What other factors might we consider? We assumed that all five price outcomes were equally likely. Is this a realistic assumption? Suppose that you were told that only two prices were possible at

# expiration, $\$ 110$ and $\$ 250$. If 

 the current price of the underlying contract is close to $\$ 100$, which do you think is more likely? Experience suggests that extreme price changes that are far away from today's price are less likely than small changes that remain close to today's price. For this reason, $\$ 110$ is more likely than $\$ 250$. Perhaps our probability distribution ought to
# concentrating 

# $(0 \% \times 0)+(20 \% \times 0)+(0 \%$ 

 $\times 0)+(20 \% \times \$ 10)+(10 \% \times$$$
\$ 20)=\$ 4
$$

Figure 5-3


## If, as before, the option is

subject to stock-type
settlement,
the theoretical value is


Note that the new probabilities did not change the expected value for the underlying contract. Because the probabilities
symmetrical around $\$ 100$, the expected value for the underlying contract expiration is still $\$ 100$. No matter how we assign probabilities, we will want to do so in such a way that the expected value for the underlying
contract represents the most likely, or average, value at expiration. What is the most likely future value for the underlying
contract? In fact, there is no way to know. But we might ask what the marketplace thinks the most likely value is. Recall what would happen if the theoretical forward price were different from the actual price of a forward contract in the marketplace. Everyone would execute an arbitrage by either buying or selling the forward contract and taking the opposite position in the cash market.

In a sense, the marketplace must think that the forward price is the most likely future value for the underlying contract. If we assume that the underlying market is arbitrage-free, the expected value for the underlying contract must be equal to the forward price.
Suppose in our example that the underlying contract is a stock that is currently

## trading at $\$ 100$ and that pays

 no dividend prior to expiration. The two-month forward price for the stock is$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 100 \times[1+(0.12 \times 2 / 12)]= \\
\$ 100 \times 1.02=\$ 102 \\
\text { If } \$ 102 \text { is the expected }
\end{gathered}
$$ value for the stock, instead of assigning the probabilities symmetrically around $\$ 100$, we may want to assign them symmetrically around $\$ 102$.

This distribution is shown in Figure 5-4. Now the expected value for the 100 call is

$$
\begin{gathered}
(10 \% \times 0)+(20 \% \times 0)+ \\
(40 \% \times \$ 2)+(20 \% \times \$ 12)+ \\
(10 \% \times \$ 22)=\$ 5.40
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 5-4


# and the theoretical value is 



## In the examples thus far,

we have assumed a
symmetrical
probability
distribution. But as long as the expected value is equal to the forward price, there is no requirement that the probabilities be assigned symmetrically.
Figure
5-5
shows a distribution where the price outcomes are neither centered around the forward price nor are the probabilities symmetrical. Nonetheless, the expected value for the underlying contract is still equal to $\$ 102$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(6 \% \times 83)+(15 \% \times 90)+ \\
(39 \% \times \$ 99)+(33 \% \times \$ 110) \\
+(7 \% \times \$ 123)=4.98+13.5 \\
+38.61+36.30+8.61= \\
\$ 102
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 5-5


## Using these probabilities,

 the theoretical value of the 100 call is

The forward price of the underlying contract plays a central role in all option pricing models. For European options, the current price of the underlying contract
is
important only insofar as it can be turned into a forward price. Because of this, traders sometimes make the
distinction
between
options
that are at the money (the exercise price is equal to the current underlying price) and options which are at the forward (the exercise price is equal to the forward price at expiration). In many markets, at-the-forward options are the most actively traded, and
such options are often used by traders as a benchmark for evaluating and trading other options.

## Even if we assume an

arbitrage-free market in the underlying contract, we still have a major hurdle to overcome. In our simplified model, we assumed that there were only five possible price outcomes. In the real world, however, there are an infinite

# number of possibilities. To 

 enable our model to more closely approximate the real world, we would like toconstruct
a
probability
distribution
with every
possible
price
outcome together with its associated probability. This may seem an insurmountable obstacle, but we will see in subsequent chapters how we might approximate such

## We can now summarize

## the necessary steps

 developing a model:1. Propose a series
of possible prices at expiration for the underlying contract.
2. 

Assign
a probability to each possible price with the restriction that the underlying market is arbitrage-
free-the expected value for the underlying contract must be equal to the forward price.
3. From the prices and probabilities in steps 1 and 2, and from the chosen exercise price, calculate the expected value of the option.
4.

## The Black-Scholes <br> Model

One of the first attempts to describe traded options in
detail was a pamphlet written by Charles Castelli and published in London in 1877, "The Theory of Options in Stocks and Shares." $\underline{4}$ This pamphlet included a description of some commonly used hedging and trading strategies such as the "call-of-more" and the "call-and-put." Today, these strategies are known as
covered-write and a straddle.

## The origins of modern

option pricing theory are most often ascribed to the year 1900, when French mathematician Louis Bachelier published The Theory of Speculation, the first attempt to use higher mathematics to price option contracts. 5

Although
Bachelier's
treatise was
an interesting academic study, it resulted in little practical

# application because there were no organized option markets at that time. 

 However, in 1973, concurrent with the opening of the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Fischer Black, at the time associated with the University of Chicago, and Myron Scholes, associated with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, built on the work of Bachelier and other academics to introduce
## the first practical theoretical

 pricing model for options. 6
# number <br> of 

# other <br> models <br> have 

 subsequently been introduced to overcome some of its
# original weaknesses, <br> the 

 Black-Scholes model remains the most widely used of all option pricing models. In its original form, the
## Black-Scholes

 model was intended to evaluate European options (no early exercise permitted) on non-dividend-paying stocks. Shortly after its introduction, realizing that many stocks pay dividends, Black and
# Scholes added a dividend component. In 1976, Fischer Black made slight 

 modifications to the model to allow for the evaluation of options on futures contracts. 8 In 1983, Mark Garman and Steven Kohlhagen of the University of California at Berkeley made additional modifications to allow for the evaluationof options
on foreign
currencies. ${ }^{9}$

## futures version and the

foreign-currency version are known formally as the Black model and the GarmanKohlhagen model, respectively. However, the evaluation method in each variation, whether the original Black-Scholes model for stock options, the Black model for futures options, or the Garman-Kohlhagen model for foreign currency options, is so similar that they
have all come to be known simply as the Black-Scholes model. The various forms of the model differ only in how they calculate the forward price of the underlying contract and the settlement procedure for the options. An option trader will simply choose the form appropriate to the options and underlying instrument in which he is interested.

Given its widespread use and its importance in the development of other pricing models, we will, for the moment, restrict ourselves to a discussion of the BlackScholes model and its various forms. In later chapters we will consider the question of early exercise. We will also look at alternative methods for pricing options when we question some of the basic assumptions in the Black-

## Scholes model.

## The reasoning that led to

the development of the Black-Scholes model 1S similar to the simple approach we took earlier in this chapter for evaluating options. Black and Scholes worked originally with call values, but put values can be derived in much the same way. Alternatively, we will see later that for European
options there is a unique pricing relationship between an underlying contract and a call and put with the same exercise price and expiration date. This relationship will enable us to derive a put value from the companion call value or a call value from the companion put value. To calculate an option's theoretical value using the Black-Scholes model, we
need to know, at a minimum, five characteristics of the
option
its
underlying contract:

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
1 . & \text { The option's } \\
\text { exercise price } \\
2 . & \text { The } & \text { time } \\
\text { remaining } & \text { to } \\
\text { expiration } \\
3 . & \text { The current } \\
\text { price of } & \text { the } \\
\text { underlying contract }
\end{array}
$$

> 4. The applicable interest rate over the life of the option 5. The volatility of the underlying contract

## The last input, volatility,

 may be unfamiliar to a new trader. While we will put off a detailed discussion of this input to Chapter 6, from our previous discussion, we canreasonably infer that volatility is related to either the speed of the underlying market or the probabilities of different price outcomes.

## If we know each of the

 required inputs, we can feed them into the theoretical pricing model and thereby generate a theoretical value (see Figure 5-6).
## Figure 5-6



## Black and Scholes also

 incorporated into their model the concept of a riskless hedge. For every option position, thereis
a
theoretically
equivalent position in the underlying contract such that, for small price changes in the underlying
contract,
the
option position will gain or lose value at exactly the same rate as the underlying

## position. To take advantage

 of a theoretically mispriced option, it is necessary to establish this riskless hedge by offsetting the option position with a theoretically equivalent underlying position. That is, whatever option position we take, we must take an opposing market position in the underlying contract. The correct proportion of underlying contracts needed to establish
# this riskless hedge 

determined by the option's hedge ratio. Why is it necessary to establish a riskless hedge? Recall that in our simplified approach, an option's theoretical value depended on the probability of various
price of the underlying
contract changes, the
probability of each outcome will also change. If the underlying price is currently $\$ 100$ and we assign a 25 percent probability to $\$ 120$, we might drop the probability for $\$ 120$ to 10 percent if the price of the underlying contract falls to $\$ 90$. By initially establishing a riskless hedge and then adjusting the hedge as market conditions change, we are taking into consideration these changing

## probabilities.

In this sense, an option
can be thought of as a substitute for a position in the underlying contract. A call is a substitute for a long position; a put is a substitute for a short position. Whether the substitute position is better than an outright position in the underlying contract depends on the theoretical value of the option
compared with its price in the marketplace. If a call can be purchased for less than its theoretical value or a put can be sold for more than its
value, in the long run, it will be more profitable to take a long market position by purchasing calls or selling puts than by purchasing the underlying contract. In the same way, if a put can be purchased for less than its theoretical value or a call can
be sold for more than its value, in the long run, it will be more profitable to take a short market position

# purchasing puts or selling 

calls than by selling the underlying contract.

In later chapters we will
discuss the concept
of
a riskless hedge in greater detail. For now, we simply summarize the four basic option positions,

# corresponding positions, and the appropriate hedges: 

## 



(4)
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## For new traders, it may

be helpful to point out that we are always doing the opposite with calls and the underlying (i.e., buy calls, sell the underlying; sell calls, buy the underlying) and doing the same with puts and the underlying (i.e., buy puts, buy the underlying; sell puts, sell the underlying). Especially with puts, more than a few new traders have initially done it backwards, buying

# puts selling 

 underlying or selling puts and buying the underlying. This, of course, is no hedge at all. Because the theoretical value obtained from theoretical pricing model is no better than the inputs into the model, a few comments on each of the inputs will be worthwhile.
## Exercise Price

## There should never be any

 doubt about the exercise price of an option because it is fixed under the terms of the contract and does not vary over the life of the option. 10 A March 60 call cannot suddenly turn into a March 55 call. A September 100 put cannot turn into a September 110 put.
## Time to Expiration

 As with the exercise price, an option's expiration date is fixed and will not vary. A March 60 call will not suddenly turn into an April 60 call, nor will a September 100 put turn into an August 100 put. Of course, each day that passes brings us closer to expiration, so in this sense the time to expiration is constantly growing shorter.However, the expiration date, like the exercise price, is fixed by the exchange and will not change. In financial models, one year is typically the standard unit of time. Therefore, time to expiration is entered into the Black-Scholes model as an annualized number. If we express time in terms of days, we must make the appropriate adjustment by dividing the
number of days to expiration by 365. However, most option-evaluation computer programs already have this transformation incorporated into the software, so we need only enter the correct number of days remaining to expiration.
It may seem that we
have a problem in deciding what number of days to enter into the model. We need the
amount of time remaining to expiration for two purposes: (1)
likelihood of price movement in the underlying contract and (2) to make interest
calculations. For the former, we are only interested in days on which the price of the underlying contract can change. For exchange-traded contracts, this can only occur on business days. This might lead us to drop weekends and

# holidays 

# purposes, we must include 

 every day. If we borrow or lend money, we expect interest to accrue every day, no matter that some of those days are not business days. However, this is not really a problem. In determining the likelihood of price movementunderlying

# observe 

# because <br> these are the only 

 days on which price changes can occur. Then we scale these values to an annualized number before feeding it into the theoretical pricing model. The result is that we can feed into our model the actual number of days remaining to expiration, knowing that the model will interpret all inputs correctly.
## Although

typically
express expiration in days, a trader may want to use a different measure. Especially trader may prefer to use hours or even minutes. In theory, finer time increments should yield more accurate values. But there is a practical limitation to using very small increments of time. As time passes,
discrete
increments of time we feed into a theoretical pricing model may not accurately represent the continuous passage of time in the real world. Most traders have
learned through experience that as expiration approaches, the use of a theoretical pricing model becomes less reliable because the inputs become less reliable. Indeed, very close to expiration, many traders stop using

# model-generated altogether. 

## Underlying Price

Unlike the exercise price and time to expiration, the correct price of the underlying contract is not always obvious. At any one time, there is a bid price and an ask price (the bid-ask spread), and it may not be
clear whether we ought to use one or the other of these prices or perhaps some price in between.

## Consider an underlying

 market where the last trade price was 75.25 but that is currently displaying the following bid-ask spread:$$
75.20-75.40
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If a trader is using a } \\
& \text { theoretical pricing model to }
\end{aligned}
$$

## evaluate options on this

 market, what price should he feed into the model? One possibility is 72.25, the last trade price. Another possibility might be 75.30, the midpoint of the bid-ask spread.
## Even though <br> we <br> are

 focusing on the use oftheoretical pricing models, we should emphasize that there is no law that says a
trader must make any decisions based On Or consistent with a theoretical pricing model. A trader can simply buy or sell options and hope that the trade turns out favorably. But a disciplined trader who uses a pricing model knows that he is required to hedge the option position by taking
an
opposing market position in the underlying
contract.
Therefore, the underlying

## price that he feeds into the theoretical <br> model

 ought to be the price at which he believes he can make the opposing trade. If the trader intends to purchase calls or sell puts, both of which are long market positions, he will hedge by selling the underlying contract. In this case, he will want to use something close to the bid price because that is the price at which he can probably sellthe underlying. On the other hand, if the trader intends to sell calls or buy puts, both of which are short market positions, he will hedge by purchasing the underlying contract. Now he will want to use something close to the ask price because that is the price at which he can probably buy the underlying. In practice, if the underlying market is very
liquid, with a narrow bid-ask spread and many contracts available at each price, a trader who must make a quick decision may very well use a price close to the midpoint because that probably represents

# price, the trader must give 

 extra thought the quoted prices.
## Interest Rates

## Because an option trade may result in either a cash

credit or debit to a trader's account, the interest considerations resulting from this cash flow must also play a role in option evaluation. This is a function of interest rates over the life of the option.

Interest rates play two roles in the theoretical evaluation of options. First, they may affect the forward price of the underlying
contract. If the underlying contract is subject to stocktype settlement, as we raise interest rates, we raise the forward price, increasing the value of calls and decreasing the value of puts. Second, interest rates may affect the present value of the option. If the option is subject to stocktype settlement, as we raise interest rates, we reduce the present value of the option. Although interest rates may
affect both the forward price and the present value, in most cases, the same rate is applicable, and we need only input one interest rate into the model. If, however, different rates are applicable, as would be the case with foreigncurrency options (the foreigncurrency interest rate plays one role, and the domesticcurrency interest rate plays a different role), the model will require the input of two
interest rates. This is the case with the Garman-Kohlhagen version of the Black-Scholes model.

What interest rate should a trader use when evaluating options? Textbooks often suggest using the risk-free rate, the rate that applies to the most creditworthy borrower. In most markets, the government is considered the most secure borrower of

# funds, so the yield on a 

 government security with a maturity equivalent to the life of the option is the general benchmark. For a 60-day option denominated in dollars, we might use the yield on a 60-day U.S. Treasury bill; for a 180-day option, we might use the yield on a 180-day U.S. Treasury bill.In practice, no individual
can borrow or lend at the same rate as the government, so it seems unrealistic to use the risk-free rate. To determine a more realistic rate, a trader might look to a freely traded market in interest-rate contracts. In this respect, traders often use either the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)11 or the Eurocurrency markets to determine the applicable rate.

# For <br> dollar-denominated options, Eurodollar futures traded at the Chicago Mercantile Exchange <br> often used to determine a benchmark interest rate. 

 The situation is further complicated by the fact that most traders do not borrow and lend at the same rate, so the correct interest rate will, in theory, depend on whether the trade will create a creditor a debit. In the former case, the trader will be interested in the borrowing rate; in the latter case, he will be interested in the lending rate. However, among the inputs into the model-the underlying price, time to expiration, interest rates, and volatility-interest rates tend to play the least important role. Using a rate that "makes sense" is usually a reasonable solution. Of course, for very
large positions or for very long-term options, small changes in the interest rate can have a large impact. But for most traders, getting the interest rate exactly right is usually not a major consideration.

## Dividends

We did not list dividends as a model input in Figure 5-5
because they are only a factor in the theoretical evaluation of stock options and then only if the stock is expected to pay a dividend over the life of the option. In order to evaluate a stock option, the model must accurately calculate the forward price for the stock. This requires us to estimate
both
the
amount
of
the
dividend
and
the
date
on
which the dividend will be
paid. In practice, rather than
using the date of dividend payment, an option trader is likely to focus on the exdividend date, the date on which the stock is trading without the rights to the dividend. The exact dividend payment date is important in calculating the interest that can be earned on the dividend payment and thereby
calculating a more accurate forward price. But for a trader ownership of the stock in
order to receive the dividend is the primary consideration. A deeply in-the-money option may have many of the same characteristics as stock, but only ownership of the stock carries with it the rights to the dividend.

## In the absence of other

 information, most traders assume that a company is likely to continue its past dividend policy. If a companyhas been paying a 75-cent dividend each quarter, it will probably continue to do so. However, until the company officially
declares
the
dividend,
this
1S
not
a
certainty.
increase
A
company
may
dividend
or reduce its completely. If there is the possibility of a change in a company's dividend policy, a trader must consider its impact on option values.

Additionally, if the ex-
dividend date is expected just prior to expiration, a delay of several days will cause the ex-dividend date to fall after expiration. For purposes of option evaluation, this is the same as eliminating the dividend entirely. In such a situation, a trader will need to make a special effort to ascertain th
dividend date.

## Volatility

## Of all the inputs required

 for option evaluation, volatility is the most difficult for traders to understand. At the same time, volatility often plays the most important role in actual trading decisions. Changes in our assumptions about volatility can have a dramatic effect on an option's value. And the manner in which the marketplaceassesses volatility can have an equally dramatic effect on an option's price. For these reasons, we will begin a detailed discussion of volatility in Chapter 6.

1 We assume a roulette wheel with 38 slots, as is customary in the United States. In some parts of the world, a roulette wheel may have no slot numbered 00 . This, of course, changes the probabilities.
$\underline{2}$ One might also argue that a trader with a candle (i.e., theoretical pricing model) might drop the candle and burn down the entire building. Financial crises seem to occur when many traders drop their candles at the same time. $\underline{3}$ Some interesting discussion on the limitations of models: Fischer Black, "The Holes in Black Scholes," Risk 1(4):30-33, 1988; Stephen Figlewski, "What Does an Option Pricing Model

## Tell Us about Option Prices?"

 Financial Analysts Journal, September-October 1989, pp. 12-15; Fischer Black, "Living Up to the Model," Risk 3(3):11-13, 1990; and Emanuel Derman and Paul Wilmott, "The Financial Modelers' Manifesto" (January 2009),http://www.wilmott.com/blogs/paul/ind Modelers-Manifesto.
4 A photocopy of the Castelli pamphlet, which is now in the public domain, is available at books.google.com.
5 See Louis Bachelier's Theory of Speculation, Mark Davis and Alison Etheridge, trans. (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2006). A translation of Bachelier's treatise also
appears in The Random Character of Stock Market Prices, Paul Cootner, ed. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1964).
$\underline{6}$ Fischer Black and Myron Scholes, "The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities," Journal of Political Economy 81(3):637-654, 1973.
7 Robert Merton, who, at the time, w
like Myron Scholes, associated with MIT, is also credited with some of the same work that led to the development of the original Black-Scholes model. His paper, "The Rational Theory of Option Pricing," appeared in the Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science 4(Spring):141-183, 1973. In recognition of Merton's contribution, the model is sometimes referred to as
the Black-Scholes-Merton model.
Scholes and Merton were awarded the Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences in 1997; Fischer Black, unfortunately, died in 1995. Commodity Contracts," Journal of Financial Economics 3:167-179, 1976.
$\underline{9}$ Mark B. Garman and Steven W. Kohlhagen, "Foreign Currency Option Values," Journal of International Money and Finance 2(3):239-253, 1983. We are speaking here of options on a physical foreign currency rather than options on a foreign-currency futures contract. The latter may be evaluated using the Black model for futures options.

## $\underline{10}$

 An exchange may adjust the exercise price of a stock option as the result of a stock split or in the case of an extraordinary dividend. In practical terms, this is only an accounting change. The characteristics of the option contract remain essentially unchanged.11 The London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) is the rate paid by the London banks on dollar deposits. As such, it reflects the free-market interest rate for dollars. LIBOR is the underlying for Eurodollar futures traded at the Chicago Mercantile Exchange. The value of these contracts at maturity is determined by the average three-month LIBOR rate quoted by the largest

London banks.

## Volatility

What is volatility, and why is it so important in option evaluation? The option trader, like a trader in the underlying instrument, is interested in the direction of the market. But

## unlike a trader in the

 underlying, an option trader is also sensitive to the speed of the market. If the market for an underlying contract fails to move at a sufficient speed, options on that contract will have less value because of the reduced likelihood of the market going through an option's exercise price. In a sense, volatility is a measure of the speed of the market. Markets that move slowly arelow-volatility
markets; markets that move quickly are high-volatility markets. One might guess intuitively that some markets are more volatile than others. During 2008, the price of crude oil began the year at $\$ 99$ per barrel, reached a high of $\$ 144$ per barrel in July, and finished the year at $\$ 45$ per barrel. The price rose 58 percent and then dropped 69
percent. Yet few traders could imagine a major stock index such as the Standard and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index exhibiting similar fluctuations over a single year.

If we know whether a market will be relatively volatile or relatively quiet and can convey this information to a theoretical pricing model, any evaluation of options on that market will be more
accurate than if we simply ignore volatility. Because option models are based on mathematical formulas, we will need some method of quantifying this volatility component so that we can feed it into the model in numerical form.

## Random Walks and

 Normal Distributions
## Consider for a moment the

 pinball maze pictured in Figure 6-1. When a ball is dropped into the maze at the top, it falls downward, pulled by gravity through a series of nails. When the ball encounters each nail, there is a 50 percent chance that the ball will move to the left and a 50 percent chance that it will move to the right. The ball then falls down a level where it encounters anothernail. Finally, at the bottom of the maze, the ball falls into one of the troughs.

Figure 6-1 Random walk.
Random walk

## As the ball falls down

 through the maze, it follows a random walk. Once the ball enters the maze, nothing can be done to artificially alter its course, nor can one predict the path that the ball will follow through the maze.> As more balls are
dropped into the maze, they might begin to form a distribution similar to that in Figure 6-2. Most of the balls
tend to cluster near the center of the maze, with a
decreasing number of balls ending up in troughs farther away from the center. If many balls are dropped into the maze, they will begin to form a bell-shaped or normal distribution.

Figure 6-2 Normal distribution.

```
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## If an infinite number of

balls were dropped into the maze, the resulting distribution might be approximated by a normal distribution curve such as the one overlaid on the distribution in Figure 6-2. Such a curve is symmetrical (if we flip it from right to left, it looks the same), it has its peak in the center, and its tails always move down and

# away from the center. 

## Normal <br> distribution

curves are used to describe the likely
outcomes
of
random events. For example, the curve in Figure 6-2 might also represent the results of flipping a coin 15 times. Each outcome,
or trough,

## represents the number of heads that occur from each 15

 flips. An outcome in trough 0 represents 0 heads and 15tails; an outcome in trough 15 represents 15 heads and 0 tails. Of course, we would be surprised to flip a coin 15 times and get all heads or all tails. Assuming that the coin is perfectly balanced, some outcome in between, perhaps 8 heads and 7 tails, or 9 heads and 6 tails, seems more likely.
Suppose
that

maze so that each time a ball encounters a nail and moves either left or right, it must drop down two levels before it encounters another nail. If we drop enough balls into the maze, we may end up with a distribution similar to the curve in Figure 6-3. Because the sideways movement of the balls is restricted, the curve will have a higher peak and narrower tails than the curve in Figure 6-2. In spite
of its altered shape, the distribution is still normal, although one with slightly different characteristics.

Figure 6-3


## Finally, we might again

 rearrange the nails so that each time a ball drops down a level, it must move two nails left or right before it can drop down to a new level. If we drop enough balls into the maze, we may get a distribution that resembles the curve in Figure 6-4. This distribution, although still normal, will have a much lower peak and spread outmuch more quickly than the distributions in either Figure 6-2 or Figure 6-3. ${ }^{1}$

## Suppose that we now

 think of the ball's sideways movement as the up and down price movement of an underlying contract and the ball's downward movement as the passage of time. If the price movement of an underlying contract follows a random walk, the curves inFigures 6-2 through 6-4 might represent possible price distributions in a moderate-, low-, and high-volatility
market, respectively.
Figure 6-4


## Earlier in this chapter we

 suggested that the theoretical pricing of options begins by assigning probabilities to the various underlying prices. How should these probabilities be assigned? One possibility is to assume that, at expiration, the underlying prices are normally distributed. Given that there are many different normal distributions, howwill our choice of distribution affect option evaluation?
Because all normal
distributions are symmetrical, it may seem that the choice of distribution is irrelevant.

Increased volatility may increase the likelihood of large upward movement, but this should be offset by the greater likelihood of large downward movement. However,
there
important distinction between an option position and an underlying position.

The expected value for an underlying contract depends on all possible price outcomes. The expected value for an option depends only on the outcomes that result in the option finishing in the money. Everything else is zero.

> In Figure 6-5, we have
three possible price distributions centered around the current price of an underlying contract. Suppose that we want to evaluate a call at a higher exercise price. The value of the call will depend on the amount of the distribution to the right of the exercise price. We can see that as we move from a lowvolatility distribution, to a moderate-volatility
distribution,
to
a
high-
distribution, price distribution lies to the right of the exercise price. Consequently, the option takes on an increasingly greater value.

Figure 6-5


## We might also consider

the value of a put at a lower exercise price. If we assume that movement is random, the same high-volatility distribution that will cause the call to take on greater value will also cause the put to take on greater value. In the case of the put, more of the distribution will lie to the left of the exercise price. Because our distributions are
symmetrical, in a highvolatility market, all options, whether calls or puts, higher or lower exercise prices, take on greater value. For the same reason, in a lowvolatility market, all options take on reduced values.

Mean and Standard Deviation

# If we assume a normal 

 distribution of prices, we will need a method of describing the appropriate normal distribution to the theoretical pricing model. Fortunately, all normal distributions can be fully described with two numbers-the mean and the standard deviation. If we know that a distribution is normal, and we also know the mean and standard deviation, $4 \rightarrow$ nnen
# characteristics <br> of <br> the 

distribution.
Graphically,
We
can
interpret the mean as the location of the peak of the distribution and the standard deviation as a measure of how fast the distribution spreads out. Distributions that spread out very quickly, such as the one in Figure 6-4, have a high standard deviation. Distributions that spread out

# very slowly, such as the one in Figure 6-3, have a low standard deviation. 

 Numerically, the mean is simply the average outcome, a concept familiar to most traders. To calculate the mean, we add up all the results and divide by the total number of occurrences. Calculation of the standard deviation is not quite sosimple and will be discussed
later. What is important at this point is the interpretation of these numbers, in particular, what a mean and standard deviation suggest in terms of likely price movement.
Let's go back to Figure

6-2 and consider the troughs numbered 0 to 15 at the bottom. We suggested that these numbers might represent the number of heads
resulting from 15 flips of a coin. Alternatively, they might represent the number of times a ball goes to the right at each nail as it drops down through the maze. The first trough is assigned 0 because any ball that ends there must go left at every nail. The last trough is assigned 15 because any ball that ends there must go right at every nail.

Suppose that we are told that the mean and standard deviation in Figure 6-2 are 7.50 and 3.00 , respectively. $\underline{2}$ What does this tell us about the distribution? The mean tells us the average outcome. If we add up all the outcomes and divide by the number of occurrences, the result will be 7.50. In terms of the troughs, the average result will fall halfway between troughs
and 8. (Of course, this is not an actual
possibility.
However, we noted in

Chapter 5 that the average outcome does not have to be an actual possibility for any one outcome.)

## The standard deviation

 determines not only how fast the distribution spreads out, but it also tells us something about the likelihood of a ball ending up in a specific troughor group of troughs. In particular,

# probability of a ball ending 

 up in a trough that is a specified distance from the mean. For example, we may want to know the likelihood of a ball falling down through the maze and ending up in a trough lower than 5 or higher than 10. The answer to this question depends on the numberdeviations the ball must move away from the mean. If we know this, we can determine the probability associated with that number of standard deviations.

## The exact probability

associated with any specific
number of standard
deviations can be found in most texts on statistics or probability. Alternatively, such probabilities can be
easily calculated in most commonly used computer spreadsheet programs. For option traders, the following approximations will be useful:

$$
\pm 1
$$

standard
deviation takes in approxima
68.3
percent
(about
$2 / 3$ ) of all
occurrenct
$\pm 2$
standard deviations takes in approxime 95.4
percent (about $19 / 20$ ) of all

# occurrence 

$$
\pm 3
$$

standard
deviations
takes in
approxime
99.7
percent (about 369/370) of all
occurrenct

Note that each number

# of standard deviations is 

 preceded by a plus or minus sign. Because normal distributions are symmetrical, the likelihood of up movement and down movement is identical. The probability associated with each number of standard deviations is usually given as a decimal value, but a fractional approximation is often useful to traders, and this appears in parentheses.
# Now let's try to answer 

 our question about the likelihood of getting a ball in a trough lower than 5 or higher than 10. Wecan
designate the divider between troughs 7 and 8 as the mean, $71 / 2$. If the standard deviation is 3 , what troughs are within one standard deviation of the mean? One standard deviation from the mean is $71 / 2 \pm 3$, or $41 / 2$ to $101 / 2$.
Interpreting $1 / 2$ as the divider
between troughs, we can see that troughs 5 through 10 fall within 1 standard deviation of the mean. We know that one standard deviation takes in about
two-thirds
of
all
occurrences, so we can
conclude that out of every
three balls we drop into the maze, two should end up in troughs 5 through 10. Whatever is left over, one out of every three balls, will end up in one of the remaining
troughs, 0 through 4 and 11 through 15. Hence, the answer to our original question about the likelihood of getting a ball in a trough lower than 5 or higher than 10 is about 1 chance in 3 , or about 33 percent. (The exact answer is $100 \%-68.3 \%=$ $31.7 \%$.) This is shown in Figure 6-6.

Figure 6-6
mean $=7.50$
standard deviation $=3.00$
$\pm 1$ st dev. $=68.3 \%($ approx. $2 / 3)$
$\pm 2$ st. dev. $=95.4 \%$ (approx. $19 / 20$ )
$\pm 3$ st. dev. $=99.7 \%$ (approx. ${ }^{389 /}{ }_{370}$ )

st. dev. st. dev. mean st. dev. st. dev,
$\begin{array}{lllll}1.50 & 4.50 & 7.50 & 10.50 & 13.50\end{array}$
Let's try another
calculation, but this time we can think of the problem as a wager. Suppose that someone offers us 30 to 1 odds against dropping a ball into the maze and having it end up specifically in troughs 14 or 15. Is this bet worth making? One characteristic of standard deviations is that they are additive. In our example, if one standard deviation is 3,
then two standard deviations are 6 . Two standard deviations from the mean is therefore $71 / 2 \pm 6$, or $11 / 2$ to $131 / 2$. We can see in Figure 66 that troughs 14 and 15 lie outside two standard deviations. Because the probability of getting a result within two standard deviations is approximately 19 out of 20 , the probability of getting a result beyond two standard deviations is 1
chance in 20. Therefore, 30 to 1 odds may seem very favorable. Recall, however, that beyond two standard deviations
also includes troughs 0 and 1. Because normal distributions are symmetrical, the chances of getting a ball specifically in troughs 14 or 15 must be half of 1 chance in 20 , or about 1 chance in 40 . At 30 to 1 odds, the bet must be a bad one because the odds do not
sufficiently compensate us for the risk involved.

## In Chapter 5, <br> We

suggested
that
a
truly
accurate theoretical pricing
model would require us to assign probabilities an infinite number of possible price outcomes for
an underlying contract. Then, if we multiply each price outcome by its associated probability, we can use the

# results to calculate 

not easy to work with.

## Fortunately,

area under various portions of the curve. If we assume that prices of an underlying instrument are normally distributed, these formulas represent a unique set of tools to help us solve for an option's theoretical value. Louis Bachelier was the first to make the assumption that the prices of an
underlying contract are normally distributed. As we
shall see, there are logical
problems assumption. over the assumption

## with

 this Consequently,years, the
modified to make it more consistent with real-world conditions. In its modified form, it is the basis for many theoretical pricing models, including the Black-Scholes model.

## Forward Price as the

## Mean of a

## Distribution

If we decide to assign probabilities that are consistent with a normal distribution, how do we feed this distribution into
theoretical pricing model? Because all normal distributions can be described by a mean and a standard
deviation, in some way we must feed these two numbers into our pricing model.

## In Chapter 5,

we
suggested that
any
distribution
ought
to
be
centered around the most likely underlying price at expiration. Although cannot know exactly what that price will be, if we assume that no arbitrage opportunity exists in the
underlying contract, a logical guess is the forward price. If we make the assumption that the forward price represents the mean of a distribution, then in the long run, any trade made at the current underlying price will just break even. The various forms of the Black-Scholes model differ primarily in how they calculate the forward price. Depending on the type of underlying
contract,
whether a stock, a futures contract, or a foreign currency, the model takes the current underlying price, the time to expiration, interest rates, and, in the case of stocks, dividends to calculate the forward price. It then makes this the mean of the distribution.

## Volatility as a

## Standard Deviation

## In addition to the mean, to

 fully describe a normal distribution, we also need a standard deviation. When we input a volatility into a theoretical pricing model, we are actually feeding in a standard deviation. Volatility is just a trader's term for standard deviation. Because the Greek letter sigma $(\sigma)$ is
## the traditional notation for

 standard deviation, in this text we will use the same notation for volatility.At this point, it will help if we assign a working definition to volatility, although we will later modify this definition slightly. For the present, we will assume that the volatility we feed into a pricing model represents a one standard deviation price
change, in percent, over a one-year period. For example, consider a contract with a one-year forward price of 100 and that we are told has a volatility of 20 percent. (We'll discuss later where this
number might come from.) With a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 20 percent, if we come back one year from now, there is a 68 percent probability that the
contract will be trading
between 80 and $120(100 \pm$ $20 \%$ a 95 percent probability that the contract will be trading between 60 and $140(100 \pm 2 \times 20 \%)$, and a 99.7 percent probability that the contract will be trading between 40 and $160(100 \pm 3$ $\times 20 \%$ ). These are the probabilities associated with one, two, and three standard deviations.

## Instead of specifying the

forward price, suppose that we are dealing with a stock that is currently trading at $\$ 100$ and that has the same 20 percent volatility. In order to determine the
one-year probabilities, we must first determine the one-year forward price because this represents the mean of the distribution. If interest rates are 8 percent and the stock pays no dividends, the one-
year forward price will be
\$108. Now, a one standard deviation price change is $20 \%$ $\times \$ 108=\$ 21.60$. Thus, one year from now, we would expect the same stock to be trading between $\$ 86.40$ and $\$ 129.60 \quad(\$ 108 \quad \pm \$ 21.60)$ approximately 68 percent of the time, between $\$ 64.80$ and $\$ 151.20(\$ 108 \pm 2 \times \$ 21.60)$ approximately 95 percent of the time, and between $\$ 43.20$ and $\$ 172.80(\$ 108 \pm 3 \times$ $\$ 21.60)$ approximately 99.7

## percent of the time.

## Returning to our contract

 with a forward price of 100 , suppose that we come back at the end of one year and find that the contract, which we thought had a volatility of 20 percent, is trading at 35 . Does this mean that the volatility of 20 percent was wrong? A price change of more than three standard deviations may be unlikely, but one shouldnot confuse unlikely with impossible.

Flipping

# perfectly balanced coin 15 

 times may result in 15 heads, even though the odds of this occurring are less than one chance in 32,000 . If 20 percent is the right volatility, the probability that the price will fall from 100 to 35 in one year is less than one chance in 1,500. However, onechance in 1,500 is not impossible, and perhaps this
was the one time in 1,500 when the price did indeed end up at 35. Of course, it is also possible that we had the wrong volatility. But we can't make that determination without looking at a large number of price changes for the contract so that we have a representative price distribution.

## Scaling Volatility for

 TimeLike interest rates,
volatility is always expressed as an annualized number. If someone says that interest rates are 6 percent, no one needs to ask whether that means 6 percent per day, 6 percent per week, or 6 percent per month. Everyone knows that it means 6 percent

## per year. The same is true of

 volatility.We might logically ask what an annual volatility tells us about the likelihood of price changes over some shorter period of time. Although interest rates are proportional to simply multiply the rate by
the amount of time), volatility simply multiply the rate by
the amount of time), volatility is proportional to the square root of time. To calculate a
volatility, or standard deviation, over some period of time other than one year, we must multiply the annual volatility be the square root of time, where the time period $t$ is expressed in years

## Volatility $_{i}=$ volatility $_{\text {annual }}$

Traders typically calculate volatility for an underlying contract by observing price changes at regular intervals.

Let's begin by assuming that we plan to observe price changes at the end of every day. Because there are 365 days in a year, it might seem that prices can change 365 times per year. In this text, though, we are focusing primarily on exchange-traded contracts.

Because most exchanges are closed on weekends and holidays, if we observe the price of an underlying contract at the end
of every day, prices cannot really change 365 times per year. Depending on the exchange, there are probably somewhere between 250 and 260 trading days in a year. ${ }^{3}$ Because we need the square root of the number of trading days, for convenience, many traders assume that there are 256 trading days in a year given that the square root of 256 is a whole number, 16. If
we make this assumption, then
$V_{\text {Oaditity }}=$ volatily $y_{\text {mand }} \times \sqrt{1 / 256}=$ voditity $\times 1 / / 6=\frac{\text { vadility }}{16}$

## To approximate a daily

standard deviation, we can divide the annual volatility by 16.

## Returning to our contract

 trading with a volatility of 20 percent, what is a one standard deviation
# price change from one day to the next? The answer is 

$20 \% / 16$
$=1 \frac{1}{4} \%$,
so a one standard deviation daily price change is $1 \frac{1}{4} \% \times 100=1.25$. We expect to see a price change of 1.25 or less approximately two trading days out of every three and a price change of 2.50 or less approximately 19 trading days out of every 20. Only one day in 20 would we
expect to see a price change

## of more than 2.50

 We can do the same type of calculation for a weekly standard deviation. Now we must ask how many times per year prices can change if we look at prices once a week. There are no complete weeks when no trading takes place, so we must make ourcalculations using all 52 trading weeks in a year. Therefore,

# To <br> <br> approximate 

 <br> <br> approximate}

# weekly standard deviation, 

we can divide the annual volatility by 7.2. Dividing our annual volatility of 20 percent by the square root of 52 , or approximately 7.2 , we get $20 \% / 7.2$ 2.78. For our contract trading at 100, we would expect to see a price change of 2.78 or less two
weeks out of every three, a price change of 5.56 or less 19 weeks out of every 20 , and only one week in 20 would we expect to see a price change of more than 5.56 . If we want to be as accurate as possible, when estimating a daily or weekly standard deviation, we ought to begin by calculating the one-day or one-week forward price. But for short periods of
time, the forward price is so close to the current price that most traders assume for convenience that a one-day or one-week distribution is centered around the current price.

## Suppose that a stock is

 trading at $\$ 45$ per share and has an annual volatility of 37 percent. What iS an approximate one and two standard deviation price rangefrom one day to the next and from one week to the next? For one day, we can divide the annual volatility by 16 (the square root of 256 , the number of trading days in a year)

A one and two standard deviation daily price range is approximately

# $\$ 45 \pm \$ 1.04 \approx \$ 43.96$ to $\$ 46.04$ (one standard deviation) <br> $$
\begin{gathered} \$ 45 \pm(2 \times \$ 1.04) \approx \$ 42.92 \text { to } \\ \$ 47.08 \text { (two standard } \\ \text { deviations) } \end{gathered}
$$ 

For one week, we can divide the annual volatility by 7.2 (the square root of 52 , the number of trading weeks in a year)

A one and two standard deviation weekly price range is approximately

$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 45 \pm \$ 2.31 \approx \$ 42.69 \text { to } \\
\$ 47.31 \text { (one standard } \\
\text { deviation) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$\$ 45 \pm(2 \times \$ 2.31) \approx \$ 40.38$ to
$\$ 49.62$ (two standard
deviations)

When we scale volatility
for time, the same probabilities still
apply.

Approximately 68 percent of the occurrences will fall within
one
standard
deviation. Approximately 95 percent of the occurrences will fall within two standard deviations.

## Volatility and

## Observed Price

## Changes

## Why might a trader want to

 estimate daily or weekly price changes from an annual volatility? Volatility is the one input into a theoretical pricing model that cannot be directly observed. Yet many option strategies, if they are to be successful, require a reasonable assessment of

Therefore,

## option trader needs some

 method of determining whether his expectations about volatility are being realized in the marketplace. Unlike directional strategies, whose success or failure can be immediately observed from current prices, there is no such thing as a current volatility. A trader must usually determine for himself whether he is using
# reasonable volatility input into the theoretical pricing model. 

Previously, we estimated
that for a $\$ 45$ stock with an annual volatility of 37
percent, a one standard deviation price change is approximately
\$1.04.
Suppose that over five days we observe the following daily settlement price changes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\$ 0.98,-\$ 0.65,-\$ 0.70 \\
+\$ 0.25,-\$ 0.85
\end{gathered}
$$

Are these price changes consistent with a 37 percent volatility?

We expect to see a price change of more than $\$ 1.04$ (one standard deviation) about one day in three. Over five days, we would expect to see at least one day, and perhaps two days, with a price change greater than one

# standard deviation. Yet, 

during this five-day period, we did not see a price change greater than $\$ 1.04$ even once. What conclusions can be drawn from this? One thing seems clear: these five price changes do not appear to be consistent with a 37 percent volatility.
Before
decisions, consider
making
any
We
ought
to
conditions that might be affecting the observed price changes. Perhaps this was a holiday week, and as such, it did not reflect normal market activity. If this is our conclusion, then 37 percent may still be a reasonable volatility estimate. On the other hand, if we can see no logical reason for the market being less volatile than predicted by a 37 percent volatility,
simply be using the wrong volatility. If we come to this conclusion, perhaps we ought to consider using a lower volatility that 1S
more consistent with the observed price changes. If we continue to use a volatility that is not consistent with the actual price changes, then we have the wrong volatility. If we have the wrong volatility, we have the wrong probabilities. And if we have the wrong

# probabilities, 

# defeating <br> the <br> purpose <br> of 

using a theoretical pricing
model in the first place.
Admittedly, five days is a very small number of price changes, and it is unlikely that a trader will rely heavily on such a small sample. If we flip a coin five times and it comes up heads each time,
we may not be able to draw any definitive conclusions. But if we flip the coin 50 times and it comes up heads every time, now we might conclude that there is something wrong with the coin. In the same way, most traders prefer to see larger price samplings, perhaps 20 days, or 50 days, or 100 days, before drawing any dramatic conclusions about volatility.

## Exactly what volatility is

 associated with the five price changes in the foregoing example? Without doing some rather involved arithmetic, it is difficult to say. (The answer is actually 27.8 percent.) However, if a trader has some idea of the price changes he expects, he can easily see that the changes over the five-day period are not consistent witha 37 percent volatility. $\underline{4}$
We have used the phrase
price change in conjunction with our volatility estimates. Exactly what do we mean by this? Do we mean the high/low during some period? Do we mean open-to-close price changes? Or is there another interpretation? Although various methods have been suggested to estimate volatility, the most

## common

# exchange-traded contracts has 

 been to calculate volatility based on settlement-tosettlement price changes. Using this approach, when we say that a one standard deviation daily price change is $\$ 1.04$, we mean $\$ 1.04$ from one day's settlement price to the next day's settlement price. The high/low or open/close price range may have been either more or lessthan this amount, but it is the settlement-to-settlement price change on which we focus. ${ }^{5}$

## A Note on Interest-

## Rate Products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For some } \begin{array}{l}
\text { interest-rate } \\
\text { primarily }
\end{array} \\
& \text { products, } \\
& \text { Eurocurrency interest-rate } \\
& \text { futures, the listed contract } \\
& \text { price represents the interest }
\end{aligned}
$$

## rate associated

Chicago Mercantile

# Exchange will be trading at 

 $100-7.00=93.00$. If EuroFutures Exchange will be trading at $100-4.50=95.50$. Volatility calculations for these contracts are done using the rate associated with the contract (the rate volatility)
rather than the price of the contract (the price volatility).

## If a Eurodollar futures

 contract is trading at 93.00 with a volatility of 26 percent, an approximate daily and weekly one standard deviation price change is $(100-93) \times \frac{2010}{16} \approx 0.11$ (daily standard deviation) $(100-93) \times \frac{20}{7.2} \approx 0.25 \quad$ (weekly standard deviation)

# To be consistent, if we 

 index Eurodollar futures prices from 100, we must also index exercise prices from 100. Therefore, a 93.00 exercise price in our pricing model is really a 7.00 percent (100 - 93.00) exercise price. This transformation also requires us to reverse the type of option, changing calls to puts and puts to calls. To see why, consider a 93.00 call. For this call to go into the
# money, <br> <br> 93.00. But this requires that <br> <br> 93.00. But this requires that interest rates fall below 7.00 percent. Therefore, a 93.00 

 call in listed terms is the same as a 7.00 percent put in interest-rate terms. A model that is correctly set up to evaluate options
## Eurodollar or other types of

 indexed interest-rate contracts will make this transformation automatically. The price ofthe underlying contract and the exercise price are subtracted from 100, with listed calls treated as puts and listed puts treated as calls.
This type
for most bonds and notes. Depending on the coupon rate, the prices of these products may range freely without upper limit,
often exceeding 100. Exchange-

## traded options on bond and

 note futures are therefore most often evaluated using a traditional pricing model. However, interest-rate productspresent
other problems that may require specialized pricing models. It is possible to take an instrument such as a bond and calculate the current yield based on its price in the marketplace. If we were to
take a series of bond prices and these calculate a series of yields, we could calculate the yield volatility, that is, the volatility based on the change in yield. We might then use this number to evaluate the theoretical value of an option on the bond, although to be consistent we would also have to specify the exercise price in terms of yield. Because it is possible to calculate the volatility of
an interest-rate product using these two different methods, interest-rate traders usually make a distinction between yield volatility (the volatility calculated from the current yield on the instrument) and price volatility (the volatility calculated from the price of the instrument in the marketplace).

## Lognormal

 Distributions
## Thus far we have assumed

# that the prices of an underlying instrument are 

 normally distributed. Is this a reasonable assumption? Beyond the question of the exact distribution of prices in the real world, the normal distribution assumption has one serious flaw. A normaldistribution is symmetrical. For every possible upward move in the price of an underlying instrument, there must be the possibility of a downward move of equal magnitude. If we allow for the possibility of a $\$ 50$ contract rising $\$ 75$ to $\$ 125$, we also must allow for the possibility of the contract dropping $\$ 75$ to a price of -
$\$ 25$. But negative prices are clearly not
possible
for

## traditional <br> stocks <br> or

commodities.
We have defined
volatility in terms of the percent changes in the price of an underlying instrument. In this sense, an interest rate and volatility are similar in that they both represent a rate of return. The primary difference between interest and volatility is that interest accrues only at a positive
rate, whereas volatility is a combination of positive and negative rates of return. If we invest money at a fixed interest rate, the value of the principal will always grow. However, if we invest in an underlying instrument with a volatility other than zero, the instrument
 down in price, resulting in either a profit (a positive rate of return) or a loss (a negative rate of return).
calculation must specify not only the rate that is being used but also the time intervals over which the returns are calculated. Suppose that we invest $\$ 1,000$ for one year at an annual interest rate of 12 percent. How much will we have at the end of one year? The answer depends on how the 12 percent interest on our investment is paid out.

# Ratidifapmeil <br> Waluate One <br> Tall|ed 

12M wreapery S11000
$120 \%$

| $6 \text { twiea }$ | $51936$ | $1736$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHPNEY HPE monlos | bidos |  |

Melery noith
$\$ 111683$
12684

$\$ 1,123$
1235
12435
$\$ 1112 \%$
12356

## As interest is paid more

 frequently, even though it is paid at the same rate of 12 percent per year, the total yield on the investment increases. The yield is greatest when interest is paid continuously. In this case, it is just as if interest is paid at every possible moment in time.
## Although less common,

we can do the same type of
calculation using a negative interest rate. For example, suppose that we make a bad investment of $\$ 1,000$ and lose money at a rate of 12 percent annually (interest rate $12 \%$ ). How much will we have at the end of a year? The answer, again, depends on the frequency at which our losses accrue.

# Rateoflament <br> Vauatle Che bet <br> TodTY期 

-124 oreapyest \＄00010 $-120 \mathrm{NO}$
－（4）Whicapear
588360
$-1 \mid 640$
－3） 3 every threemoriths
－Therey month
500638
｜｜3 3 施
WWirey wel
㣪 5
－1135

$5 \times 1$
H13 W
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## In the case of a negative

 interest rate, as losses are compounded more frequently, even though at the same rate of -12 percent per year, the smaller the total loss, and consequently, the smaller the negative yield.
## In the same way that

 interest can be compoundedat di
volatility intervals,
compounded at different
intervals. The Black-Scholes
model is a continuous-time model. The model assumes that volatility is compounded continuously, just as if the price changes in the underlying contract, either up or down, are taking place continuously but at an annual rate corresponding to the volatility associated with the contract. When the percent price changes are normally distributed,
compounding of these price changes will result in
a

# lognormal 

distribution
of

## prices at expiration. Such a

 distribution is shown inFigure 6-7.
The
entire distribution is skewed toward the upside because upside price changes (a positive rate of return) will be greater, in absolute terms, than downside price changes
negative rate of return). In
our interest-rate example, a

# continuously compounded 

 rate of return of +12 percent yields a profit of $\$ 127.50$ after one year, whereas a continuously compounded rate of return of -12 percent yields a loss of only $\$ 113.08$. If the 12 percent is a volatility, then a one standard deviation upward price change at the end of one year is $+\$ 127.50$, whereas a one standard deviation downward price change is $-\$ 113.08$.
## Even though the rate of return

 is a constant 12 percent, the continuous compounding of 12 percent yields different upward and downward moves.Figure 6-7


## Note also the location of

 the mean of the distributions in Figure 6-7. The mean can be thought of as the "balance point" of the distribution. For a normal distribution, the peak of the distribution, or mode, and the mean have the same location, exactly in the middle of the distribution. But in a lognormal distribution the right tail, whichis
open-ended,
is
longer than the left tail, which is bounded by zero. Because there is more "weight" to the right of the peak, the mean of the lognormal distribution must be located to the right of the peak.
Continuous
rates
of
return can be calculated using the exponential function, 7 denoted by either $\exp (x)$ or $e^{x}$. In the preceding examples,

$$
\$ 1,000 \times e^{0.12}=\$ 1,127.50
$$

$$
\text { and } \$ 1,000 \times e^{-0.12}=\$ 886.92
$$

## No matter how large the

 negative interest rate, continuous compounding precludes the possibility of an investment falling below zero because it is impossible to lose more than 100 percent of an investment. Consequently, in a log-normal distribution, the value of the underlying instrument is bounded by
## expiration and a volatility of 30 percent




## 4-


(5)


44
$4 H^{\circ}$

## Itad <br> 43 <br> 474

## Under a normal

 distribution assumption, both the call and put have exactlythe same value because they are both 10 percent out of the money. But under the lognormal distribution assumption in the BlackScholes model, the 110 call will always have a greater value than the 90 put. The value of the 110 call can potentially appreciate without limit because the price of the underlying contract has
no limit on the upside. The 90 put, however, can only rise to

# a maximum value of 90 because the price of the 

 underlying contract can never fall below zero.Of course, the values in the preceding example are true only in theory. There is no law that prevents the 90 put from trading at a price greater than the 110 call. Indeed,
such
price relationships
occur in m
a variety many markets
for
of
reasons that we will discuss later. However, one possible explanation is that the marketplace disagrees with the assumptions on which the model is based. Perhaps the marketplace believes that a lognormal distribution is not an accurate representation of possible prices. And perhaps the marketplace is right!

## Interpreting

## Volatility Data

volatility, even experienced traders may find that they are not always talking about the same thing. When a trader says that the volatility is 25 percent, this statement may take on a variety of meanings. We can avoid confusion in subsequent discussions if we
define some of the different ways in which traders refer to volatility. We can begin by
dividing
volatility into two categories-realized volatility, which we associate with an underlying contract, and implied volatility, which we associate with options.

## Realized Volatility

The realized volatility is

## the annualized standard

 deviation of percent price changes of an underlying contract over some period of time. ${ }^{8}$ When we calculate realized volatility, we must specify both the interval at which we are measuring the price changes and the number of intervals to be used in the calculations. For example, we might talk about the 50-day volatility of an underlyingcontract. Or we might talk about the 52 -week volatility of a contract. In the former case, we are calculating the volatility from the daily price changes over a 50-day period. ${ }^{-1}$ In the latter case, we are calculating the volatility from the weekly price changes over a 52-week period.

On a graph of realized volatility,
represents the volatility over a specified period using price changes
over
a specified interval. If we graph the 50day volatility of a contract, each point on the graph represents the annualized standard deviation of
the
daily price changes over the previous 50 days. If we graph the 52 -week volatility, each point on the graph represents the annualized standard deviation of the weekly price
changes over the previous 52 weeks.

## Traders may also refer to

 realized volatility in the future (futurerealized
volatility)
and
realized
volatility
in
the
past
(historical realized volatility). The future realized volatility is what every trader would like to know-the volatility that best describes the future distribution of price changes
for an underlying contract. In theory, it is the future realized volatility over the life of the option that we need to input into a theoretical pricing model. If a trader knows the future realized volatility, he knows the right "odds." When he feeds this number into a theoretical pricing model, he can generate
accurate because he has the right
probabilities. Like the casino,
he may lose in the short run because of bad luck, but in the long run, with the probabilities in his favor, the trader can be reasonably certain of making a profit. Clearly, no one knows what the future holds. However, if a trader intends to use a theoretical pricing model, he must try to make an estimate of future realized volatility.

option
evaluation,

# disciplines, a good starting 

 point is historical data. What typically has been the historical realized volatility of a contract? If, over the past 10 years, the volatility of a contract has never been less than 10 percent nor more than 30 percent, a guess for the future volatility of either 5 or 40 percent hardly makes sense. This does not mean that either of these extremesis impossible. But based on past performance, and in the absence of any extraordinary circumstances, a guess within the historical limits of 10 and 30 percent is probably more realistic than a guess outside these limits. Of course, 10 to 30 percent is still a very wide range. But at least the historical

## As option traders have

come to appreciate the importance of volatility as an input into a pricing model, volatility forecasting models have been developed in an attempt to more accurately predict future realized volatility. If a trader has access to a volatility forecast that he believes is reliable, he will want to use this forecast to make a better decision as to the future realized

# volatility. We will put off a discussion of possible forecasting methods until 

 later chapters.
## When we calculate

volatility over a given period of time, we still have a choice of the time intervals over which to measure the price changes in the underlying contract.

A trader might consider whether the choice of intervals, even if the
intervals cover the same time

# might look at the 250-day 

 volatility, the 52-week volatility, and the 12 -month volatility of a contract. All volatilities
## For most underlying

 contracts, the interval that is chosen does not seem to greatly affect the result. It is possible that a contract will make large daily moves yet finish the week unchanged. However, this is by far the exception. A contract that is volatile from day to day is likely to be equally volatile from week to week or month to month. Figure 6-8 shows the 250 -day realized volatilityof the S\&P 500 Index from 2003 through 2012, with the volatility calculated from price changes at three different intervals: daily, weekly, and every four weeks. The graphs are not identical, but they do seem to have similar characteristics. There is no clear evidence that using one interval rather than another results in consistently higher or lower volatility.

Figure 6-8 S\&P 500 Index 250-day historical volatility.


Implied Volatility
Unlike realized volatility, which is calculated from price changes in the underlying contract, implied volatility is derived from the price of an option in the marketplace. In a sense, the implied volatility represents the marketplace's consensus of what the future realized

# volatility of the underlying 

 contract will be over the life of the option.Consider a three-month
105 call on a stock that pays no dividend. If we are interested in purchasing this call, we might use a pricing model to determine the option's theoretical value. For simplicity, let's assume that the option is European (no early exercise) and that we
will use the Black-Scholes model. In addition to the exercise price, time to expiration, and type, we also need the price of the stock, an interest rate, and a volatility. Suppose that the current stock price is 98.50 , the threemonth interest rate is 6.00 percent, and our best estimate of volatility over the next three months is 25 percent. When we feed this data into our model, we find that the
option has a theoretical value of 2.94. However, when we check the price of the option in the marketplace, we find that the 105 call is trading very actively at a price of 3.60. How can we account for the fact that we think the option is worth 2.94 , but the rest of the world seems to think that it's worth 3.60 ?
This
is
not
an
easy
question to answer because
there are many forces at work in the marketplace that cannot be easily identified or quantified. But one way we might try to answer the question is by making the assumption that everyone trading the option is using the same theoretical pricing model. If we make this assumption, the cause of the discrepancy must be a difference of opinion about one or more of the inputs into
the model. Which inputs are the most likely cause?
It's unlikely to be either the time to expiration or the exercise price because these inputs are fixed in the option contract. What about the underlying price of 98.50 ? Perhaps we incorrectly estimated the stock price due to the width of the bid-ask spread. However, for most
actively traded underlying
contracts, it is unlikely that the spread will be wide enough to cause a discrepancy of 0.66 in the value of the option. In order to yield a value of 3.60 for the 105 call, we would actually have to raise the stock price to 100.16 , and this is almost certainly well outside the bid-ask spread for the stock.
Perhaps our problem is

## the interest rate of 6.00

 percent. But interest rates are usually the least important of the inputs into a theoretical pricing model. In fact, we would have to make a huge change in the interest-rate input, from 6.00 to 13.30 percent, to yield a theoretical value of 3.60 .
## This leaves us with one

likely cause for the
discrepancy-the volatility.

In a sense, the marketplace seems be using a volatility that is different from 25 percent. To determine what volatility
marketplace is using, we can ask the following question: if we hold all other inputs
to the price of the option in the marketplace? example, we want to know what volatility will yield a value of 3.60 for the 105 call. Clearly, the volatility has to be higher than 25 percent, so we might begin to raise the volatility input into
our model. If we do, we find that at a volatility of 28.50 percent, the 105 call has a theoretical value of 3.60 . The implied volatility of the 105
call-the volatility being implied to the underlying contract through the pricing of the option in the marketplace-is
28.50
percent.
Figure 6-9


Exercise price
(105)

Stock price (98.50)

Interest rate (6.00\%)

Time to expriation (3monhs)
Option price
(3.60)

# When we solve for the 

 implied volatilityof
an
option, we are assuming that the theoretical value (the option's price), as well as all other inputs except volatility, are known. In effect, we are running the theoretical pricing model backwards to solve for the unknown volatility. In practice, this is easier said than done because most theoretical pricing
models do not work in reverse. However, there are a number of relatively simple algorithms that can quickly solve for the implied volatility when
inputs are known.
Implied
volatility
depends not only on the inputs into the theoretical pricing model but also on the theoretical pricing model being used. For some options,
different models can yield significantly different implied volatilities. Problems can also arise when the inputs are not contemporaneous. If an
option has not traded for
some time and market
conditions
have
outdated
option
price will result in a misleading or inaccurate implied volatility. Suppose in our example that the price of 3.60 for the 105 call reflected
the last trade, but that trade took place two hours ago when the underlying stock price was actually 99.25 . At a stock price of 99.25 , the implied volatility of the option, at a price of 3.60 , is actually 26.95 percent. This underscores the importance of accurate

## Brokerage

# data vendors who provide 

 option analysis for their clients will typically include implied volatility data. The data may incorporate implied volatilities for every option on an underlying contract, or the data may be in the form of one implied volatility that is representative of options on a particular underlying market. In the latter case, the single implied volatility is usuallythe result of weighting the individual implied volatilities by some criteria, such as volume of options traded or open interest, or, as is most common, by assigning the greatest weight to the at-themoney options.

## Implied volatility in the

 marketplace is constantly changing because option prices,as
well as
conditions,
other
market
constantly changing. It is as if the marketplace were continuously polling all the participants to come up with a consensus volatility for the underlying contract for each expiration. This is not a poll in the true sense because the traders do not confer with each other and then vote on the correct volatility. However, as bids and offers are made, the price at which an option is trading will
represent an equilibrium between supply and demand. This equilibrium can be expressed as an implied volatility. While the term premium really refers to an option's price, because the implied volatility is derived from an option's price, traders sometimes use premium and implied interchangeably. volatility
current implied volatility is high by historical standards or high relative to the recent historical volatility of the underlying contract, a trader might say that premium levels are high; if implied volatility is unusually low, he might say that premium levels are low.

## New option traders are

 taught, quite sensibly, to sell overpriced options and buy
# underpriced options. 

 lower than theoretical value, a trader creates a positive theoretical edge. But how should a trader determine the degree to which an option is overpriced or underpriced? This sounds like an easy question to answer. Isn't the amount of the mispricingequal to the difference
between the option's price and its value? The question arises because there is more than one way to measure this difference. Returning to our example of the 105 call, we might say that with a theoretical value of 2.94 and a price of 3.60 , the 105 call is 0.66 overpriced. But in volatility terms the option is 3.50 volatility points overpriced because its theoretical value is based on a

## volatility of 25 percent (our

 volatility estimate), while its price is based on a volatility of 28.50 percent (the implied volatility). Given the unusual characteristics of options, it is often more useful for a trader to consider an option's price in terms of implied volatility rather than total points.Implied
volatility
iS
often used by traders to compare the relative pricing
of options. In our example, the 105 call is trading at 3.60 with an implied volatility of 28.50 percent. Suppose that a 100 call under the same conditions is trading at 5.40 . In total points, the 100 call is clearly more expensive than the 105 call (5.40 versus 3.60). But if, at a price of 5.40 , the 100 call has an implied volatility of 27.51 percent,
most traders will conclude that in theoretical
terms the 100 call is almost a full percentage point less expensive (27.51 percent versus 28.50 percent) than the 105 call. Traders, in fact, talk about implied volatility as if it were the price of an option. A trader who buys the 100 call at a price of 5.40 might say that she bought the call at 27.51 percent. A trader who sells the 105 call at a price of 3.60 might say that he sold the call at 28.50 percent. Of

# course, options are really bought and sold in the appropriate currency. But from an option trader's point of view the implied volatility is often a more useful expression of an option's price than its actual price in currency units. 

Even
if
the
implied volatility of the 100 call is 27.51 percent and the implied volatility of the 105 call is
28.50 percent, this does not necessarily mean that a trader ought to buy the 100 call and sell the 105 call. A trader also will need to consider what will happen if his estimate of volatility turns out to be incorrect. If the future realized volatility over the life of the options turns out to be 25 percent, both the 100 call and the 105 call
are
overpriced,
and
the sal
should,
of
either
option
in
theory, result in a profit. But what will happen if the trader's volatility estimate is wrong, and the future realized volatility turns out to be 32 percent? Now the sale of either option will result in a loss. The consequences of being wrong about volatility are an important consideration, and this 1S something we will look at more closely in subsequent chapters. However, in the
absence of other
considerations, the lower implied volatility of the 100 call suggests that it is likely to be the better value.

## Although option traders

may at times refer to any of the various interpretations of volatility, two of these stand out in importance-the future realized volatility and the implied volatility. The future realized volatility

## underlying

# options on that contract. The 

 implied
is
a
reflection
of
an
option's
price.
These
two
numbers,
value and price, are what all traders, not just
option traders, are concerned with. If a contract has a high value and a low price, a trader will want to be a buyer. If a contract has a low value and a high price, a trader will want
to be a seller. For an option trader, this usually means comparing the expected future realized volatility with the implied volatility. If implied volatility is low with respect to the expected future volatility, a trader will prefer to buy options; if implied volatility is high, a trader will prefer to sell options. Of course, future volatility is an unknown, so a trader will
look at historical and, if

# available, forecast volatility 

to help in making an intelligent guess about the future. In the final analysis, though, it is the future realized volatility that determines an option's value.

commonly
used
analogy to help new traders better understand the role of volatility is to think of
volatility as being similar to the weather. Suppose that a

## trader living in Chicago gets

 up on a July morning and must decide what clothes to wear that day. Will he consider putting on a heavy winter coat? This is probably not a logical choice because he knows that historically it is not sufficiently cold in Chicago in July to warrant wearing a winter coat. Next, he might turn on the radio or television to listen to theweather forecast.
forecaster is predicting clear skies with very
warm
temperatures close to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ $\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Based
on this
information, the trader has decided that he will wear a short-sleeve shirt and does not need a sweater or jacket. And he certainly won't need an umbrella. However, just to be sure, he decides to look out the window to see what the people passing in the street are wearing. To his
surprise, everyone is wearing a coat and carrying an umbrella. Through their choice of clothing, the people outside are implying different weather than the forecast. Given the conflicting information, what clothes should the trader wear? He must make some decision, but whom should he believe, the weather forecaster or the
people
in
the
street?
There
can be no certain answer
because the trader will not know the future weather until the end of the day. Much will depend on the trader's knowledge
of local conditions. Perhaps the trader lives in an area far removed from where the weather forecaster is located. Then he must give added weight to local conditions.

> The decision on what
clothes to wear, like every

## trading decision, depends on

 a great many factors. Not only must the decision be made on the basis of the best available information, but the decision must also be made with consideration for the possibility of error. What are the benefits of being right? What are the consequences of being wrong? If a trader fails to take an umbrella and it rains, this may be of little consequence if the bus pickshim up right outside his residence and drops him off right outside his place of work. On the other hand, if he must walk several blocks in the rain, he might become sick and have to miss several days of work. The choices are never easy, and one can only hope to make the decision that will turn out best in the long run.
Changing

# assumptions about volatility 

 can often have a dramatic effect on the value of an option. Figure 6-10 shows the prices, theoretical values, and implied volatilities for several gold options on July 31, 2012. Figure 6-11 focuses specifically on how these values change as we increase volatility from 14 to 18 percent. moment at call for the althoughall
the
options
increase in value, the 1600 call, the at-the-money option, increases the most, rising from 41.65 to 51.60 , a total of 9.95. At the same time, the 1800 call shows the greatest increase in percent terms. Its value more than triples from 0.78 to 3.05 , a total increase of 291 percent. These are important principles to which we will return later but that are worth stating now:

1. In total points, a change in volatility will have a greater effect on an at-themoney option than on an equivalent in-the-money or out-of-the-money option.
2. In percent volatility will have a greater effect on

> an out-of-themoney option than on an equivalent inthe-money or atthe-money option.

Figure 6-10 Gold eight-week (40 trading days) historical volatility.

July 31,2012
October gold futures $=1612.4$
Time to October expiration $=8$ weeks ( 56 days)
Interest rate $=0.50 \%$ '
Theoretical Value IfVolatility Is ...

| Exercise <br> Price | Settlement <br> Price | Implied <br> Volatility | Volatility $=14 \%$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volatility $=$ <br> October <br> calls |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1400 | 215.2 | $22.36 \%$ | 212.37 | 213.13 | 214.98 |
| 1500 | 122.5 | $19.01 \%$ | 116.05 | 121.01 | 127.34 |
| 1500 | 50.8 | $17.68 \%$ | 41.65 | 51.6 | 61.57 |
| 1700 | 16.1 | $18.42 \%$ | 8.08 | 15.28 | 23.49 |
| 1800 | 5.3 | $20.46 \%$ | 0.78 | 3.05 | 7 |
| 0 October |  |  |  |  |  |
| puts |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1400 | 2.9 | $22.26 \%$ | 0.13 | 0.89 | 2.74 |
| 1500 | 10.2 | $19.02 \%$ | 3.74 | 8.7 | 15.02 |
| 1600 | 38.4 | $17.68 \%$ | 29.26 | 39.21 | 49.18 |
| 1700 | 103.7 | $18.45 \%$ | 95.61 | 102.82 | 111.03 |
| 1800 | 192.8 | $20.50 \%$ | 188.23 | 190.51 | 194.46 |

*The prices in Figures 6-10 and 6-12 occurred during a period of unusually low interest rates.

Figure 6-11

## Juy 31.2012

October gold futures $=16124$
Time to October expirdion $=8$ veeks ( 56 days)
Interestraie $=0.50 \%$

| Exercise Price | Voadility $=14$ | Voatili $=18 \%$ | Net Change in Value | Percent Change <br> in Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October calls |  |  |  |  |
| 1400 | 21237 | 213.13 | 0.76 | $<1 \%$ |
| 1500 | 116.05 | 121.01 | 4.96 | 4.00\% |
| 1600 | 41.65 | 51.6 | 9.95 | 24.00\% |
| 1700 | 8.08 | 15.28 | 72 | 89.00\% |
| 1800 | 0.78 | 3.05 | 227 | 291.00\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & \text { puts } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1400 | 0.13 | 0.89 | 0.76 | 585.00\% |
| 1500 | 374 | 8.7 | 4.96 | 133.00\% |
| 1600 | 29.26 | 39.21 | 9.95 | 34.00\% |
| 1700 | 95.61 | 102.82 | 721 | 8.00\% |
| 1800 | 188.23 | 190.51 | 228 | 1.00\% |

## These same principles

 apply to puts as well as calls. The 1600 put increases the most in total points, rising from 29.26 to 39.21 , a total of 9.95. The 1400 put increases the most in percent terms, from 0.13 to 0.89 , or 585 percent.No matter how one

## measures <br> change, in-the-

money options tend to be the
least sensitive to changes in
volatility. As an option moves deeply into the money, it becomes more sensitive to changes in the underlying price and less sensitive to changes in volatility. Because it is often volatility characteristics that investors and traders are looking for when they go into an options market, it should not come as a surprise that most of the trading volume in option markets is concentrated in at-
the-money and out-of-themoney options, the options that are most sensitive to changes in volatility. In Figures 6-12 and 613, we can see that the same principles apply to longerterm options. The at-themoney options (the December 1600 call and put) change most in total points, whereas the out-of-the-money options (the December 1800 call and

# 1400 put) change most in 

 percent terms. As we would expect, the December option values are greater than the October option values with the same exercise price. But look at the magnitude of the changes aswe
change
volatility.
For the
same
exercise price, in total points, the December (long-term) options always change more than the October (short-term) options. This leads to a third

# principle <br> of <br> option evaluation: 

$3 . \quad$ A change in
volatility will have
a greater effect on a
long-term option
than an equivalent
short-term option.

Figure 6-12

```
July 31, 2012
December gold futures \(=1614.6\)
Time to December expiration \(=17\) weeks (119 days)
Interest rate \(=0.50 \%\)
```


## Theoretical Value Ifvolatility Is ...

Exerise Settlement Implied
Price Price Volatility Volatility $=14 \% \quad$ Volatility $=18 \% \quad$ Volatility $=22 \%$

| December <br> calls |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1400 | 226.3 | $22.00 \%$ | 216.03 | 220.06 | 226.3 |
| 1500 | 142.7 | $20.17 \%$ | 126.41 | 136.59 | 148.05 |
| 1600 | 78.8 | $19.51 \%$ | 58.78 | 73.31 | 87.86 |
| 1700 | 40 | $19.93 \%$ | 20.71 | 33.52 | 47.1 |
| 1800 | 20.4 | $21.07 \%$ | 5.44 | 13.03 | 22.84 |

December
puts

| 1400 | 11.9 | $21.92 \%$ | 1.78 | 5.81 | 12.04 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1500 | 28.2 | $20.14 \%$ | 12 | 22.18 | 33.64 |
| 1600 | 64.2 | $19.50 \%$ | 44.2 | 58.74 | 73.25 |
| 1700 | 125.3 | $19.94 \%$ | 105.98 | 118.79 | 132.36 |
| 1800 | 205.5 | $21.07 \%$ | 190.54 | 198.13 | 207.94 |

Figure 6-13

| July 31. 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decemberg | futures $=1614.6$ |  |  |  |
| Time to dec | ber expiration $=1$ | eeks (119 days) |  |  |
| Interestrate |  |  |  |  |
| Exercise <br> Price | $\text { Volatility }=14 \text { : }$ | Volatily $=18 \%$ | NetChange in Value | Percent Change in Value |
| December calls |  |  |  |  |
| 1400 | 216.03 | 220.06 | 4.03 | $2 \%$ |
| 1500 | 126.41 | 136.59 | 10.18 | 8\% |
| 1600 | 58.78 | 73.31 | 14.53 | 25\% |
| 1700 | 20.71 | 33.52 | 12.81 | 62\% |
| 1800 | 5.44 | 13.03 | 7.59 | 140\% |
| December <br> puts |  |  |  |  |
| 1400 | 1.78 | 5.81 | 4.03 | 226\% |
| 1500 | 12.00 | 22.18 | 10.18 | 85\% |
| 1600 | 44.20 | 58.74 | 14.54 | 33\% |
| 1700 | 105.98 | 118.79 | 12.81 | 12\% |
| 1800 | 190.54 | 198.13 | 759 | 4\% |

## The reader may have

noticed points figures.
 several interesting foregoing although implied volatilities across exercise prices, calls and puts with the same exercise price and that expire at the same time have very similar implied volatilities. Second, when we change volatility, calls and puts with the same exercise price and
time to expiration change by approximately the same amount. These characteristics are the result of an important relationship $\underline{10}$ between calls and puts at the same exercise price, a relationship that we will examine in more detail in Chapter 15.

> Finally, we might ask how much the volatility of gold can eight-week period? Is a 4
percentage point change a real possibility? In fact, from Figure 6-14, the eight-week historical volatility for the $31 / 2$ years leading up to July 2012, we can see that such changes are not at all uncommon.

Figure 6-14 Gold eight-week (40 trading days) historical volatility.
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## Given its importance, it

 is not surprising that serious option traders spend considerable amount of time thinking about volatility. From the historical, forecast, and implied volatility, trader must try to make an intelligent decision about future volatility. From this, he will try to choose option strategies that will beprofitable when he is right but
that will not result in a serious loss when he is
wrong. Because of the
difficulty in predicting
volatility, a trader must always look for strategies that will leave the greatest margin for error. No trader will survive very long pursuing strategies based on a future volatility estimate
percent if
such a strategy results in a significant loss when volatility actually turns
out to be 18 or 22 percent. Given the shifts that occur in volatility, a 2 percentage point margin for error may be no margin for error at all. We have not yet concluded our discussion of volatility.

But
before continuing, it will be useful to look at option characteristics, trading strategies, and risk considerations. We will then be in a better position to
examine volatility in greater detail.
$\underline{1}$ The pinball maze, or quincunx (sometimes also called a Galton board), pictured in these examples is often used to demonstrate basic probability theory. Examples of a quincunx in action can be found at the following websites:
$\underline{2}$ The reader who is familiar with the mean and standard deviation and who would like to check the arithmetic will find that the actual mean and standard deviation are 7.49 and 3.02 . For simplicity, we have rounded these to 7.50 and 3.00 .
$\underline{3}$ As markets around the world become
more integrated, and with the advent of electronic trading, it may become more difficult to determine exactly what fraction of a year one day represents. Depending on the contract and exchange, in some cases it may be sensible to look at prices every day, 365 days per year.
$\underline{4}^{\text {A price change greater than two }}$ standard deviations will occur about 1 time in 20. Because there are approximately 20 trading days in a month, as an additional benchmark, most traders expect to see a daily two standard deviation occurrence about once a month.
$\underline{5}$
Alternative methods of estimating volatility have also been proposed when
trading is continuous or when there is no well-defined daily settlement price. See, for example, Michael Parkinson, "The Extreme Value Method of Estimating the Variance of the Rate of Return," Journal of Business 53(1):61 64, 1980; Mark B. Garman and Michael J. Klass, "On the Estimation of Security Price Volatilities from Historical Data," Journal of Business 53(1):67-78, 1980; and Stan Beckers, "Variance of Security Price Returns Based on High, Low, and Closing Prices," Journal of Business 56(1):97-112, 1983.
$\underline{6}$ This method of quoting Eurocurrency contracts is used so that moves in Eurocurrency contracts will tend to mimic moves in bond prices. If interest

## rates rise, both bond prices and

 Eurocurrency futures will fall; if interest rates fall, both bond prices and Eurocurrency futures will rise.7
It will be useful for an option trader to become familiar with the characteristics of the exponential function [ex or $\exp (x)]$ and its inverse, the logarithmic function $[\ln (x)]$. These can be found in any algebra or finance text.
$\underline{8}$ In order to turn price changes into continuously compounded returns, volatility is most often calculated using logarithmic price changes-the natural logarithm of the current price divided by the previous price. In most cases, there is little practical difference between the percent price changes and
logarithmic price changes.
$\underline{9}$ For exchange-traded contracts,
volatility calculations using daily
intervals typically include only business days because these are the only days on which prices can actually change. If there are five trading days per week, a 50-day volatility covers a period of approximately 10 weeks.
10 Some readers may already be familiar with this relationship-put-call parity.

## Risk

## Measurement I

 Every trader who enters the marketplace must balance two opposing considerations _reward and risk. A trader
# hopes that his analysis of 

 market conditions is correct and that this will lead to profitable trading strategies. But no sensible trader can afford to ignorethe
possibility of error. If he is wrong and market conditions change in a way that adversely affects his position, how badly might the trader be hurt? A trader who fails to consider the risks associated with his position is certain to

## have a short and <br> unhappy

 career.
## A trader who purchases

 stock or a futures contract is concerned almost exclusively with the direction in which the market moves. If the trader has a long position, he is at risk from a declining market; if he has a short position, he is at risk from a rising market. Unfortunately, the risks with which an optiontrader must deal are not so simple. A wide variety of forces can affect an option's value. If a trader uses a theoretical pricing model to evaluate options, any of the inputs into the model can represent a risk because there is always a chance that the inputs have been estimated incorrectly. Even if the inputs are correct under current market conditions, over time, conditions may change in a
way that will adversely affect the value of his option position. Because of the many forces affecting an option's value, prices can change in ways that may surprise even experienced traders. Because decisions often must be made quickly, and sometimes without the aid of a computer, much of an option trader's education
focuses
on
understanding
the
risks
associated
with
an
option

# position and how changing 

 market conditions are likely to change the value of the position.Let's begin
summarizing some basic risk characteristics of options, as shown in Figure 7-1. The general effect on option values of changes in the underlying price, volatility, and time to expiration are well defined regardless of the
type of option. But the effect of changing interest rates may vary depending on the underlying contract and settlement procedure.

Figure 7-1 Effect of changing market conditions on option values.
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A change in interest rates can affect options in two ways. First, it may change the forward price underlying contract. Second, it may change the present value of the option. In stock option markets, rising interest rates will increase the forward price, causing call values to rise and put values to fall. At the same time, higher interest rates will reduce the present value of both calls and puts.

Put values clearly will fall because both results have the effect of reducing put values. For calls, though, the results have opposing effects. The higher forward price will cause the call to increase in value, but the higher interest rate will reduce the present value of the call. Because the price of a stock is always greater than the price of an option, the increase in the
forward price will always
have a greater effect than the reduced present value. Consequently, call options on stocks will rise in value as interest rates rise and fall as interest rates fall. Put options on stocks will do just the opposite, falling in value as interest rates rise and rising in value as interest rates fall.
The value of a stock
option will also depend on whether a trader has a long or
short stock position. If a trader's option position also includes a short stock position, he is effectively reducing the interest rate by the borrowing costs required to sell the stock short (see the section "Short Sales" in Chapter 2). This will reduce the forward price, thereby lowering the value of calls and raising the value of puts. As a consequence, the trader who is carrying a short stock
position ought to be willing to sell calls at a lower price or buy puts at a higher price. If the trader either sells calls or buys puts, he will hedge by purchasing stock, which will offset his short stock position.

> The fact that option
values depend on whether the trader hedges with long stock or short stock presents a complication that
most traders would prefer to avoid.

This leads to a useful rule for stock option traders:
Whenever possible
trader should avoid a short stock position.

As a corollary, many active option traders prefer to carry some long stock as part of their position. Then, if the trader must sell stock to hedge a position, he will be able to sell the stock long rather than short. The trader
need not worry about using a different interest rate because any long stock transaction is always subject to the long, or ordinary, interest rate. Nor will he have to worry about any regulatory restrictions on the short sale of stock.

## Although stock options

 are always assumed to be subject to stock-type settlement, with immediate cash payment for the option,the settlement procedure for options on futures contracts may vary depending on the exchange. In the United States, options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement, while outside the United

States,
options
on
futures are usually subject to futures-type settlement. In the latter case, no money changes hands when either the option
or
the
underlying
futures
contract
iS

## Consequently, interest rates become irrelevant-neither the forward price nor the present value is affected. <br> on futures that are subject to futures-type settlement <br> are therefore insensitive to changes in interest rates. If, however,

 options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement, increasing interest rates will leave the forward price unchanged but will reduce theoption's present value. As a result,

call and
put
values will
decline. The effect, however, is usually small because the value of the option, unless it is very deeply in the money, is small relative to the value of the underlying contract. Futures options are therefore much less sensitive to changes in interest rates than options on stocks.

## We also might consider

the case of foreign-currency options. ${ }^{1}$ Here the situation is more complex because the value of the option is affected by two interest
rates

domestic rate and a foreign rate. Going back to the forward pricing relationships in Chapter 2, where $S$ is the spot exchange rate, we can see that the forward price for a foreign currency will fall if
we increase the foreign rate (the denominator becomes larger) and rise if we reduce the foreign rate (the denominator
becomes smaller)


This means that call values will fall and put values will rise as we increase the foreign rate.

## We can also see that the

 forward price for a currency will rise if we increase the domestic rate (the numerator becomes larger) and fall if we reduce the domestic rate (the numerator becomes smaller). But for options that are subject to stock-type settlement, an increase in the domestic rate will also reduce the present value of the option. As with stock options, the increase in the forwardprice will tend to dominate. Therefore, as we increase the domestic rate, call values will rise and put values will fall. The effects of changing interest rates are summarized in Figures 7-2 and 7-3.

Figure 7-2 Effect of changing interest rates on option values.

|  | Iidomestic <br> ales rise | Ifdomestic <br> Bles 5 ही | Hiforeign <br> reles rise | Ifforeign <br> Ides 5 all |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stockotion calswill | lise | fall | notappicable | notaplicale |
| stockotion putswill | fall | rise | notapplicale | notapplicale |
| futuresotioncals |  |  |  |  |
| (sockl-kpes settement) | fall | fall | notapplicale | notapplicalle |
| futuresoption puts |  |  |  |  |
| (soodkype settlement) | fall | fall | notaplicale | notapplicale |
| futuresotioncals | no | no |  |  |
| (fuwesstypesestiement) | effect | effect | notapplicale | notapplicale |
| futuresoption puts | no | no |  |  |
| (futures-type sestlement) | effect | eflect | notappicable | notaplicale |
| foreignnurency optioncalls | IISe | fall | fall | Tise |
| foreign currency option puts | fall | İse | rise | fall |

Figure 7－3 Effect of changing dividends on stock option values．
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## If we are evaluating

 options on stock and the stock is expected to pay a dividend over the life of the option，a
## change in the dividend will

 also affect the value of the option because it will change the forward price of the stock. Increasing the dividend will reduce the forward price, causing call values to fall and put values to rise. Reducing the dividend will increase the forward price, causing call values to rise and put values to fall.Even if we are familiar
with the general effects of changing market conditions on option values, we still need to determine the magnitude of the risk. If market conditions change, will the change in option values be large

orsmall, representing either a major or minor risk, or something in between? Fortunately, addition to the theoretical value,
pricing models generate a variety of other
numbers that enable us to determine both the direction and magnitude of the change. These variously numbers, known (because they are commonly abbreviated with Greek letters), the risk measures, or (for the mathematically inclined) the partial derivatives, will not answer all our questions concerning changing market conditions,
but they are an important
starting point in analyzing the risks associated with both simple and complex option positions.

## The Delta

## The delta $(\Delta)$ is a measure

 of an option's risk with respect to the direction of movement in the underlying contract. A positive delta indicates a desire for upwardmovement; a negative delta indicates a desire for downward movement. The delta has several different interpretations, any of which may be useful to a trader depending on the types of strategies being executed.

Rate of Change
At expiration, an option is worth exactly its intrinsic
value. Prior to expiration, however, the theoretical value of an option is a curve that will approach intrinsic value as the option goes very deeply into the money or very far out of the money. This is shown in Figure 7-4. As the underlying price rises, the slope of the graph approaches +1 ; as the underlying price falls, the slope of the graph approaches zero. The delta of the call at any given
underlying price is the slope of the graph-the rate of change in the option's value with respect to movement in the underlying contract.

Figure 7-4 Theoretical value of a call.
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## Assuming that all other

 market conditions remain unchanged, a call option can never gain or lose value more quickly than the underlying contract, nor can it move in the opposite direction of the underlying market. The delta of a call must therefore have an upper bound of 1.00 if the call is very deeply in the money and a lower bound of 0 if the call is very far out ofthe money. Most calls will have deltas somewhere between 0 and 1.00 , changing value more slowly than changes in the price of the underlying contract. A call with a delta of 0.25 will change its value at 25 percent of the rate of change in the price of the underlying contract. If the underlying rises (falls) 1.00, the option can be expected to rise (fall) 0.25. A call with a delta of
0.75 will change its value at 75 percent of the rate of change in the price of the underlying contract. If the underlying rises (falls) 0.60, the option can be expected to gain (lose) 0.45 in value. A call with a delta close to 0.50 will rise or fall in value at just about half the rate of change in the price of the underlying contract.

Puts have characteristics
similar to calls except that put values move in the opposite direction of the underlying market. In Figure 7-5, we can see that when the underlying price rises, puts lose value; when the underlying price falls, puts gain value. For this reason, puts
always have negative deltas, ranging from 0 for far out-of-the-money puts to -1.00 for deeply in-the-money puts. As with call deltas, put deltas measure the
rate of change in the put's value with respect to a change in the price of the underlying, but the negative sign indicates that the change will be in the opposite direction of the underlying contract. A put with a delta of -0.10 will change its value at 10 percent of the rate of change in the price of the underlying contract, but in the opposite direction. If the underlying
moves up (down) 0.50 , the
put can be expected to lose (gain) 0.05 in value. A put with a delta of -0.50 will change its value at approximately half the rate of the underlying, but in the opposite direction.

Figure 7-5 Theoretical value of a put.
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## An option position is

often combined
with
a
position in the underlying
contract. To determine the total risk of a combined position, we will need to assign a delta value to the underlying
contract.
Logically, a position in the underlying contract will gain or lose value at exactly the rate of change in the underlying price. Therefore,

# regardless of whether the 

 underlying is stock, a futures contract, or some other instrument, the underlying contract always has a delta of 1.00 .
## Although delta values

 range from 0 to 1.00 for calls and from 0 to -1.00 for puts, it has become common practice among many option traders to express delta values as a whole number bydropping the decimal point, a convention that we will follow in this text. $\frac{2}{}$ Using this format, the delta of a call will fall within the range of 0 to 100 , and the delta of a put within the range of -100 to 0 . An underlying contract will always have a delta of 100 .

## Hedge Ratio

In Chapter 5,
introduced the concept of a riskless, or neutral, hedge, a position that, within a small price range, will neither gain nor lose value as the price of the underlying contract moves up or down. We can determine the proper number of underlying contracts to
option contracts required for such a hedge by dividing 100 (the delta of the underlying contract) by the option's delta. For a call option with a
delta of 50 , the proper hedge ratio is $100 / 50$, or $2 / 1$. For every two options purchased (sold), we need to sell (buy) one underlying contract to establish a neutral hedge. A call option with a delta of 40 requires the sale (purchase) of two underlying contracts for every five options purchased (sold) because $100 / 40=5 / 2$.

> The hedge ratio
interpretation also applies to
puts, except that when we buy puts, we need to buy the underlying contract, and when we sell puts, we need to sell the underlying contract. A put with a delta of -75 will require the purchase (sale) of three underlying contracts for each four puts purchased (sold) because $100 /-75=4 /-$ 3 .

> A position is neutrally
hedged, or delta neutral, if
the total of all the deltas that make up the position add up to 0 . If we buy two calls with a delta of 50 each and sell one underlying contract, the total delta position is

If we sell four puts with a delta of -75 each and sell three underlying contracts,

# the total delta position is 



Both positions are delta neutral. ${ }^{3}$

A position that is delta neutral has no particular preference for either upward or downward movement in the price of the underlying contract. Although a trader
may take whatever delta position he feels is appropriate, either bullish (delta positive) or bearish (delta negative), we will see in Chapter 8 that a trader who is trying to capture the theoretical value of an option must start with and maintain a delta-neutral position over the entire life of an option.

## Theoretical or

## Equivalent underlying

## Position

## Many option traders come

 to the option market after trading in the underlying contract. Futuresoption traders
often
start
their
careers by trading futures; stock option traders often start by trading stock. If a trader has become
accustomed to evaluating his risk in terms of the number of underlying contracts bought or sold (either futures contracts or shares of stock), he can use the delta to equate the directional risk of an option position with a position of similar size in the underlying market.

## Because an underlying

 contract always has a delta of 100 , in terms of directional
# risk, each 100 deltas in an option position is theoretically equivalent to one underlying contract. A 

 trader who owns an option with a delta of 50 is long, or controls, approximately half of an underlying contract. If he owns 10 such contracts, he is long 500 deltas or, in equivalent terms, five underlying contracts. If the $\begin{array}{lll}\text { underlying } & \text { is } & \text { a futures } \\ \text { contract, } & \text { the } & \text { trader is }\end{array}$
## theoretically long five such

 contracts. If the underlying is a stock contract consisting of 100 shares of stock, he is theoretically long 500 shares of stock. The trader has a similar theoretical position if he sells 20 puts with a delta of -25 each because $-20 \times$ $25=+500$. It is importantinterpretation as an equivalent to an underlying position. An option is not simply a surrogate for an underlying position.

An actual underlying position is almost exclusively sensitive

# delta position may 

 ignoring other factors that could have a far greater impact on his position. The delta represents an equivalent underlying position only under very narrowly defined market conditions.Which interpretation-
rate of change in the theoretical value, the hedge
ratio,
or
the
equivalent
underlying
position-should
a trader use? That depends on how the trader intends to use the delta. A trader who has a delta position of +500 knows that he has a position that is similar to being long five underlying
contracts
(the equivalent-underlyingposition interpretation). If he is a disciplined theoretical trader striving to maintain a delta-neutral position, he
must sell five underlying contracts (the hedge-ratio

## interpretation). And finally, if

 he is bullish and maintains hiscurrent
delta
position
of
+500 ,
the
value
of
his
position
will
change
at
approximately five times, or
500 percent, of the rate of change in the price of the underlying contract (the rate-of-change interpretation). If the price of the underlying contract rises by 2.00 , the trader's position should gain approximately 10.00 . If the

# price of the underlying 

 contract falls by 1.25, the trader's position should lose approximately6.25.

Mathematically, all these interpretations are the same. A trader will choose a delta interpretation that is consistent with his approach to trading.

## Probability

## There <br> 1S

interpretation of the delta that is perhaps of less practical use, but is still worth mentioning. If we ignore the sign of the delta (positive for calls, negative for puts), the delta is approximately equal to the probability that the option will finish in the money. A call with a delta of 25 or a put with a delta of -25 has approximately a 25
percent chance of finishing in
the money. A call with a delta of 75 or a put with a delta of -75 has approximately a 75 percent chance of finishing in the money. As an option's delta moves closer to 100 , or -100 for puts, the option becomes more and more likely to finish in the money. As the delta moves closer to 0, the option becomes less and less likely to finish in the money. This also explains why
at-the-money
options
tend to have deltas close to 50. If we assume that price changes are random, there is half a chance that the market will rise (the option goes into the money) and half a chance that the market will fall (the option goes out of the money). 4

Of course, the delta is only an approximation of the probability because interest considerations and, in the
case of stock options,
dividends may distort this interpretation. Moreover, most option strategies depend not only on whether an option finishes in the money but also by how much. If a trader sells an option with a delta of 10 in the belief that the option will expire worthless nine times out of 10 , he may indeed be correct. But, if on the tenth time he loses an amount
greater than the total
premium he took in the nine times the option expired worthless, the trade will result in a negative expected return. To trade options intelligently, we need to consider not only how often a strategy wins or loses but also how much it wins or loses. Every experienced trader is willing to accept several small losses if he can occasionally offset these with one big win that more than offsets the losses.

## In the same way, no

 experienced trader will want to pursue a strategy that leads to multiple small profits but occasionally rdisastrous loss. $\underline{5}$

## The Gamma

## Figure $7-6$ shows call and put delta values using the

 whole-number format. Even though deltas range from 0 to100 for calls and from -100 to 0 for puts, the graphs are not straight lines. As the underlying price rises or falls, the slope of the graph
changes, approaching 0 at both extremes. If this were not true, the delta values of calls could fall below 0 or rise above 100, and the delta values of puts could fall below -100 or rise above 0 . The slope appears to be greatest when the underlying
price is close to the option's exercise price.

Figure 7-6 Delta values.


## The <br> gamma <br> (Г), <br> sometimes referred to as the

 option's curvature, is the rate of change in the delta as the underlying price changes. The gamma is usually expressed in deltas gained or lost per one-point change in the underlying, with the delta increasing by the amount of the gamma when the underlying rises and falling by the amount of the gammawhen the underlying falls. If an option has a gamma of 5, for each point rise (fall) in the price of the underlying, the option will gain (lose) 5 deltas. $\frac{6}{}$ If the option initially has a delta of 25 and the underlying moves up (down) one full point, the new delta of the option will be 30 (20). If the underlying moves up (down) another point, the new delta will be 35 (15). ${ }^{7}$

## From Figure 7-6, we can

see that the delta graphs of both calls and puts have essentially the same shape and that the graphs always have a positive slope. This suggests that calls and puts with the same exercise price and time to expiration have the same gamma values and that these values are always positive. This may
seem strange to a new trader who, because of the delta, tends to

# associate positive numbers with calls and negative 

 numbers with puts. But regardless of whether we are working with calls or puts, we always add the gamma to the old delta as the underlying price rises and subtract the gamma from the old delta as the underlying price falls. When a trader is long options, whether calls or puts, he has a long gamma position.
# For example, consider 

both
an
at-the-money
call
with a delta of 50 and an at-the-money put with a delta of -50. How will the delta change as the underlying price changes if both options have gamma values of 5 ? If the underlying price rises one full point, we add the gamma of 5 to the call delta of 50 to get the new delta of 55 . To get the new put delta if the underlying contract rises one
point, we also add the gamma of 5 to the put delta of -50 to get the new delta of -45 . This is intuitively logical-as the underlying price rises, at-themoney calls move into the money and at-the-money puts move out of the money. If the underlying contract falls one full point, in both cases we subtract the gamma, resulting in a call delta of $50-5=45$ and a put delta of $-50-5=-$
55 . Now the call is moving
out of the money and the put is moving into the money.

## Because <br> all <br> options

 individually have positive gamma values, we can create a positive gamma position by buying options, either calls or puts, and a negative gamma position by selling options. For a complex position consisting of many different options, we use the same interpretation of the gammaas we do for individual options, adding the gamma to the old delta as the underlying contract rises and subtracting the gamma as the market falls. A positive gamma position will gain deltas as the market rises (we are adding a positive number) and lose deltas as the market falls (we are subtracting a positive number). A negative gamma position will behave in just the opposite way,
losing deltas as the market rises (we are adding a negative number) and gaining deltas as the market falls (we are subtracting a negative number). Moreover, the rate of change in the delta will be determined by the size of the gamma position. New traders are often advised to avoid large gamma positions, particularly negative ones, because of the speed with
which the directional risk, as
reflected by the delta, can change.

While the delta is a measure of how an option's value will change if the underlying price changes, it is important to remember that it represents an instantaneous measure. It is only valid for very small price changes. If the underlying makes sizable move, any estimate of the option's new value using
a constant delta will become less and less reliable. We can, however, improve this estimate if we also take into consideration the gamma. Suppose that at price $S_{1}$ a call has a theoretical value $C$, a delta $\Delta$, and a gamma $\Gamma$. If the price of the underlying changes from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$, what should be the new value of the option? One approach might be to simply multiply
the change in price, $S_{2}-S_{1}$, by the delta and add it to the original value $C$

$$
C+\left[\Delta \times\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right)\right]
$$

## But this assumes that the

 delta is constant, which it is not. As the underlying price moves from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$, the delta of the option is also changing. When the underlying price reaches $S_{2}$, the new delta of
## the option will be

$$
\Delta+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times \Gamma
$$

Which delta should we use for our calculation, the original delta $(\Delta)$ or the new delta $\left[\Delta+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times \Gamma\right]$ ? Rather than use either of these delta values, we might logically use the average delta over the price range $S_{1}-S_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average delta }=\left[\Delta+\Delta+\left(S_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-S_{2}\right) \times \Gamma\right] / 2=\Delta+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times \\
& \text { This is not a precise } \\
& \text { solution because the gamma } \\
& \text { also changes as the } \\
& \text { underlying price changes, but } \\
& \text { it will yield a better estimate } \\
& \text { than using a constant delta. } \\
& \text { Using the average delta, the } \\
& \text { new value of the option } \\
& \text { should be approximately }
\end{aligned}
$$

# $C+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times\left[\Delta+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right)\right.$ 

$$
\times \Gamma / 2]=C+\left[\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times \Delta\right]+
$$

$$
\left[\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right)^{2} \times \Gamma / 2\right]
$$

## This approach applies

 equally well to puts, as long as we remember that a put will have a negative delta.For example, suppose
that at an underlying price of
97.50, a call option has a theoretical value of 3.65 , a delta of 40 , and a gamma of
2.5. If the underlying contract rises to 101.50 , what should be the option's new value? At the new underlying price of 101.50 , the delta of the option is

$$
40+4 \times 2.5=50
$$

The average delta as the underlying price rises from 97.50 to 101.50 is

$$
(40+50) / 2=45
$$

## Using the average delta,

 the new option value is approximately$3.65+(4.00 \times 0.45)=5.45$

## The Theta

An option's value is made up of intrinsic value and time value. As time passes, the time-value portion gradually disappears until, at expiration,
the option is worth exactly its intrinsic value. This can be seen in Figures 7-7 and 7-8.

Figure 7-7 Theoretical value of a call as time passes.


Figure 7-8 Theoretical value of a put as time passes.
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## The theta $(\Theta)$, or time

decay, is the rate at which an option loses value as time passes, assuming that all other market conditions remain unchanged. It is usually expressed as value lost per one day's passage of time. An option with a theta of 0.05 will lose 0.05 in value for each day that passes with no movement in the
underlying contract. If its
theoretical value today is 4.00 , one day later it will be worth 3.95 . Two days later it will be worth 3.90 .

## Almost all options lose

 value as time passes. For this reason, it is common to express the theta as a negative number, a convention that we will follow in this text. An option with a theta of -0.05 will lose 0.05 for each day that passes with no changes inany other market conditions.
We will look at theta in greater detail in Chapter 9. For now, there is one important characteristic of theta that
is
worth
mentioning: if an option is exactly at the money as time passes, the theta of the option increases. With three months remaining to expiration, an at-the-money option may have
a
theta
of
-0.03 .

However, with three weeks to expiration, the same option, if it is still at the money, may have a theta of -0.06 . And with three days to expiration, the option may have a theta of -0.16 . The theta becomes increasingly large as expiration approaches. Is it ever possible for an option to have a positive theta such that if nothing changes, the option will be worth more

## tomorrow than it is today? In

 fact, this can happen because of the depressing effect of interest rates. Consider a 60 call on an underlying contract that is currently trading at 100. How much might this call be worth if we know that at expiration the underlying contract will still be at 100 ? At expiration, the option will be worth 40, its intrinsic value. However, if the option is subject to stock-typesettlement, today it will only be worth the present value of 40, perhaps 39. If the underlying price remains at 100 , as time passes, the value of the option must rise from 39 (its value today) to 40 (its intrinsic value at expiration). The option in effect has negative time value and therefore a positive theta. It will be worth slightly more as each day passes.
shown in Figure $7-9$.

Figure 7-9 If an option has negative time value, its theta will be positive; as time passes, the value of the option will rise toward intrinsic value.
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## Instances of negative

time value and, consequently, positive theta are relatively rare. At a minimum, the option must be subject to stock-type settlement, it must be deeply in the money, and it must also be European with no possibility of early exercise. If the option were American, everyone would exercise it today in order to earn interest on the intrinsic
value. We will discuss this situation in greater detail when we take a closer look at early exercise of American options.

## The Vega

Just as option values are sensitive to changes in the underlying price (delta) and to the passage of time (theta),
they are also sensitive to

# changes in volatility. This is 

 shown in Figures 7-10 and 711. Although the terms delta, gamma, and theta are used by all option traders, there is no one generally accepted term for the sensitivity of an option's theoretical value to a change in volatility. The most commonly used term in the trading community is vega, and this is the term that will be used in this text. But this is by no means universal.Because vega is not a Greek letter, a common alternative in academic literature, where Greek letters are preferred, is kappa (K). ${ }^{-}$

Figure 7-10 Theoretical value of a call with changing volatility.
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## Figure 7-11 Theoretical value of a

 put with changing volatility.

## The vega of an option is

 usually expressed as the change in theoretical value for each one percentage point change in volatility. Because all options gain value with rising volatility, the vega for both calls and puts is positive. If an option has a vega of 0.15 , for each percentage point increase (decrease) in volatility, the option will gain (lose) 0.15 in theoreticalvalue. If the option has a theoretical value of 3.25 at a volatility of 20 percent, then it will have a theoretical value of 3.40 at a volatility of 21 percent and a theoretical value of 3.10 at a volatility of 19 percent.

## The Rho

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The sensitivity of an } \\
& \text { tion's theoretical value to a }
\end{aligned}
$$

change in interest rates is given by its rho (P), usually expressed as the change in theoretical value for each one percentage point change in interest rates. Unlike the other sensitivities, one cannot generalize about the rho because its characteristics depend on the type of underlying instrument and the settlement procedure for the
options.
The general
effects
have
already
been
summarized in Figure 7-2.
Note that foreign-currency options that require delivery of the currency rather than delivery of a futures contract are affected by both domestic and foreign interest rates. Hence, such options have two interest-rate sensitivities, rho $_{1}$

# (the domestic interest-rate 

 sensitivity) and $\mathrm{rho}_{2}$ (the foreign interest-rate sensitivity).sometimes denoted by the Greek letter phi ( $\Phi$ ).
If both the underlying
contract and the options are subject to
futures-type settlement, the rho must be 0 because no cash flow results from either a trade in the underlying contract or a trade in the options. When options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement, the rho associated with both calls and
puts is negative. An increase in interest rates will decrease
the
value
of
such
options because it raises the cost of carrying the options. In the case of stock options, calls will have positive rho values (an increase in interest rates will make calls a more desirable alternative to buying the stock) and puts will have negative rho values (an increase in interest rates will make puts a less
desirable alternative to selling the stock).
Although changes
least important of the inputs into a pricing model. For this reason, the rho is usually considered less critical than the delta, gamma, theta, or vega. Indeed, few individual traders worry about the rho.

However, a firm or trader who has a very large option position should at least be aware of the interest-rate risk associated with the position. As with any risk, if it becomes too large, it may be necessary to take steps to reduce the risk. Because of its relatively minor importance, in most examples, we will disregard the rho in analyzing option strategies and managing risk.

## We know that the delta

 of an underlying contract is always 100 , but what is the gamma, theta, vega, and rho of an underlying contract? The gamma is the rate of change in the delta with respect to movement in the underlying contract. But the delta of an underlying contract is always 100 regardless of price changes.Therefore, the gamma must be 0 . An underlying contract
does not decay, so its theta must also be 0 . Nor is the underlying contract subject to volatility considerations, so its vega must be 0 . And finally, changes in interest rates do not affect the value of an underlying contract, so the rho must also be 0.10 The only risk measure we associate with an underlying contract is the delta;
everything else is 0 . The
signs of the risk measures for an underlying contract, for calls, and for puts are summarized in Figure 7-12.

Figure 7-12

# Yourdeita gamma Yourtheta Yourvega Yourtho 

lypuare... positionis positionis positionis positionis postionis

Longthe
underying contract
$\psi$
0
0
0
Short he
underying contract +
0
0
0

| Longcalls | + | t | - | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & + \text { (onstock) } \\ & - \text { (onfutures)* } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shortcalls | - | - | + | - | $\begin{gathered} =\text { (onstock) } \\ \text { +(onfutures)* } \end{gathered}$ |
| Longouts | - | $t$ | - | $\dagger$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { - (onstock) } \\ =\text { (onfutures)* } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | - (onstock) |
| Shortputs | + | - | + | - | + (onfutures)* |

This applies when options on ntures are subjectio stod -yppesetlement: Ifoptions co futures aresibject tofutures-ypes settement the effecthe tho izzero.

# Interpreting the Risk 

## Measures

If a trader has a position consisting of only a small number of options, it is probably not necessary to do a detailed risk analysis. In all likelihood, the trader already has a fairly clear picture of the potential risks and

# rewards associated with the 

 position. However, if the position becomes more complex, with options at different expiration dates over a wide range of exercise prices, it may not be immediately apparent what risks the trader has taken on. A good starting point in analyzing the risk of a position is to consider the risk measures associated with the position.
## Figure 7-13 <br> shows <br> a

theoretical evaluation for a hypothetical series of options on stock, where the underlying contract is 100 shares. Figure 7-14 shows several different positions with the total delta, gamma, theta, vega, and rho for each position. We will assume that each position was initiated at the quoted prices.

Figure 7-13

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock pice }=99.50 \\ & \text { Volatility }=25 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | Time to June expriation $=91$ days |  |  | Interestrate $=6.00 \%$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| incolls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exercise Price | Call <br> Price | Theoretical Value | Delta | Gamma | Theta | Vega | Rho |
| 90 | 12.30 | 1196 | 84 | 2.0 | -0.029 | 0.122 | 0.178 |
| 95 | 8.55 | 833 | 71 | 2.8 | -0.034 | 0.170 | 0.155 |
| 100 | 5.35 | 5.44 | 56 | 3.2 | -0.035 | 0.196 | 0.124 |
| 105 | 3.15 | 332 | 40 | 3.1 | -0.032 | 0.192 | 0.091 |
| 110 | 1.80 | 190 | 27 | 2.6 | $-0.027$ | 0.163 | 0.062 |
| inefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exerise Price | Put Price | Theoretical <br> Value | Della | Gamma | Thela | Vega | Rho |
| 90 | 1.45 | 1.12 | -16 | 2.0 | -0.014 | 0.122 | -0.043 |
| 95 | 2.63 | 2.42 | -29 | 28 | $-0.0 .19$ | 0.170 | $-0.078$ |
| 100 | 4.35 | 4.45 | -44 | 3.2 | -0.019 | 0.196 | -0.121 |
| 105 | 7.10 | 7.26 | -60 | 3.1 | -0.015 | 0.195 | -0.167 |
| 110 | 10.70 | 10.77 | -73 | 2.6 | -0.009 | 0.163 | -0.209 |

## Figure 7-14

## Thenerial

| Positon | 飭 | 品 | Cimm | That | Vex | the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. 10 wne 5 Sals tiondeliyngachitacts | $\begin{aligned} & 10 x+22 \\ & \frac{1}{+22020} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \times 11 \\ & \frac{+7 \times 100}{.10} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10228 \\ \frac{0}{-28} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10 \times 0.034 \\ \frac{1}{+34} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \times 170 \\ & \frac{0}{-170} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \times .155 \\ & \frac{0}{-1.55} \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 .+10 \mathrm{me}$ e 10 O als 40lune:TDputs | $\begin{aligned} & 10 x-11 \\ & \frac{10 x-10}{-210} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \times 56 \\ & \frac{40 x-42}{+120} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +10 \times 32 \\ +1032 . \\ \hline+64 \end{array}$ | $+10 x-035$ <br> $+10 x-119$ <br> $-34$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \times 1.1 \% \\ +\frac{10 \times 19 \%}{492} \end{array}$ | $+10 \times 124$ <br> $4(10-121$ <br> $+30$ |
| 3. Houne IV5pis -20 MineS5puts | $10 x+16$ <br> $20 x+21$ <br> +580) | $+10 x-60$ <br> $-2 x-22$ <br> $-10$ | $\begin{aligned} & +1003.1 \\ & -\frac{20288}{25} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 x: 015 \\ & -\frac{10 x-0119}{+23} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +10 \times \times 192 \\ -\frac{10 x \times 170}{-148} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +100-166 \\ & -20 x-108 \\ & +111 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. 110 hn ne 10 call s <br> -10) MePeVals | 10x-11 <br> $10 x-34$ <br> +23) | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \times 36 \\ & \frac{-10 x-88}{-280} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \times 32 \\ & \frac{-10220}{-12} \end{aligned}$ | $+10 x-0235$ <br> $-10 x \cdot 22$ <br> $-16$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \times \times 19 \% \\ & \frac{-10 \times 122}{4.74} \end{aligned}$ | +10x. 124 <br> $-10 \times 178$ <br> $-3$ |
| 5. - Oione:10pus <br> 48: ine 10 Spisis <br> -10 mene ilopurs | $10 x+10$ <br> $20 x+16$ <br> 10x-20 <br> 4350 | $-10 x-4$ <br> $+2 x-60$ <br> $\frac{-108 x-78}{-30}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \times 32 \\ & 40 \times 3.1 \\ & \frac{-10 \times 26}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $-10 x-019$ <br> $+20 x-015$ <br> - IOx-M <br> $-12$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \times 198 \\ & 40 \times 1.92 \\ & -\frac{10 \times 162}{}+1.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 x-1121 \\ & +20 x-160 \\ & -\frac{-10 x-209}{-04} \end{aligned}$ |

## First, note that all risk

 measures are additive. To determine the total risk measure for a position, we multiply each risk measure by the number of contracts (using a plus sign for a purchase and a minus sign for a sale), and add everything up.Let's consider the risk of

$$
\text { Position } 1 \text { in Figure 7-14. }
$$

Before doing this, however,
we might might someone take such a position in the first place? Like every trader, an option trader wants to make trades that result in a profit. To have the best chance of achieving this goal, an option trader will try to create positions with a positive theoretical edge, either buying options at prices less than theoretical
value and/or selling options at
prices greater than theoretical value. Although this is not a guarantee that the position will show a profit, by creating a positive theoretical edge, the trader, like a casino, has the laws of probability working in his favor. Therefore, a trader should first consider whether a position has
a positive theoretical edge.

$$
\text { In Position } 1, \text { we sold } 10
$$

June 95 calls at a price of 8.55 , but the theoretical value of the options was 8.33, so the sale created a theoretical edge of 0.22 per option. What about the theoretical edge for the trade in the underlying? From an option trader's point of view, the theoretical value of an underlying contract is simply the price at which it was traded. Consequently, the theoretical edge for any underlying trade is always 0.

The position has a total theoretical edge of +2.20 .

> The total delta of

Position 1 is -10 . Although this indicates a very slight preference for downward movement, for practical purposes, almost all traders would consider the position delta neutral.

## The total gamma of the

 position is -28 . We know that a positive or negative deltaindicates a desire for upward or downward movement in the price of the underlying contract, but what does a positive or negative gamma indicate? Consider what will happen to our delta position if the underlying stock starts to rise. Just as with an individual option, for each point increase, we add the gamma to the old delta to get the new delta.

But
we are adding a negative number
$28)$. If the stock rises one full point to 100.50 , the delta will be

$$
-10+(-28)=-38
$$

If the stock rises another point to 101.50 , the delta will be

$$
-38+(-28)=-66
$$

As the market rises, the delta becomes a larger
negative number. Because a negative delta indicates a desire for downward movement, the more the market rises, the more we would like it to decline.

## Now consider what will

 happen to our delta position if the underlying stock starts to fall. For each point decline, we subtract the gamma from the delta. If the stock falls one point to 98.50 , the new delta
## will be

$$
-10-(-28)=+18
$$

If the stock falls another
point to 97.50 , the delta will be

$$
+18-(-28)=+46
$$

As the market falls, the delta becomes a larger positive number. For the same reason we do not want
the stock price to rise (we are creating a larger negative delta in a rising market), we also do not want the stock price to fall (we are creating a larger positive delta in a falling market). If we do not want the market to rise and we do not want the market to fall, there is only one favorable outcome remaining: we must want the market to sit still. In fact, a negative gamma position is a good
indication that a trader either wants the underlying market to sit still or move only very slowly. A positive gamma position indicates a desire for very large and swift moves in the underlying market.

## gamma can be thought of as a

 measure of magnitude risk. Do we want moves of smaller magnitudegamma) or larger magnitude (a positive gamma)? Alternatively, gamma
also can be thought of as the speed at which we want the market to move. Do we want the underlying price to move slowly (a negative gamma) or quickly (a positive gamma)? Taken together, the delta and gamma tell us something about the direction and speed that will either help or hurt
our position. In Position 1 , we
want a slow (negative gamma) downward (negative delta) move in the underlying price. The worst situation would be a swift upward move. Then we would be on the wrong side of both the direction (delta) and speed (gamma) of the market. How will we feel about our position if the stock remains close to 99.50 ? From the negative gamma,
know that we want the market to remain relatively quiet. If the market
does
what
we
want it to do, we ought to expect our position to show a profit. Where will this profit come from? The profit will come from the theta of +0.34 . For each day that passes with no movement in the underlying price, the position should show a profit
of approximately
0.34 .

This underscores an important

# principle of option risk analysis: gamma and theta are 

 almost always of opposite sign. 11 A positive gamma will be accompanied by a negative theta, and vice versa.Moreover, the magnitudes of the risks will tend to correlate. A large gamma will be accompanied by a large theta, but of opposite sign. A small gamma will be accompanied by a small theta.

An option trader cannot have it both ways. Either market movement will help the position (positive gamma) or the passage of time (positive theta) will-but not both.

$$
\text { The vega of Position } 1 \text { is }
$$ -1.70. This indicates a desire for declining volatility. For each point decline in

volatility, the value of our position, which was initially +2.20 , will increase by 1.70 ;
for each point increase, the value will fall by 1.70 . This seems to correspond to our gamma risk. If we have a negative gamma, we want the market to remain relatively quiet. Isn't this the same as saying we want lower volatility? Most traders, however, make an important distinction between the gamma and vega. The gamma is a measure of whether we want higher or lower realized
volatility (whether we want the underlying contract to be more volatile or less volatile). The vega is a measure of whether we want higher or lower implied volatility. Although the volatility of the underlying contract and changes in implied volatility are often correlated, this is not always the case. In some cases, the underlying contract can become more volatile
while implied volatility is
falling. In other cases, the underlying contract can become less volatile while implied volatility is rising. We will look at the conditions that can cause this in Chapter 11, where we look at some of the common volatility spreads. Suppose that we raise the volatility of 25 percent in Figure $7-13$ to a volatility of 26 percent. What should be
our theoretical profit now? We know that for each point increase in volatility, we need to add the vega $(-1.70)$ to the old value $(+2.20)$ to get the new value. Our theoretical profit at 26 percent will be $+2.20+(-1.70)=+0.50$ If we raise the volatility another percentage point to 27 percent, our theoretical edge turns negative

$$
+0.50+(-1.70)=-1.20
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We can see that the } \\
& \text { position has a breakeven } \\
& \text { volatility of approximately } \\
& 25(\%)+(-2.20 /-1.70)(\%)= \\
& 25(\%)+1.29(\%)=27.29(\%)
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, a more common name for the breakeven volatility is implied volatility. Although traders most commonly associate implied

## volatility with individual

options, we can also apply the concept to more complex positions. The implied volatility of a position is the volatility that must occur over the life of a position such that, in theory, the position will just break even. We can make a rough estimate of a position's implied volatility by dividing the total theoretical edge by the total vega and adding this number

## to the volatility used to

 evaluate the position.The last risk measure for Position 1 is the rho of -1.55 . For each percentage point decline in the interest rate, the position will show an additional profit of 1.55 . For each percentage point increase in the interest rate, the position profit will be reduced by 1.55 . It should not come as a surprise that rho is
negative because the long stock position will inevitably dominate the cash flow, resulting in a debit. If the interest rate falls, it will cost less to carry this debit. If the interest rate rises, it will cost more.

## The risks and rewards

 associated with each type of risk measure are summarized in Figure $7-15$. The reader should take a few moments tolook over the risk characteristics of the other positions in Figure 7-14. What combination of market conditions (e.g., changes in underlying price, time, implied volatility, and interest rate) will most help each position? What combination will most hurt each position?

Figure 7-15

| Ifyour deltapositionis... | You want the underlyingpricete... |
| :---: | :---: |
| Positive | Rise |
| Negative | Fall |
| Ifyourgamma position is... | You want the underlying contract to... |
| Positive | Make bigmovesor move veryquickly |
| Negative | Sitstillormove very slowly |
| Ifyour theta position is... | The passage oftime will... |
| Positive | Increase the value of your position |
| Negative | Reduce the value of your position |
| Ifyourvegapositionis | Youvantimplied volatility tow |
| Positive | Rise |
| Negative | Fall |
| Ifyour rhopositionis... | You want interestrates to... |
| Positive | Rise |
| Negative | Fall |

## The alert reader may

have noticed something odd about Position 2: it has a negative theoretical edge. This is not a misprint. It indicates that if the inputs into the model are correct, in the long run, the strategy will lose money. Of course,
no trader will intentionally put on such a position, but in a market where conditions are constantly
changing,
position that initially seemed sensible may under new conditions represent a losing strategy. When this occurs, a trader will make every effort to close out the position. The longer the trader holds the position, the more likely it is that it will result in a loss. $\underline{12}$ One final observation for the prospective trader: all the numbers we have discussed in this chapter-the

## theoretical value, delta,

## gamma, theta, vega, and rho

 -are constantly changing, so the profitability and risks associated with different strategies are constantly changing. The importance of analyzing risk cannot be overemphasized. Most traders who fail at option trading do so because they fail to fully analyze and understand risk. But there is another type of trader, one who attempts toanalyze every possible risk. When this happens, the trader finds it difficult to make any trading decisions at all; he is stricken with paralysis through analysis. A trader who is so concerned with risk that he is afraid to make a trade cannot profit, no matter how well he understands options. When a trader enters the marketplace,
vega, and rho enable him to identify risk; they do not eliminate risk. The intelligent trader uses these numbers to help decide beforehand which risks are acceptable and which risks are not.
${ }^{1}$ We are referring here to options on the actual foreign currency rather than options on foreign-currency futures. In the latter case, the characteristics are the same as for any other futures option.
$\underline{2}$ This convention originated in the U.S. stock option market, where it became common for stock option traders to equate one delta with one share of stock. Because the underlying contract consisted of 100 shares, traders assigned a delta of 100 to the underlying contract. Many futures option traders also express the delta using this whole-number format.
$\underline{3}$ It is customary to indicate the purchase of a contract or contracts with
a plus sign (a long contract position) and the sale of a contract or contracts with a negative sign (a short contract position).
$\underline{4}$ Because option values are based on the forward price of the underlying contract, it is actually the at-the-forward option that tends to have a delta closest to 50 . This is one reason why options that are seemingly out of the money can have deltas greater than 50 . With a stock at 100 , one year to expiration, and an interest rate of 10 percent, the forward price for the stock is 110 . Under these conditions, the 110 call will have a delta close to 50 , while the 105 call will have a delta greater than 50.
$\underline{5}$ In fact, the delta is only an approximation of the probability that an option will finish in the money. We will see later that the Black-Scholes model generates a number that more precisely reflects this probability.
$\underline{6}^{6}$ In fact, the delta is only an approximation of the probability that an option will finish in the money. We will see later that the Black-Scholes model generates a number that more precisely reflects this probability.
${ }^{7}$ For simplicity, we assume here that the gamma is constant. In reality, the gamma, like all risk measures, will change as market conditions change.
$\underline{8}$ When using the delta to estimate the
change in an option's value, we need to remember that it is really a percent value, or a value between 0 and 1.00 .
$\underline{9}$ Traders tend to prefer the term vega because it starts with a v and is therefore a convenient reminder that it is associated with volatility. Vega is sometimes abbreviated with the Greek letter nu $(v)$ because in written form it is similar to a $v$.

10 A trader might argue that if interest rates rise or fall, it may change the forward price, which can, in turn, affect option values. But, from an option trader's point of view, the value of an underlying contract is not directly affected by changes in interest rates.

11 Interest considerations may occasionally result in a position with a gamma and theta of the same sign. However, in such a case, the magnitudes of the numbers are likely to be very small.
12 In theory, a trader will never create a position with a negative theoretical edge, at least as an initial trade. However, once a position has been established, in light of a larger overall position, a trader will sometimes intentionally execute a trade with a negative theoretical edge. A trader might be willing to give up a small amount of theoretical profit in order to make the remaining potential profit more secure. This, of course, is the
whole objective behind hedging.

## Dynamic

## Hedging

## From our discussion thus

 far, it ought to be obvious why serious option tradersmodels. First, a model tells us something about an option's value. We can compare this value with the price of the option in the marketplace and from this
choose
an
appropriate strategy. Second, once we have taken a position, the model helps us quantify many of the risks that option trading entails. By understanding these risks, we will be better prepared to
minimize our losses when

## market conditions

that we will show a profit on any one trade. More often than not, the actual results will deviate, sometimes significantly, from what is predicted by the theoretical pricing model. It is only over many trades that the results will even
out so
that,
on average, we achieve a result close to that predicted by the theoretical pricing model.

> However, option-pricing
theory also suggests that for a single option trade there is a method by which we can reduce the variations in outcome so that the actual results will more closely approximate what is predicted by the theoretical pricing model. By treating the life of an option as a series of bets, rather than one bet, the model can be used to replicate longterm probability theory.

## Consider the following

 situation:Stock price $=$ $\$ 97.70$
Time to June expiration 10 weeks
Interest rate $=$
6.00 percent

Suppose that we are using a theoretical pricing model to evaluate June options on this
stock. We already have three inputs into the model underlying price, time expiration, and the interest rate-but we still need three additional inputs-exercise price, type, and volatility. Given that we can choose from among the available exercise prices and that we can also choose the type of option (either call or put), we still lack the
one unobservable

## volatility. In theory, we

 would like to know the future realized volatility of the underlying stock over the next 10 weeks. Clearly, we can never know the future, but let's imagine that we have a crystal ball that can predict the future. When we look into our crystal ball, we see that the volatility of the stock over the next 10 weeks will be 37.62 percent.
## The June 100 call, being

 very close to at the money, is likely to be actively traded, so let's focus on that option. Feeding our inputs into the Black-Scholes model, we find that the June 100 call has a theoretical value of 5.89 . When we check its price in the marketplace, we find that it is being offered at 5.00 . How can we profit from this discrepancy?
## Clearly, our first move

will be to purchase the June 100 call because it is underpriced by 0.89. Can we now walk away from the position and come back at expiration to collect our money? In our previous discussion of theoretical pricing models, we noted that the purchase or sale
of
a theoretically mispriced option requires us to establish a
neutral hedge by taking an
opposing position in the underlying contract. When this is done correctly, for small changes in the price of the underlying contract, the increase or decrease in the value of the option position will exactly offset the decrease or increase in the value of the opposing position in the underlying contract. Such a hed
or neutral, 1S unbiased,
with respect to directional moves
in the underlying contract.
In order to establish the appropriate riskless hedge, we need to determine the delta of the June 100 call. Using our theoretical pricing model, we find that the option has a delta of 50 . For each call we purchase, we must sell 0.50 , or one-half, of an underlying contract. Because it is usually not possible to buy or sell fractional
underlying contracts, let's assume that we buy 100 June 100 calls and sell 50 underlying contracts. ${ }^{1}$ We now have the following deltaneutral position:

## Position

Conraci Delta
DellaPosilion

## Long IOO Uune 100 calls <br> 50

$+5000$
Shor 5 Sunderlying contracts
100
$-5,500$

## Suppose that one week

later the price of the stock has moved up to 99.50. At this point, we can feed the new market conditions into our theoretical pricing model:

Stock price $=$
99.50

Interest rate $=$
6.00 percent

Time to June
expiration $=9$
weeks
Volatility

### 37.62 percent

## Note that we have made no

 change in the interest rate or volatility. Theoretical pricing models typically assume that these two inputs remain constant over the life of the option. ${ }_{2}$ Based on the new inputs, we can calculate the new delta for the June 100 call, in this case 54.
## Psition <br> Cinaradola <br> Dellprsiion

## 

## Short 50 underlying contracts

## Our delta position is now

 +400 . We can think of this as the end of one bet, with another bet about to begin. Whenever we begin a new bet, we are required to return to a delta-neutral position. In our example, it
## will be necessary to reduce

 our position by 400 deltas. There are a number of ways to do this, but to keep our present calculations as simple as possible and to remain consistent with the theoretical pricing model, we will make the necessary trades in the underlying contract because an underlying contract always has a delta of 100 . We can return to delta neutral byselling

## contracts. Our position is now

## Position



DellaPostion

## Long 100 Uune 1OOCalls



## ShortSuunderlying contrats

$-5,400$

We are again delta neutral and about to begin a new bet. As before, our new bet depends only on the volatility of the underlying contract, not its direction.

## The extra

underlying contracts that we sold were an adjustment to our position. In option trading, adjustments are trades that are made primarily to ensure that a position remains delta neutral. In our case, the sale of the four extra contracts has no effect on our theoretical edge because, from an option trader's point of view, an underlying contract has no theoretical
value. The trade is made solely for the purpose of adjusting our hedge to remain delta neutral.

## In Chapter 17, we will

 look at the use of options to protect a preexisting position. Such protective strategies usually employ a static hedge, whereby opposing market positions are taken in different contracts, with the entire position being carried
## to a fixed maturity date. To

 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { capture } & \text { an } \quad \text { option's } \\ \text { mispricing, } & \text { the theoretical }\end{array}$ pricing model requires us to employ a dynamic hedging strategy. We must periodically reevaluate the position to determine the delta of the position and then buy or sell an appropriate numberof underlying contracts to return to delta neutral. This procedure must be followed over the entire
life of the option.

## Because volatility is

assumed
continuously,
compound theoretical pricing models assume that adjustments are also made continuously and that the hedge is being adjusted at every moment in time. Such continuous adjustments are not possible in the real world because a trader can only trade at discrete intervals. By
making adjustments at regular intervals, we are conforming as closely as possible to the principles of the theoretical pricing model.
The
entire
dynamic
hedging
process for
our
hedge,
with
adjustments
made at weekly intervals, is shown in Figure 8-1. At the end of each interval, the delta of the June 100 call was recalculated from the time

## remaining to expiration, the

 current price of the underlyinginterest rate of 6.00 percent,
and
a
volatility
of 37.65
percent. Note that we did not change the volatility, even though other
market conditions may have changed. Volatility, like interest rates, is assumed to be constant over the life of the option. ${ }^{3}$

Figure 8-1

| Siock price $=9770$ |  | Timeto June expiration = 1 Oweeks Interestrale $=6.00 \% \quad$ Volatiliy $=37.62 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 100call: |  | Price $=50$ <br> Theorelical | Impliedv value =589 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daility }=32.400 \\ & \text { Della }=50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Share Dellaof Total Deta Adjustment Total Adjusiment InteresionWeek Pice Iccall Position Contracs) Adustments CashFlow Adustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09770 | 50 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 19950 | 54 | +400 | Sell 4 | Short | +39800 | +4.12 |
| 29275 | 35 | -1900 | Buy 19 | Long 15 | -176225 | $-16.22$ |
| 39585 | 43 | +800 | Sell8 | Long7 | +76680 | +6.18 |
| 49620 | 43 | 0 | None | Long7 | 0 | 0 |
| 510245 | 62 | +1900 | Sell 19 | Short 12 | +194655 | +11.20 |
| 69330 | 28 | -340 | Buy 34 | Long22 | -317220 | -14.60 |
| 791.15 | 17 | -1100 | Buy 11 | Long33 | -1026.65 | $-3.46$ |
| 895.20 | 27 | +1000 | Sellio | Long23 | +952.00 | +2.19 |
| 910280 | 72 | +450 | Sel45 | Short22 | +462600 | +5.32 |
| $10 \quad 103.85$ |  |  | Buy 22 |  | -2284.70 |  |

## What will we do with

 our position at the end of 10 weeks when the options expire? At that time, we plan to close out the position by$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. Letting any out- } \\
& \text { of-the-money } \\
& \text { options expire } \\
& \text { worthless } \\
& 2 . \quad \text { Selling any in- } \\
& \text { the-money options } \\
& \text { at parity (intrinsic }
\end{aligned}
$$

# Let's <br> go <br> through <br> this 

# procedure step by step and 

see what the complete results of our hedge are.

Original Hedge

At June expiration (week 10), with the underlying contract at 103.85 , we can close out the June 100 calls by either selling them at 3.85 or exercising the calls and selling the underlying contract. Either method will
result in a credit of 3.85 to our account. Because we originally paid 5.00 for each option, we will show a loss on our option position of
$100 \times(3.85-5.00)=100 \times-$ $1.15=-115.00$

As part of our original hedge, we also sold 50 underlying contracts at 97.70. At expiration, in order to close out the position, we
were required to buy them back at 103.85, for a loss of 6.15 per contract. Our total loss on the underlying trade is therefore
$50 \times(97.70-103.85)=50 \times$
$-6.15=-307.50$

Adding this to our option loss, the total loss on the original hedge is
$-115.00-307.50=-422.50$

This certainly does not appear to
have
been successful. We expected to make money on the position, yet it appears that we have a sizable loss.

Adjustments
Fortunately, the original hedge was not our only transaction. In order to remain delta neutral over the

10 -week life of the option, we were forced to buy and sell underlying contracts. At the end of week 1, we were long 400 deltas, so we were required

At the end of week 2 , we were short 1,900 deltas, so we were required to buy 19 underlying contracts at 92.75, and so on each week until the
end
of
week
10.
At
underlying contract at 103.85, we bought in the 22 underlying contracts that we were short at the end of week 9.

## In this example, each

 time the underlying price rose, our delta position became positive, so we were forced to sell underlying contracts, and each time the underlying price fell, ourdelta position became
negative, so we were forced to buy underlying contracts. Because our adjustments depended only on our delta position, we were forced to do what every trader wants to do: buy low and sell high. The result of making all the adjustments required to maintain
a
delta-neutral
position was a profit of
467.55. (The reader may wish to confirm this by adding up

## the cash flow from all the

 trades in the adjustment column in Figure 8-1.) This profit more than offset the losses incurred from the original hedge.Interest Lost on the

## Option Position

We originally bought 100 June options at a price of 5.00 each, for a total cash outlay of
500.00. At the assumed interest rate of 6.00 percent, the cost of financing the option purchase for the 10 week (70-day) life of the position was

$$
\begin{gathered}
-500.00 \times 6 \% \times 70 / 365=- \\
5.75
\end{gathered}
$$

Interest earned on the

## Stock Position

## To establish our initial

hedge, we sold 50 underlying stock contracts at a price of 97.70 each, for a total credit of $4,885.00$. Over the life of the hedge, we were able to earn total interest in the amount of

$$
\begin{gathered}
+4,885 \times 6 \% \times 70 / 365= \\
+56.21
\end{gathered}
$$

Interest on the

## Adjustments

## Each week we were forced

 to buy or sell underlying contracts in order to remain delta neutral. As a result, there was either a cash debit on which we were required to pay interest or a cash credit on which we were able to earn interest. For example, at the end of week 1 , we were forced to sell four underlying contracts at a price of 99.50each, for a total credit of $4 \times$ $99.50=398.00$. The interest earned on this credit for the remaining nine weeks was

$$
+398.00 \times 6 \% \times 63 / 365=
$$

$$
+4.12
$$

At the end of week 2 , we were forced to buy 19 underlying contracts at a price of 92.75 each, for a total debit of $19 \times 92.75=$
$1,762.25$. The interest cost on
this debit over the remaining eight weeks was
$-1,762.25 \times 6 \% \times 56 / 365=-$ 16.22

Adding up the interest on all the adjustments, we get a total of -5.28 .

Dividends
To keep our example
relatively simple, we have assumed that the stock pays no dividend over the life of the option. If the stock were to pay a dividend, any long stock position resulting from either the original hedge or the adjustment process would receive the dividend. Any short stock position would be required to pay out the dividend. There also would be an interest consideration on the amount of the

## dividend, <br> interest <br> either

earned
or interest
lost,
between the
date
of
the
dividend
payment and expiration. The dividend and the interest on the dividend would then become part of the total profit or loss. What was the total cash flow resulting from the entire 10-week hedge? This amount, +90.24 , is shown in Figure 82. Of course, this represents
the cash flow at the end of 10 weeks. To obtain the initial or present value, we need to discount backwards over 10 weeks at an interest rate of 6.00 percent. This gives us a final value, or total profit and loss (P\&L), of


Figure 8-2

## DmamichededingResulls

## OiginalhercepePsL

OptionPQL. $\quad 100 \times(3.85-5.00)=-115.00$
StockPBL

$$
50 \times(9970-103.85)=-307.50
$$

## AdustmentPRL:

446755
Cary Initerest) On the options:

$$
100 \times-5.00 \times 6.00 \% \times 701365=-5.75 \quad-5.75
$$

Cary initerest) ont the stock:

$$
50 x+97.70 \times 600 \% \times 701365=+56.21 \quad+56.21
$$

## Intereston the edjustments:

## How does this final

value of 89.21 compare with our predicted profit or loss? We purchased 100 June options at a price of 5.00 each, but the options had a theoretical value of 5.89 , so the theoretical profit was

$$
100 \times(5.89-5.00)=+89.00
$$

## In <br> our <br> example, <br> the

 profit and loss were made upof five components. Two of these were positive (the adjustments and the interest earned on stock), while three were negative (the original hedge, the option carrying costs, and interest on the adjustments). Is this
always the case? Because price movement in the underlying contract is assumed to be random, it is impossible to determine beforehand which components will be profitable
and which will not. It would also be possible to construct an example where the original hedge was profitable and the adjustments were not. The important point is that if a trader's inputs are correct, in some combination, he can expect to show a profit or loss approximately equal to that predicted by the theoretical pricing model.
Of
all
the
inputs,
volatility is the only one that is not directly observable. Where did our volatility figure of 37.62 percent come from? Obviously, it is not possible to know the future volatility. In our example the 10 price changes in Figure 81 do in fact represent an annualized volatility of 37.62
percent.
The complete
calculations
are
given in Appendix B.

## In the foregoing

 example, we assumed that the market was frictionless, that no external factors affected the total profit or loss. This assumption is basic to many financial models.In
a
frictionless market,

## assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. Traders can } \\
& \text { freely buy or sell } \\
& \text { the } \\
& \text { contract } \\
& \text { underlying }
\end{aligned}
$$

restriction.
2. Traders can borrow and lend as much money as desired at one constant interest rate.
3. Transaction costs are zero.
4. There are no tax
consequences.
trader
will

## immediately realize that

option
frictionless because in the
real world, each of these assumptions is violated to a greater or lesser degree. In our example, we were required to sell stock to initiate the original hedge. If we did not own the stock, we would need to sell short by first borrowing the stock and then making delivery. In
some markets, short sales
may be difficult to execute because of exchange

Or regulatory restrictions.
Moreover, even if a short sale is possible, a trader typically will not receive full interest on the proceeds from the short sale.
Turning to options on futures, in some markets, there is a daily limit on the amount of allowable price movement for a futures
contract. When this limit is reached, the market is locked, and no further trading can take place until the price of the futures contract comes off its limit. Clearly, in such markets,
the underlying contract cannot always be freely bought or sold.
Concerning interest
rates, different rates apply to different market participants. The rate that applies to an

## individual trader will not be

 the same rate that applies to a large financial institution. Moreover, even for the same trader, different rates can apply transactions. If a trader has a debit balance, it will cost him more to carry that debit; if he has a credit balance, he will not earn as much on that credit. There is a spread, and perhaps a fairly large one,between a trader's borrowing
and lending rate. Fortunately, the interest-rate component is usually the least important of the inputs into a theoretical pricing model. Even though the applicable interest rate may vary from trader to trader, in general, it will cause only minor changes in the total profit or loss in relation to the profit or loss resulting from other inputs. Transaction costs, on the

# other hand, can be a very real 

 consideration. If these costs are high, the hedge in Figure 8-1 might not be a viable strategy; all the profits could be eaten up by brokerage and exchange fees.The
desirability of a strategy will depend not only on the trader's initial transaction
desire to remain delta neutral. A trader who wants to remain delta neutral at every moment will have to adjust more often, and more adjustments mean greater transaction costs.

If a trader initiates a hedge but adjusts less frequently or does not adjust at all, how will this affect the outcome? Because theoretical evaluation of options is based
on the laws of probability, a trader who initiates
theoretically profitable hedge still has the odds on his side. Although he may lose on any one individual hedge, if given a chance to initiate the same hedge repeatedly at a positive theoretical edge, on average, he should profit by the amount predicted by the theoretical pricing model.
The adjustment process
is simply a way of smoothing
out the winning and losing hedges by forcing the trader to make more bets, always at the same favorable odds. A trader who is disinclined to adjust is at greater risk of not realizing a profit on any one hedge. Adjustments do not in themselves alter the expected return; they simply reduce the short-term effects of good and bad luck.

Based on the foregoing

discussion, a retail customer and a professional trader are likely to approach option trading in a somewhat different manner, even if both understand and use the values generated by a theoretical pricing model. A professional trader, particularly if he is an exchange member, has relatively low transaction costs. Because adjustments cost him very little in relation to the expected theoretical

## profit from a hedge, he will

 be inclined to make frequent adjustments. In contrast, a retail customerwho establishes the same hedge will be less inclined to adjust or will adjust less frequently because any adjustments will reduce the profitability of the position. A retail customer who understands the laws of probability will realize that his position has the same favorable
odds

# professional trader's position, 

 but he should also realize that his position is more sensitive to the effects of short-term good and bad luck. Even though the retail customer may occasionally experience larger losses than the professional trader, he will also occasionally experience larger profits. In the long run, on average, both should end up with approximately thesame profit. 4
Taxes may also be a factor in evaluating an option strategy. When positions are initiated, when they are liquidated, how the positions overlap, and the relationship between different instruments (e.g., options, stock, futures, physical commodities, etc.) may have different tax consequences. Such consequences may affect the
value of a diversified portfolio, and for this reason, portfolio managers must be sensitive to the tax ramifications of a strategy. Because each trader has unique tax considerations and this book is intended as a general guide option evaluation and strategies, we will simply assume that each trader wishes to maximize his pretax profits and that he will worry about taxes afterward.

# It may seem like a 

## fortunate coincidence that the

 theoretical $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ in our example and the actual $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ are so close. In fact, the example in Figure 8-1 was carefully constructeddemonstrate why the dynamic hedging process iS SO important. In the real world, it is unlikely that the actual results from any one hedge will so closely match the theoretical results.

## Figure 8-3 illustrates in

graphic terms the dynamic hedging process.
determined the initial delta of the option (the dotted line) at the underlying price of 97.70 and then took an opposing delta position in the underlying
contract (the dashed line). For very small moves in the underlying price, the profit from one position offset the loss from the other position. As the

# changes in the underlying 

 price in either direction become greater, because of the option's curvature (its gamma), there is a mismatch between these two positions. With a falling underlying price, the rate at which the option position loses value begins to decline; with a rising underlying price, the rate at which the option position gains value begins to increase. In Figure 8-3 $\underline{a}$, wecan see this mismatch, or unhedged amount, at an underlying price of 99.50 .

Figure 8-3



## With the underlying

price at 99.50 , we captured the value of this mismatch by adjusting the position to return to delta neutral. This is shown in Figure 8-3 $\underline{b}$. We recalculated the delta at the new underlying price and took a new opposing position in the underlying contract. When the underlying price fell to 92.75 , there was again a mismatch equal to the

## unhedged amount.

## By rehedging <br> the

position each week, we were able to capture a series of profits resulting from the mismatch between the option's changing delta and the fixed
delta
of the underlying contract.

course,
while
time
was
passing,
there
were
also
interest
considerations.
But most of the option's value

# was determined <br> by <br> the amount earned on the 

 rehedging process. In theory, if we ignore interest, the sum of all these small profits (the unhedged amounts in Figure 8-3) should approximately equal the value of the optionOption theoretical value $=\{\cdot\}$ $+\{\cdot\}+\{\cdot\}+\ldots+\{\cdot\}+\{\cdot\}+$ $\{\cdot\}$

In
our
example,
rehedging took place at discrete intervals, equivalent to making a finite number of bets, all with the same positive theoretical edge. If we want to exactly replicate the option's theoretical value, we need to make an infinite number of bets. This, in theory, can only be achieved by continuous rehedging of the position at every possible moment in time. If such a
process were possible, and if
all the assumptions on which the model is based were accurate, then the rehedging process would indeed replicate the exact value of the option.
Of course, continuous
rehedging is not possible in the real world. Nor are all the model assumptions entirely accurate. Nonetheless, most traders have found through experience that
using

# dynamic hedging strategy, even if only at discrete intervals, is the best way to capture the difference between an option's price and its theoretical value. 

Given that continuous
rehedging is not possible, how often should a trader rehedge? The answer to this question will depend on each trader's cost structure and risk tolerance. We have
already noted that a trader's transaction costs are likely to affect the frequency at which adjustments are made. Higher transaction costs will often lead to less frequent adjustments. If we ignore the question of transaction costs, there
are
two
common
approaches to rehedging: rehedge at regular intervals or rehedge whenever the delta becomes unbalanced
predetermined amount.

The position in Figure 81 is an example of the first approach—rehedging

# position at the end of each 

 week. Of course, we might have made adjustments at the end of each day or even every hour if we were willing to recalculate the deltasso frequently. The more often rehedging takes place, the more likely it is that the final
result will approximate the results predicted used weekly intervals for no other reason than 10 lines seemed to fit nicely on the page.
Most
traders
do
not

onmaintaining an exactly delta-neutral position. Within limits, they are willing to accept some directional risk. The more directional
risk a trader is willing to accept, the less frequent the adjustments. And the less frequent the adjustments, the more likely the actual results will differ from the results predicted by the theoretical pricing model. For example, if a trader decides that he is willing to accept a directional risk up to 500 deltas, no rehedging would take place after week 1 ( +400 deltas $)$. If the trader is willing to accept
a directional risk up to 1,000 deltas, no rehedging would take place at the end of week 1 ( +400 deltas), week $3(+800$ deltas), and week $8(+1,000$ deltas). And if the trader is willing to accept a directional risk up to 1,500 deltas, $\frac{5}{}$ no rehedging would take place at the end of week $1 \quad(+400$ deltas), week 3 ( +800 deltas), week 7 ( $-1,100$ deltas), and week 8 (+1,000 deltas). In
each case, because of the less frequent rehedging, the actual results are more likely
to differ from the predicted results.

## Note that after the hedge

 in Figure 8-1 was initiated, no subsequent trades were made in the option market. The trader's only concern was the realized volatility, or price fluctuations, in the underlying market. Theseprice

## fluctuations determined the

 size and frequency of the adjustments, and in the final analysis, it was the adjustments that determined the profitability of the hedge. We might think of the hedge as a race between the loss in time value of the June 100 calls and the cash flow resulting from the adjustments, with the theoretical pricing modelacting as the judge. Under the
assumptions of the model, if options are purchased at less than theoretical value, the adjustments will win the race; if options are purchased at more than theoretical value, the loss in time value will win the race. The conditions of the race are determined by the inputs into the theoretical pricing model.
volatility was known to be 37.62 percent. What will be the outcome if volatility is something other than 37.62 percent? Suppose, for example, that volatility turns out to be higher than 37.62 percent. Higher volatility means greater fluctuations, resulting in more and larger adjustments. In our example, more adjustments mean more profit. This is consistent with the principle

## that higher volatility increases

 the value of options.What about the opposite, if volatility is less than 37.62 percent? Lower volatility means smaller price fluctuations, resulting in fewer and smaller adjustments. This will reduce the profit. If the volatility is low enough, the adjustment profit will just offset the other components,
so the
total

## profit from the hedge will be

 exactly zero. This breakeven volatility is identical to the option's implied volatility at the original trade price. Using the Black-Scholes model, we find that the implied volatility of the June 100 call at a price of 5.00 is 32.40 percent. At this volatility, the race between profits from the adjustments and the loss in the option's time value will end in an exact tie. Above avolatility of 32.40 percent, we expect the hedge, including adjustments and interest, to show a profit; below 32.40 percent, we expect the hedge to show a loss.

## Because we needed to

 make adjustments to realize a profit, it may seem that every profitable hedge requires us to maintain the position until expiration. In practice, this maySuppose that immediately after we establish the hedge, the implied volatility in the option market increases from 32.40 percent, the implied volatility at which we bought the June 100 calls, to 37.62 percent, the realized volatility of the underlying contract we expect over the life of the option. What will happen to the price of the June 100 call? Its price will rise from 5.00 (an implied volatility of 32.40

## percent) to 5.89 (an implied

 volatility of 37.62 percent). We can then sell our calls for an immediate profit of 0.89 per option. Of course, if we want to close out the hedge, we must also buy back the 50 underlying contracts that we originally sold. What effect will the change in implied volatility have on the price of these contracts? Implied volatility is a characteristic associated with options, not
# with underlying contracts. Consequently, we expect the underlying <br> contract <br> trade at of 97.70. <br> our <br> 50 contracts at a price of 97.70 , 

 we will realize an immediate total profit from the hedge of 89.00, exactly the amount predicted by the theoretical pricing model. If we can do all this, there is no reason tohold the position for the full 10 weeks.
How likely is an
equally gradual changes in
the volatility of the
underlying contract. As the volatility of the underlying contract changes, option demand rises and falls, and this demand is reflected in a corresponding rise or fall in the implied volatility. In our example, if the price of the underlying contract begins to fluctuate at a volatility greater than 32.40 percent, we can expect implied volatility to rise. If implied volatility ever reaches our target of 37.62
percent, we can simply sell our calls and buy
our underlying contracts, thereby realizing our expected profit of 89.00 without having to hold the position for the full 10 weeks. But option prices are subject to a wide variety of market forces, not all of them theoretical. There is no guarantee that implied volatility will ever reevaluate upward to 37.62 percent. In this case, we will have to hold
the position and continue to adjust for the full 10 weeks to realize our profit.

## Every trader hopes that

 implied volatility will reevaluate as quickly as possible toward his volatility target. It not only enables him to realize his profits more quickly, but it eliminates the risk of holding a position for an extended period of time. The longer a position is held,the greater the possibility of error from the inputs into the model.

## Not only might implied

 volatility not reevaluate favorably, it also might move against us, even if the actual volatility of the underlying contract moves in our favor. Suppose that after initiating our hedge, implied volatility immediately falls from 32.40 to 30.35 percent. The price of
## the June 100 call will fall

 from 5.00 to 4.65 , and we will have an immediate loss of $100 \times-0.35=-35.00$. Does this mean that we made a bad trade and should close out the position? If thevolatility forecast of 37.65 percent turns out to be correct, the options will still be worth 5.89 by expiration. If we hold the position and $\begin{array}{llll}\text { adjust, we can eventually } \\ \text { expect } & \text { a profit of } 89.00\end{array}$

# points. Realizing this, we 

 ought to maintain the position as we originally intended. Even though an adverse move in implied volatility is unpleasant, it is something with which all traders must learn to cope. Just as a speculator can rarely hope to pick the exact bottom or top at which to take a long or short position, option trader can rarely hope to pick the exact bottom or top in
implied volatility. He must try to establish positions when market conditions are favorable. But he must also realize that conditions might become even more favorable. If they do, his initial trade may show a temporary loss. This is something a trader learns to accept as a practical aspect of trading.
Let's look at one other
this time in the form of an overpriced put in the futures option market. Suppose that current market conditions are as follows:

> Futures price $=$ 61.85 Time to March expiration = 10 weeks

Interest rate $=$ 8.00 percent

## Again, let's assume that we

 know the true volatility of the underlying contract over the 10 -week life of the option, in this case 21.48 percent. In this example, we will focus on the March 60 put, with a theoretical value of 1.46 but a price of 1.70 , equivalent to an implied volatility of 23.92 percent.Because the put is overpriced, we will begin by
selling 100 March 60 puts, with a delta of -35 each, and simultaneously selling 35 underlying futures contracts. We will then follow a dynamic hedging procedure by recalculating the put delta at the end of each week and buying or selling futures to remain delta neutral. At expiration, we will close out the entire position. The entire dynamic hedging process is shown in Figure 8-4.

Figure 8-4

Futures price $=61.85$ Timelo March expiration $=10$ weeks
Interestrale $=8.0 .0 \%$ Voldiliy $=21.68 \%$
March 6 Opu: Price $=1.70$ Implied vadility $=23.922^{\circ}$ )

$$
\text { Therereicalvalue }=1.40 \text { Della } a-25
$$

Week Share Delioof Total Deita Adustment Total
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 6185 & -35 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 60.83 & -42 & +700 & \text { Sell7 } & \text { Short7 } & +35.70 & +0.49\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 6278 & -28 & -1400 & \text { Buy } 14 & \text { long7 } & -8190 & -1.01\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 63.16 & -24 & -400 & \text { Buy4 } & \text { Long11 } & -10.64 & -0.11\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}4 & 61.68 & -34 & +1000 & \text { Sellio Long1 } & +3552 & +0.33\end{array}$
$5 \quad 59.86$-50 +1600 Selli6 Short15 +66188 +0.47
$\begin{array}{lllllll}6 & 6288 & -21 & -2900 & \text { Buy29 } & \text { Long14 } & -151.00\end{array} \quad-0.93$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 61.50 & -31 & +1000 & \text { Sell10 } & \text { long } 4 & +2998 & +0.13\end{array}$
$8 \quad 6260 \quad-15 \quad-1600$ Buy16 long20 $\begin{array}{lllll}-34.10 & -0.10\end{array}$
$9 \quad 60.18 \quad-45 \quad-3000$ Sell30 Shot 10 $+3630 \quad+0.06$
$10 \quad 58.61$
Buy 10

# The cash flow in this example is slightly different from that in our stock option example. Although these are 

 options on futures contracts and in many markets are subject to futures-type settlement, we will follow the U.S. convention and assume that the options are subject to stock-type settlement, requiring immediate and full cash payment.Futures,
however, are always subject to futures-type settlement: there is no initial cash outlay, but a cash flow, in the form of variation, will result whenever the price of the futures contract changes. When this occurs, there will be a cash credit, on which interest can be earned, or a cash debit, on which interest must be paid.

All P\&L components for
this example are shown in Figure 8-5. Three of these components are the same as in the stock option example: the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ on the original hedge, the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ resulting from the delta-neutral dynamic hedging process, and the carrying cost on the options. However, the interest on the initial stock position, as well as the interest on the adjustments, has been
replaced by the interest on the
variation credits and debits.
Figure 8-5

## OiginalhereceP能

OdionPBL
$100 \times(1.70-139)=+3100$
Fituexpl. $\quad 35 \times(66.185-58.61)=+113.40$
Adustinerifle:
$-12001$
Carfinierestiontheoptions:

$$
100 x+1.70 \times 8.005 \times 70.365=+2.61
$$

$+2.61$

# Interston the varation: 

## Total Pal:

$+2433$

Discounted cshhflow:
$24.33(1+0.08 \times 701365)=23.96$
$+23.6$

Preeicted Pl:

$$
100 \times(1.70-1.46)=100 \times 0.24=24,00
$$

$+24,00$

## For example, as part of

 our original hedge, we sold 35 futures contracts at a price of 61.85. After week 1, the futures price declined to 60.83. As a result, we received a variation payment of$35 \times(61.85-60.83)=35.70$

We were able to earn 8.00 percent on this amount for the

## nine weeks <br> (63 <br> days)

 remaining to expiration$35.70 \times 8 \% \times 63 / 365=0.49$

At the end of week 1 , in order to remain delta neutral, we were forced to sell seven futures contracts. This, together with our initial sale of 35 futures, left us short a total of 42 futures. After week 2 , the futures price rose to 62.78 . The result was a

## variation debit of

$42 \times(60.83-62.78)=-81.90$

In order to finance this debit for the eight weeks (56 days) remaining to expiration, we incurred an interest cost of

$$
\begin{gathered}
-81.90 \times 8 \% \times 56 / 365=- \\
1.01 \\
\text { The total interest on all }
\end{gathered}
$$ variation cash flows was

0.67.

## The total cash flow of

24.33 and the present value of this amount, 23.96, are shown in Figure 8-5. The predicted theoretical profit was

$$
100 \times(1.70-1.46)=24.00
$$

## In both our stock option

 and futures option examples, we were able use the dynamic hedging process to capture the difference between theoption's theoretical value and its price. In a sense, dynamic hedging enabled us to take the other side of the trade, but at the option's true theoretical value. When we bought calls in our stock option example, we sold the same calls at theoretical value through the dynamic hedging process.
When
we sold puts in
our futures opti example, we bought same puts at theoretical value through the
dynamic hedging process. From this, we can deduce an important principle of option evaluation:

> In theory, we can replicate an option position through a dynamic hedging process. The cost of this replication is equal to the sum of all the cash flows resulting from the
dynamic hedging process. The present value of this sum is equal to the option's theoretical value.
$\underline{1}$ The underlying contract for most stock options is 100 shares of stock. The proper hedge is therefore equivalent to selling 5,000 shares of stock.
$\underline{2}$ Whether this is in fact a realistic assumption we will leave for a later discussion.
$\underline{3}$ In practice, as new information becomes available, traders are constantly changing their opinions about interest rates and volatility. Here we make the assumption of constant volatility and interest rates in order to be consistent with option pricing theory.
$\underline{4}^{\text {This, of course, ignores the very real }}$
advantage the professional trader often has from being able to buy at the bid price and sell at the ask price. A retail customer can never hope to match the profit resulting from this advantage, nor should he try to do so.
$\underline{5}$ These delta numbers were chosen only to illustrate the effect of rehedging based on a predetermined delta risk. Even a directional risk of 500 deltas might be more than many traders are willing to accept.

## Risk

## Measurement II

 Just as an option's theoretical value is sensitive to changes in marketconditions, the sensitivities
themselves also change as market conditions change. This underscores an
important
aspect of
option
trading:
nothing
remains
constant.
Depending
on
market conditions, the same position can exhibit a wide range of risk characteristics. Today's small risk can become tomorrow's big risk.
Although it is
impractical to analyze every
potential risk, intelligent trading of options still requires us to consider the risk of a position under a wide variety of market conditions. Every serious trader's education must include an understanding of the many different ways in which the risk of a position can change. Having some awareness
of how the sensitivities change with changing market conditions is
vital if we expect to intelligently manage the very real risks that option trading entails. In this chapter, we will take a closer look at how option risk measures change as market conditions change and how this affects the characteristics of a position. Delta

We have already looked at
the sensitivity of the delta to one possible change in market conditions. In Figure $\frac{7-6}{}$, we saw that delta
changes as the price of the underlying contract changes and that this change is represented by the option's gamma. In addition to changes in the underlying price, the delta is also sensitive to changes
volatility and time.

## Figure 9-1 shows what

 happens to the delta of a call as volatility changes. As volatility increases, the delta of an out-of-the-money call rises and the delta of an in-the-money call falls, with both deltas tending toward 50. This is logical because in a low-volatility market an out-of-the-money call is more likely to remain out of the money and therefore have a delta that is closer to 0 , whilean in-the-money call is more likely to remain in the money and therefore have a delta that is closer to 100 . In a highvolatility market, we have the opposite effect. An out-of-the-money call has a greater likelihood of going into the money; an in-the-money call has a greater likelihood of going out of the money. Consequently, the deltas of both opt
toward 50.

Figure 9-1 Call delta values as volatility changes.

## Note that the delta of an

 at-the-money option tends to remain close to 50 regardless of volatility. This is true in general, although changing interest rates or, in the case ofstock
options,
dividends forward theoretical
changing
alter
the
Because pricing models evaluate options in relation to the forward price, the delta of an at-the-money call may in
fact be either more or less than 50 . Even if the option is exactly at the forward (the exercise price and forward price are the same), a call will still have a delta that is slightly greater than 50 because of the lognormal distribution used to evaluate the option. This is evident in Figure 9-1, where the delta of an at-the-money call tends to increase slightly as volatility increases.

# Because <br> an <br> option's 

 delta changes as volatility changes, no trader can be certain that a position is really delta neutral. The delta depends on the volatility of the underlying contract, and this is something that will occur in the future over the life of the option. The volatility we use to calculate the delta is a guess. We might guess right, but we also might guess wrong. And if we guesswrong, our delta values will be wrong.

Rather than try to guess the future volatility, many traders use the implied delta, the delta that results from using the implied volatility. Using this approach, the delta will change as implied volatility changes, even if the underlying contract remains the same. Consider a trader who owns 40 call options
with an implied volatility of 32 percent
corresponding implied delta of 25 each. Because $40 \times 25$ $=1,000$, to hedge the position delta neutral, the trader will sell 10 underlying contracts. If, however, implied volatility rises to 36 percent, the delta of the options will tend toward 50. If the new implied delta is 30 , the trader's delta position is now ( $40 \times 30$ ) $(10 \times 100)=+200$. The

## trader's position changed

 from neutral to bullish even though no other market conditions changed.
## Because <br> the <br> delta

depends on the volatility, but volatility is an unknown factor, calculation of the delta can pose a major problem for a trader, especially for a large option position. Using the implied volatility to calculate the delta is only one possible

## approach.

## Figure 9-2 shows what

happens to call deltas as time passes. Note the similarities to Figure 9-1. Delta values reduce either of these inputs. In many situations, time and volatility will have a similar effect on options. More time,
like higher volatility, increases the likelihood of large price changes. Less time, like lower volatility, reduces the likelihood of large price changes. If a trader cannot immediately determine the effect on an option's value or sensitivity of changing time, he might instead consider the effect of changing volatility.
C onversely, if
he
cannot
determine
the
effect
of
changing volatility, he might consider the effect of changing time. Both effects are likely to be similar.

Figure 9-2 Call delta values as time passes.

In-the-money cal
At-hne-money call
50



## The effects of volatility

 and time on put deltas are the same as those on call deltas, except that put deltas tend toward 0 and -100 as volatility falls or time passes and toward -50 as volatility rises. This is shown in Figures 9-3 and 9-4.> Figure 9-3 Put delta values as volatility changes


## Figure 9-4 Put delta values as time

 passes.

## An alternative method of

displaying
the
effects
of
changing time and volatility
on delta values is shown in
Figure 9-5. This is similar to $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Figure } & 7-6 \\ \text { have except that we } \\ \text { varied time and }\end{array}$ volatility. As we lower time or reduce volatility, delta values for calls move very quickly toward either 0 for out-of-the-money options or 100 for in-the-money options.

Figure 9-5 Call delta values as time passes or volatility declines.

$\leftarrow$ Lower underying prices $\underset{\substack{\text { Exercise } \\ \text { price }}}{ }$ Higher underlyingprices $\longrightarrow$

## Because delta values are

 affected by the passage of time, a position that is delta neutral today may not be delta neutral tomorrow, even if all other market conditions remain unchanged. Of course, with many months remaining to expiration, the passage of even several days may have little effect on the delta. If, however, expiration is quicklyapproaching,

# passage of just one day, 

 because it represents a large portion of the option's remaining life, can havedramatic effect on the delta. As option traders have become more aware of the importance to changes in the sensitivities themselves
cases, they have also begun to attach names (although not necessarily Greek letters) to these sensitivities. The sensitivity of the delta to a change in volatility is higher-order referred to as the option's vanna. The sensitivity of the delta to the passage of time is sometimes referred to as the option's delta decay or its charm. ${ }^{1}$

Which delta values are the most sensitive to changes in volatility (vanna) and time (charm)? We know that delta values will tend either toward 50 as we increase volatility or time, or away from 50 (toward 0 or 100) as we reduce volatility or time. Logically, delta values that are already close to 0,50 , or 100 are the least likely to change. At the same time,
delta values that are
approximately
call delta values close to 20 and 80 and put delta values close to -20 and -80 . Options with these deltas will move the most quickly toward 50 if we raise volatility or away from 50 if we lower volatility or reduce time to expiration.

Figure 9-6 Vanna of an option.


## Figure 9-7 Charm of an option.



# The three vanna graphs 

 also show that the vanna moves in the opposite direction of volatility, falling as we raise volatility and rising as we reduce volatility. The graphs of the charm exhibit similar characteristics with respect to the passage of time, falling with more time to expiration and rising with less time to expiration.In Figures 9-6 and 9-7,

## we have ignored the effect of

 changing time on the vanna and the effect of changing volatility on the charm. From previous discussions, we might expect time and volatility to have the same effect on both these values. However, whereas vanna valuesaffected by time to expiration, they are not significantly affected by changes in

## volatility.

## Theta

## The theta of an option, the

 rate at which it decays, will vary depending not only on market conditions but also on whether an option is in the money, at the money, or outof the money. In Figure 9-8, we can see that the theta of an option is greatest when it is at the money. As the option moves either into or out of the money, its theta declines. Because the theta of an option is a function of its time value, and because very deeply in the money options and very far out of the money options have very little time value, it is logical that such options have a very low theta.

Figure 9-8 Theta of an option as the underlying price changes.


Lower underfying picices
Higher undariyng prices
'Althughilis scommonto toxpess theia as a negativenumber, he theia vaiues in Figures $9 \cdot 8,9 \cdot 10$, and $9 \cdot 111$ rae exrressed in temms of absolite vauv.

## Note also that when all

 other conditions are the same, an at-the-money option at a higher underlying price has a greater theta value than an at-the-money option with lower underlying price. understand why, consider two calls, one with an exercise price of 10 and one with an exercise price of 1,000 , where both options are at the money and both calls have the sameamount of time to expiration and the same implied volatility. Which option will be worth more? Clearly, the 1,000 call will be worth more because it represents the right to buy a more valuable asset. ${ }^{3}$ Because both options are at the money and therefore consist solely of time value, the theta of the 1,000 call must be greater than the theta of the 10 call.

## Figure $9-9$ shows the

 theoretical value of an in-themoney, at-the-money, and out-of-the-money option as time passes. Early in the option's life, the rate of decay (the slope of the theoreticalvalue graph) is similar for each option. But late in the option's life, as expiration approaches, the rate of decay slows for in-the-money and out-of-the-money options, whereas it accelerates for an
# at-the-money 

option, approaching infinity at the moment of expiration. These characteristics, which apply to both calls and puts, are shown in Figure 9-10. 4

Figure 9-9 Theoretical value of an option as time passes.


0
0
Time to expiration
(Expiration)

Figure 9-10 Theta of an option as time passes.
Theta


## The effect on the theta of

 changing volatility is shown in Figure 9-11. If we ignore interest, with a 0 volatility, the theta of any option will be 0 . As we increase volatility, we increase the time premium, at the same time increasing the theta.
## Figure 9-11 Theta of an option as

 volatility changes.
$\longleftarrow$ Lower voalility
Higher volatiliy

## Note that the graph of

the at-the-money option is essentially a straight line, with the theta being directly proportional to the volatility. For an at-the-money option, the theta at a volatility of 20 percent is exactly double the theta at a volatility of 10 percent. The same is not necessarily true for higher exercise prices (out-of-themoney calls and in-the-

# money puts) or lower 

 exercise prices (in-the-money calls and out-of-the-money puts). The theta tends to decline as volatility declines but may become 0 well before the volatility is 0 .
was
constructed with the higher and lower exercise prices equally far away from the current underlying
price. Note that the higher exercise
price has a greater theta than the lower exercise price, with the difference increasing with increasing volatility. We touched on the explanation for this in Chapter 6. If a call and a put are both equally out of the money, under the assumptions of a lognormal distribution,
the out-of-the-

[^0]lower exercise price). If there is no movement in the price of the underlying contract, the option with more time premium (the higher exercise price) must necessarily decay more quickly than the option with less time premium (the lower exercise price). If we know the value of an option today, is there any way to estimate the option's theta? There is no convenient
method for estimating the theta of in-the-money and out-of-the-money options, but for an at-the-money option, we know that theta is directly proportional to volatility (Figure 9-11). We also know from Chapter 6 that volatility is proportional to the square root of time

# The <br> theta <br> of <br> an <br> at-the- 

money option must therefore be proportional to the square root of time. If $T V_{t}$ is an option's theoretical value at time $t$ (in days to expiration), then the theoretical value one day later $T V_{t-1}$ is

## The theta is therefore



## As time passes, the value

# becomes 

large.
Consequently, the theta of an at-the-money option will also become increasingly large (Figure 9-7).
For example, consider
an at-the-money option with a theoretical value of 2.50 and 30 days remaining expiration. The option's theta will be approximately
$2.50 \times(1-\sqrt{29 / 30})=2.50 \times(1-0.9832) \approx 0.042$ One day later, with 29 days remaining to expiration, the theta will be

$$
(2.50-0.042) \times(1-\sqrt{28 / 29})=2.458 \times(1-0.9826) \approx 0.043
$$

## Vega

## Figure $9-12$ shows the vega of an option as we change the

underlying price. Note that this figure is almost identical to Figure 9-8. As with the theta, the vega is greatest when an option is at the money, and an at-the-money option with a higher exercise price has a greater vega than an at-the-money option with a lower exercise price. Moreover, the vega of an at-the-money option is proportional to its exercise price. Assuming that all other
conditions are the same, an at-the-money option with an exercise price of 100 will have a vega that is twice that of an option with an exercise price of 50. Note that the term vanna, which previously referred to the sensitivity of delta to a change in volatility, can also refer to the sensitivity of the vega to a change in the underlying price. Both interpretations are mathematically identical.

Figure 9-12 Vega of an option as the underlying price changes.


## Figure 9-13 shows the

theoretical value of an in-themoney, at-the-money, and out-of-the-money option as we change volatility.
particular note is the fact that the value of an at-the-money option is essentially a straight line. Because the vega is the slope of the graph, we can conclude that the vega of an at-the-money option

# respect to changes 

 of an at-the-money option will be the same.Figure 9-13 Theoretical value of an option as volatility changes.


## The effect on the vega of

 changing volatility is shown in Figure 9-14. While the vega of the at-the-money option is relatively constant, the vega values of in-themoney and out-of-the-money options tend to rise with higher volatility. $\underline{5}$ This is logical when we recall that as we raise volatility, the deltas of in-the-money and out-of-the-moneytoward 50, causing the options to act more and more as if they are at the money. Because at-the-money options have the greatest vega (see Figure 9-12), we would expect the vega values to rise. The sensitivity of vega to a change in volatility is sometimes referred to as either the volga or the vomma (both terms are a contraction of volatility and gamma either volatility
volatility gamma).
Figure 9-14 Vega of an option as volatility changes.

$\longleftarrow$ Lover volatility
Higher volatility

## Figure $9-15$ shows volga

values for calls and puts with varying deltas. We have already noted that an at-themoney option with a delta of approximately 50 has relatively constant vega and, consequently, a volga close to 0. However, as an option moves either into the money or out of the money, the volga begins to increase, reaching its maximum for calls with

# deltas of approximately 10 

 and 90 and puts with deltas of approximately -10 and -90 . Additionally, as we increase time, volga values for in-themoney and out-of-the-money options become more sensitive to the passage of time, with long-term options having greater volga values than short-term options.Figure 9-15 Volga (vomma) of an option.


## In Figure 9-16, we can

see how vega values change as time changes, rising as we increase time to expiration and falling as we reduce time. This characteristic, that longterm options are always more sensitive to changes in volatility than short-term options, was introduced in Chapter 6 (see Figures 6-11 and 6-12).

Figure 9-16 Vega of an option as
time passes.


(Expiration)

## The sensitivity of the

vega to changes in time to expiration,
sometimes referred to as either
decay or DvegaDtime,
vega
shown in Figure 9-17. The vega of options with delta values between 10 and 90 tends to be the most sensitive to the passage of time. This sensitivity increases as we reduce time to expiration; as time
passes,
the
vega
of
short-term options
will change more quickly than the vega of long-term options.

Figure 9-17 Vega decay of an option.


## Gamma

## The gamma measures the

 sensitivity of the delta to a change in the underlying price. But the gamma itself is sensitive to changes in market conditions. $\underline{6}$$$
\text { In Figure } 9-18 \text {, we can }
$$

see that the gamma is greatest when an option is at the

## money. This is similar to

 theta and vega, which are also greatest when an option is at the money, and leads to an important principle of option trading: gamma, theta, and vega are greatest when an option is at the money. Because of this, at-the-money options tend to be the most actively traded in most option markets. Such options have the characteristics that traders are looking for when they go
## into an option market.

Figure 9-18 Gamma of an option as the underlying price changes.


## Unlike the theta and

vega of at-the-money options, which increase at higher exercise prices, the gamma of an at-the-money option declines at higher exercise prices. To understand why, recall that the gamma is the change in the delta per onepoint change in the underlying price.

But theoretical
pricing
models
measure change in percentage
terms. By this measure, a one-point price change with the underlying at 50 (a 2 percent change) is greater than a one-point price change with the underlying at 100 (a 1 percent change). Although the theta and vega of at-themoney options
are proportional to their exercise prices, the gamma is inversely proportional. The gamma of an option with an exercise price of 50 will be
twice as large as the gamma of an option with an exercise price of 100 .
Because at-the-money
options have the greatest gamma, as the underlying price moves toward the exercise price, the gamma of an option will rise, and as the underlying price moves away from the exercise price, the gamma will fall. The sensitivity of the gamma to a

# change in the underlying 

 price, sometimes referred to as the speed, is shown in Figure 9-19. The speed is greatest for out-of-the-money options with deltas close to 15 for calls and -15 for puts and for in-the-money options with deltas close to 85 for calls and -85 for puts. As we increase time to expiration or volatility, the speed of an option declines; as we reduce timevolatility, the speed rises. The gamma is least sensitive to changes in the underlying price for at-the-money options (a delta close to 50 for calls or -50 for puts) or for very deeply in-the-money or very far out-of-the-money options (deltas close to 0 and close to 100 for calls or -100 for puts).

Figure 9-19 speed of an option. Put deltas


## The gamma will also be

 sensitive to changes in time to expiration and volatility. This is shown in Figure 9-20. We know that gamma is greatest when an option is at the money and declines as the option moves either into the money or out of the money. Of particular importance is the fact that the gamma of an at-the-money option rises as time passes or as we reduce
# volatility and falls as we increase volatility. To see why, consider a 100 call with 

 the market at 97.50. Because the option is currently out of the money, its delta is less than 50. We also know that as time passes or we reduce volatility, delta values move away from 50. If we are close to expiration or in a very lowvolatility market, the delta of the option will be well below 50, perhaps 25. If theunderlying market should then rise 5 points to 102.50 , the delta of the option will be greater than 50 , perhaps 75. With the underlying market rising from 97.50 to 102.50 and the delta rising from 25 to 75 , the approximate gamma should be


## time passes or volatility changes.



# If, however, expiration is 

far in the future or we are in a high-volatility market, the delta of the 100 call will stay close to 50. With the underlying market at 97.50, the delta of the option may be 45. If the market then rises to 102.50 , the delta may be only 55. The approximate gamma is then

The effect is just the
opposite and options. The gamma will fall if we reduce volatility and rise if we increase volatility. $\underline{7}$ Because gamma and theta are closely related, if we were to graph the gamma of an option as time passes, the result would be very similar to

## Figure 9-10, with the gamma

 instead of the theta along the $y$-axis.The sensitivity of the gamma to the passage of time, sometimes referred to as its color, is shown in Figure 9-21. The color is greatest for at-the-money calls and puts, with gamma values becoming smaller as we increase time to expiration and larger as we reduce time (hence a negative
color value). Calls with deltas close to 5 or 95 and puts with deltas close to -5 or -95 also have large color values. Here, however, an increase in time causes gamma values to rise, whereas the passage of time causes gamma values to fall (a positive color). Moreover, reducing time or volatility will increase color values, making an option's gamma more sensitive to changes in the

## passage

of
time.

Increasing time or volatility will reduce color values, making an option's gamma less sensitive to the passage of time. Calls with deltas close to 15 or 85 and puts with deltas close to -15 and 85 tend to have color values close to 0 . The gamma values of such options will be relatively insensitive to the passage of time.

Figure 9-21 Color of an option.


## The sensitivity of an

option's gamma to a change in volatility, sometimes referred to as its zomma, is shown in Figure 9-22.

characteristics
are similar to
color characteristics. The zomma is large for at-the-money calls and puts, with gamma values becoming smaller as volatility rises and larger as volatility falls (a negative zomma).

Calls with deltas close to 5 or 95 and puts with deltas close to -5 or -95 also have large
zomma values. an increase causes gamma values to rise and a decline in volatility causes gamma values to fall (a positive zomma). Moreover, reducing time or volatility will increase the zomma,
gamma
more option's sensitive to changes
in

# volatility. Increasing time or 

 volatility will reduce the zomma, making an option's gamma less sensitive to changes in volatility. Calls with deltas close to 15 or 85 and puts with deltas close to 15 and -85 tend to have zomma values close to 0 . The gamma values of such options will be relatively insensitive to changes in volatility.Figure 9-22 Zomma of an option.


## Given the fact that the

 gamma is greatest for at-themoney options and that the gamma of an at-the-money option increases as time passes or volatility declines, experienced traders know that at-the-money options close to expiration in a low-volatility environment are among the riskiest options that one can trade. Although these gamma options initially have deltavalues close to 50 , their deltas can change dramatically with only small moves in the price of the underlying contract, moving very quickly toward 0 or 100 .

## $\operatorname{Lambda}(\mathbf{\Lambda})$

The delta tells us the point change in an option's value for a given point change in the price of the underlying
contract. But we might also ask how an option's value changes in percentage terms for a given percentage change in the underlying price.

## Consider a call option

 with a theoretical value of 4.00 and a delta of 20 , with the underlying contract trading at a price of 100 . If the underlying contract rises one point to 101 , the new delta of the option (ignoringthe gamma) should be approximately 4.20 . But how much are these changes in percentage terms? The underlying changed by 1 percent (1/100), whereas the option changed by 5 percent (0.20/4.00). The option has a lambda, or elasticity, of 5 . In percentage terms, it will change at five times the rate of the underlying contract. We can see that the
lambda is simply the option's delta (using the decimal format) multiplied by the ratio of the underlying price $S$ to the option's theoretical value

$$
\Lambda=\Delta \times(S / T V)
$$

In our example, lambda is

$$
0.20 \times 100 / 4.00=5
$$

Traders sometimes refer to
the lambda as the option's leverage value. Although lambda is not a widely used risk measure, it may still be worth looking at some basic lambda characteristics. These are shown in Figures 9-23 (call lambda values) and 9-24 (put lambda values). Logically, because the lambda is calculated from the delta, calls have positive lambda values and puts have negative lambda values. We
can see that the lambda is greatest for out-of-the-money options-as the underlying price rises, call lambda values decline and put lambda values rise (they take on large negative values). Lambda values are also sensitive to changes in time and volatility. If we increase volatility, lambda values for both calls and puts fall. If we reduce volatility or as time passes, lambda values for both calls

## and puts rise.

Figure 9-23 Lambda of a call as time passes or volatility changes.


Figure 9-24 Lambda of a put as time passes or volatility changes.


## A trader who wants the

 biggest possible return on his investment, in percentage terms, compared with an equal investment in the underlyingbid-ask spread and liquidity of the option market, that might make a large lambda position impractical compared with a similar
position
in
the underlying market.

## It <br> may seem <br> that <br> We

 have gone into undue detail in our examination of the option risk measures. Although it is certainly true that not every risk is important in everysituation, experienced traders have learned that it is almost impossible to overemphasize the importance of risk management in option trading. Because options are affected by so many different market forces, unless a trader is aware of and understands the many ways in which option values
change, he cannot hope to successfully manage the very real risks that option trading entails. discussed in this chapter is given in Figures 9-25 and 926.

Figure 9-25 Traditional risk measures.

| RiskName | Senstrityothe | Toa Change in | Nath | Meximized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Defta [/ | Theoreticalalue in points) | Underlying pice (inpoints) | 20/OS $2 P P C O S+1$ | Deepylyintremoney |
| Lambdad ( $)$ <br> [omega (2)] <br> elasticity | Theoretical value <br> (inpercent) | Undetying price (inpercent) | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta c^{+}(S C) \\ & \Delta p^{\prime}\|S\| S \mid \end{aligned}$ | Outo themoney <br> Closetoexpiration Lowvalatily |
| Gamma() <br> curvature | Deta | Underlying price | $\mathrm{a}^{2} \cos ^{2}=$ <br> ${ }^{2 P P / 65} 5^{2}$ <br> 2805 | At themoney <br> Closetoexpirtion Lowvodatily |
| Theta (0) <br> time decay | Theoreticalvalue | Timetoexpiation | $\begin{aligned} & \partial \mathrm{COL} \\ & \partial \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | At themoney <br> Closetoexpiration <br> Lowvolatily |
| Vega | Theoretical value | Volatity | $3 C 100=2 P / 20$ | At themoney Longtem |
| Pho(P) | Theoretical value | Interestrate | $\begin{aligned} & \partial C O t \\ & \partial P / O r \end{aligned}$ | Deeplyinthemone; Longterm |
| Rhoforphi(0) | Theoretical value | Forelgniniterest rateordividend yield | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aclarf } \\ & \text { apart } \end{aligned}$ | Deeplyinthemoney Longtem |

## Figure 9-26 Nontraditional higherorder risk measures.

| RiskName | Senstivivofte | Toochamein | Wath | Maxinied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vama | Deta Veya | Voalitity Underyingapice | み6850 290380 | $15.20,88.85$ delth Lowrodalily |
| Cham deltrdecay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deta } \\ & \text { Theia } \end{aligned}$ | Time Underyngoptice | 876850 <br> 349852 | $15 \cdot 20,80885$ delta Cosestexpintion |
| Speed | Gamma | Undertingopre |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Color } \\ \text { gammadeay } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gamma } \\ & \text { Chamm } \end{aligned}$ | Tmetoexciation Underyingatice | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pcossit } \\ & \text { apposit } \\ & \text { Jrat } \end{aligned}$ | Athenoney Cositoeypirition Lovoradily |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volge } \\ & \text { (yomma) } \end{aligned}$ | Vega | Voditity | $\begin{aligned} & \partial C D D^{2}= \\ & \partial P O O^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 10,90deto Lorgtem <br> Lownoldily |
| Vegadeay | Vega | Tine | accioct appood | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20,8ddetro } \\ & \text { Cositreepinition } \end{aligned}$ |
| Zomma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gamma } \\ & \text { Varna } \end{aligned}$ | Voatility Underyingapice | 2C0.390 $=$ dipasion ग" 10 | Athemoney Cosestoexpirtion lownoxalily |

1 In mathematics, the "sensitivity of a sensitivity" is a second-order sensitivity. The gamma, vanna, and charm are all second-order sensitivities (the sensitivity of the delta to a change in underlying price, volatility, and time to expiration, respectively).
$\underline{2}$ The vanna is actually 0 for delta values slightly larger than 50 and smaller than -50 . This is due to the nonsymmetrical characteristic of the lognormal distribution.
${ }^{3}$ In fact, the theoretical value and theta of two otherwise identical at-the-money options are proportional to their exercise prices. In this example, the 1,000 call will be worth exactly 100
times more than the 10 call, and its theta will be exactly 100 times greater.

4 The theta values for in-the-money and out-of-the-money options are actually slightly different. However, the values are so close that in Figure $9-10$ we use one line to represent both options.
$\underline{5}$ In fact, we can see from Figure 9-14 that the vega of an at-the-money option declines very slightly as we raise volatility. This will be discussed in greater detail in Chapter 18.
$\underline{6}$ Because the gamma is a second-order sensitivity-the sensitivity of the delta to a change in the underlying price-the sensitivity of gamma to a change in market conditions is a third-order
sensitivity. For a discussion of some of the higher-order sensitivities, see Espen Gaarder Haug, The Complete Guide to Option Pricing Formulas (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2007); Espen Gaarder Haug, "Know Your Weapon, Part 1," Wilmott Magazine, May 2003: 49-57, also available at
http://www.wilmott.com/pdfs/050527 h and Espen Gaarder Haug, 'Know Your Weapon, Part 2," Wilmott Magazine, July-August 2003:50-56, also available at

## http://www.nuclearphynance.com/User

 percent20Files/2552/0307 haug.pdf.$\underline{7}$ This is a general rule. Sometimes an option that is only slightly in the money or out of the money will act like an at-
the-money option. Whether an option's characteristics will resemble those of an at-the-money, in-the-money, or out-of-the-money option will depend on a variety of factors, including volatility and time to expiration.
$10$

# Introduction to 

## Spreading

## In option markets, as in all

 markets, there are many different approaches to trading. At one time, scalping was a popular strategy among traders on the floors of
## futures exchanges.

 particular market, a scalper would try to determprice an equilibrium price that reflected a balance between buyers and sellers. The scalper would then quote a
bid-ask spread around this equilibrium price, attempting to buy at the bid price and sell at the offer price as often as possible without taking either a long or short position for
any extended period of time. The scalper made no attempt to determine the theoretical value
of the contract. Although the profit from each trade might be small, if a trader was able to trade often enough, he expected to show a reasonable profit. Scalping, however, requires a highly liquid market, and option markets are rarely sufficiently liquid to support this type of trading.

# A 

different
type
of
trading
strategy involves speculating on the direction in which the underlying contract will move. The directional position can be taken in a variety of ways-in the cash market, in the futures market, or in the option market. Unfortunately, even when an underlying market moves in the expected direction, taking a directional position in an option market

# will not necessarily 

 time, can affect an option's price. If a trader's sole consideration is direction, he is usually better advised to take the position in the underlying market. If he does and he is right, he is assured of making a profit.Most successful option

# traders are spread traders. Because option evaluation is based on the laws of probability and the laws of probability can be expected to even out only over long periods of time, option traders must often hold positions for 

 extended periods. Over short periods of time, while the trader is waiting for an option position to move toward theoretical value, the position may be affected by a variety
# of changes in market conditions that threaten its 

 potential profit. Indeed, over short periods of time, there is no guarantee that an option position will react 1 n a manner consistent with a theoretical pricing model. Spreading enables an option trader to take advantage of theoretically mispriced options while at the same time reducing the effects of short-term "bad luck."
## What Is a Spread?

A spread is a strategy that involves taking opposing positions in different but related instruments.

Most commonly, a spread will consist of positions that move in the opposite direction with respect to changes in market conditions. When market conditions change,
one position is likely to gain

# value, while the other 

 position is likely to lose value. Of course, if the values change at the same rate, the value of the spread will never change. A profitable spreading is predicated on the assumption that the values of the positions will change at different rates.
## Many

common
spreading strategies are based
on arbitrage relationships, buying and selling the same or very closely related instruments in different markets to profit from a mispricing. The cash-andcarry strategy common in commodity markets is an example of this type of spread. Given the current cash price, interest rate, and storage and insurance costs, a commodity trader
can
calculate
the
value
of
a
forward contract. If the actual market price of the forward contract is higher than the calculated value, the trader will
create a spread by purchasing the commodity, selling the overpriced forward contract, and carrying the position to maturity. $\underline{1}$
Consider a commodity trading at a price of $\$ 700$. If interest rates are 6 percent annually and storage and
insurance costs combined are $\$ 5$ per month, what should be the value of a two-month forward contract?

Forward price $=$ cash price + interest + storage and insurance $=\$ 700+(\$ 700 \times 0.06 \times 2 / 12)+(2 \times \$ 5)$ $=\$ 717$

If the actual price of the two-month forward contract is $\$ 725$, the trader might buy the commodity for $\$ 700$, sell the forward contract for $\$ 725$,
and carry the position to maturity. The total cash flow in terms of debits $(-)$ and credits $(+)$ will be

## The total profit resulting

## from this strategy is

$$
-\$ 7-\$ 700-\$ 10+\$ 725=
$$

## This is exactly the amount

 by which the forward contract was mispriced. The resulting profit will be unaffected by fluctuations in the price of either the commodity itself or the forward contract because all cash flows were determined at the time the strategy was initiated. Whether the commodity rises to $\$ 800$ or falls to $\$ 600$, theprofit is still $\$ 8$
Another type
buying and selling futures month forward contract on a commodity at $\$ 717$. We can do a similar calculation for a four-month forward contract.

Here, however, the cost of borrowing will be compounded because we will need to borrow $\$ 700$ for the first two-month period at 6 percent and then borrow $\$ 717$ for the second two-month period, also at 6 percent. ${ }^{2}$


The value of the fourmonth forward contract ought to be

If there are two-month- and four-month exchange-traded futures contracts on this commodity, there should be a $\$ 17.17$ difference, or spread, between the prices of the two
contracts. If the spread between months is actually $\$ 20$, a trader might buy the two-month contract and sell the four-month contract. The trader cannot tell whether either contract individually is overpriced or under-priced. But he knows that at a price of $\$ 20$, the spread is $\$ 2.83$ too expensive.

Assuming that the trader
has accurately evaluated the
spread, how will he make this $\$ 2.83$ profit? One possibility is that the price of the futures spread will return to its expected value of $\$ 17.17$. Having sold the spread (sell the four-month futures contract, buy the two-month futures contract), the trader can close out the position by purchasing the spread (buy the four-month futures contract, sell the two-month futures contract).

## If the price of the spread

 does not return to its expected value, the trader can carry the entire position to maturity. Suppose that the spread was originally created by purchasing the two-month forward contract at a price of $\$ 717$ and selling the fourmonth forward contract at a price of $\$ 737$. If carried to maturity, the cash flow from the entire position will be as follows:

## Of course, the trader

 could have achieved the sameresult by simply selling the four-month forward contract and buying the commodity. However, although a trader may have easy access to a futures exchange, he may find that his access to the physical commodity market is limited because such markets are typically dominated by large corporations. In such a case, he may find that it is both simpler and
cheaper
execute the spread

## futures market.

## Spreading strategies are

 often done to reduce one or more risks. In a cash-andcarry strategy, much of the directional risk is eliminated because the value of the long cash contract and the value of the short forward contract will tend to move in opposite directions. But a spreading strategy will not necessarily eliminate all risks.In
our
example, we assumed that we were able to borrow money at $a$ fixed rate, thereby eliminating any interest-rate risk. We also assumed that storage and insurance costs were fixed when the strategy was initiated. If we are
dealing
only
with
futures
contracts, changes in interest rates, as well as changes in storage and insurance costs, may affect the price relationship between futures
months. If the changes are large enough, a seemingly profitable spreading strategy may in fact become unprofitable. In the preceding example, if interest rates and storage costs rise after the strategy has been initiated, the spread between the twomonth and four-month futures contract will widen, resulting in a smaller profit to the trader or perhaps even a loss.

# Our examples thus far 

were both intramarket commodity spreads, with all contract values based on the same underlying commodity. However, if a trader can identify a price relationship between two different commodities or two different financial instruments, he might consider an intermarket spread, buying in one market and selling in a different market. As with all spreads,
the strategy is based on the assumption that there is an identifiable relationship between
the
prices
of
different contracts. When the price spread between the two contracts appears to violate this relationship, it represents an opportunity for the trader. In the fixed-income markets, a common strategy involves buying or selling
short-term
interest-rate
instruments and taking an opposing position in longterm interest-rate instruments. The value of the spread depends on changes in the yield curve-the relationship between short- and long-term interest rates.

## Consider two futures

contracts with the same time to maturity, a 10-year Treasury note future trading at price of $116^{14 / 32}$ and a 30 -
year Treasury bond future trading at a price of 118 $27 / 32$. ${ }^{3}$ The spread between the two is
$118^{27} / 32-116^{14 / 32}=2^{13 / 32}$

The prices of Treasury contracts move in the opposite direction of interest rates. If interest rates rise, Treasury prices will fall; if interest rates fall, Treasury
prices will rise. If a trader believes that interest rates will rise but that long-term rates will rise more quickly than short-term rates, he might sell the 10-year/30-year spread. 4 If he is correct, the spread will narrow, perhaps at a later date trading at

$$
115^{10 / 32}-113^{7} / 32=2^{3 / 32}
$$

If the trader originally sold
the spread at $2^{13} / 32$ and later
buys the spread back at $2^{3 / 32}$,
he will show a profit of

$$
213 / 32-2^{3} / 32=10 / 32
$$

## As a somewhat different

 intermarket spread, suppose that a trader observes the prices of two commodities,Commodity Commodity B,
over
an extended
period
and
concludes that Commodity B tends to trade at a price that is three times greater than that of Commodity A. That is,

# Price of Commodity $\mathrm{B}=3 \times$ 

 price of Commodity A
## If the price of Commodity

$A$ is 50, the price of
Commodity B ought to be


If
the
price
of
Commodity A is 200, the price of Commodity $B$ ought
to be 600. Although prices may occasionally deviate from this, they eventually seem to revert to this $3: 1$ relationship. Given this relationship, what will
a trader do if the current prices of the commodities are

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Price } & \text { of } \\
\text { Commodity } & \text { A } \\
=120 & \\
\text { Price } & \text { of } \\
\text { Commodity } & \text { B }
\end{array}
$$

## $=390$

390, Commodity B is trading at a multiple of 3.25 times Commodity A. Given the historical relationship, Commodity B seems to be trading at a price that is too high compared with Commodity
trading at $130(390 / 3)$.
If the trader believes that
the prices are likely to return to their $3: 1$ historical relationship, he might purchase three contracts of Commodity A for 120 each and sell one contract of
Commodity $B$ at a price of 390

## If at a later date the

contract prices return to their 3:1 relationship, the trader can close out the position at no cost, leaving him with the expected profit of 30 . This profit will be independent of the actual prices of the two commodities as long as the 3:1 relationship
maintained.
The strategy that we
have just described involves
buying and selling unequal numbers of contracts, sometimes referred to as a ratio strategy. It is common in markets where there is a perceived relationship between products with similar characteristics but that trade at different prices. In the precious metals market, a trader might spread against silver, even though gold trades at a price many times that of silver. In the
agricultural market, a trader might spread corn against soybeans, even though soybean prices are always greater than corn prices. In the stock index market, a trader might spread the Standard and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index against the Dow Jones Industrial Average Index. All these spreads differ from previous strategies in that they depend On
an
observed and perhaps less
well-defined relationship than that between the cash price and the futures price or between the prices of different futures months. Because the relationship is less reliable, these types of spreads carry greater uncertainty and therefore greater risk. Nonetheless, if a trader believes that his analysis of
 price relationship is accurate, the strategy
may
be
worth

## pursuing.

## Thus <br> far, <br> all

our
spreading
legs. In the first example, one leg consisted of a physical commodity

## two different commodities.

 But spreading strategies may consist of many legs as long as a price relationship between the different legs can be identified.> In energy markets, a common spreading strategy consists of buying or selling crude oil futures and taking an opposing position in futures in products that are made from crude oil

## gasoline and heating oil. The

 value of this crack spread depends on the cost of refining, or cracking, crude oil into its derivative products, as well as the demand for these products relative to the cost of crude oil. If the costs of refining rise or the demand for refined products rises, the value of the spread will widen. If costs fall or demand falls, the value
# of the spread will narrow. ${ }^{5}$ 

## There are a number of

 ratios in which the crack spread can be traded, but one common ratio is the $3: 2: 1-3$ gallons of crude oil to yield 2 gallons of gasoline and 1 gallon of heating oil. Because the value of the refined products is greater than that of crude oil, a trader is said to buy the spread when he buys the products and sells crude
## oil.

> Price of the $3: 2: 1$ crack spread $=(2 \times$ gasoline $)+(1 \times$ heating oil $)-(3 \times$ crude oil $)$

## A trader who believes that

 the demand for refined products will fall can sell the crack spread. A trader who believes that demand will rise can buy the spread.> In some markets, it may
be necessary to execute each
leg of a spread separately because there may be no counterparty willing
execute the entire spread at one time. If the spread consists of multiple legs and the trader has only been able to execute one leg, he will be at risk until he completes the spread by executing the remaining legs. If the trader must execute the spread one leg at a time, he needs to consider the risk resulting

## from this <br> piecemeal

execution. Determining how
best to execute a spread is usually
experience. It is often true that some legs, owing to the liquidity in the respective markets,

legs. As a consequence, most traders learn that it is usually
best
to
execute
the
more
difficult leg first. If a trader
does this, he will find that
execution risk is reduced because he will be able to more easily complete the spread. If, on the other hand, a trader executes the easier leg first, he may be left with a naked position if he is unable to execute the remaining legs in a timely manner or at a reasonable price.
Fortunately, in many markets, spreads are traded all at one time as if they are
one contract. A quote for the spread will typically consist of one bid price and one offer price, no matter how complex the spread. Consider a spread that consists of buying Contract A and selling Contracts B and C with the following bid-ask quotes:

## Contract

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { A } & 128 & 131
\end{array}
$$

8
47
49
C
68
70

## From the bid-ask quotes

 for each of the individual contracts, the current market for the spread is

## If a trader wants to buy the

 spread, he can immediately trade all three contracts individually and pay a total of 16. If he wants to sell the spread, he can do so at a price of 9 . But a trader may take the position that because he is trading multiple contracts, he ought to get some discount. A market maker in this spread will often take the view that because he has less risk when he executes all contracts atone time, he is willing to do so at a price more favorable to the trader. If the trader asks for a market for the entire spread, he will often find that the difference between the bid price and ask price is narrower than the sum of the bid-ask prices, perhaps 11 bid, 14 offer. Executing the entire spread as
one transaction will clearly be
better
than
executing
the
spread as three individual

## transactions.

Even if a spread is
executed as one trade, many exchanges require that parties trading a spread still report the prices of the individual contracts. If this is the case, what prices should be reported if a trader buys the entire spread at a price of 14 ? In fact, the individual prices really don't matter. Whether the trader pays 129 for

## Contract A and sells Contracts B and C at 48 and $68(129-47-68=14)$ or pays 131 for Contract $A$ and sells Contracts B and C at 48 and $69(131-48-69=14)$, the total price is still 14. Indeed, the parties could

 decide for whatever reason to trade Contract A at a price of 200 and Contracts B and C at prices of 86 and 100 (200 $86100=14$ ). As far as the parties the tradeconcerned, all that matters is that the individual prices add up to the agreed-on spread price of $14 .{ }^{6}$

## Option Spreads

At the beginning of this chapter, we defined a spread as consisting of opposing
positions related instruments. But what do we mean by a position? In the
spread examples thus far, the positions were based on directional considerations. If the value of one position rises as a result of a directional move in the underlying market, the value of the opposing position is expected to decline, even though ultimately the price of the spread is expected to converge to some projected value.
directional
spreads
in
the
option market by taking opposing but unequal delta positions in different contracts. As with our other spreads, the value of such a spread will depend on directional movement in the underlying contract.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { While the prices of } \\
& \text { ons are affected by }
\end{aligned}
$$

options are affected by
directional moves in the underlying market, they can also be affected by other

## factors. In an option market,

 we might create a spread by taking a long gamma position in one option and a short gamma position in a different option, or by taking a long vega position and a short vega position, or even a long and short rho position. The value of each of these spreads will depend on factors other than directional moves in the underlying market.The
sensitive to the volatility of the underlying market. The vega spread will be sensitive to changes in implied volatility. And the rho spread will be sensitive to changes in interest rates.

# The dynamic hedging <br> examples in Chapter 8 are typical gamma spreads. We initiated the spreads by either 

 purchasing or selling options andthen
offsetting
the

# option's delta with an opposing delta position in the 

 underlyingcontract.
although
an underlying contract has no gamma, an option does have a gamma. As a result, the entire position had either a positive or a negative gamma. From this we demonstrated that the value of the position depended not on the direction of movement in the
underlying contract but on the

# volatility of the underlying 

 contract.
## Many option spreads are

 dynamic, requiring periodic adjustments. But a spread can also be static. Once initiated, the spread is carried to expiration without adjustments. This is usually done only when the risk characteristics of the spread are well defined and limited.Perhaps in no other
market are spreading strategies as widely employed as they are in option markets. There are a number of reasons for this:

1. A trader might perceive a relative mispricing between contracts. Just as a trader might calculate the value of a futures contract in relation to the
price of a cash contract, an option trader might try to identify the value of
one option contract in relation to
another
option.
Although it may not be possible to
determine the exact value
of
either
contract, the trader might be able to estimate the relative

# profit by either buying or selling <br> the spread. 

In
many
markets,
traders
is often
expressed
in terms
of
volatility.
Consider
two
options,
one
that
has
a
theoretical
value
of
7.00
and
is trading
at a price of
8.00 and another that has a theoretical value of
6.00 and
is trading
at a price
of 6.
Which
option
represents
a greater mispricing Looking only at the option prices, the first option appears to be
overpricec by 1.00, whereas the
second option appears to be
overpricec
by only
0.75 . But
suppose
that the
volatility
used
to
calculate
the
theoretical

# value is 

23
percent.
Because
both
options
are
overpricec
we know
that their implied
volatilities
must be
greater
than 23
percent.
If the
implied volatility of the
option trading at 8.00 is 26 percent, while the implied volatility of the
option trading at 6.75 is 28 percent, an option trader is likely to conclude that in volatility terms, the second option is more

# overpricec 


2. A trader may
want to construct a
position that reflects a particular view of market conditions. Options can be combined in
an almost infinite variety of ways such that a position will yield a profit when market conditions move favorably. At the same time, options can be combined in ways that will limit loss when conditions turn unfavorable. We looked
at
some
examples of this in Chapter 4. Of course, even if a trader is able to construct a position that exactly reflects his view of market conditions, he will have to decide whether the prices at which the trades can be executed make the position worthwhile.
3.

Spreading
strategies help to control risk. This is particularly important for someone who is making decisions based on
a
theoretical pricing model. In Chapter 5, we stressed the fact that all commonly used pricing models are
probability based and that outcomes predicated on the laws of probability are only reliable in the long run. In the short run, any one outcome can deviate from the expected outcome. If a trader wants to be successful in options, he must
ensure that he
remains in the game for the long run. If he is unlucky in the short run and must leave the game, the long-term probability theory does him no good. Spreading is the primary method by which traders limit the short-term effects
of
"bad
luck."

## In addition to reducing

 the effects of short-term bad luck, spreading strategies can also help protect a trader against incorrectly estimated inputs into the theoretical pricing model. Suppose that a trader estimates that over the life of an option, the volatility of an underlying contract will be 35 percent. Based on this, he determines that a certain call option, which is currently trading at a price of 4.00 , hasa theoretical value of 3.50. If the call has a delta of 25 , the trader might try to capture this mispricing by selling four calls at a price of 4.00 each and buying one underlying contract and dynamically hedging the position over the life of the option. The total theoretical edge for the position is $4 \times 0.50=2.00$. Of course, if the trader can make 2.00 with a $4 \times 1$ spread, it may occur to him that he can
make 20.00 if he increases the size of the spread to $40 \times$ 10. Why stop now? The trader can make 200.00 if he increases the size to $400 \times$ 100.

## Even if the market is

 sufficiently liquid to absorb the increased size, is this a reasonable approach to trading? Should a trader simply find a theoretically profitable strategy and do it
## as many times as possible in

 order to maximize the potential profit? At somepoint, the intelligent trader will have to consider not only the potential profit of a strategy but,
risks.
After
the
trader's
volatility estimate of 35 percent is just that, an
estimate. What will happen if volatility actually turns out to
be
some
higher
number,
perhaps 40 percent, or 45
percent? If the calls that the trader sold at 4.00 are worth 4.50 at a volatility of 45 percent, volatility actually turns out to be 45 percent, then the hoped-for profit of 200.00 (assuming a size of $400 \times 100$ ) will turn into a loss of 200.00.

> A trader must always consider the effects of an incorrect estimate and then decide how much risk he is
willing to take. If the trader in this example decides that he can survive if volatility goes no higher than 40 percent (a 5 percentage point margin for error), he might only be willing to do the spread $40 \times$ 10. But, if there is some way to increase his breakeven volatility to 45 percent (a 10 percentage point margin for error), he might indeed be
willing to do the spread $400 \times$ 100. Option spreading
strategies enable traders to profit under a wide variety of market conditions by giving them an increased margin for error in estimating the inputs into a theoretical pricing model. No trader will survive very long if his livelihood depends on estimating each input with 100 percent accuracy. But if he has constructed an intelligent spreading strategy that allows for a large margin of error,
the experienced trader can survive even when his
estimates
conditions turn out to be incorrect.
strategies can be used to reduce risk, recall our example in Chapter 5 where a casino is selling a roulette bet with an expected value of 95 cents for $\$ 1.00$. The casino knows that based on the laws
of probability, it has a 5 percent theoretical edge. Suppose that a customer comes into the casino and proposes to bet $\$ 2,000$ on one number at the roulette table. Should the casino allow the bet? The casino owner knows that the odds are on his side and that he will most likely get to keep the $\$ 2,000$ bet, but there is always a chance that the player's number will come up. If it does, the casino
will lose $\$ 70,000$ (the $\$ 72,000$ payoff less the $\$ 2,000$ cost of the bet). If the casino is backed by millions of dollars, the loss of $\$ 70,000$ will not severely interfere with the casino's continuing operations. If, however, the casino is only backed by $\$ 50,000$, the loss of $\$ 70,000$ will put the casino out of business. And if the casino goes out of business, it can no longer rely on its 5 percent

# edge because this is an 

 expectation that is only reliable in the long run. And the long run has just been eliminated.
## Now consider a slight

variation where
two
customers come into the casino and propose to place bets of $\$ 1,000$ each at the roulette table, but they also agree not to bet on the same number. Whichever number
one player chooses, the other will choose a different number. As with the first scenario, where one player makes a single $\$ 2,000$ bet, the casino's potential reward in this new scenario is also $\$ 2,000$. If neither number comes up, the casino gets to keep the two $\$ 1,000$ bets. But what is the risk to the casino now? In the worst case, the casino can only lose $\$ 34,000$, the $\$ 36,000$ payoff if one
player wins less the cost of the two $\$ 1,000$ bets. The two bets are mutually exclusive if one player wins, the other must lose.

## In return for the reduced

 risk, it might seem that the casino must give up some of its theoretical edge. We tend to assume that there is a tradeoff between risk and reward. But the edge to the casino in both cases is stillthe same 5 percent.

Regardless
wagered
or the individual bets, the laws of individual bets, the laws of number of probability specify that in the long run the casino gets to keep 5 percent of everything that is bet at the roulette table. In the short run, however, the risk to the casino is greatly reduced with two $\$ 1,000$ bets because the bets have been spread around the table.
Casinos do not like to see an individual player wager a large amount of money on one outcome, whether at roulette or any other casino game. This is why casinos have betting limits.
The
laws
of
probability are still in the
casino's favor, but if the bet is large enough and the bettor happens to win, the shortterm bad luck can overwhelm the casino. From the casino's

## point of view, the ideal

 scenario is for 38 players to place 38 bets of $\$ 1,000$ each on all 38 numbers at the roulette table. Now the casino has a perfect spread position. One player will collect $\$ 36,000$, but with $\$ 38,000$ on the table, the casino has a sure profit of $\$ 2,000$. Looking at the situation from the player's point of view, if the player knows thatthe odds are against him and he wants the greatest chance of showing a profit, his best course is to wager the maximum amount on one outcome and hope that in the short run he gets lucky. If he continues to make bets over a long period of time, the laws of probability eventually will catch up with him, and the casino will end up with the player's money.

## An option trader prefers

 to spread for the same reason that the casino prefers the bets to be spread around the table: spreading maintains profit potential but reduces short-term risk. There is rarely a perfect spread position for an option trader, but an intelligent option trader learns to spread off the risk in as many different ways as possible to minimize the effects of short-term bad luck.An important part of any serious option trader's education consists of learning a wide variety of spreading strategies.

## New

traders
are
sometimes astonished at the size
of
the
trades
an
experienced option trader is prepared to make. How can the trader afford to do this? His financial resources certainly play a role in the

## risk he is willing to accept.

 But equally important is his ability to spread off risk. An experienced trader may know many differentways
to
spread off the risk, using other options, futures contracts, cash contracts, or some combination of these. While he may not be able to completely eliminate his risk, he may be able to reduce it to such an extent that his risk is actually less than that of a

# much smaller trader who does 

 not know how to spread or knows only a limited number of spreading strategies.$\underline{1}$ The opposite type of arbitrage, selling the commodity and buying a forward contract, is not usually possible in commodity markets because commodities, unlike financial instruments, cannot be borrowed and sold short.
$\underline{2}$ For simplicity, we have assumed a constant interest rate. In fact, the cost of borrowing money for the second twomonth period may be different from the cost of borrowing for the first twomonth period. We have also ignored the cost of borrowing money to pay for storage and insurance. This will add a very small additional cost to the strategy.
$\underline{3}$ Treasury note and bond prices are typically quoted in points and 32 nds of par value.
$\underline{4}^{4}$ Traders refer to this as the NOB spread (notes over bonds).
$\underline{5}$ A similar type of three-sided spread is available in the soybean market. The crush spread consists of buying or selling soybean futures and taking an opposing futures position in the products that are made from soybeans -soybean oil and soybean meal.
$\underline{6}$ In practice, when reporting the price of a spread, exchanges prefer that the parties to the trade use prices for the individual contracts that reflect current market conditions. Otherwise, it may
appear that someone is engaging in unethical or illegal market activity. The exchange will not be happy if the parties report prices of 200,86 , and 100 , even though these prices still add up to a total spread price of 14 .

## Volatility

## Spreads

In Chapter 8, we showed that it is possible, at least in theory, to capture an option's mispricing in the marketplace by employing a dynamic hedging strategy. The first
step in this process involves hedging the option position, delta neutral, by taking an opposing market position in the underlying contract. But the underlying contract is not the only way in which we can hedge an option position. We might instead take
opposing delta position with other options.

# Consider a call with a 

 delta of 50 that appears to be
# underpriced the following ways: 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sell five } \\
& \text { underlying } \\
& \text { contracts. } \\
& \text { Buy puts with a } \\
& \text { total delta of }-500 \text {. } \\
& \text { Sell calls, } \\
& \text { different from those }
\end{aligned}
$$

that we purchased, with a total delta of -500. Do a combination of any of the preceding such that we create a total delta of 500.

## There are clearly many

 different ways of hedging our 10 calls. Regardless of which method we choose, each
## spread will have certain

## features in common:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { volatility. } \\
& \text { Each spread will } \\
& \text { be sensitive to the } \\
& \text { passage of time. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spreads

 under the general heading of volatility spreads.In
this
chapter, we will look at the most common types of volatility spreads, initially by examining their expiration values
and then
by

# considering 

 characteristics.
## Straddle

A straddle consists of a call and a put where both options have the same exercise price and expiration date. In a straddle, both options are either purchased (a long straddle) or sold (a
short straddle). Examples of long and short straddles, with their expiration profit-andloss (P\&L) graphs, are shown in Figures 11-1 and 11-2.

Figure 11-1 Long straddle as time passes or volatility declines.
Position value


Figure 11-2 Short straddle as time passes or volatility declines.

$\longleftarrow$ Lower underying prices

## At expiration, the value

of a straddle can be expressed as a simple parity graph. But what about its value prior to expiration? As with all option positions, some changes in market conditions will help the strategy and some changes will hurt. From Figure 11-1, we can see that a long straddle becomes more valuable when the underlying market moves away from the

# exercise price and less 

 valuable as time passes if no movement occurs. At the same time, any increase in volatility will help, while any decline will hurt. These characteristics are indicated by the risk measures associated with the position:$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { +Gamma } \\
& \text { (desire for } \\
& \text { movement in } \\
& \text { the underlying }
\end{aligned}
$$

contract)
-Theta (the
value of the
position
declines
as
time passes)
+Vega
(the
value of the
position
increases
as
implied
volatility rises)

The characteristics of a
short straddle are shown in Figure 11-2:
-Gamma (movement in the underlying contract will
hurt the
position)
+Theta (the
value of the
position
increases
as
time passes)

# -Vega (the value of the 

 position increasesas implied volatility falls)

## Straddles are most often

 executed one to one (one call for each put) using at-themoney options. When this is done, the spread will be approximately delta neutral because the delta values ofthe call and put will be close to 50 and -50 . A straddle can also be done with options that are either in the money or out of the money. For example, with the underlying contract trading at 100 , we might buy the September 95 straddle. If the September 95 calls, which are in the money, have a delta of 75 and the September 95 puts, which are out of the money, have a delta of -25 , the total delta will be $75-25$

## $=50$, resulting in a bull straddle. If we want the straddle to be delta neutral, we will need to adjust the number of contracts by purchasing three puts for every call:

Buy
September 95
call (delta =
75).

Buy
3
September 95

# puts $($ delta $=-$ 25). 

## This spread still qualifies

 as a straddle because we are buying calls and puts at the same exercise price. But, more specifically, this is a ratio straddle because the number of long market contracts (the calls) and the number of short marketcontracts (the puts) are unequal.

## Strangle

## Like a straddle, a strangle

 consists of a long call and a long put (a long strangle) or a short call and a short put (a short strangle), where both options expire at the same time. But in a strangle the options have different exercise prices. Typical long and short strangles are shown in Figures 11-3 and 11-4.Figure 11-3 Long strangle as time passes or volatility declines.
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Figure 11-4 Short strangle as time passes or volatility declines.
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## As with a straddle,

strangles are most often done one to one (one call for each put). In order to ensure that the position is delta neutral, exercise prices are usually chosen so that the call and put deltas are approximately equal.
If a strangle is identified only by its expiration month and exercise prices, there may be some confusion as to the
specific options involved. A March 90/110 strangle might consist of a March 90 put and a March 110 call. But it might also consist of a March 90 call and a March 110 put. Both strategies are consistent with the definition
of
a strangle. To avoid confusion, a strangle is commonly assumed to consist of out-of-the-money options. If
the underlying
market
is
currently at 100 and a trader
wants to purchase the March 90/110 strangle, everyone will assume that he wants to purchase a March 90 put and a March 110 call. Although both strangles have essentially the same $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ profile, in-the-money options tend to be less actively traded than their out-of-the-money counterparts. A strangle consisting of in-the-money options is sometimes referred to as a guts.

## Note that the risk

 characteristics of a strangle are similar to those of a straddle:$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Long strangle: } \\
+ \text { gamma/- } \\
\text { theta/+vega } \\
\text { Short strangle: } \\
+ \text { gamma/- } \\
\text { theta/ }+ \text { vega }
\end{array} \\
& \text { A new option trader } \\
& \text { often finds long straddles and }
\end{aligned}
$$

strangles attractive because strategies with limited risk and unlimited profit potential offer great appeal, especially when the profit is unlimited in both directions. However, if the hoped-for movement fails to materialize, a trader will find that losing money, even a limited amount, can also be a painful experience. This is not an endorsement of either long or short straddles. Under the right conditions,

# either strategy may be 

 sensible. But an intelligent trader needs to consider not only whether the risk and reward is limited or unlimited but also the likelihood of the various outcomes. This, of course, is one important reason for using a theoretical pricing model.
## Butterfly

Thus far we have looked at spreads that involve buying or selling two different option contracts. However, we can also construct spreads consisting of three, four,
or even more different options. A butterfly is a common three-sided spread consisting of options with equally spaced exercise prices, where all options are of the same type (either all calls or all
puts) and expire at the same
time. In a long butterfly, the outside exercise prices are purchased and the inside exercise price is sold, and vice versa for a short butterfly. Moreover, the ratio of a butterfly never varies. It is always $1 \times 2 \times 1$, with two of each inside exercise price traded for each one of the
outside exercise prices.
Typical
long
and short butterflies are shown in Figures 11-5 and 11-6.

Figure 11-5 Long butterfly as time passes or volatility declines.


# Figure 11-6 Short butterfly as time passes or volatility declines. 


To a new trader, a
erfly may look quite complex since it involves three different options in different quantities.
But butterflies have very simple and well-defined characteristics that make them popular trading strategies. To understand these characteristics, let's consider the value of a long butterfly at expiration:

$+30$

## －2hadh Woalls Postonnaus



倍



I


## 17

## 明男

1
$+1 \|$
1

If the underlying price is below 90 at expiration，all the calls will expire worthless， and the value of the position

# will be 0 . If the underlying 

 contract is above 120 at expiration, the combined value of the 90 and 110 calls will equal the value of the two 100 calls. Again, the value of the butterfly will be 0 . Now suppose that the underlying between 90 and 110 at expiration, specifically, right at the inside exercise price of 100. The 90 call will be worth 10.00, while the 100 and 110calls will be worthless. The position will be worth exactly 10.00. If the underlying moves away from 100 , the value of the butterfly will decline, but its value can never fall
below

0 .Summarizing, at expiration, a butterfly is worthless if the underlying contract is above or below the outside exercise prices (sometimes referred to as the wings of the butterfly). It has its maximum value at

## expiration when the

 underlying contract is right at the inside exercise price (sometimes referred to as the body of the butterfly). And the maximum value is always equal to the amount between exercise pricexample 10.00. Because a butterfly at expiration always has a value between 0 and the amount between exercise prices, in

## our example, a trader should

 be willing to pay some amount between 0 and 10.00 for the position. The exact amount depends on thelikelihood of the underlying contract finishing close to the inside price at expiration. If there is a high probability of this occurring, a trader might be willing to pay as much as 8.00 for the butterfly since it might very well expand to its full value of 10.00 . If,
however, there is a low probability of this occurring and, consequently, a high probability that the underlying
contract will finish outside the extreme exercise prices, a trader may only be willing to pay 1.00 or 2.00 because he may very $\begin{array}{llr}\text { well lose his entire } \\ \text { investment. } & \text { This also }\end{array}$
explains
why
our
example position is a long butterfly. Because the position can
never be worth less than 0 , a trader will always be required to pay some amount for the position. Otherwise, there would be a riskless profit opportunity. When a position requires an outlay of cash, a trader has bought, or is long, the position.

## A butterfly will tend to

 be delta neutral when theinside exercise price is approximately at the money.

## Under these conditions, a

 long butterfly will tend to act like a short straddle, while a short butterfly will tend to act like a long straddle. With either a long butterflyor a short straddle, a trader wants the underlying market to sit still (-gamma, +theta) and implied volatility to fall (-
vega). With either a short butterfly or a long straddle, a trader wants the underlying market to make a large move
(+gamma -theta)
and
implied
volatility
to rise
(+vega).
But
there
is
one
important difference. While a straddle is open-ended in terms of either profit potential or risk, a butterfly is strictly limited. It can never be worth less than 0 nor more than the amount between exercise prices. This is important for a trader who might want to sell straddles but who is
uncomfortable with the

## possibility of unlimited loss.

 Of course, there is always a risk-reward tradeoff. If a long butterfly has reduced risk when the trader is wrong, it will also have increased profit when the trader is right. For this reason, butterflies tend to be executed in much larger sizes than straddles. A trader may find that buying 300 butterflies $(300 \times 600 \times 300)$ is actually less risky than selling
## option trading, size and risk

 do not always correlate. Some strategies done in large sizes can have a relatively small risk, while other strategies, even when done in small sizes, can have a relatively large risk. Risk depends not only on the size in which a strategy is executed but also on the characteristics of the strategy.at expiration is worth its maximum
underlying contract is right at the inside exercise price. If we assume that all options are European, with no possibility of early exercise, both a call and a put butterfly with the same exercise prices and the same expiration dates desire exactly the same outcome and therefore have identical
characteristics.
Both
the
March $90 / 100 / 110 \quad$ call
butterfly and the March $90 / 100 / 110$ put butterfly will be worth a maximum of 10.00 with the underlying price exactly at 100 at expiration and a minimum of 0 with the underlying price below 90 or above 110. If both butterflies are not trading at the same price, there is a sure profit opportunity available purchasing the cheaper and

# selling the more expensive. $\frac{1}{}$ 

## Condor

## Just as a butterfly can be

 thought of as a straddle with limited risk or reward, a condor can be thought of as a strangle with limited risk or reward. A condor consists of four options, two inside exercise prices (the body of the condor) and two outsideexercise prices (the wings of the condor). ${ }^{2}$ The ratio of a condor is always $1 \times 1 \times 1 \times$ 1. Although the amount between the two inside exercise prices can vary, there must be an equal amount between the two lowest exercise prices and the two highest exercise prices. As with a butterfly, all options must expire at the same time and be of the same type
(either all calls or all puts). In a long condor, the two outside exercise prices are purchased and the two inside exercise prices are sold, and vice versa for a short condor. Typical long and short condors are shown in Figures $11-7$ and 11-8.

Figure 11-7 Long condor as time passes or volatility declines.
Position value


Figure 11-8 Short condor as time passes or volatility declines.
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## The value of a condor at

 expiration can never be less than 0 nor more than the amount between the two higher or the two lower exercise prices. A trader who buys a condor will pay some amount between these values, expecting that the underlying contract will finish between the two intermediate exercise prices, where the condor will be worth its maximum. Atrader who sells a condor will take in some amount, expecting that the underlying contract will finish outside the extreme exercise prices, where the condor will be worthless.
A condor will be is midway between the two inside exercise prices. When all options are European, the

## value and characteristics of a

 call condor and put condor will be identical.The four volatility
spreads that we just described —straddles, strangles,
butterflies, and condors-all have symmetrical

P\&L graphs. When executed delta neutral, as is most common, these strategies
have
no preference as to the direction of movement in

# underlying market. <br> Long 

 straddles and strangles and short butterflies and condors prefer movement in the underlying market and an increase in implied volatility (+gamma, -theta, +vega). Short straddles and strangles and long butterflies and condors prefer no movement in the underlying market and a decline in implied volatility(-gamma,
+theta,
-vega).
These
characteristics

## summarized in Figure 11-9.

Figure 11-9 Symmetrical strategies.
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## Ratio Spread

## In a volatility spread, a

 trader need not be totally indifferent to the direction of movement in the underlying market. The trader may believe that movement in one direction is more likely than movement in the otherdirection. Given this, the
trader may wish to construct a
spread that either maximizes his profit or minimizes his loss when movement occurs in one direction rather than the other. In order to achieve this, a trader can construct a ratio spread-buying and selling unequal numbers of options where all options are the same type and expire at the same time. As with other volatility positions, the spread is typically delta neutral.

# Consider the following delta-neutral position with the underlying contract trading at 100 (delta values are in parentheses): 



iil

Now let's consider three possible prices for the

# underlying <br> contract at expiration: 
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## If the underlying

contract makes a very big move in either direction, the position will show a profit.

Of course, the profit will be much larger if the move is upward. If the underlying sits at 100 until expiration, the position will show a loss. This call ratio spread, where more calls are purchased than sold, wants movement in the underlying contract but clearly prefers upward
movement, where the potential profit is unlimited. The P\&L diagram for this type of strategy is shown in

## Figure 11-10.

Figure 11-10 Call ratio spread (buy more than sell) as time passes or volatility declines.
Position value


## The <br> same <br> type <br> of

position can be created using puts. A put ratio spread, where more puts are purchased than sold, also prefers movement in the underlying contract. But now there is a preference for downward movement because the profit potential
on the downside will be unlimited. This is shown in Figure 11-11.

Figure 11-11 Put ratio spread (buy more than sell) as time passes or volatility declines.
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## A ratio spread where

more options are purchased
than
sold
1S
sometimes
referred to as backspread. Regardless of whether the spread consists of calls or puts, this type of spread always wants movement in the underlying market (+gamma, -theta) and/or an increase in implied volatility (+vega).

In a ratio spread where
more options are purchased than sold, the spread will be worthless if the underlying contract makes a large enough downward move in the case of calls or a large enough upward move in the case of puts. For either spread to result in a profit, it must be executed initially for a credit, and this is a typical characteristic of these types of spreads. Indeed, under the assumptions of a traditional
theoretical pricing model, a delta-neutral ratio spread where more options are purchased than sold should always result in a credit. Ratio spreads are often used to limit the risk in one direction. If we sell more calls than we buy, the spread will act like a short straddle (-gamma, +theta, -vega) but with limited downside risk. If we sell more puts than we
buy, the spread will have limited upside risk. The P\&L diagrams for these types of spreads are shown Figures 11-12 and 11-13.

Figure 11-12 Call ratio spread (sell more than buy) as time passes or volatility declines.
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Figure 11-13 Put ratio spread (sell more than buy) as time passes or volatility declines.
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## A ratio spread where

more options are sold than purchased is sometimes
referred to as frontspread. ${ }^{3}$ Using calls, the position will be worthless at expiration if the underlying contract is below the lower exercise price. Using puts, the position will be worthless at expiration if the underlying contract is above the higher exercise price. The fact that

## the value of the position

 cannot fall below 0 limits the downside risk if more calls are sold than purchased and the upside risk if more puts are sold than purchased.When executed as a
single trade, ratio spreads are
usually submitted using simple ratios, the most common being 2 to 1. However, other ratios- 3 to 1,4 to 1 , or 3 to 2 -are also

## relatively common.

Christmas Tree

## Ratio spreads tend to

 mimic straddles, but with the risk or reward limited in one direction. We can also construct strategies that mimic strangles, but again with limited risk or reward in one direction. Such spreads areknown
as either

Christmas trees or ladders. 4 A call Christmas tree involves buying (selling) a call at a lower exercise price and selling (buying) one call each at two higher exercise prices. A put Christmas tree involves buying (selling) a put at a higher exercise price and selling (buying) one put each at two lower exercise prices. All options must be the same type and expire at
the same time, with exercise prices most often chosen so that the entire position is delta neutral. When one option is bought and two options sold (a long Christmas tree), the position acts like a short strangle but with limited risk in one direction. When one option is sold and two options bought (a short Christmas tree), the position acts like a long strangle but with limited profit potential
direction. P\&L diagrams for typical Christmas trees are shown in Figures 11-14 through 11-17.

Figure 11-14 Long call Christmas tree as time passes or volatility declines.


# Figure 11-15 Short call Christmas tree as time passes or volatility declines. 
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# Figure 11-16 Long put Christmas tree as time passes or volatility declines. 
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# Figure 11-17 Short put Christmas tree as time passes or volatility declines. 



## Although ratio spreads

## and <br> Christmas <br> trees <br> have

 nonsymmetrical P\&L graphs, their volatility characteristics tend to mimic straddles and strangles. A spread in which more options are purchased than sold will prefer movement in the underlying market and/or an increase in implied volatility (+gamma, theta, +vega). A spread in which more options are soldthan purchased will prefer no movement in the underlying market and/or a decline in implied volatility (-gamma, +theta, -vega). The characteristics of nonsymmetrical spreads are summarized in Figure 11-18.

Figure 11-18 Nonsymmetrical strategies.
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## Calendar Spread

## If all options in a spread

 expire at the same time, the value of the spread at expiration depends solely on the underlyingprice. If,
however, the spread consists of options that expire at different times, the spread's value depends not only on
where the underlying market
is when the short-term option expires but also on what will happen between that date and the date on which the longterm option expires. Calendar spreads, sometimes referred to as time spreads or horizontal spreads, ${ }^{5}$ consist of option positions that expire in different months.

## The most common type

 of calendar spread consists of opposing positions in twooptions of the same type (either both calls or both puts) where both options have the same exercise price. When the long-term option is purchased and the short-term option is sold, a trader is long the calendar spread; when the short-term
option
purchased and the long-term option is sold, the trader is short the calendar spread. Because a long-term option will typically be worth more
than a short-term option, this is consistent with the practice of referring to any strategy that is executed at a debit as a long position and any spread that is executed for a credit as a short position.

## Although <br> calendar

 spreads are most commonly executed one to one (one contract purchased for each contract sold), a trader may ratio a calendar spread toreflect a bullish, bearish, or neutral market sentiment. For purposes of discussion, we will focus term option for each shortterm option) that approximately delta neutral. Because at-the-money options have delta values close to 50 , the most common calendar spreads consist of long and short at-the-money
options. $\underline{6}$
The value of a calendar
spread depends not only on movement in the underlying market but also on the marketplace's expectations about
future
market
movement as reflected in the implied volatility. Because of this, a calendar spread has characteristics that differ from the other spreads we have discussed. If we assume
that the options making up a calendar spread
approximately at the money, calendar spreads have two important characteristics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 . \quad \text { A calendar } \\
& \text { spread will increase } \\
& \text { in value if time } \\
& \text { passes with no } \\
& \text { movement in the } \\
& \text { underlying contract. } \\
& 2 . \quad \text { A calendar } \\
& \text { spread will increase }
\end{aligned}
$$

# in value if implied volatility rises and decline in value if implied volatility falls. 

Why should a calendar spread become more valuable as time passes? Consider the following spread, where the underlying contract, which is currently trading at 100 , is the same for both options:

# +1 June 100 <br> call <br> -1 April 100 call 

Suppose that there are four months remaining to June expiration and two months remaining to April expiration. If we assume a constant underlying price of 100 and a constant volatility of 20 percent, the value of the individual options as time

# passes, as well as the value of the spread, is shown in Figure 11-19. 

Figure 11-19 The value of a calendar spread as time passes.
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# The spread is initially 

 worth 1.34, but as time passes, both options begin to decay. However theApril option,
with
less
time remaining to expiration, decays more rapidly than the June option. Over the first month, the April option loses 0.96 , while the June option loses only 0.61 . The spread has increased to 1.69 .

## Over <br> the <br> next <br> month,

## with the underlying contract

 still at 100, the April option, because it is at the money, must give up its entire value of 2.30. The June option will also continue to decay, and at a slightly greater rate, losing 0.73 . But the calendar spread has still increased to 3.26.The increase in value of the calendar spread as time passes is the result of an important characteristic of

## theta that was noted in Chapter 8: as time

theta
of
an at-the-money
option increases.
A short- term
at-the-money option
decays more rapidly than a long-term at-the-money option.

What will happen if the underlying contract does not sit still but instead makes a large upward or downward
move? The value of a calendar spread depends on the long-term option retaining as much time value as possible while the short-term option decays. This will be true if both options remain at the money because an at-themoney option always has the greatest amount of time value. As an option moves either into the money or out of the money, its time value will disappear. A long-term

# option will always have 

 greater time value than a short-term option. But, if the movement in the underlying contract is large enough and the option moves very deeply into the money or very far out of the money, even a longterm option will eventually lose almost all or its time value. This will cause the calendar spread to collapse, as shown in Figure 11-20.Figure 11-20 The value of a calendar spread as the underlying price changes.

(1)
effect of changing volatility on a calendar spread. The value of the April/June 100 call calendar spread at different volatilities is shown in Figure 11-21.

Figure 11-21 The value of a calendar spread as volatility changes.
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As we raise or lower volatility, both options rise or fall in value, but the June
option changes more quickly than the April option. touched on this characteristic in Chapter 6, where we noted that a change in volatility will have a greater effect on a long-term option than on an equivalent short-term option. In other words, long-term
options values
have
greater
vega options. This difference in sensitivity to a change in

# spread to widen if we 

 increasevolatility if We and to reduce volatility.

A trader who is long a calendar spread wants two apparently contradictory conditions in the marketplace. First, he wants the underlying contract to sit still in order to take advantage of the greater time decay for the short-term option. Second, he wants
everyone to think that the market is going to move so that implied volatility will rise, causing the long-term option to rise in price more quickly than the short-term option. Can this happen? Can the market remain unchanged yet everyone think that it will move? In fact, it happens quite often because events that do not have an immediate effect
on the
underlying
contract may be perceived to
have a future effect on the underlying.

## The

most
common example occurs when news is pending that is likely to affect the underlying contract but whose exact effect is

## unknown.

Consider
company that announces that its CEO will make an important statement one week from today. If no one knows the content of the statement,
there is unlikely to be any significant change in the company's stock price prior to the statement. But traders will assume that the
statement, when it is made, will have an effect, perhaps a dramatic one, on the stock price. The possibility of future movement in the stock price will cause implied volatility to rise. This combination of conditions the lack of movement in the
underlying stock together with rising implied volatility -will cause calendar spreads to widen.
Of course,
the assumption of future stock movement as a result of the CEO's statement is just that -an assumption. If the statement turns out to be irrelevant to the company's fortunes (the CEO wanted to announce that he and his wife
just became grandparents), any presumption of future volatility is removed. The result will be a decline in implied volatility, causing calendar spreads to narrow. The effect of implied volatility is what distinguishes time spreads from the
other
types
of spreads we have discussed. Long straddles, long strangles, and short butterflies
all want the volatility of the underlying contract as well as implied volatility to rise (+gamma, +vega). Short straddles, short strangles, and long butterflies all want the volatility of the underlying contract as well as implied volatility to fall (-gamma, vega). But with calendar spreads underlying volatility and implied volatility have opposite effects. A quiet market or an increase in
implied volatility will help a long calendar spread (gamma, +vega), while a big move in the underlying market or a decline in implied volatility will help a short calendar spread (+gamma, vega). This opposite effect is what gives calendar spreads their unique characteristics.

$$
\text { Figures } 11-22 \text { and } 11-23
$$

show the value of long and short calendar spreads as time

# passes. Figures 11-24 and 11- 

 $\underline{25}$
## show the

 value as volatility changes.Figure 11-22 Long calendar spread as time passes.
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- October 100 call
41 June 50 put -1 March 50put
Bothoptions must
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(both calls or both puts)
and have the same
exercise price


## Figure 11-23 Short calendar spread

 as time passes.41 Nach 50 put
-1 June 50 put
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|  | Buy alongterm <br> option and sell a <br> shortberm option |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Both options must |  |
| be the same type |  |

# Figure 11-24 Long calendar spread as volatility declines. 

Position value


# Figure 11-25 Short calendar spread as volatility declines. 

Position value
(

# Although the effects of 

 time and volatility apply to calendar spreads in allmarkets, there may be other considerations, depending on the specific underlying market. In the foregoing examples, we assumed that the underlying contract for both the short- and long-term option was the same. In the stock option market, this will always be true.
underlying any one time. But in a futures market the underlying for a futures option is a specific futures contract, and different option expirations can have different underlying futures contracts.

## Consider a futures

market where there are four futures months: March, June, September, and December. If serial months are available, an April/June calendar spread will have the same underlying contract, June futures. But a March/June calendar spread will have one underlying contract for March options, a March future, and a different underlying contract for June options, a June future.

# Although one might expect 

 March futures and June futures to move together, there is no guarantee that they will. Particularly in commodity markets, shortterm supply and demand considerationscan
cause
futures contracts on the same commodity to move in
different
directions. In
addition
to
volatility
considerations, a trader who
buys a June/March call

# calendar spread must also consider the possibility that March futures will rise 

 relative to June futures. In order to offset the risk of futures contracts moving against a calendar-spread position, it is common in commodity futures markets for a trader to offset a calendar spread opposing futures market.In
example, if a trader buys the March/June call calendar spread, he can offset the position by purchasing March futures and selling June futures. How many futures spreads should the trader execute? If he wants a position that is sensitive only to volatility, he ought to trade the number of futures spreads required to be delta neutral. If
both calls are at the money, with deltas of approximately 50 , a trader who buys 10 call calendar spreads (buy 10 June calls, sell 10 March calls) will be long 500 deltas in June and short 500 deltas in March. Therefore, he should buy 5 March futures contracts and sell 5 June futures contracts. The entire position will be (delta values are in parentheses)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +10 \text { June calls } \\
& (+500),- \text { June } \\
& \text { futures }(-500) \\
& -10 \quad \text { March } \\
& \text { calls } \quad(-500), \\
& +5 \\
& \text { futures }(+500)
\end{aligned}
$$

## This type of balancing is

 not necessary-indeed, not possible-in stock options because the underlying for all months is identical.
## Time Butterfly

## In <br> futures <br> markets, <br> as

opposed to option markets, a butterfly is a position in three futures months. A trader will buy (sell) one each of a shortand long-term futures contract and sell (buy) two intermediate-term futures contracts. A similar type of strategy can be done in option markets. A traditional option
butterfly consists of options at three different exercise prices but with the same
expiration date. A time butterfly
(sometimes
shortened to time fly) consists of options at the same exercise price but with three different expiration dates. All options must be the same type (either all calls or all puts), with approximately the same amount
of
time
between
expirations. The outside

## expiration months are usually

 referred to as the wings and the inside expiration month as the body. Some typical time butterflies might be+1 May 100 call (wing)
-2 June 100 calls body)
+1 July 100 call (ving)

- March 70 call (wing)
+2 June 70 calls body
- September 70 call (wing)
-I January 5 Oput (wing
+2.2.1arch 50 puts body
-1 Nay 50 put (ving
+| February 25 put (wing
-2 June 25pus body)
+1 October 25put wing
Note that
(selling the May/June calendar spread) and selling the simultaneously June 100 call and buying the July 100 call (buying the June/July calendar spread). If all options remain at the money, as time passes, the value of a calendar spread will increase. The short-term spread must therefore be worth more than the longterm spread. Consequently, if
We
long-term calendar spread
(buying the body and selling the wings), in total, we will pay more than we receive. Because the entire position will result in a debit, we are long the time butterfly. If we do the opposite, selling the short-term calendar spread and buying the long-term spread (selling the body and buying the wings), we are
short the time butterfly. ${ }^{7}$ This can be somewhat confusing because in a traditional butterfly consisting
different exercise prices, the combination of buying the wings and selling the body results in a debit. But in a time butterfly consisting of different expiration months, buying the wings and selling the body results in a credit. The value of a long time


# butterfly as time passes and as volatility falls is shown in 

 Figures 11-26 and 11-27. The value of the spread will tend to collapse as the underlying contract moves away from the exercise price, implying that the spread has a negative gamma. Consequently, the spread must also have a positive theta. Finally, the value of the spread falls as volatility declines, implying that the spread has a positivevega. In sum, a long time butterfly has characteristics similar to those of a long calendar spread.

Figure 11-26 Long time butterfly as time passes.


# Figure 11-27 Long time butterfly as volatility declines. 

Position value
exercise

# Effect of Changing 

## Interest Rates and

## Dividends

## Thus <br> far <br> we <br> have

 considered only the effects of changes in underlying price, time, and volatility on the value of a volatility spread. What about changes in interest rates and, in the caseof stocks, dividends?

## Because there is no

carrying cost associated with the purchase or sale of a futures contract, interest rates have only a minor impact on futures options and, consequently, a relatively minor effect on the value of all futures option volatility spreads. $\frac{8}{}$ However, in a stock option market, a change in interest rates will cause the
forward price of stocks to change. If all options in a spread expire at the same time, the change in forward price is likely to affect all options equally, causing only small changes in the value of the spread. However, if we have a stock option position involving

## forward prices. And these two

 forward prices may not beequally sensitive to a change in interest rates.
Consider the following
situation:

Stock price $=100$ interest rate

$$
=8.00 \% \text { dividend }=0
$$

Suppose that a trader buys a call calendar spread:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +10 \text { June } \\
& 100 \text { calls } \\
& -10 \quad \text { March }
\end{aligned}
$$

# 100 calls 

## If there are three months

 remainingexpiration and six months remaining to June expiration, the forward prices for March stock and June stock are 102.00 and 104.00, respectively. If interest rates rise to 10 percent, the forward price for March will be 102.50 and the forward price for June will be 105 . With
more time remaining to June expiration, the June forward price is more sensitive to a change in interest rates. Assuming that the deltas of both options
are
approximately equal, the June option will be more affected by the increase in interest rates than the March option, and the calendar spread will expand. In the same way, if interest rates decline, the
calendar spread will narrow
because the June forward price will fall more quickly than the March forward price. A long call calendar spread in the stock option market must therefore have a positive rho, and a short call calendar spread must have a negative rho.

## Changes in interest rates

 have the opposite effect on stock option puts. In ourexample, if interest rates rise
from 8 to 10 percent, the June forward price will rise more than the March forward price. If we assume, again, that the
deltas
of
both
options
are
approximately
equal,
and
recalling
that
puts
have
negative deltas, the June put will show a greater decline in value than the March put. The put calendar spread will therefore narrow. In the same way, if interest rates decline, the put calendar spread will
expand. A long put calendar spread in the stock option market must therefore have a negative rho, and a short put calendar spread must have a positive rho.

## The degree to which

stock option calendar spreads are affected by changes in interest rates
depends primarily on the amount of
time between expirations. If there are six months between

## expirations

March/September), the effect will be much greater than if there is only one month between expiration (e.g., March/April).

## Changes in dividends

 can also affect the value of stock option calendar spreads because it may change the forward price of the stock. Dividends, however, have the opposite effect on stockoptions as changes in interest rates. An increase in the dividend lowers the forward price of stock, while a cut in the dividend raises the forward price. If all options expire at the same time, the change in the forward price for the stock will have an equal effect on all options, and the change in the value of a spread will be negligible. But in a calendar spread, if at least one dividend payment is

## expected

decrease
has negative dividend risk (its value falls as dividends rise) and a put calendar spread has positive dividend risk (its value rises as dividends rise). Examples of the effects of changing interest rates and dividends on stock option calendar spreads are shown in Figure 11-28.

Figure 11-28 Effect of changing interest rates and changing dividends on stock option calendar spreads.
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## In Figure 11-28, we can

see that an increase in interest rates will reduce the value of a put calendar spread and an increase in dividends will reduce the value of a call calendar spread. Indeed, if we raise interest rates high enough, the put calendar spread can take on a negative value, with the long-term put having a lower value than the short-term put. The same will
be true for a call calendar spread if we increase dividends enough. If a stock pays no dividends, the value of a call calendar spread should always have some value greater than 0 . Even if volatility is very low, the spread should still be worth a minimum of the cost of carry on the stock between expiration months. This is only true, however, if a trader can carry a short stock

# position between expiration 

 months. If a situation arises where no stock can be borrowed, the trader who owns a call calendar spread may be forced to exercise his long-term option, thereby losing the time value associated with the option. This is sometimes referred toas a short squeeze.

## Diagonal Spreads

A diagonal spread is similar to a calendar spread except that the options have different exercise
prices. Although many diagonal spreads are executed one to one (one long-term option for each short-term option), diagonal spreads can also be ratioed,
unequal
numbers of long and short
market contracts. With the large number of variations in diagonal spreads, it is almost impossible

## Each diagonal spread must be

 analyzed separatelydiagonal spread is done one to one and both options are of the same type and have approximately the same delta, the diagonal spread will act very much like
conventional calendar spread. Examples of this type of diagonal spread are shown in Figure 11-29 (delta values are in parentheses).

Figure 11-29 Diagonal spreads.
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# many different volatility spreads, traders tend to classify spreads in terms of their basic volatility <br> characteristics. <br> While <br> some <br> volatility spreads may prefer movement in one direction rather than the other, a trader 

 who initiates a volatility spread is concerned primarily with the magnitude of movement in the underlying contract and only secondarilywith
the
direction
of
movement. Therefore, all volatility spreads tend to be approximately delta neutral. If a trader has a large positive or negative delta such that directional considerations become more important than volatility considerations, the position can no longer be considered a volatility spread.

## positive gamma. All spreads

 that are hurt by movement in the underlying market have a negative gamma. A trader who has a positive gamma position is said to be long premium and is hoping for a volatile market with large moves in the underlying contract. A trader who has a negative gamma is said to be short premium and is hoping for a quiet market with only small movesunderlying market.

## Because the effect of

market movement and the effect of time decay always work in opposite directions, any spread with a positive gamma will necessarily have a negative theta, and any spread with a negative gamma will necessarily have a positive theta. If market movement helps, the passage of time hurts, and if market
movement hurts, the passage of time helps. An option trader cannot have it both ways. Finally, spreads that are helped by rising volatility have a positive vega. Spreads that are helped by falling volatility have a negative vega. In theory, the vega refers to the sensitivity of a theoretical value to a change in the volatility of the

## underlying contract over the

 life of the option. In practice, however, traders associate the vega with the sensitivity of an option's price to a change in implied volatility. Spreads with a positive vega will be helped by any increase in implied volatility and hurt by any decline; spreads with a negative vega will be helped by any decline in implied volatilityand
hurt
by
any
theta, and vega characteristics of the primary
types
of volatility spreads
are
summarized in Figure 11-30.
Figure 11-30 Summary of common volatility spreads.

| Sxaed | Della' |  | Thela | Vega | Downside <br> firyMRenard | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Usiside } \\ & \text { RiskRevard } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longstradle | 0 | + | - | + | Unimtedeyard | Unimiedrevard |
| longstangle | 0 | + | - | + | Unimitedrevad | Unimitedrevard |
| Shartbutefly | 0 | + | - | + | Unitedrenad | Linitedevard |
| Shartendor | 0 | + | - | + | Limiederenad | Linitedevard |
| Callatiospred (by morethansell) | 0 | + | - | + | Umitederenad | Unimitederward |
| Putratosplead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (by moretarsell) | 0 | + | * | + | Uninitedervad | Intedenewar ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| Slartstradle | 0 | - | + | - | Uniminterisk | Unimitedisk |
| Shartstangle | 0 | - | + | - | Uninitedrsk | Ulimiredisis |
| longbutefly | 0 | - | + | - | Unitedisk | Lintedisk |
| longcondor | 0 | - | t | - | Unitedisk | Linieditisk |
| Callatiospread (selmovethan byy) | 0 | - | , | - | Liminedisk | Unimiedisis |
| Putratosplead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (sellnce: tharby) | 0 | - | + | - | Unimitenstst | Lintedisk |
| Longclendistrued | 0 | - | + | + | Uniredisk | Linitedisk |
| Shatclendar speed | 0 | + | - | - | Linitederenad | Lintedevard |





## Because

# spreads <br> tend <br> be <br> delta 

theta
and
gamma are always of
opposite sign, we can place volatility spreads into one of four categories depending on the effect of movement in the underlying contract (positive or negative gamma) and the effect of changes in implied volatility (positive or negative vega):

## 




11
ini

Of course, within each
of
these categories, some spreads
will
have
larger

# gamma or vega values and 

 some spreads will have smaller values. Of these, straddles and strangles tend to have the largest gamma and vega values and therefore the greatest risk. They will result in the greatest profit when the trader is correct in hisassessment
of
market
conditions,
but
they will
result
in
the
greatest
loss
when the trader is wrong.
Butterflies and condors are at
the other end of the spectrum. These spreads yield smaller profits when the trader is right but also result in smaller losses when the trader is wrong. Ratio spreads and Christmas trees fall somewhere in between.
Volatility spreads can be further distinguished by their limited or unlimited riskreward characteristics, both
on the upside and on the

## downside.

# characteristics <br> are also 

 summarized in Figure 11-30. Figure 11-31 is an evaluationand rho. (Although the examples in Figure 11-31 assume that the underlying is stock, except for the rho, the characteristics of each type of spread will tend to be the same for options on futures.) The reader will see that each spread does indeed have the positive or negative sensitivities summarized in Figure 11-30. Note also that a volatility spread need not be exactly delta neutral. (Indeed,
as we saw in Chapter 7, no trader can say with absolute certainty whether a position is really delta neutral.) In practice, a volatility spread should have a delta that is small enough that the directional considerations are less important than the volatility considerations. This is often a subjective judgment.

## Figure 11-31 Examples of common

 volatility spreads.

## Also included in Figure

## $11-31$ is the theoretical value

 of each spread. This is simply the cash flow that results if each spread is executed at theoretical value. Purchases of options result in a cash debit (indicated with(indicated sign).
a positive
common
terminology, a trader is said
to be long the spread if it results in a cash debit and short the spread if it results in a cash credit.

## Note that no price is

 given for any of the option contracts in Figure 11-31, and therefore, no theoretical edge can be calculated for any of the spreads. The prices at which a spread is executed may be good or bad, resulting in a positive or negativetheoretical edge. But, once the spread has been
established,
the
market conditions that will help or hurt determined characteristics, spread are initial prices. Like all traders, an option trader must not let his previous trading activity affect his current judgment. A trader's primary concern should not be what happened yesterday but what can be
done today to make the most of the current situation, whether attempting maximize a potential profit or minimize a potential loss.

Choosing an Appropriate Strategy With so many spreads available, how can we decide which type of spread is best?

First and foremost, we will want to choose spreads that have a positive theoretical edge to ensure that if we are right about market conditions, we have a reasonable expectation of showing a profit. Ideally, we want to construct a spread by purchasing options that are underpriced (too cheap) and selling options that are overpriced (too expensive). If we can do this, the resulting
spread, whatever its type, will always have a positive theoretical edge.
More
often,
however,
our opinion about volatility
will result in all options appearing either overpriced or underpriced. When this happens, it will be impossible to both buy and sell options at advantageous prices. Such a market can be easily identified by comparing our

# volatility estimate with the implied volatility in the option marketplace. If implied volatility is lower than the volatility estimate, 

 options will be underpriced. If implied volatility is higher than our estimate, options will be overpriced. This leads to the following principle:If implied volatility
is low, such that
options
generally

The theoretical values
and deltas in Figure 11-31

# have been reproduced <br> in 

 Figures 11-32 and 11-33, but now prices have been included, reflecting implied volatilities that differ from the volatility input of 20 percent. The prices in Figure 11-32 reflect an implied volatility of 17 percent. In this case, only spreads with a positive vega will have a positive theoretical edge:Long straddles
and strangles Short
butterflies and condors

Ratio spreads
-long more
than short
(including short Christmas trees)
Long calendar spreads

Figure 11-32



## Figure 11-33

Stockprice $=100$ ImetoApri expiation $=2$ months Voatiliy $=20 \%$ Interestate $=6.00 \%$ Dividend $=0$

|  | (ad) |  |  |  | A ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exercise | Theoretical | Price |  | Thereical | Price |  |
| Price | Yave | ImpliedYoatiliy $=23$ ? |  | Value | ImpliedVobatily $=23$ | 239. Delto |
| Aprl90 | 11.17 | 11.36 | 93 | 27 | 0.47 | 7 |
| Apxils | 698 | 735 | 79 | 1.04 | 1.41 | -21 |
| Aprl 100 | 3.76 | 4.24 | 56 | 277 | 3.25 | -4 |
| April 105 | 1.71 | 216 | 33 | 5.67 | 6.12 | -67 |
| April110 | 65 | 0.97 | 16 | 9.56 | 9.87 | -84 |
| Stackprice $=100$ Timeto une expiation $=4$ mantis |  |  | s Vo | tr $=20 \%$ | Interstate $=600 \%$ Div | Dividend $=0$ |
|  | Cath |  |  |  | his |  |
| Exercise Pice | Theoretical Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { Implied Voditily }=239 \end{gathered}$ | Dela | Theoretical Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pnce } \\ \text { Incledvoltity }=23^{3} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Une90 | 1255 | 1294 | 87 | . 76 | 1.15 | $-13$ |
| June9s | 871 | 9.27 | 75 | 1.83 | 239 | -25 |
| June 100 | 562 | 629 | 59 | 3.64 | 431 | -41 |
| June 105 | 3.36 | 404 | 42 | 6.28 | 6.9 | -58 |
| June 110 | 185 | 245 | 28 | 9.68 | 10.27 | -72 |

LonoStrode: Buy all and puts with the same expiation dite and exertise price.

|  | Theorecical Value (CashFlow) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Della } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Gamma } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Theta } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10Axpl\| 100acals +10Axpil100puts | $10 x-3.76$ | +10×56 | +10×4.8 | +10x-035 | +10x.16 | +10x.088 |
|  | 10x-277 | +10x-4 | +10x4.8 | +10x-019 | +10x.16 | +10x-077 |
|  | -65.30 | +120 | +95.0 | -. 540 | +3.20 | +.110 |
| +10.une95 calls <br> +30 une 95 puts | $10 x-8.71$ | +10975 | +10x28 | +10x-026 | +10x. 18 | +10x.221 |
|  | $30 x-1.83$ | +30x-25 | $\underline{+30 \times 28}$ | $\underline{+30 x-011}$ | +30x.18 | $\underline{+30 x-089}$ |
|  | -14200 | 0 | +1120 | -.590 | +7.20 | -460 |

Shot Stradle Sel callsandputswith thesame expirtion date andeverciseppice.

|  | Theoreical valua Cashfow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tctal } \\ & \text { Deflo } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tadel } \\ & \text { Gammat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Thela } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Vegag } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -20Apxi 105calls | $20 x+1.71$ | $-20 \times 33$ | -20x4.4 | $-20 x-030$ | -20x. 15 | -20×0.52 |
| -10April 105 puts | $10 x+5.67$ | -10x-67 | -10x4.4 | $-10 x-013$ | -10x.15 | -10x-121 |
|  | +90.90 | +10 | -1320 | +.730 | -4.50 | -170 |
| -10.une 100cals | 10x-5.56 | -10x59 | $-10 \times 3.4$ | -10x-027 | $-10 \times 22$ | $-10 \times .178$ |
| -10.une 100puts | 10x-3.64 | -10x-41 | -10x3.4 | -10x-0.011 | -10x.22 | 10x-148 |
|  | +9260 | -180 | -680 | +.330 | -4,40 | -300 |

Long Strangle: Buy all sard puts withthesame expirtion date extdifferentexercse prices.

|  | Theoreicial Value Cashfow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Celta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Toal } \\ & \text { Gamma } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Theta } \end{aligned}$ | Total Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rhe } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10Aprl\|95puts | 10x-0.65 | +10x-21 | +10x3.6 | +10x-0016 | +10x0:12 | $+10 x-0.037$ |
| +10Appl ITocals | 10x-1.04 | +10816 | +1023. | +10x-0019 | +10x019 | +10x0005 |
|  | -16.00 | -50 | +660 | -350 | +220 | -0,120 |
| +20.uneSOputs | $20 x-0.76$ | +20x-13 | +20×18 | +20x-0008 | $+20 \times 0.12$ | +20x-0.045 |
| +10.une liocals | 10x-185 | +10228 | +10922 | +10x-0020 | +10x019 | $\underline{+10 \times 0086}$ |
|  | -33,70 | -20 | +65.0) | -036) | +4.30 | -0,040 |

Shor ftrangle: Sell callsand putswith the same expiation datebutdiffertexerctisepicies

|  | Theoreical Value CashFow) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Detta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Gammad } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Theta } \end{aligned}$ | Total Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rno } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -30Apal 100 puts | $30 x+277$ | -30x-44 | -30x4, | -30x-0.019 | -30x0.16 | -30x-0.077 |
| -40Aax\|105cals | $40 x+1.71$ | -40×33 | -40×4.4 | -40x-0.030 | -40)0.15 | -40x0.052 |
|  | +151.50 | 0 | -3200 | +1.770 | -10.80 | +.230 |
| -10.une 100calls | $10 x+5.62$ | -10×59 | -10x3.4 | $-10 x-0.027$ | -10x0.22 | $-10 \times 0.778$ |
| -10.une 105puls | $10 x+6.28$ | -10x-58 | -10x3.4 | -10x-0008 | $\underline{-10 \times 0.23}$ | $\underline{-10 x-0.213}$ |
|  | +119.00 | $-10$ | $-68.0$ | +0.350 | -450 | +0350 |

LongButtefly: Byy one optionata a lower exercisepprce and one option ata ahighere eyertise pice, and sell twooptions atan intemediate exercise pice, whereall options havethesame expirtiondate and ret the same typee(eitherall calls orall puts): theremust beanequal amount between exerectse picies

|  | Theorecical Value Cashfow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Della } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Todal } \\ & \text { Gammat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Thete } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iotal } \\ & \text { Vegat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rher } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10Axil9s cals | $10 x-6.98$ | +10×72 | +10x3.6 | $+10 x-0.031$ | +10x0.12 | +10x0.119 |
| -20Apriloocals | $20 x+3.76$ | $-20 \times 56$ | $-20 \times 4.8$ | $-20 x-0035$ | $-20 \times 0.16$ | $-20 \times 0.088$ |
| +10Aprilioscals | 10x-1.71 | +10933 | +10x4A | +10x-0.030 | +1080.15 | +10×0.052 |
|  | -11.70 | 0 | $-16.0$ | +0.090 | -0.50 | -0.050 |
| +10.une Soputs | $10 x-0.76$ | +10x-13 | +10x1.8 | +10x-0008 | +10x0.12 | +10x-0.045 |
| -20) une95 puts | $20 x+1.83$ | $-20 x-25$ | $-20 \times 28$ | $-20 x-0.011$ | $-20 \times 0.18$ | 20x-0.0 |
| +10.une 100 puts | 10x-364 | +10x-41 | $+10 \times 34$ | +10x-0011 | +10x022 | +10x-0.148 |
|  | -7.40 | -40 | -4.0 | +0.030 | -0.20 | -0.150 |

ShortPutterlyy Sell oneoption atalowereyerise pice and oneoption at a highereverisisprice and buy twooptionsatanintemediate execise pice, whereall options havethe sameexpintondate and arethe sametypeseitheral calls orall puts) there must be anequal anount between exercise pices.

|  | Theoreical Value Cash Fow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Delta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Todal } \\ & \text { Gammad } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Thete } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Vega } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10April100 puts | $10 x+2.27$ | -10x-44 | $-10 \times 4.8$ | -10x-0.019 | $-10 \times 0.16$ | $-10 x-0.07$ |
| +20Apal 105 puts | $20 x-5.67$ | +20x-67 | +2064.4 | $+20 x-0.013$ | +20×0.15 | +20x-0.1 |
| -10Aprililiopus | 10x+9.56 | -10x-84 | -10×30 | -10x-0001 | -10x0.10 | $-10 x-9.15$ |
|  | +9.90 | -60 | +10.0 | -0.060 | +0.40 | -0.090 |
| -10.une 90 alds | $10 x+1255$ | -10x87 | $-10 \times 1.8$ | $-10 x-0.022$ | $-10 \times 0.12$ | -10x0249 |
| +20)une 95 alls | $20 x+8.71$ | $420 \times 75$ | $+20 \times 28$ | +20x-0.026 | +20x0.18 | +2000221 |
| -10.une 100cals | 10x-5.62 | -10.59 | -10x3.4 | -10x-0.027 | -10×0.22 | -10x0.178 |
|  | +7.50 | 40 | +4.0 | -0.030 | +0.20 | +0.150 |


|  | Theoretical Value CashFlowl | Total <br> Della | Total Gamma | Total <br> Theta | Total <br> Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Phe } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10April90 cals | $10 x-11.17$ | $+10 \times 93$ | +10×1.7 | $+10 \times-0.023$ | +10x0.06 | $+10 \times 0.136$ |
| -10Apriloscals | $10 x+6.98$ | -10x79 | $-10 \times 3.6$ | $-10 x-0.031$ | $-10 \times 0.16$ | $-10 \times 0.119$ |
| -10April 105 calls | $10 x+1.71$ | -10x33 | $-10 \times 4.4$ | $-10 x-0.030$ | $-10 \times 0.15$ | $-10 \times 0052$ |
| +10Aprill10calls | 10x-0.65 | $\underline{+10 \times 16}$ | +10×3.0 | $\underline{+10 x-0019}$ | +10x0.10 | +10×0025 |
|  | $-31.30$ | -30 | -33.0 | +0.190 | -1.10 | -0.100 |
| +10June9Sputs | $10 x-1.83$ | +10x-25 | +10×2.8 | $+10 x-0011$ | +10x0.18 | $+10 x-0.089$ |
| -10June 100 puts | $10 x+3.64$ | $-10 x-41$ | $-10 \times 3.4$ | $-10 x-0.011$ | $-10 \times 0.22$ | $-10 x-0.148$ |
| -10June 105puts | $10 x+6.28$ | $-10 x-58$ | $-10 \times 3.4$ | $-10 x-0.008$ | $-10 \times 0.23$ | $-10 x-0.213$ |
| +10 June lloputs | 10x-2.68 | $\pm 10 x-72$ | $\underline{+10 \times 2.2}$ | $+10 x-000 \%$ | +10x0.19 | $\underline{+10 x-0.274}$ |
|  | -15.90 | $+20$ | -11.0 | +0.060 | -0.80 | -0.020 |

Short Condor. Sell two options at two outide exercise prices and buy two options attwo insideexercise prices whereall options have the same expration date and are the same type eitherall calsor all puts): there must be anequal amount between the two higher and two lower exercise prices.

|  | Theoretical Value CashFlow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Delta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tota! } \\ & \text { Gamma } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Theta | Total <br> Vega | Total <br> Pho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10April90puts | $10 x+27$ | -10x-7 | $-10 \times 1.7$ | $-10 x-0.008$ | $-10 \times 0.06$ | $-10 x-0.013$ |
| + 10 April95 puts | 10x-1.04 | +10x-21 | $+10 \times 3.6$ | $+10 x-0.016$ | +10x0.12 | $+10 x-0.037$ |
| +10April 100 puts | $10 x-277$ | +10x-44 | +10x4,8 | +10x-0.019 | +10x0.16 | $+10 x-0.077$ |
| -10April105puts | $10 x+5.65$ | -10x-57 | -10x4A | $-10 x-0.013$ | - $10 \times 0.15$ | $\underline{-10 x-0.121}$ |
|  | +21.30 | +90 | +23.0 | -0.14) | +0.70 | +0.200 |
| +10June 90 cals | $10 x-1.83$ | +10x87 | +10×1.8 | $+10 x-0.008$ | +10x0.12 | +10×0249 |
| -10.june95 calls | $10 x+3.64$ | -10x75 | $-10 \times 2.8$ | $-10 x-0.011$ | $-10 \times 0.18$ | -10x0221 |
| -10 June 105calls | $10 x+6.28$ | $-10 \times 42$ | $-10 \times 3.4$ | $-10 x-0.008$ | -10x0.23 | $-10 \times 0.130$ |
| +10june llocals | 10x-9.68 | +10×28 | $+10 \times 2.9$ | $\pm 10 x-0.002$ | +10x0.19 | +10×0.086 |
|  | +23.30 | +20 | +15.0 | -0.090 | $+1.00$ | +0.160 |




|  | Therericial\| Wave Cshifow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lotal } \\ & \text { pellap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tod } \\ \text { Comma } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Todal } \\ & \text { Ihtat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tod } \\ & \text { Vegad } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IXd\| } \\ & \text { Phn } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +2Aaxiliocals | $20 x-6.65$ | +20.16 | +20330 | +20x-0019 | +200.10 | +200005 |
| -10hapl\|OSals | $10 x+171$ | -10332 | -10x4.4 | -10x-0.0.0 | -10x.0.15 | -10x0052 |
|  | 410 | -10 | +16.0) | -0.08) | +450 | -0.00 |
| +30.unelioclls | $30 x-1.85$ | +3028 | + $2 \times 2.5$ | +30x-000 | +30019 | +30000\% |
| -10.veYYals | $10 x+125$ | -10887 | -1018 | -10x-1022 | -1000112 | -10x0220 |
|  | +7000 | $-30$ | -69.) | -1380) | -450 | +000 |




|  | Theoverial\|lave Cssiflow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Calde } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Todil } \\ \text { Camma } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Todal } \\ & \text { IThes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lod } \\ & \text { leged } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Todal } \\ & \text { Phon } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +2Aaxilscals | $20 x-688$ | +2076 | $+2 \times 3.36$ | $+200-0031$ | +2000,12 | 0119 |
| -30Axaliocals | $30 x+316$ | -3045 | $-3 \times 48$ | -300-0035 | -300016 | -3000088 |
|  | -2680 | -100 | -20) | +043) | -24) | -0.200 |
| 40.meneocils | 10x-5.62 | $40 \times 59$ | +1033.4 | +10x-0027 | $40 \times 022$ | 40x0178 |
| -20.une tiocals | 20x-1.85 | -20028 | -2029 | -20x-000 | -2000.19 | $20 \times 0.880$ |
|  | -1980 | +30 | -240 | +0.130 | -160 | +0.60 |

Put Ratio Spread (long more than shorti; Buy more puts at a lower exercise price and sell fewer puts at a higher exercise price where all options have the same expiration date.

|  | Theoretical Value (CashFlow) | Total <br> Defla | Total Gamma | Total <br> Theta | Total Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +40 April95 puts | $40 x-1.04$ | +40x-21 | +40×3.6 | $+40 x-0.016$ | +40×0.12 | $+40 \times-0.037$ |
| -10April110 puts | $10 x+2.56$ | -10x-84 | -10 $\times 30$ | -10x-0.001 | $\underline{-10 \times 0.10}$ | -10x-0.15 |
|  | +54.00 | 0 | +1140 | -0.630 | +3.80 | +0.080 |
| +50 June 95 puts | $50 \mathrm{x}-1.83$ | +50x-25 | +50×2.8 | +50x-0.011 | +50×0.18 | +50x-0.08 |
| -20June 105puts | $20 x+5.28$ | -20x-58 | -20×3.4 | -20x-0.008 | -20×0.23 | -20x-0.21 |
|  | +34.10 | -90 | +72.0 | -0.390 | +4.40 | -0.190 |

PutRatiospread (shat morethanlong): Sell more puts at a lower exercise price and buy fever puts at a higher exercise price where all options have the same expiration date.

|  | Theoretical Value (GashFlow) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Della } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Gamma } \end{aligned}$ | Total Ibela | Total Vegal | Total <br> Rho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10Aptil 105 puts | $10 x-5.67$ | +10x-67 | +10×4.4 | +10x-0.013 | +10x0.15 | $+10 x-0.12$ |
| -30April95 puts | $30 x+1.04$ | $=30 x-21$ | $=30 \times 3.6$ | $-30 x-0.016$ | -30 $\times 0.12$ | $=30 x-0.037$ |
|  | -25.50 | -40 | -54.0 | +0.350 | -210 | -0.100 |
| +30 June 105 puts | $30 x-6.28$ | +30x-58 | +30×3.4 | +30x-0.008 | $+30 \times 0.23$ | $+30 x-0.213$ |
| -40 June 100 puts | $40 x+3.24$ | -40x-41 | =40 $\times 3.4$ | -40x-0.011 | -40 $\times 0.22$ | -40x-0.148 |
|  | -42.80 | -100 | -34.0 | +0.020 | -1.90 | -0.470 |

Long CallChristmas Tree: Buya callat at a lower exeróse price and sell one call each at two higher exercise prices, where all octions have the same expiration date.

|  | Theoretical value Cash Flowi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Delte } \end{aligned}$ | Total Gamma | Total Thete | Total Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Bhe } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10 April 100 calls | 10x-3.76 | +10×56 | $+10 \times 4.8$ | +10x-0.035 | +10x0.16 | +10×0.088 |
| -10April 105 calls | $10 x+1.71$ | $-10 \times 33$ | $-10 \times 4.4$ | $-10 x-0.030$ | -10x0.15 | $-10 \times 0.052$ |
| -10April 110 calls | $10 x+0.65$ | -10×16 | -10x32 | -10x-0.019 | -10x0.10 | -10x0.025 |
|  | -14.00 | +70 | -26.0 | +0.140 | -0.90 | +0.110 |
| +10 June 90 calls | 10x-12.55 | +10×87 | $+10 \times 1.8$ | $+10 x-0.022$ | $+10 \times 0.12$ | +10×0.249 |
| -10 June 100 calls | $10 x+5.62$ | -10×59 | $-10 \times 34$ | $-10 x-0.027$ | $-10 \times 0.22$ | -10x0178 |
| -10June 110calis | $10 x+1.85$ | -10×28 | -10×29 | -10x-0.020 | -10x0.19 | -10×0.086 |
|  | $-50.80$ | 0 | -45.0 | +0.250 | -290 | -0.150 |

Short Call Christmas Treef Sell a call atata lower exercise price and buy one call each at two higher exercise prices, whereall options have the same expiration date.

|  | Theoretical Value (Cash Flow) | Total <br> Delta | Iotal Gama | Total <br> Theta | Total <br> Vega | Total <br> Rha |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10 Aprill 90 calls <br> +10 April 100 calls <br> +10 April 105 calls | $10 x+11.17$ | $-10 \times 93$ | $-10 \times 1.7$ | $-10 x-0.023$ | $-10 \times 0.06$ | $-10 \times 0.136$ |
|  | $10 x-3.76$ | $+10 \times 56$ | $+10 \times 4.8$ | $+10 x-0.035$ | $+10 \times 0.16$ | $410 \times 0.088$ |
|  | $10 x-1.71$ | $+10 \times 33$ | $+10 \times 44$ | $+10 x-0.030$ | $+10 \times 0.15$ | $+10 \times 0.052$ |
|  | +57.00 | $-40$ | +75.0 | -0.420 | $+2.50$ | +0.040 |
| - 10 June 95 calls <br> +10. une 105 calls <br> +10.june 110 calls | $10 x+8.71$ | $-10 \times 75$ | $-10 \times 2.8$ | $-10 x-0.026$ | $-10 \times 0.18$ | $-10 \times 0.221$ |
|  | $10 x-3.36$ | $+10 \times 42$ | $+10 \times 3.4$ | $+10 x-0.025$ | $+10 \times 0.23$ | $+10 \times 0.130$ |
|  | $10 x+1.85$ | $\pm 10 \times 28$ | +10×2.9 | $+10 x-0.020$ | $+10 \times 0.19$ | $\pm 10 \times 0.086$ |
|  | +35.00 | -50 | $+35.0$ | -0.190 | $+2.40$ | -0.050 |

Logg Put Chistinas Tree: Sellone put each at two lower exercke prices and buy a put at higher exerdise price, where all options have the same expiration date.

|  | Theoretical Value Cash Flow) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Della } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total Gamma | Total Thela | Tolal Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10Apr | , 04 | -10x-21 | $-10 \times 3.6$ | -10x-0.016 | $-10 \times 0.12$ | .03 |
| -10April 100 puts | $10 x+2.77$ | $-10 \times-44$ | $-10 \times 3.8$ | $-10 x-0.019$ | $-10 \times 0.16$ | 0 x |
| +10April 105 puts | $10 x-5.67$ | $\pm 10 \times-67$ | +10×4.4 | +10x-0.013 | $\pm 10 \times 0.15$ | $+10 x-0.121$ |
|  | -18.60 | -20 | -30.0 | +0.220 | -1.30 | $-0.070$ |
|  | x+ | $10 \mathrm{x}-1$ | $-10 \times 1$ | $-10 x-0.008$ | -10x0.12 | -10x |
| -10 June 105 puts | $10 \mathrm{x}+6.28$ | $-10 x-58$ | $-10 \times 3.4$ | $-10 x-0.008$ | $-10 \times 0.23$ | -10x-0.213 |
| +10June 110 puts | $10 x-9.68$ | $\pm 10 \times-72$ | $\underline{+10 \times 29}$ | +10x-0.002 | +10x0.19 | $\underline{+10 x-0.274}$ |
|  | -26.40 | -10 | -23.0 | +0.140 | -1.60 | -0.160 |
| Short Put ChristmasTree: buy one put each at twolower exercise prices and sell a put at a higher exercise price, where all options have the same expiration date. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Theoretical Value CashFlow) | Total <br> Defla | Total Gamma | Theta | Total <br> Vega | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ |
| +10April95 puts | 10x-1.04 | $x-21$ | +10×3.6 | $+10 x-0.016$ | $+10 \times 0.12$ | $+10 \times-0.03$ |
| +10 April 105 puts | $10 x-5.67$ | $+10 \times-67$ | $+10 \times 4.4$ | $+10 x-0.013$ | $+10 \times 0.15$ | $+10 x-0.121$ |
| -10April110 puts | $10 x+2.56$ | -10x-84 | -10 $\times 3.8$ | -10x-0.00 | -10x0.10 | -10x-0.158 |
|  | $+28.50$ | -40 | +50.0 | -0.280 | +1.70 | -0.020 |
| +10.une 90 puts | $10 x-76$ | +10x-13 | $+10 \times 1.8$ | +10x-0.008 | $+10 \times 0.12$ | $+10 x-0.045$ |
| +10June 95 puts | $10 x-1.83$ | +10x-25 | $+10 \times 2.8$ | +10x-0.011 | $+10 \times 0.18$ | $+10 x-0.089$ |
| -10June 100 puts | $10 x+3.64$ | -10x-41 | $=10 \times 3.4$ | -10x-0.011 | -10×0.22 | $\underline{-10 x-0.148}$ |
|  | +10.50 | +30 | +12.0 | -0.080 | +0.8 | 0.1 |

Long.Ca'endar Spread. Buy a long-term option and selli a short-term option where both options have the same exercise price and are the same type ieither both calls or both puts).

|  | Theoretical Value (Cash Fiow) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Deflta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Gamma } \end{gathered}$ | Total Theta | Total Veqa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} +10 \text { June } 100 \text { calls } \\ -10 \text { April } 100 \text { calls } \end{array}$ | $10 x-5.62$ | +10×59 | +10x3.4 | +10x-0.027 | +10x0.22 | +10x0.178 |
|  | $10 x+3.76$ | -10×56 | -10 $\times 1.8$ | -10x-0.035 | $-10 \times 0.16$ | -10 00.088 |
|  | -18.60 | +30 | -14.0 | +0.080 | +0.60 | +0.900 |
| +10 June 95 puts <br> -10 April95 puts | $10 x-1.83$ | +10x-25 | $+10 \times 2.8$ | +10x-0.011 | +10×0.18 | $+10 x-0.089$ |
|  | $10 x+1.04$ | -10x-21 | $\underline{-10 \times 3.6}$ | -10x-0.016 | -10×0.12 | -10x-0.037 |
|  | -7.90 | -40 | -8.0 | +0.050 | +0.60 | -0.520 |

Short Calendar Spread; Buy a short-term option and sell a long-term cption where both options have the same exercise price and are the same type feither bothcalls or both puts).

|  | Theoretical Value <br> CashFlow) | Total <br> Delta | Total <br> Gamma | Total <br> Theta | Total <br> Vega | Total <br> Rho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +10 Apsil 105 calls | $10 \times-1.71$ | $+10 \times 33$ | $+10 \times 4.4$ | $+10 x-0.030$ | $+10 \times 0.15$ | $+10 \times 0.052$ |
| -10 June 105 calls | $\frac{10 \times+3.36}{+16.50}$ | $\frac{-10 \times 42}{-90}$ | $\frac{-10 \times 3.4}{+10.0}$ | $\frac{-10 x-0.025}{-0.050}$ | $\frac{-10 \times 0.23}{-0.80}$ | $\frac{-10 \times 0.130}{-0.780}$ |
| +10 April 100 puts | $10 \times-2.77$ | $+10 \times-44$ | $+10 \times 4.8$ | $+10 \times-0.019$ | $+10 \times 0.16$ | $+10 \times-0.077$ |
| -10 June 100puts | $\frac{10 x-3.64}{+8.70}$ | $\frac{-10 x-41}{-30}$ | $\frac{-10 \times 3.4}{+14.0}$ | $\frac{-10 x-0.011}{-0.080}$ | $\frac{-10 \times 0.22}{-0.60}$ | $\frac{-10 x-0.148}{+0.710}$ |

## The prices in Figure 11-33

 reflect an implied volatility of 23 percent. Now only spreads with a negative vega willhave a positive theoretical edge:

Short straddles and strangles Long
butterflies and condors

Ratio spreads
-short more than long (including
long Christmas trees)
Short calendar spreads

## It may seem that if one

 encounters a market where all options are either underpriced or overpriced, the sensible strategies are either long straddlesand
strangles
or
short straddles and strangles. Such strategies will enable a trader to take a position with a positive theoretical edge on both sides of the spread. Straddles and strangles are certainly possible strategies when all options are too cheap or too expensive. But we will see in Chapter 13 that straddles and strangles, while often having a large positive theoretical edge, can also be among the riskiest of all
strategies. For this reason, a trader will often want to consider other spreads such as ratio spreads
and butterflies, even if such spreads entail buying some overpriced options or selling some underpriced options.

An assumption in traditional theoretical pricing models is that volatility is constant over the life of an option. The
volatility input into the model is assumed to be the one volatility that best describes price fluctuations in the underlying instrument
over
the life of the option. When all options expire at the same time, it is this one volatility that will, in theory, determine whether a spread is profitable or unprofitable. But a trader may also believe that implied volatility will rise or fall over time.

## Because calendar

 $\begin{array}{llc}\text { spreads } & \text { are particularly } \\ \text { sensitive } & \text { to changes in }\end{array}$ implied volatility, rising or falling implied volatility will often affect the profitability of calendar spreads. Consequently, we can add this corollary to the other spread guidelines:Long
calendar
spreads
are likely
to
be
profitable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { when implied } \\
& \text { volatility is low but } \\
& \text { is expected to rise; } \\
& \text { short calendar } \\
& \text { spreads are likely } \\
& \text { to be profitable } \\
& \text { when } \quad \text { implied } \\
& \text { volatility is high but } \\
& \text { is expected to fall. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## These are only general

## guidelines,

experienced and
an
decide to violate them if he
has reason to believe that the implied volatility will not correlate with the volatility of the underlying contract. A long calendar spread might still be desirable in a high-implied-volatility market, but the trader
must make
a prediction of how implied volatility might change with changes in realized volatility. If the market stagnates, with
trader feels that implied volatility will remain high, a long calendar spread is a sensible strategy. The shortterm option will decay, while the long-term option will retain its value. In the same way, a short calendar spread might still be desirable in a low-implied-volatility market if the trader feels that the underlying contract is likely to make a large move with no commensurate increase in

## implied volatility.

## Adjustments

A volatility spread may be delta neutral initially, but the delta of the position will change as market conditions change-as the price of the underlying contract rises or falls, as volatility changes, and as time passes. A spread that is delta neutral today is
unlikely to be delta neutral tomorrow. The use of a theoretical pricing model requires a trader
continuously
delta-neutral
throughout the spread.

a adjustments are neither possible nor practical in the real world of trading, so when a trader initiates a spread, he ought to give some thought as to how he will adjust the
position. There are essentially four possibilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. Adjust at } \\
& \text { regular intervals. In } \\
& \text { theory, } \\
& \text { adjustment process } \\
& \text { is assumed to be } \\
& \text { continuous because } \\
& \text { volatility } \\
& \text { assumed to be a } \\
& \text { continuous measure } \\
& \text { of the speed of the } \\
& \text { market. In practice, }
\end{aligned}
$$

however, volatility is measured over regular time intervals, so reasonable approach is to adjust a position at similar regular intervals. If trader's volatility estimate is based on daily price changes, the trader might adjust daily. If the
estimate is based on weekly price changes, he might adjust weekly. By doing this, the trader is making the best attempt to emulate assumptions built into the theoretical pricing model.
2. Adjust when the position becomes a

## predetermined

number of deltas
long or short. Very few traders insist on being delta neutral all the time. Most traders accept that this is not a realistic approach both because
continuous adjustment process is physically impossible
because no one can be certain that all the assumptions and inputs in a
theoretical pricing model, from which the delta is
calculated,
are
correct. Even if one
could be certain that all
delta
calculations
were
accurate,
$a$
still
trader
might
be
willing to take on some directional risk. But a trader ought to know just how much directional risk he is willing to accept. If he wants to pursue delta-neutral strategies but believes that he can comfortably live with a position that is up to 500 deltas
long or short, then he can adjust the position any time his delta position reaches this limit. Unlike the trader who adjusts at regular intervals, a trader who adjusts based on a fixed number of deltas cannot be sure how often he will need to adjust his

# position. In some 

 cases, he may have to adjust very frequently; in other cases, he may go for long periods of time without adjusting.
## The

number of deltas, either long
or
short, that a trader is willing to accept without adjusting depends
on many
factorsthe typical size
of
the trader's

# positions, his 

capitalizat and his trading experience A new independe trader may find that he is uncomfort with
that is
only 200
deltas long
or
short.
A
large trading firm may consider a position that is
several thousand deltas

# long <br> or short <br> as <br> being approximə delta neutral. 

3. Adjust by feel. This suggestion is not made facetiously. Some traders have good market feel. They can sense when the
market is about to move in one direction or another. If a trader has this ability, there is no reason why he shouldn't make use of it. Suppose that the underlying market is at 50.00 and a trader is delta neutral with
the market falls to 48.00, the trader can estimate that he is approximately
400 deltas long. If
400 deltas is the limit of the risk he is willing to accept, he might decide to adjust at this point. If, however, he is also aware that 48.00 represents strong support for
the market, he might choose not to adjust under the assumption that the market is likely to rebound from the support level. If he is right, he will have avoided an unprofitable adjustment.
he
downward through the support level, he will regret not having adjusted. But if the trader is right more often than not, there is no reason why he shouldn't take advantage of this skill.
4. Don't adjust at all. This is really an
extension of the second possibility, adjusting by the number of deltas. A trader who does not adjust at all is willing to accept a directional risk equal to
the maximum number of deltas that the position can take on. If the trader sells five straddles,
the position can take on a maximum delta of $\pm 500$. The appeal of this approach is that it eliminates all subsequent transaction costs. But, if the position takes on a large delta, the
directional considerations may become
important than the volatility considerations. If the position was initiated because of an opinion about volatility, does it make sense for a trader to subsequently change to
an opinion
about direction? Usually not. If the trader
does not want to adjust the position but he also does not want directional considerations to dominate, the only choice left is to close
out the position. If the trader decides not to adjust, when he initiates the position, he must
decide under what

# conditions he will be willing to hold the position and under what conditions he will close the position. 

## Submitting a Spread

 Order
## We noted in Chapter 10

 that a spread order can oftenbe executed all at one time and at one single price. This is particularly common in option markets,
where spreads are quoted with a single bid price and a single offer price regardless of the complexity of the spread. Suppose that a trader is interested in buying a straddle and receives a quote from a market maker of $6.25 / 6.75$. If the trader wants to sell the straddle, he will have to do so
at a price of 6.25 (the bid price); if he wants to buy the straddle, he will have to pay 6.75 (the ask price). If the trader decides that he is willing to pay 6.75 , neither he nor the market maker really cares whether the trader pays 3.75 for the call and 3.00 for the put or 2.00 for the call and 4.75 for the put or some other combination of call and
put
prices.
The
only
consideration
is
that
the
prices of the call and put taken together add up to 6.75 . A market maker will
always endeavor to give one bid price and one ask price for an entire spread. If the spread is a common type, such as a straddle, strangle, butterfly, or calendar spread, a bid and ask can usually be given very quickly. But market makers
are
only
human. If a spread is very
complex, involving several different options in unusual ratios, it may take a market maker several minutes to calculate the value of the spread. Regardless of the complexity of a spread, however, the market maker will make an effort to give his best two-sided (bid and ask) market.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Spread orders are } \\
& \text { common in almost all option }
\end{aligned}
$$

markets, whether electronic or open outcry. Depending on the trading platform, an electronic exchange will usually allow traders
or submitted to a broker for execution on an open-outcry exchange where an exact description of the spread can be communicated directly to one or more market makers.
Option
spread
orders may often be submitted with specific instructions as to how the spread is to be executed. Most commonly, a spread will be submitted as either a market order (an
order to be filled at the current market price) or a limit order (an order to be filled only at a specified price). But the spread may also be submitted as a contingency order with special execution instructions. The following contingency orders, all of which are defined in Appendix A, are often used in option markets:

> All or none

# Fill or kill <br> Immediate or cancel 

Market
if
touched
Market
on
close
Not held
One cancels
the other
Stop
limit
order
Stop loss order

A broker executing a spread order is responsible for adhering to any special instructions that accompany the order. Unless a trader is fully knowledgeable about market conditions or has a great deal of confidence in the broker who will be executing the order, it may be wise to submit specific instructions with the order as to how it is to be executed. Additionally,
when
one
considers all the information that must be communicated with a spread order (i.e., the quantity, expiration months, the exercise prices, the type of option, and whether the order is a buy or sell), it is easy to see how incorrect information might inadvertently be transmitted with the order. For this reason, it is also wise to
double-check all orders before submitting them for
execution. Option trading can be difficult enough without the additional problems of miscommunication.
${ }^{1}$ This is not necessarily true for butterflies consisting of American options, where early exercise is a possibility. A sure profit would exist only if one could be certain of carrying the position to expiration.
$\underline{2}$ Butterflies and condors fall under the general category of strategies known as wingspreads.
$\underline{3}$ The terms backspread and frontspread date from the early days of option trading in the United States but are now used infrequently except by some older traders. Most traders simply refer to these strategies as ratio spreads, specifying whether more options are purchased or sold and the ratio of long
to short options.
$\underline{4}$ The term ladder may also refer to a type of exotic option.
$\underline{5}$ In the early days of floor trading on option exchanges, expiration months were listed horizontally on the exchange display boards-hence the term horizontal spread for strategies consisting of options with different expiration months.
$\underline{6}$ To be more exact, at-the-forward options tend to have deltas closest to 50. For this reason, a trader might prefer a calendar spread that consists of at-the-forward options.
7
We are making the assumption here that the implied volatility of all
expirations is the same. If the implied volatility differs across expiration months, a long time butterfly might in fact result in a credit.
$\underline{8}$ Interest rates can, of course, affect the relative value of different futures months. As noted, we can offset this risk by trading a futures spread along with the futures option calendar spread.
$12$

## Bull and ear

## Spreads

Although delta-neutral volatility trading is the foundation of theoretical option pricing, there is no law that requires a trader to initiate and maintain a delta-

## neutral position. Many traders

 prefer to trade from a bullish or bearish perspective. The trader who wishes to take a directional position has the choice of doing so in either the underlying instrument itself, buying or selling a futures contract or stock, or by taking the position in the option market. If the trader takes a directional position in the option market, he must still be aware of the volatilityimplications. Otherwise, he may be no better off, and perhaps even worse, than if he had taken an outright position in the underlying contract.

## Naked Positions

## Because the purchase of

 calls or the sale of puts will create a positivedelta position and the sale of calls
or purchase of puts will create a negative delta position, we can always take a directional position in a market by taking an appropriate naked position in either calls or puts. If implied volatility is high, we can sell puts to create a bullish position or sell calls to create a bearish position. If implied volatility is low, we can buy calls to create a bullish position or buy puts to create a bearish position.

## The problem with this

approach is that there is very little margin for error. If we purchase options, we will lose money not only if the market moves in the wrong direction but also if the market fails to move fast enough to offset the option's time decay. If we sell options, time will work in our favor, but we face the prospect of unlimited risk if the market moves violently against us. An experienced
trader will prefer a strategy that improves the risk-reward tradeoff by looking for positions with the greatest possible margin for error. This philosophy applies no less to directional strategies than to volatility strategies.

## Bull and Bear ratio

## Spreads

Consider a situation where we believe that implied volatility is too high. One possible strategy is a ratio spread where more options are sold than purchased. With the underlying market at 101, ten weeks remaining to June expiration, and a volatility of 30 percent, a June 100 call has a delta of 56 and a June 110 call has a delta of $28 . .^{\mathrm{A}}$
delta-neutral spread might

## consist of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Buy 1 June } \\
& 100 \text { call (56) } \\
& \text { Sell 2 June } \\
& 110 \text { calls (28) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Because the spread is

 delta neutral, it has no particular preference for upwarddownward movement in the underlying market.

> Now suppose that we
believe that this ratio spread is a sensible strategy, but at the same time, we are also bullish on the market. There is no law that requires us to do this spread in a deltaneutral ratio. If we want the spread to reflect a bullish sentiment, we might adjust the ratio slightly
Buy $\quad 2 \quad$ June
100 calls $(56)$
Sell 3 June

## We have essentially the

 same ratio spread, but with a bullish bias. This is reflected in the total delta of +28 .There is, however, an
important limitation if we use a ratio strategy to create a bullish or bearish position. In our example, we are initially bullish, but the position is still a ratio spread with a
negative gamma. If the
underlying market moves up too quickly, the spread can invert from a positive to a negative delta. If the market rises far enough, to 130 or 140, eventually all options will go deeply into the money, and the deltas of both the June 100 and June 110 calls will approach 100 . We will be left with a delta position of -100 .

Even though we may be correct in our
bullish
sentiment,
the

# volatility characteristics of 

 the position will eventually outweigh any considerations of market direction. The delta values of both ratios, $1 \times 2$ and $2 \times 3$, with respect to changes in the underlying price, are shown in Figure 121.Figure 12-1 Delta of a ratio spread as the underlying price changes. 80


## The delta can also invert

 in a ratio spread in which more options are purchased than sold. Unlike a negative gamma position, where the inversion is caused by swift price movement in the underlying contract, this type of ratio spread can invert when volatility declines or time passes. Suppose that conditions are the same as in our preceding example, butwe believe that implied volatility is too low. Now we might do the following deltaneutral strategy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Buy } \quad 2 \quad \text { June } \\
& 110 \text { calls }(28) \\
& \text { Sell } 1 \quad \text { June } \\
& 100 \text { call }(56)
\end{aligned}
$$

However, if we are
bullish on the market, we can, as in the preceding example, adjust the ratio to reflect this
sentiment

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Buy } 3 \text { June } \\
& 110 \text { calls }(28) \\
& \text { Sell } \quad 1 \quad \text { June } \\
& 100 \text { call }(56)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The delta position of +28

 reflects this bullish bias.
## We know from Chapter

 $\underline{9}$ that as time passes or as volatility declines, all deltas move away from 50. If time passes with no movement inthe underlying contract, the delta of the June 100 call will tend to rise, while the delta of the June 110 call will tend to decline. If, after a period of time, the delta of the June 100 call rises to 70 and the delta of the June 110 call falls to 15 , the delta of the position will no longer be +28 but will instead be -25 . Because this strategy is a volatility spread, the primary consideration, as before, is the volatility of the
market. Only secondarily are we concerned with the direction of movement. If we overestimate volatility and the market moves more slowly than expected, the spread, which is initially delta positive, can instead become delta negative. The delta values of both positions with respect to the passage of time are shown in Figure 12-2.

## Figure 12-2 Delta of a ratio spread

 as time passes.

## Bull and Bear

## Butterflies and

## Calendar Spreads

## Butterflies and calendar

 spreads can also be executed in a way that reflects a bullish or bearish bias. As with ratio spreads, though, their delta characteristics can invert as market conditions change.
## With high implied

 volatility and the underlying contract at 100 , we might create a delta-neutral position by buying the June 95/100/105 call butterfly (buy a 95 call, sell two 100 calls, buy a 105 call). We hope that the underlying will stay close to 100 so that at expiration the butterfly will widen to its maximum value of 5.00 . If, however, we want to buy a butterfly but are also bullishon the market, we can choose a butterfly in which the inside exercise price is above the current price of the underlying contract. If the underlying is currently at 100 , we might choose to buy the June 105/110/115 call butterfly. Because this position wants the underlying contract to be at the inside exercise price of 110 at expiration and it is currently at 100 , the position is a
bullish butterfly. This will be reflected in the position having a positive delta. Unfortunately, if the underlying market moves up too far, say, to 120 , the butterfly will invert from a positive to a negative delta position. Now we want the market to fall back from 120 to 110 . Whenever the underlying market is below 110, the position will be

# bullish; underlying market is above 110, the position will be bearish. 

bearish, we can choose to buy a butterfly in which the inside exercise price is below the current price of the underlying market. But again, if the market moves down too quickly and goes through the inside exercise price, the
position will invert from a negative to a positive delta. The delta position of a butterfly with respect to changes in the underlying price is shown in Figure 12-3.

Figure 12-3 Delta of a long butterfly as the underlying price changes.

We can also choose a
bullish
spread. or bearish calendar

spread always wants the
short-term option to expire
exactly at the money. A long
calendar spread will be initially bullish if the exercise price is above the current price of the underlying contract. $\underline{2}$ $\begin{array}{rlr}\text { underlying } & \text { at } & 100, \quad \text { the } \\ \text { June/April } & 110 & \text { calendar }\end{array}$

# spread <br> (buy theJune <br> option, <br> sell <br> the <br> April <br> 110 <br> option of the same type) will be bullish because the trader 

 will want the underlying price to rise to 110 by April expiration. The June/April 90 calendar spread (buy the June 90 option, sell the April 90 option of the same type) will be bearish because the trader will want the underlying price to fall to 90 by Aprilexpiration. But like a long

## butterfly, a long calendar

 spread has a negative gamma. If the underlying contract moves through the exercise price, the delta will invert. If the market moves from 100 to 120 , the June/April 110calendar spread, which was initially bullish, will become bearish. If the market moves from 100 to 80, the June/April

The delta values of long calendar spreads with respect to changes in the underlying price are shown in Figure 12$4 . \underline{3}$

Figure 12-4 Delta of a long calendar spread as the underlying price changes.


## Vertical Spreads

## Although we may take a

 bullish or bearish position by choosing an appropriate ratio spread, butterfly, or calendar spread, in each of these positions, volatility is still the primary concern. We can be right about market direction, but if we are wrong about volatility, the spread may not
# retain the directional characteristics that 

If we want to focus
primarily on the direction of the underlying
market,
we might look for a spread in which the directional characteristics are the primary concern and the volatility characteristics are only of secondary importance.
the spread is initially bullish (delta positive), it will remain bullish under all possible market conditions, and if it is initially bearish (delta negative), it will remain bearish under all possible market conditions.

## The most common class

 of spreads that meet these requirements are simple call and put spreads. One option is purchased and one option issold, where both options are the same type (either both calls or both puts) and expire at the same time. The options are distinguished only by their different exercise prices. Such spreads may also be referred to as credit and debit spreads or vertical spreads. 4 Typical spreads of this type might be
Buy 1
100 call

# Sell 1 June 105 call 

or

## Buy <br> December 105 <br> put <br> Sell <br> 1 <br> December 95 <br> put

# Simple <br> call <br> and put <br> spreads are initially either 

# bullish or bearish, and they 

 remain bullish or bearish no matter how market conditions change. Two options that have different exercise prices but that are otherwise identicalcannot
have identical deltas. In the first example, where the trader is long a June 100 call and short a June 105 call, the June 100 call will always have a delta greater than the June 105 call. If both options are deeply in
the money or very far out of the money, the deltas may tend toward 100 or 0 . But even then, the June 100 call will have a delta that is slightly greater than that of the June 105 call. In the second example, no matter how market conditions change, the December 105 put will always have a greater negative delta than the December 95 put.

## At expiration, a call or

 put vertical spread will have a minimum value of 0 if both options are out of the money and a maximum value of the amount between exercise prices if both options are in the money. If the underlying contract is below 100 at expiration, the June 100/105 call spread will be worthless because both options will be worthless. If the underlying contract is above 105, thespread will be worth 5.00 because the June 100 call will be worth exactly five points more than the June 105 call. Similarly, the March 95/105 put spread will be worthless if the underlying market is above 105 at expiration, and it will be worth 10.00 if the market is below 95 .
Because a vertical
spread at expiration will
always have a value between

0 and the amount between exercise prices, a trader can expect the price of such a spread to be somewhere within this range. A 100/105 call vertical spread will trade for some amount between 0 and $5.00 ;$ a $95 / 105$ put vertical spread will trade for some amount between 0 and 10.00. The exact value will depend on the likelihood of the underlying market finishing below the lower
exercise price, above the higher exercise price, or somewhere in between. If the market is currently at 80 and gives little indication of rising, the price of the 100/105 call vertical spread will be close to 0 , while the price of the $95 / 105$ put vertical spread will be close to 10.00 . If the market is currently at 120 with little likelihood that it will fall, the price of the $100 / 105$ call
vertical spread will be close to 5.00 , while the price of the $95 / 105$ put vertical spread will be close to 0 . If we want to do a simple bull or bear vertical spread, we have essentially four choices. If we are bullish, we can choose a bull call spread or a bull put spread; if we are bearish, we can choose a bear call spread or a bear put spread. For
example,

buypurllipoz

## If we are bullish, we can buy a 100 call and sell a 105 call, or buy a 100 put and sell

a 105 put (in both cases, buy the lower exercise price and sell the higher). If we are bearish, we can buy a 105 call and sell a 100 call, or buy a 105 put and sell and 100 put (in both cases, sell the lower exercise price and buy the higher). This may
seem counterintuitive
because
one expects spreads that consist of puts to have characteristics that are the opposite of those that
consist
of
calls.
But
regardless of whether a spread consists of calls or puts, whenever a trader buys the lower exercise price and sells the higher exercise price, the position is bullish, and whenever a trader buys the higher exercise price and sells the lower exercise price, the position is bearish. We can see why this is true by considering either the deltas of the position or the

# potential profit and loss (P\&L) for the position. Consider the two example bull spreads: 

## Both spreads must have a positive delta. The June 100

 call has a greater positive delta than the June 105 call.The June 105 put has a greater negative delta than the June 100 put. Multiplying with a positive sign for a purchase and a negative sign for a sale and adding up the deltas give a total positive delta in each case.

## In terms of potential

 profit or loss, the call spread will be done for a debit (the June 100 call will cost more than the June 105 call) andwill expand to its maximum value of 5.00 if the underlying contract is above 105 at expiration. The put spread will be done for a debit (the June 100 put will cost less than the June 105 put) but will collapse to 0 if the underlying contract is above 105 at expiration. Each spread wants the underlying to rise above 105, so each spread must be bullish.

## Not only will the total

 delta be very similar for call and put spreads that expire at the same time and that consist of the same exercise prices, but the profit or loss potential for each spread, whether a call spread or put spread, will be approximately the same. 5 The expiration P\&L profiles for simple bull and bear spreads are shown in Figures 12-5 and 12-6.Figure 12-5 Bull spread.


## Figure 12-6 Bear spread.

Sell he lover
exectiseprice
Buy an opion al a higherexexcrise picice and sell an option al a lowe exacrise pice, vhere both options are the same type (ooth call sorboch puts, and expirea a the same fime

## Given the many different

 exercise prices and expiration months available, how can we choose the bull or bear spread that best reflectsdirectional expectations and that gives us the best chance to profit from those expectations?
Because options have
fixed expiration dates, a
trader who wants to use
options to take advantage of
an expected market move must first determine his time horizon. Is the movement likely to occur in the next month? In the next three months? In the next nine months? If it is currently May and the trader foresees upward movement but believes that the movement is unlikely to occur within the next two months, it does not make much sense to take a position in June or July
options. If his expectations are long term, he may have to take his position in September or even December options. Of course, as he moves farther out in time, market liquidity may become a problem. This is a factor that he will have to take into consideration.

Next, a trader will have to decide just how bullish or bearish he is. Is he very

# confident and therefore 

willing to take a very large directional position? Or is he less certain and willing to take only a limited position? Two factors determine the total directional characteristics of the position: 1. The delta of the selected spread 2. The size in which the spread is executed

## A trader who wants to

take a position that is 500 deltas long (equivalent to purchasing five underlying contracts) can choose a spread that is 50 deltas long and execute it 10 times. Or the trader can choose a different spread that is only 25 deltas long but execute it 20 times. Both strategies result in a position that is long 500 deltas.

## In general, if all options

 expire at the same time and are close to at the money, the greater the amount between exercise prices, the greater will be the delta value of the spread. A 95/110 bull spread will be more bullish than a $95 / 105$ bull spread, which will, in turn, be more bullish than a $95 / 100$ bull spread. 6 Moreover, increasing the amount between exerciseprices will also increase the spread's maximum potential profit or loss. This is shown in Figure 12-7.

Figure 12-7 As the exercise prices become farther apart, the spread takes on greater bullish or bearish characteristics.


## Once a trader decides on

 the option expiration in which to take his directional position, he must decide which specific spread is best. That is, he must decide which exercise prices to use. Consider the following table of theoretical values and deltas:
## Tireseppaim: zines

## 

## 990

Wal
Ifad

|  | 60 | 301 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Dith <br> 7 <br> 5 <br> 32

## Suppose that we want to do

 a bull call spread with these options. One choice is to buy the 95 call and sell the 100call. A second choice is to buy the 100 call and sell the 105 call. Which spread is best?

## The theoretical value

 and delta for each spread are
$682-399=291$
$391-1.09=1.09$
$F y=y=1$

# In theory, both spreads seem to be equally bullish because they are both long 20 deltas. But the 100/105 spread, with a value of 1.92 , appears to be cheaper than the $95 / 100$ spread, with a value 

 of 2.91 . From this we might conclude that the 100/105 spread represents the better value. But is the spread's value the only consideration? The value of a strategy is only important if we cancompare it with the price of the strategy. But nowhere have we said anything about price.

## From an option trader's

 point of view, the price of an option or strategy is determined by the implied volatility in the marketplace. In this example, our best estimate of volatility over the life of the options may be 25 percent, but what will be theprices of the options if the implied volatility is either higher or lower than 25 percent? Let's expand our table to include option values at volatilities of 20 and 30 percent (delta values are in parentheses).

0
610
366
312
181
130
30
$689 / 714$
291001
3015
1,400
$102(2)$

307500100 4.00

## If implied volatility in the

 marketplace is 20 percent, the prices of the $95 / 100$ spread and the $100 / 105$ spread will be3.06
and
1.82,

# respectively. If our best volatility estimate is 25 

 percent, we have a choice. We can pay 3.06 for a spread that we believe is worth 2.91 (the $95 / 100$ spread), or we can pay 1.82 for a spread that we believe is worth 1.92 (the 100/105 spread). If creating a positive theoretical edge is our goal, the $100 / 105$ spread, with a theoretical edge of .10 , makes more sense than the$95 / 100$ spread with its
negative theoretical edge of -15 .

Now suppose that implied volatility in the marketplace is 30 percent. The prices of the $95 / 100$ spread and the 100/105 spread are 2.81 and 1.98, respectively. Again we have a choice. We can pay 2.81 for a spread that is worth 2.91 (the 95/100 spread), or we can pay 1.98 for a spread that is worth
1.92 (the $100 / 105$ spread). The $95 / 100$ spread, with its positive theoretical edge of .10, is now the better choice.

## Even

though
both
spreads have the same delta values, under one volatility scenario, we seem to prefer the $95 / 100$ spread, while under a different scenario, we seem to prefer the $100 / 105$ spread. The reason becomes clear if we recall one of the
basic characteristics of option evaluation introduced Chapter 6:

If we consider three options-in the money, at the money, and out of the money-option that are identical except for their exercise prices, the at-the-money option is always the most

## sensitive in total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { points to a change } \\
& \text { in volatility. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## If all options appear

# overpriced because We 

 believe that implied volatility is too high, in total points, the at-the-money option will be the most overpriced. If all options appear underpriced because we believe thatimplied volatility is too low, in total points, the at-the-

# money option will be the 

 most underpriced. This characteristic leads to a very simple rule for choosing bull and bear vertical spreads:If implied
volatility is low, the
choice of spreads
should focus on
purchasing the at-
the-money option. If
implied volatility is
high, the choice

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { should focus on } \\
& \text { selling the at-the- } \\
& \text { money option. }
\end{aligned}
$$

# Now we can see why the 

 $100 / 105$ call spread is a better value if implied volatility is 20 percent, whereas the $95 / 100$ spread is a better value if implied volatility is 30 percent. If implied volatility is low ( 20 percent), we prefer to buy the at-themoney (100) call. Havingdone this, we have only one choice if we want to create a bull spread-we must sell the out-of-the-money (105) call. On the other hand, if implied volatility is high (30 percent), we want to sell the at-themoney (100) call. Having done this, we again have only one choice if we want to create a bull spread-we must buy the in-the-money (95) call.

# The same principle is 

 equally true for bull and bear put spreads. We always want to focus on the at-the-money option, buying the at-themoney put when implied volatility is low and selling the at-the-money put when implied volatility is high. This is confirmed in the following table (delta values are in parentheses):
## $\$ 100$

## 

(N)
1.10
1.04
3.16
310
63

35
$1.02(-20)$
$310 / 0$
$30(-18) 3080$
$609-60$

30
44
49
46
301
771

## Suppose that we want to

 do a bear put spread when implied volatility is low. In this case, we want to buy theat-the-money (100) put. Having done this,
are forced to sell the out-of-themoney (95) put to create our bear spread (buy the higher exercise price, sell the lower). We will pay 1.94 for the spread, but the spread is worth 2.09 . The result will be a delta position of -20 and a positive theoretical edge of .15.

> Notice that in every

# case, whether in a lowvolatility or high-volatility environment, the spread that includes the in-the-money option always has a higher price than the spread that includes the out-of-the- 

 money option. To understand why, consider the result of choosing between a 95/100 and a $100 / 105$ bull call spread under three differentscenarios. In scenario 1 , the market rises and is at 110 at

## expiration. If this happens,

 both spreads will show a profit because they will both widen to their maximum value of 5.00 . In scenario 2 , the market drops and is at 90 at expiration. Now both spreads will show a loss because they will both collapse to 0 . Finally, consider the case where the underlying market fails to rise but also does not fall. Itsimply remains at 100 until
expiration. If this happens, the $100 / 105$ spread will collapse to 0, while
the

95/100spread will widen to its maximum value of 5.00 . The $95 / 100$ spread is always more valuable than the
$100 / 105$ spread because it profits in more cases. The $100 / 105$ spread needs the market to rise to show a profit. The $95 / 100$ spread does not need the market to rise; it just needs for the
market not to fall. Because the $100 / 105$ spread requires movement, it has a positive gamma and, consequently, a negative theta. It will decline in value as time passes. The $95 / 100$ spread will profit even if the market sits still. It has a positive theta and,
consequently, a negative gamma.
Note also the results if
the market does move. If the
market rises to 110 , both spreads will show a profit, but the $100 / 105$ spread will show a greater profit because it was purchased at a lower price. If the market falls to 90, both spreads will show a loss, but the $100 / 105$ spread, because of its lower price, will show a smaller loss. If there is a greater likelihood that the market will move, we
will
always
prefer
the
100/105 spread. We will
maximize our profits when we are right, and we will minimize our losses when we are wrong. The likelihood of movement will depend on our estimate of volatility. If our estimate is higher than the implied volatility,
there is a lower likelihood of movement, so we prefer the 95/100 spread.
Even though we have focused on the at-the-money option, a trader is not required to execute a bull or bear spread by first buying or selling the at-the-money option. Such spreads always involve two options, and a trader can choose to either execute the complete spread
in one transaction or leg into the spread by trading one option at a time. In the latter case, a trader may decide to trade the in-the-money or out-of-the-money option first and trade the at-the-money option at a later time. This is a decision that a trader must make based on practical considerations. But regardless of how the
spread is executed, the trader should
focus on the at-the-money
option, either buying it when implied volatility is low or selling it when implied volatility is high.
In practice, it is unlikely
that one option will be exactly at the money. If there is no exactly at-the-money option, a trader can focus on an option that is closer to at the money. If the underlying market is at 103, with 95 , 100, 105, and 100 calls
available, it is logical to focus on the 105 call because it is closest to at the money. If implied volatility is low, a trader will want to buy the 105 call; if implied volatility is high, a trader will want to sell the 105 call. He can then trade a different option in order to create a bull or bear vertical spread.

Nor does a trader have to include the option that is
closest to the money as part of his spread. A trader who has a strong directional opinion can choose a spread where both options are very far out of the money or very deeply in the money. The delta values of such spreads will be very low, but the trader can create a highly leveraged position
by executing each spread many
times. For example, with the
underlying market at 100 , a
trader who is strongly bullish might buy the $115 / 120$ call spread (assuming that such exercise prices are available). The cost of this spread will be very low because there is a high probability that the spread will expire worthless. But the trader will also be able to execute the spread many times because of its low cost. If he is right and the market does rise above 120 , the spread will widen to its
maximum value of 5.00 , resulting in a very large profit.

Regardless
of
the
exercise prices chosen, if
implied volatility is low, the trader should buy an option that is closer to the money, and if implied volatility is high, the trader should sell an option that is closer to the money.

> Our choice of bull or
bear strategies has focused
thus far on the at-the-money option, typically the option whose delta is closest to 50. This does indeed tend to be the case for options on futures. In other markets, though, the at-the-money option may not be the option with a delta closest to 50 because, as discussed in Chapter 5, the theoretical value of an option depends not on the current price of the underlying contract but on the
forward price. For this reason, the choice of bull or bear spreads should really focus on the at-the-for-ward option. Especially in the stock option market, if interest rates are high and there is a significant amount of time to expiration, the at-the-forward option may have an exercise price that is considerably higher than the current stock
price.
Having
noted
this
distinction, for practical

# purposes, a trader will not go 

 too far wrong if he focuses on the at-the-money option, buying it when impliedvolatility is low and selling it
when implied volatility is high.

> Before concluding our discussion of bull and bear spreads, it will be useful to look at graphs of the theoretical value, delta, gamma, vega, and theta for a
typical bull vertical spread, as shown in Figures 12-8 through 12-13. The reader should take some time to look at these graphs not only because they highlight some of the important characteristics of this very common class of spreads but also because they serve as examples of some of the more important characteristics of risk measurement discussed
in Chapter 9. This will be
especially helpful when we take a closer look at risk analysis in later chapters.

Figure 12-8 Value of a bull spread as time passes or volatility declines.

$\longleftarrow$ Lowar underlying pices
Higher underlying prices $\longrightarrow$

# For the graphs of 

theoretical
value,
delta,

## gamma, and vega (Figures

 12-8 through 12-11), the effect of time passing or volatility declining is similar. For the theta, however, there are slight differences, so separate theta graphs for declining volatility (Figure 12-12) and the passage of time (Figure 12-13)are
shown.
Note
also
that
the

# maximum gamma, vega, and 

 theta for vertical spreads tend to occur when the underlying price is either just below the lowest exercise price or just above the highest exercise price.Figure 12-9 Delta of a bull spread as time passes or volatility declines.

## Figure 12-10 Gamma of a bull

 spread as time passes or volatility declines.

Figure 12-11 Vega of a bull spread as time passes or volatility declines.
Position vega


# Figure 12-12 Theta of a bull spread as volatility declines. 



Higherunderting prizas

Figure 12-13 Theta of a bull spread as time passes.


# Finally, we might ask 

why trader with prefer a vertical spread to an outright long or short position in the underlying instrument. For one thing, a vertical spread is much less risky than an outright position. A trader who wants to take a position that is 500 deltas long can either buy 5 underlying
contracts or buy 25 vertical
call spreads with a delta of 20 each. The 25 vertical spreads may sound riskier than 5 underlying contracts, until we remember that a vertical spread has limited risk, whereas the position in the underlying has open-ended risk. Of course, greater risk also means greater reward. A trader with a long or short position in the underlying market can reap huge rewards if the market makes a large

# move in his favor. 

contrast, the vertical spreader's profits are limited, but he will also be much less bloodied if the market makes an unexpected move in the wrong direction.
Ignoring interest considerations, the only way to profit from trading the underlying contract is to be right about direction. If we buy the underlying contract,
the market must rise. If we sell the underlying, the market must fall. But, with options, one need not necessarily be right about market direction. Options also offer the additional dimension of volatility. Depending on the exercise prices that have been chosen, if the trader has correctly estimated volatility, a bull spread can be profitable if the market fails to rise or in some
cases even if it declines. A bear spread can be profitable even if the market fails to fall. This flexibility is just one of the factors that has lead to the dramatic growth in option markets.

1 To generalize this and subsequent examples and to eliminate the differences between stock options and futures options, we will assume an interest rate of 0 .
$\underline{2}$ In the futures market, the situation may be complicated by the fact that different futures months may be trading at different prices. Instead of choosing a traditional calendar spread, where both options have the same exercise price, the trader may have to choose a diagonal spread to ensure that the position is either bullish (delta positive) or bearish (delta negative).
$\underline{3}$ Figures 12-3 and 12-4 are very similar, and one might conclude that the
characteristics of butterflies and calendar spreads are similar. But this is only true with respect to changes in the underlying price, as reflected in the delta. The spreads will react quite differently to the passage of time and changes in implied volatility. exchanges, exercise prices were listed vertically on the exchange display boards-hence the term vertical spread for strategies consisting of options with difference exercise prices.
$\underline{5}$ We are assuming for the mome
all options are European, with no possibility of early exercise.
deeply in-the-money spreads or very far out-of-the-money spreads. In such cases, the deltas of both options may be very close to 100 or 0 , so separating the exercise prices will have little effect on the total delta of the spread.


## RiSK

Considerations

When choosing a strategy, a trader must always try to find a reasonable balance between two opposing considerations-reward and risk. Ideally, a trader would
like the greatest possible profit at the smallest possible risk. In the real world, however, high profit usually goes hand in hand with high risk, while low risk goes hand in hand with low profit. How should a trader balance these two considerations?
Certainly, a strategy should have an expected profit that makes it worth executing. At the same time, the risk associated with the strategy

# must <br> be <br> kept <br> within <br> reasonable <br> bounds. <br> And 

 whatever the risk, it should never be greater than what is commensurate withthe

## potential reward.

In
option
trading,
the reward is typically expressed in terms of theoretical edge the average profit resulting from a strategy, assuming that the trader's assessment of market conditions is correct.

# Unfortunately, although the theoretical edge can be 

 expressed as one number, the risk associated with an option position cannot be expressed in the same way. We know that options are subject to many different risks. If we want to intelligently analyze a strategy, we may be required to consider a variety of risks. A strategy may be reasonable with respect to some risks but unacceptable with respect toothers.
Before proceeding
further in our discussion, let's summarize the basic risks associated with an option position:

Delta (Directional) Risk. The risk that the underlying market will move in one direction rather than another. When we create a position that is delta neutral, we are trying to ensure that initially
the position has no bias as to the direction in which the underlying instrument will move. A delta-neutral position does not necessarily eliminate all directional risk, but the position is typically immune to directional risks within a limited range.

Gamma (Curvature) Risk. The risk of a large move in the underlying contract,
regardless of direction. The
gamma position is a measure of how sensitive a position is to such moves. A positive gamma position
does not really have gamma risk because such a position will, in theory, increase in value with movement in the underlying
contract.
A
negative gamma position, however, can quickly lose its theoretical edge with a large move in the underlying
contract. The effect of such a
move must always be a consideration when analyzing the relative merits of different positions.

## Theta (Time Decay) Risk.

 This is the opposite side of gamma risk. Positions with positive gamma become more valuable with large moves in the underlying. But if movement helps, the passage of time hurts.A positive gamma always goes hand in
hand with a negative theta; a negative gamma always goes hand in hand with a positive theta. A trader with
negative theta must consider the risk in terms of how much time can pass before the spread's theoretical edge disappears. The position wants movement, but if the movement fails to occur over the next day, next week, or next month, will the spread, in theory, still be profitable?

# Vega (Volatility) Risk. The 

 risk that the volatility that we input into the theoreticalpricing model will be
incorrect. If we use the wrong
volatility, we have the wrong probability distribution for the underlying contract. Because some positions have a positive vega and are hurt by declining volatility and some positions have a negative vega and are hurt by rising

# represents a risk to every 

position.
A
trader
must
always consider how much
the volatility
can
before
from
a
against
him
profit
disappears.
Most traders prefer to interpret vega as the sensitivity of a position to a change in implied volatility. If implied volatility rises or falls, how will that change the prices of options that
make
up
a
position? If the changes hurt the position, will the trader be able to maintain the position in the face of adverse market conditions?

## Rho (Interest-Rate) Risk.

 The risk that the interest rate will change over the life of the option. A position with a positive rho will be helped by rising interest rates and hurt by declining rates; a position with a negative rho has justthe opposite characteristics. ${ }^{1}$ Except for special situations, the interest rate is the least important of the inputs into a theoretical pricing model. Consequently, rho is usually considered the least important of the risk measures.

## Let's look at the relative

 importance of the various risks by considering several different option strategies.
## Volatility Risk

## For <br> an <br> option trader,

volatility risk comes in two forms-the risk that he has incorrectly estimated the realized volatility of the underlying contract over the life of a strategy and the risk that implied volatility in the option market will change. Any spread that has a nonzero gamma or vega has volatility
risk.

## Consider the prices and

values in the theoretical evaluation table in Figure 13$1 . \underline{2}$ What types of volatility strategies might be profitable under these conditions? Whether we compare option prices with their theoretical values or the implied volatilities of the options with the volatility input of 18 percent, we will reach the
same conclusion: all options are overpriced. Recalling the general guidelines in Chapter 11, under these conditions, a trader will want to consider spreads with a negative vega:

Figure 13-1


Short straddles and strangles Call or put ratio spreadssell more than buy
Long
butterflies
Short calendar spreads

Which of these categories is likely to represent the best

# spreading opportunity? And within each category, which specific spread might represent the best risk-reward tradeoff? <br> <br> For the moment, let's 

 <br> <br> For the moment, let's}

## focus <br> on <br> May options. Having eliminated the

 possibility ofcalendar spreads,

spread
we choose will necessarily have a negative gamma and negative vega. But with 12
different May options available ( 6 calls and 6 puts), it's possible to construct a number of spreads that fall into this category. How can we make an intelligent decision about which spread might be best?
Initially, let's consider
the three strategies shown in Figure 13-2: a short straddle that has been done in a $4: 3$ ratio to make it closer to delta
neutral (Spread 1), a ratio call spread (Spread 2), and a long put butterfly (Spread 3). Each spread is approximately delta neutral and, as we would expect, has a positive theoretical edge. How can we evaluate the relative merits of each spread?

Figure 13-2

| Spredl: | -1543)480] | $15 x+0.19$ | $-15 x+56$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -20170480us | $20 x+0.19$ | -20x-44 |
|  |  | +665 | +10 |
| Sreadi: | Holiajsuals | $10 x-0.20$ | $410 \times 334$ |
|  |  | 20x+0.19 | -20x+16 |
|  |  | +180 | +20 |
| Spredus: | +10120)400us | 10x-0.12 | 40x-22 |
|  | -2012a/4purs | $20 x+1.19$ | -20x-44 |
|  | +1012/3/50 M M | 10x-20 | +10x-67 |
|  |  | H.50 | -10 |

## Initially, it may appear

that Spread 1 is best because it has the greatest theoretical edge. If the volatility estimate of 18 percent turns out to be correct, Spread 1 will show a profit of 6.65 , Spread 2 a profit of 1.80 , and Spread 3 a profit of only .60 . But is theoretical edge our only concern? If this is true, we can simply do each spread in larger and larger
size to make the theoretical edge as big as we want. Instead of doing Spread 2 in our original size of $10 \times 20$, we can increase the size fivefold to $50 \times 100$. This will also increase the theoretical edge fivefold to 9.00 . This ostensibly makes Spread 2 a better strategy than Spreads 1 and 3. Clearly, theoretical edge cannot
consideration.

# Theoretical edge is only 

 an indication of what we expect to earn if we are right aboutmarket conditions.

## Because there is no guarantee

 that we will be right, we must give at least as much consideration to the question of risk. If we are wrong about market conditions, how badly might we be hurt?
## In order to focus on the

 risk considerations, let's
## change the size of Spreads 2

 and 3 so that their theoretical edge is approximately equal to that of Spread 1. We can achieve this by increasing the size of Spread 2 to $35 \times 70$ and increasing the size of Spread 3 to $100 \times 200 \times 100$. The spreads in their new sizes with their total theoretical edge and risk sensitivities are shown in Figure 13-3. With all three spreads having asimilar theoretical edge, we

# can now focus on the risks associated with each spread. 

Figure 13-3
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# As with all volatility 

positions, one consideration is the possibility of a large price move in the underlying contract. Because each strategy has a negative gamma, any large move will hurt the position. But will each spread be hurt to the same degree? Because Spread 2 has the smallest negative gamma ( -165.5 ), we might conclude that it has the smallest risk with respect to a
large move. But this is true only under current market conditions.

As
market conditions change, all risk measures, including the gamma, will almost certainly change. If the underlying contract makes a very large move such that current market conditions no longer apply, it may not be clear what will happen to the risks associated with each spread.

It will be easier to
analyze the relative risks of the spreads if we construct a graph of the theoretical profit or loss with respect
movement in the underlying contract. This has been done in Figure 13-4. We can see that each spread does indeed lose value as the underlying price moves either up or down. ${ }^{3}$ However, we can also see that if there is a very large in potentially unlimited risk in either direction. Spread 2, the ratio spread, has unlimited upside risk. On the downside, though, it flattens out and eventually results in a very small profit. Spread 3, the long butterfly, flattens out on
both the downside, so its
regardless limited direction.

Figure 13-4


## Which spread is best?

That depends on what the trader is worried about. If the trader is oblivious to the risk, it won't matter which spread he chooses. On average, each position will show a profit of approximately 6.00. If, however, the trader is more worried about a large
downward move in the market, then perhaps Spread 2 is best. And if the trader is
unwilling to accept the risk of unlimited loss in either direction, then
perhaps Spread 3 is best. In addition to the possibility of a large move, all three positions
are exposed to the risk of an incorrect volatility estimate. Because each spread has a
negative vega, there will be no problem if, over the life of the option, volatility turns out
to be lower than 18 percent. In such a case, the spreads will show a profit greater than originally expected. On the other hand, if volatility turns out to be greater than 18 percent, this could present a problem. What will happen if volatility turns out to be 20 or 25 percent or some higher number? Each spread will be hurt because of the negative vega, but will they be hurt to the same degree?

## Because Spread 2 has

the smallest vega $(-.875)$, we might initially conclude that it has the smallest volatility risk. But the vega, like the gamma, changes as market conditions change. If we raise volatility, the vega of Spread 1, the short straddle, will remain essentially unchanged because the vega of an at-themoney option is constant with $\begin{array}{llll}\text { respect to changes } & \text { in } \\ \text { volatility. But the vega } & \text { of }\end{array}$

Spread 3, the long butterfly, will decline because the vega of in-the-money and out-of-the-money options (the May 46 and May 50 puts) will tend to increase as volatility rises. With Spread 2, the vega of both options, the May 50 call and the May 52 call, will begin to increase, so it's not immediately clear what will happen
volatility.
We can analyze the
volatility
characteristics
of
each spread by constructing a graph of each spread's value with respect to changing volatility. This is shown in Figure 13-5. With a large change in volatility, the values of the three positions begin to diverge. If volatility rises, the spreads begin to lose value until, at some point, the potential profit becomes a loss. In terms of logically ask, how high can volatility rise before we begin to lose money? That is, we might want to determine the breakeven volatility,
implied volatility, for each spread. This is simply an extension of the general definition of implied volatility: the volatility over the life of an option, or options, at which the position will, in theory, show neither a

# profit nor a loss. In Figure $13-$ 5, we can see that the breakeven volatility for Spread 1 (the short straddle) is approximately 21 percent, 

 for Spread 2 (the ratio spread) approximately 23 percent, and for Spread 3 (the long butterfly) approximately 21.5 percent. Thisseems ratio spread, is the least risky with respect to volatility.

Figure 13-5


## However, if volatility

turns out to be higher than expected, why should it stop at 23 percent? What will happen if volatility turns out to be much higher, perhaps 30 percent or even 40 percent? Eventually, Spread 2, the ratio spread, which initially seemed to carry the least volatility risk, will begin to lose value at almost the same rate as Spread 1, the
short straddle. On the other hand, at higher volatilities, the graph of Spread 3, the long butterfly, begins to flatten out, suggesting that there is a limit to how much it can lose. Of course, we know this because a butterfly has both limited profit potential and limited risk.

> Although we might worry that volatility will
increase
to
some
value
greater than 18 percent, we might also consider what will happen if volatility turns out to be less than 18 percent. For the same reason that rising volatility will hurt, falling volatility should help. In Figure 13-5, we can see that as volatility falls below 18 percent, the profit resulting from each spread does indeed increase. However, volatility falls well below 18 percent, the profit from

Spread 2 begins to decline, eventually falling to almost 0 . On the other hand, the profit from Spread 3 begins to accelerate.

## The shapes of the graphs

 in Figure 13-5 are a result of each position's volga-the sensitivity of the vega to a change in volatility. (For a discussion of the volga, see Chapter 9, specifically Figure 9-15.) Spread 1 has a volgaclose to 0 ; its vega remains constant regardless
changes in volatility. Spread
2 has a negative volga. As volatility rises,
the
vega
becomes
more
negati
the as volatility falls,
vega becomes less negative. This means that as volatility rises or falls, changes in volatility work against the position, accelerating the rate of loss as volatility rises and reducing the rate of profit as volatility
falls. In contrast, Spread 3 has positive volga. Changes in volatility work in favor of the position, reducing the rate of loss as volatility rises and increasing the rate of profit as volatility falls.

## Although Figure 13-5

can be interpreted as the risk of using an incorrect volatility over the life of the options, it can also be interpreted as the risk of a
sudden change in implied volatility. In terms of implied volatility risk, Spread 3 probably represents the best value. If implied volatility begins to rise, Spread 3 will initially lose money more quickly than Spread 2, but if implied volatility rises dramatically, Spread 3 will begin to outperform both Spreads 1 and 2 because the rate of loss will decline. And if implied volatility falls,

Spread 3 will outperform
both Spreads
increasing in value more
quickly at lower volatilities.
Why are risk
considerations so important? Every trader knows that there are times when a strategy will result in a profit and times when it will result in a loss. No one wins all the time. In the long run, however, a good trader's profits will more than

## offset his losses. For

example, suppose that a trader chooses a strategy that will show a profit of $\$ 7,000$ half the time and will show a loss of $\$ 5,000$ the other half of the time. In the long run, the trader will show an average profit of $\$ 1,000$. Suppose, though, that the first time that the trader executes the strategy, she loses $\$ 5,000$, and the trader only has $\$ 3,000$ ? Now the trader will
not be able to stay in business for all those times when he is fortunate enough to show a profit of $\$ 7,000$. Every trader knows that it is only over long periods of time that good luck and bad luck even out. Hence no trader will initiate a strategy where short-term bad luck might end his trading career.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Financial officers at } \\
& \text { large firms know that it is }
\end{aligned}
$$

much easier to manage a steady cash flow than one that swings wildly. In a sense, every trader is his own financial officer. He must sensibly manage his finances so that he can avoid being ruined by the periods of back luck that will inevitably occur, no matter how skillfully he trades.

## Practical

## Considerations

## Considering only the

 gamma and vega risk, Spread 3 probably has the best risk characteristics. It has limited risk if there is a large move in either direction and performs better than either Spread 1 or Spread 2 if there is a dramatic change in volatility. This does not mean that Spread 3
# performs better under all 

 conditions. If the underlying market makes any downward move or there is a small to moderate upward move, Spread 2 outperforms Spreads 1 and 3. Spread 2 also has an advantage if there is a moderate increase in volatility. Even if we assume that Spread 3, the long butterfly, offers the best theoreticalrisk-reward tradeoff, it may
have some practical

Butterflies
are
actively
traded
in
many
markets, but Spread 3 is a three-sided spread,
opposed to Spreads 1 and 2, which are two-sided spreads. A three-sided spread may be more difficult to execute in the marketplace and also may cost more in terms of the bidask spread. If a trader wants to execute the complete
spread at one time, he may not be able to do so at his target prices. And if he tries to execute one leg at a time, he will be at risk from adverse changes in the market until the other legs can be executed.

Additionally, there is the question of market liquidity. In order to obtain a
theoretical commensurate with Spreads 1
and 2 , it was necessary to increase the size of the butterfly to $100 \times 200 \times 100$.
If there is insufficient liquidity in the May 46, 48, and 50 puts to support this size, it may not be possible to execute the butterfly in the size required to meet the trader's profit objective. Alternatively, it may be possible to execute part of the spread at favorable prices, but as the size increases, the

# prices may become less 

 satisfactory. Moreover, for a retail customer, the increased size may entail greater transaction costs.
## If trading considerations

 make Spread 3 impractical, a trader may have to choose between Spreads 1 (short straddle) and 2 (ratio spread). If this happens, Spread 2 is the clear winner. It allows for a much greater margin forerror in both underlying price change (gamma risk) and volatility (vega risk). A trader who is given a choice between these two spreads will strongly prefer Spread 2. In the real world, the choice of spreads is not always clear. One spread may be superior with respect to one type of risk, while a different spread may be superior with respect to a
different risk. The ease with which a spread can be executed, as well as the cost of execution, will also play a role.

Let's consider three new spreads-Spread 4 (a short put calendar spread), Spread 5 (a diagonal call spread), and Spread 6 (a put diagonal ratio spread). In order to again focus on risk, the size of each spread has been adjusted so
that the theoretical edge of all three spreads is similar. The total theoretical edge and risk sensitivities of each spread (all taken from the theoretical evaluation table in Figure 131) are shown in Figure 13-6.

Figure 13-6
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## Because each spread has

# a negative vega, we will again want to consider the 

 risk that volatility will turn out to be greater than our estimate of 18 percent. The sensitivity of each spread to increasing volatility is shown in Figure 13-7. We can see that Spread 4 has an implied volatility of approximately20.5
percent, Spread 5 approximately
percent, and Spread 6 approximately 20 percent. If rising volatility
is our primary concern, Spread 5, the diagonal call spread, seems to entail the lowest risk. However, although Spread 5 loses the least in a rising-volatility market, it also shows a smaller profit in a fallingvolatility market. This may seem like a reasonable tradeoff, except that with Spread 5, the positive effects of falling volatility begin to decline very quickly. This is

## due to the negative volga

 associated with the position. As volatility falls, the vega becomes less negative until, at a volatilityof approximately 10 percent, the vega falls to 0. Spread 6, the put diagonal ratio spread, has an even larger negative volga; its vega turns positive if volatility falls below 11 percent. In contrast to both Spreads 4 and 6, Spread 5, the short calendar spread, has
a volga of 0 . Its vega remains constant regardless of whether volatility rises or falls. It offers an equal tradeoff between losses when volatility rises and profits when volatility falls.

Figure 13-7


## What about the gamma

 risk of each spread? Here we have a situation where not all the spreads have a gamma with the same sign. Spread 6, the diagonal ratio spread, has a negative gamma, so it should be hurt by a large move in the underlying. Spreads 4 and 5, however, have a positive gamma and should profit from a large move. The graphs of the
# positions with respect to changes in the underlying price are shown in Figure 13$\underline{8}$. 

Figure 13-8


## We can see in Figure 13-

8 that although Spread 6, the diagonal ratio spread, will be hurt by a move in the price of the underlying contract, the degree to which the move will hurt depends on the direction. With an upward move, the potential profit will decline. But even with a very large upward
move,
the
spread
will
always
retain
some
profit.
On
the

# downside, <br> however, <br> the <br> spread's <br> profit <br> turning <br> into <br> a <br> disappears, <br> potentially unlimited loss if <br> the downward move is large enough. 

Spread 4, the short put calendar spread, and Spread 5, the diagonal call spread, both have positive gamma and will profit from a large move. Unlike which
shows

# approximately equal profit in 

 either direction, Spread 5 shows a greater profit in an upward move and a smaller profit in a downward move. 4There is,
of
course,
a tradeoff between gamma and theta. If movement in the underlying price will increase the value of Spreads 4 and 5 (positive gamma), the passage of time with no movement will reduce the
value (negative theta). It may be worthwhile to look at how much time can pass before each spread loses its theoretical edge. This is shown in Figure 13-9.

Figure 13-9


## In Figure 13-9, Spread 4

exhibits the typical decay profile for a short calendar spread that is approximately at the money. As time passes, the position loses value at an increasingly greater rate. Spread 5, the diagonal call spread, also loses value as time passes. But after five weeks the decay turns positive, so that if nothing
happens in the underlying
market the position will eventually show a small profit. Spread 6, the diagonal ratio spread, initially shows a small increase in value as time passes. Eventually, though, this position is also subject to decay. After seven weeks, its potential profit disappears completely. As must be obvious by now, the choice of spreads is never simple. As with all

## trading decisions, it is a

 question of risk and reward. Although there are many risks with which an option trader must deal, he will often have to ask himself which risk represents the greatest threat. Sometimes, in order to avoid one type of risk, he will be forced to accept a different risk. Even if the trader is willing to accept some risk in a certain area, he may decide that he will only do so to alimited degree. Then he may have to accept increased risks in other areas.

# If given the choice 

between several different strategies, a trader can use a computer to determine the risk characteristics of the strategies under different market conditions. Unfortunately, it may not always be possible to analyze the choices in such detail. A

# trader 

rule: straddles and strangles are the riskiest of all spreads. This is true whether one buys or sells these strategies. New traders sometimes
assume that the purchase of straddles and strangles is not especially risky because the risk is limited. However, it can be just as painful to lose money day after day when one buys a straddle or strangle and the market fails to move as it is to lose the same amount of
money all at once when one sells a straddle and the market makes a violent move. Of course, a trader who is right about volatility can reap large rewards from straddles and strangles. But an experienced trader knows that such strategies offer the least margin for error and will therefore prefer strategies with more desirable risk characteristics.

## How Much Margin

## for error?

What is a reasonable margin for error in assessing the risk of a position, particularly when it comes to volatility risk? There is no clear answer because it will usually depend on the volatility characteristics of a particular market, as well as the trader's experience in that
market. In some cases, 5 percentage points may be an extremely large margin for error, and the trader will feel very confident with any strategy passing such a test. In other cases, 5 percentage points may be almost no margin for error at all, and the trader will find that the strategy is a constant source of worry.

Rather than focusing on
margin for error, a better approach might be to focus on the correct size in which to do a spread given a known margin for error. Practical trading considerations aside, a trader should always choose the spread with the best riskreward characteristics. But sometimes even the best spread will have only a small margin for error and consequently will entail
case, a trader, if he wants to make a trade, ought to do so in small size. If, however, a trader can execute a spread with a very large margin for error, he ought to be willing to do the spread in a much larger size.

Consider a trader whose best estimate of volatility in a certain market is 25 percent. If implied volatility is lower than 25 percent, the trader
will look for positions with a positive vega. If the best positive-vega strategy the trader can find is a $2 \times 1$ ratio spread with an implied volatility of 23 percent (only a 2-percentage-point margin for error), he will almost certainly keep the size of his strategy small, perhaps executing the spread only 10 times $(20 \times 10)$. If, however, the same
spread has
an implied volatility of 18
percent (a 7-percentage-point margin for error) and the trader believes that such a low volatility is extremely rare, he may have the confidence to execute the spread in a much larger size, perhaps $100 \times 50 . \underline{5}$ The size of a trader's positions should depend on the riskiness of the positions, and this, in turn, depends on how much can go wrong before the strategy

## turns against the trader.

## Dividends and

## Interest

## In addition to the delta,

 gamma, theta, and vega risks that apply to all traders, stock option traders may also have to consider the risk of changes in interest rates and dividends. 6 When all optionsexpire at the same time, the risk associated with changes in interest rates and dividends tends to be relatively small. Straddles, strangles, ratio spreads, and butterflies may change slightly because a change interest rates
or dividends will raise or lower the forward price. But all options are evaluated using one and the same forward price. For calendar spreads, however, where the options
are evaluated using two
different forward prices,
long-term and short-term options can react differently to changes in these inputs. Consider the evaluation table for stock options shown in Figure 13-10. With implied volatilities below the forecast of 29 percent, it makes sense to look for spreads with positive vegas. Suppose that we focus on the four spreads

# shown in Figure 13-11. Spreads 7 and 8 are long calendar spreads, while Spreads 9 and 10 are diagonal spreads. What are the relative merits of each spread? 

Figure 13-10


## Figure 13-11

#  








## Because all four spreads

## fall into <br> the long calendar

 spread category, they all have the typical negative-gamma and positive-vega characteristics associated with such spreads. This is shown in Figures 13-12 and 13-13. Movement in the price of the underlying contract or falling volatility will reduce the value of the spread. Rising volatility will increase thevalue of the spread. (Spreads 7 and 8 have essentially identical volatility characteristics and are almost indistinguishable from each other in Figure 13-13.) Initially, the choice of spreads will depend on the risk of movement in the underlying contract as well as the risk of changes in implied volatility.

Figure 13-12

## Figure 13-13



## Because we are dealing

 with stock options, there are two additional risks-the risk of changing interest rates and the risk of changing dividends, assuming that at least one dividend payment falls between expirations. We know from Chapter 7 that stock option calls and puts react in just the opposite way to changes in interest rates and dividends. Rising interestrates or falling dividends cause calls to rise in value and puts to fall; falling interest rates and rising dividends cause calls to fall in value and puts to rise. Moreover, the impact of a change in either of these inputs will be greater for long-term options than for short-term options. We can
measure the risk of changing interest rates by determining the total rho value for each
spread. Even though there is no Greek for the dividend sensitivity, we can still use a computer to determine the dividend risk associated with each spread. The sensitivities for the individual options, as well as the total spread sensitivities, to changing interest rates and dividends are shown in Figure 13-14.

## Figure 13-14 Interest-rate and

 dividend sensitivity.
## March Opions

| Exercise Price | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Call } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Put } \\ & \text { Rho } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Call Dividend Sensitivity | PulDividend Sensiturity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.118 | -0.012 | -0.924 | 0.072 |
| 90 | 0.109 | -0.027 | -0826 | 0.169 |
| 95 | 0.093 | -0.052 | -0.681 | 0.315 |
| 100 | 0.071 | -0.081 | -0.509 | 0.887 |
| 105 | 0.048 | -0.111 | -0,342 | 0.653 |
| 110 | 0.030 | -0.138 | -0.208 | 0.788 |
| JuneOptions |  |  |  |  |
| Exercise Price | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Call } \\ & \text { Rho' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Put } \\ & \text { Rho } \end{aligned}$ | Call Dividend Sensitivind | Put Divdend Sensitivity |
| 85 | 0.266 | -0.068 | -1.668 | 0.308 |
| 90 | 0.247 | -0.107 | -1498 | 0.478 |
| 95 | 0.220 | -0.154 | -1300 | 0.676 |
| 100 | 0.188 | -0.205 | -1.089 | 0.887 |
| 105 | 0.154 | -0.258 | -0.880 | 1.096 |
| 110 | 0.122 | -0.310 | -0.688 | 1.288 |
|  changenitevetrites. <br>  |  |  |  |  |



## The

(Spreads 7 and 9) have a positive rho and negative dividend sensitivity. The put spreads (Spreads 8 and 10) have a negative rho and positive dividend sensitivity. The value of each spread with respect to changes in these inputs is shown in Figures 1315 and 13-16.

Figure 13-15 Interest-rate sensitivity.


Figure 13-16 Dividend sensitivity.


## The interest-rate and

dividend risk associated with volatility spreads is usually small compared with the volatility (gamma and vega) risk. Nonetheless, a trader ought to be aware of these risks, especially when position is large and there is significant risk of a change in either in
dividends.

## What is a Good

 spread?
# Option traders, being 

 human, would rather talk about their successes than their disasters. If one were to eavesdrop on conversations among traders, it would probably seem that no one ever made a losing trade. Disasters, when they do occur, only happen to othertraders. The fact is that every successful option trader has had his share of disasters. What separates successful traders from the unsuccessful ones is the ability to survive such occurrences.

## Consider the trader who

 initiates a spread with a good theoretical edge and a large margin for error in almost every risk category. If thetrader still ends up losing
money on the spread, does this mean that the trader has made a poor choice of spreads? Maybe a similar spread, but one with less margin for error, would have resulted in an even greater loss, perhaps a loss from which the trader could not recover.
It is impossible to take into consideration every possible risk. A spread that
passed every risk test would probably have so little theoretical edge that it would not be worth doing. But the trader who allows himself a reasonable margin for error will find that even his losses will not lead to financial ruin. A good spread is not
necessarily the one that
shows the greatest profit when things go well; it may be the one that shows the least loss when things go
badly. Winning trades always take care of themselves. Losing trades that do not give back all the profits from the winning ones are just as important. Efficiency

One method that traders sometimes use to compare the relative riskiness of potential strategies focuses on the risk-
reward ratio, or efficiency, of the strategies. Suppose that a trader is considering two possible spreads, both with a positive gamma and a negative theta. The reward is represented by the gamma, the potential profit when the underlying market
moves. The risk is the theta, the money that will be lost through the passage of time if the underlying market fails to make sufficiently
moves. The trader would like the reward (the gamma) to be as large as possible compared with the risk (the theta). We might express
relationship as a ratio

## gamma/theta

## The larger the absolute

 value of this ratio, the more efficient the position.In the same way, a trader who has a negative gamma
and a positive theta wants the risk (the gamma) to be as small as possible compared with the reward (the theta). He therefore wants the absolute value
of
the gamma/theta ratio to be as large as possible.

For example, we might go back and calculate the efficiency of Spreads 1 through 3 in Figure 13-3. The efficiencies are

## Syexil

$-400.0423$


## Prosid
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## yond


时

## Because each spread has a

 negative gamma and positive theta, we want the efficiency to be as small as possible. We can see that Spread 3 is best, which is consistent with our previous analysis of eachspread.
Assuming that all
strategies have approximately
the same theoretical edge, the efficiency can be a reasonable method of quickly comparing strategies where all options expire at the same time. In such cases, the gamma and theta are the primary risks to the position. If a strategy consists of options that expire at different times, the

# efficiency is only one consideration, and the 

 sensitivity of the positions to changes in implied volatility (the vega) may also become important, as they were in our other spread examples. In such cases, a more detailed risk annecessary. Adjustments

## In

# considered the question of 

 when a trader should adjust a position to remain delta neutral.In addition to deciding when to adjust, the trader also must consider how best to adjust because there are many different ways to adjust the total delta position. An adjustment to a trader's delta position may reduce his directional risk, but if he simultaneously increases his
gamma, theta, or vega risk, he may inadvertently be exchanging one type of risk for another. A delta adjustment made with the underlying contract is essentially a risk-neutral adjustment. The
gamma, theta, and vega of an underlying contract are 0 , so an adjustment made with the underlying contract will not change any of these risks. If a
trader wants to adjust his delta position but wants to leave the other characteristics of the position unaffected, he can do so by purchasing or selling an appropriate number of underlying contracts. An adjustment made with options will also reduce the delta risk, but at the same time, it will change the other risk characteristics. Because every option has not only a
delta but also a gamma, theta, and vega, when an option is added to or subtracted from a position, it necessarily changes the total delta, gamma, theta, and vega of the position. This is something that new traders sometimes forget.

Consider a stock option market where the underlying contract is trading at 99.25 and all options appear to be
overpriced. Suppose that a trader decides to sell the $95 / 105$ strangle (sell the 95 put, sell the 105 call), with put and call deltas of -32 and 34 , respectively. If the trader sells 20 strangles, the position is initially slightly delta negative because

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-20 \times+34)+(-20 \times-32)= \\
-40 \\
\text { Suppose that a week }
\end{gathered}
$$

passes and the underlying market has fallen to 97.00, with new delta values for the 95 put and 105 call of -39 and +25 . Assuming that no adjustments have been made, the trader's delta position is now

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-20 \times-39)+(-20 \times+25)= \\
+280
\end{gathered}
$$

If the trader wants to
hold the position but also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. Sell underlying } \\
& \text { contracts. } \\
& \text { 2. Sell calls. } \\
& \text { 3. Buy puts. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Which method is best?
All other considerations being equal, whenever a trader makes an adjustment, she should do so with the
intention of improving the risk-reward characteristics of the position. If the trader decides to adjust his delta position by purchasing puts, he also reduces his other risks because the gamma, theta, and vega associated with the put purchase are opposite in sign to the gamma, theta, and vega associated with the
existing short strangle position.

# Unfortunately, all other 

considerations may not be equal.

Because implied
volatility can remain high

Or low for long periods of time, it is quite likely that if all options were overpriced when the trader initiated his position, they will still be overpriced when he goes back into the market to make his adjustment. Even though the purchase
of
puts to
become delta neutral will also
reduce his other risks, such an adjustment will have the effect of reducing the theoretical edge. On the other hand, if all options are overpriced and the trader decides to sell additional calls to reduce the delta, the sale of the overpriced calls will have the effect of increasing the theoretical edge. If the trader decides that adding to his theoretical edge is of primary importance, he may decide to
sell 11 additional 105 calls, leaving him approximately delta neutral because
$(-20 \times-39)+(-31 \times+25)=$ $-5$

## Now suppose that

 another week passes and the market has rebounded to 101.00 , with new delta values for the 95 put and 105 call of -24 and +37 . The position delta is now
# $(-20 \times-24)+(-31 \times+37)=$ $-667$ 

Again, if the trader
wants to adjust, he has three $\begin{array}{lc}\text { basic } & \text { choices-buy } \\ \text { underlying } & \text { contracts, buy }\end{array}$
calls, or sell puts. Assuming that all options are still overpriced and that the trader wants to continue to increase his theoretical edge, he may decide to sell an additional 28 of the 95 puts. The new total

## delta position is

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-48 \times-24) & +(-31 \times+37)= \\
& +5
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be clear what
will result from these
adjustments. If all options
remain overpriced and the

# overpriced options. 

 strangle, which the trader was initially prepared to sell 20 times, now has increased in size to $48 \times 31$. If the market now makes a violent move in either direction, the adverse consequences will be greatly magnified. The new trader, overly concerned with always increasing his theoreticaledge, often finds himself in just such a position. If the market makes a very swift move, the trader may not survive. For this reason, a new trader is usually well advised to avoid making adjustments that increase the size of a position.

No trader can afford to ignore the effect that
adjustments will have on the total risk to a position. If he
has a positive gamma or vega
position, additional increase his gamma
or vega risk; if he has a negative gamma Or
vega position, selling any additional options will likewise increase his gamma or vega risk. A trader cannot afford to sell overpriced options or buy underpriced options ad infinitum. At some point, the size of the spread will simply

# become too large, and any additional theoretical edge 

 will have to take a back seat to risk considerations. When this happens, there are only two choices:
# 1. Decrease the <br> size of the spread. <br> 2. Adjust in the <br> underlying market. 

A
disciplined trader
knows
that
sometimes,
because of risk considerations, the best course is to reduce the size of the spread, even if it means giving up some theoretical edge. When open-outcry markets were flourishing, this could be particularly hard on a trader's ego if the trader had to personally go back into the market and either buy back options, that he originally sold, at a lower price or sell out options, that he originally
purchased, at a higher price. However, if a trader is unwilling to swallow his pride from time to time, his trading career is likely to be a short one.

## If a trader finds that any

 delta adjustment in the option market that reduces his risk will also reduce histheoretical edge and he is unwilling to give

any theoretical
edge,
his
only

## Because most option

 pricing models assume that movement in the underlyingcontract is random, an option trader who trades purely from the theoretical values generated by a model should not have any prior opinion about market direction. In practice,
however,
many
option traders begin their trading careers by taking positions in the underlying market, where direction is the primary consideration. Many traders therefore develop a style of trading based on

## presumed directional moves

 in the underlying market. A trader might, for example, be a trend follower, adhering to the philosophy that "the trend is your friend." Or he might be a contrarian, preferring to "buy weakness, sell strength."> Traders often try to incorporate their personal trading styles into their option strategies. One way to do this is to consider beforehand the

# adjustments <br> that <br> will <br> be 

 required for a certain strategy if the underlying market begins to move. A trader who sells straddles knows that such spreads have negative gamma. As the market moves higher, his delta position is becoming negative, and as the market moves lower, his delta position is becoming positive. If this trader likes to trade against the trend, he willavoid adjustments as much as

## possible because his position

 is automatically trading against the trend. Whichever way the market moves, the position alretracement movement. On the other hand, a trader who sells the same straddles but prefers to trade with the trend will adjust at every opportunity. In order to remain delta neutral, he will be forced to buy underlying contracts as the

## market rises and sell

 underlying contracts as the market falls.
## The opposite is true for a

 trader who buys straddles. He has a positive-gamma position. As the market rises, his delta position is becoming positive, and as the market falls, his delta position is becoming negative. If this trader likes to trade with the trend, he will adjust as littleas possible in the belief that the market is likely to
continue in,
however,
same
direction.
prefers to trade against the
the trend, he will adjust as often as possible. Every adjustment will represent a profit opportunity if the market does in fact reverse its direction.

A trader with a negative gamma is always adjusting

# with the trend of the underlying market. A trader with a positive gamma is always adjusting against the trend of the underlying market. If a trader prefers to 

 trade with the trend or against the trend, he should choose a strategy and an adjustment process that are appropriate to his preference. A trader who prefers to trade with the trend can choose a strategy with a positive gamma together withless frequent adjustments or a strategy with a negative gamma with more frequent adjustments. A trader who prefers to trade against the trend can choose a strategy with a negative gamma together with less frequent adjustments or a strategy with a positive gamma with more frequent adjustments. The purely theoretical trader will not have to worry about this because for him there is no
such thing as a trend. However, for many traders, old habits, such as trading with or against the trend, are hard to break.

## Liquidity

## Every open option position

 entails risk. Even if the risk is limited to the current value of the options, by leaving the position open, the trader isrisking the loss of that value. If the trader wants
to eliminate the risk, he will have to take some action that will, in effect, close out the position. Sometimes this can be done through early exercise or by taking advantage
of an
opposing position to create
an arbitrage.

More
often,
however, in order to close out an open position, a trader must go into the marketplace
and buy in any short options and sell out any long options. An important consideration in deciding whether to enter into a trade is often the ease with which the trader can reverse the trade. Liquid option markets, where there are many buyers and sellers, are much less risky than illiquid markets, where there are few buyers and sellers. In the same way,
a spread that consists of very liquid options is much less risky than a spread that consists of one or more illiquid options. If a trader is considering entering into a spread where the options are illiquid, he ought to ask himself whether he is willing to live with that position until expiration. If the market is very illiquid, this may be the only time that he will be able to get out of the position at
anything resembling a fair price. If the spread consists of long-term options, the trader may find himself married to the position for better
like an eternity. If he is
unwilling to commit his
capital for such a lengthy
period, perhaps he should avoid the position. Because there is greater risk associated with a long-term investment

## than with a short-term

 investment, a trader who does decide to take a position in long-term options ought to expect greater potential profit in the form of larger theoretical edge. 7
## New traders are often

advised to begin trading in liquid markets. If a new trader makes
an
error
resulting in a losing trade, in a liquid market, he will be
able to keep his loss to a minimum because he will be able to exit the trade with relative ease. On the other hand, an experienced trader, especially a market maker, will often prefer to deal in less liquid markets. There may be less trading activity in such markets, but the bid-ask spread is much wider, resulting in greater theoretical edge each time a trade is
made. Of course, any mistake
can be a problem with which the trader will have to live for a long time. However, an experienced trader is expected to keep his mistakes to a minimum.

## The most liquid options

 in any market are usually those that are short term and that are either at or slightly out of the money. Such options always have the narrowest bid-ask spread, andthere are usually many traders willing to buy or sell these contracts. As a trader moves to longer-term options or to options that are more deeply in the money, he finds that the bid-ask spread begins to widen, and fewer and fewer traders are interested in these contracts. Although there is constant activity in at-themoney short-term options, deeply in-the-money longterm options may not trade

## for weeks at a time.

## In addition to the

liquidity of an option market, a trader should also give some thought to the liquidity of the underlying market. In an illiquid option market, a trader may find it difficult to adjust the position using
options. If, however, the underlying market is liquid, he will at least be able to make his adjustment in that
market with relative ease. The most dangerous markets in which to trade are those where both the options and the underlying contract are inactively traded. Only the most experienced and knowledgeable traders should enter such markets.

## Figure $13-17$ shows end-

 of-day bid-ask spreads and volume figures for Standard and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index
# options traded at the Chicago 

 Board Options Exchange on March 1, 2010. ${ }^{8}$ In general, the volumes are lower and bid-ask spreads are wider for back-month optionsoptions that are deeply in the money compared with frontmonth options or options that are at the money or out of the money.

Figure 13-17 SPX index options: Bid-ask spreads and trading volumes for March 1, 2010.


| Sinfuylar | SWalysadn | dustynua |  |  |  | Sllush | 2l｜ly |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wuthons |  |  |  | Datre $\mathrm{F}_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| bexisher | Calls ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Wlibm | M Mitax | Rulime | Giluthe | Mibibre | Autwhe | Rulame |
| （0） | 如口．56n | 1 | 12．15 | 1 | 493．4． | 1 | 20．5 4 | 1 |
| 0 | （12）－65） | 0 | 24－23 | 1 | （6）3－－ 4 M | 1 | W－w | 1 |
| ＂10 | 4（55－485） | 1 | （2） 30 | 1 |  | 1 | （10）${ }^{2}$ |  |
| \％ | 383－30］ | 0 | （13．54） | 1 | 30x－300 | 0 | 80－160 | 1 |
| 81 | K以以 413$]$ | 0 | （9）．80） | 1 | \％00．190 | 1 | 120．440 | 18 |
| \％ | $8885-25$ | 0 | 143－110 | 11 |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 40） | 2108－214 | 1 | （19） 12.15 | 1 | \％ 3 W－20y | 1 | 30．7120 | M |
| 0 | 158.18 | 1 | 21／2m | \＄ |  | 1 | 38－4 41 | 510 |
| （10） | 19\％－10） | 1 | Mj－13） | 嗗 | $11030-1014$ | 310 | （35－40） | In． |
| 以浼 |  | 4 | 4） 5 － 4 年 | M | ｜ $62 \times 120 \mid$ | 13 | 30．630 | III |
| （1\％ | \％9\％（8） | （140） |  | 1314 | （10．8．s． | 14 | M－120 | H |
| （15） | （4） 4 （43） | 15 |  | 1 |  | 1 | $1108 \cdot(16)$ | 1 |
| （27） | 128－830 | （10） | Hixil｜l｜ | 1 |  | 30 | WW－16\％ | 1 |
| （3） | \＄8－17） | 18 | 1594154 | 1 | 185－7\％ |  | $1298 \cdot 0 \cdot 10$ | 1 |
| （3） | 3 3 －48 | 0 | （50）－8．ju | 1 | 1080 1015 | 1 | W20：120 | 1 |
| （3） | 18－20 | 1 | 248\％2801 | 1 | （20－52） | 1 |  | 1 |
| 100 | 15， 0 C\％ | 0 |  | 1 | $1,8,215$ | 1040 | \％4－$\times 10$ |  |

$\underline{1}$ We are considering only the interestrate risk as it applies to the evaluation of options. Changes in interest rates can also affect the evaluation of an underlying contract, such as a bond, or even the shares in a company. But that is a separate matter.
$\underline{2}$ In order to focus only on volatility, we have assumed an interest rate of 0 .
$\underline{3}$ Spreads 1 and 2, with their slightly positive delta, initially show a small gain as the market rises. Spread 3, with its slight negative delta, initially shows a small gain as the market falls.
4 It may appear from Figure 13-8 that Spread 5 has unlimited upside profit potential. In reality, the profit is limited
by the fact that the spread between the value of the May 52 call and the July 54 call can never be greater than 2.00 . This will occur if both options go very deeply into the money.
5 Size, of course, is relative. To a we
capitalized, experienced trader, even $100 \times 50$ may be a small trade.
$\underline{6}$ Depending on the settlement procedure, changes in interest rates can also affect futures options. But the effect, as discussed in Chapter 7, is usually quite small. Changes in interest rates can also affect futures options because they may change the price of the underlying futures contract. But this can be assessed as the risk of a change in the underlying price, not a change in
interest rates.
$\underline{7}$ This is the same reason that long-term interest rates tend to be higher than short-term rates. If one is willing to commit capital for a longer period, the potential reward should also be greater.
$\underline{8}$ Figure 13-17 represents only a partial listing of S\&P 500 Index options. More exercise prices and expiration months were available than could conveniently be displayed here.
$14$

## Synthetics

One important
characteristic of options is that they can be combined with other options, or with underlying contracts, to
create positions with characteristics
which
are
almost identical to some other
contract or combination of contracts.
underlying contract.

# Synthetic Underlying 

Consider the following position where all options are

European (no early exercise permitted):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { long a } & \text { June } \\
100 \text { call } & \\
\text { short a } & \text { June } \\
100 \text { put } &
\end{array}
$$

What will happen to this position at expiration? It may seem that one cannot answer the question without knowing where the underlying contract will be at expiration.

Surprisingly, the price of the underlying contract does not affect the outcome. If the underlying contract is above 100, the put will expire worthless, but the trader will exercise the 100 call, thereby buying
the underlying contract at 100 . Conversely, if the underlying contract is below 100, the call will expire worthless,
but
the trader will be assigned on the 100 put, also buying the
underlying contract at 100 . Ignoring for the moment the unique case when the underlying price is exactly 100, at June expiration the above position will always result in the trader buying the underlying contract at the exercise price of 100 , either by choice (the underlying contract is above 100 and he exercises the 100 call) or by force (the underlying contract
is below 100 and he is assigned on the 100 put). This position, a synthetic long underlying, has the same characteristics as a long underlying contract, but won't actually become an underlying contract until expiration. $\frac{1}{}$

If the trader takes the opposite position, selling a June 100 call and buying a June 100 put, he has a
synthetic short underlying position. At June expiration he will always sell the underlying contract at the exercise price of 100 , either by choice (the underlying contract is below 100 and he exercises the 100 put) or by force (the underlying contract is above 100 and he is assigned on the 100 call). We can express the
going relationships as foregoing

## follows:

synthetic long underlying $\approx$ long call + short put synthetic short underlying $\approx$ short call + long put
where all options expire at the same time and have the same exercise price.
In our examples we
created a synthetic position using the 100 exercise price. But we can create a synthetic
using any available exercise price. A long June 110 call together with a short June 110 put is still a synthetic long underlying contract. The difference is that at June expiration the underlying contract will be purchased at 110. A short June 95 call together with a long June 95 put is a synthetic short underlying contract. At June expiration the underlying contract will be sold at 95 .

We can also see why a call and put with the same exercise price and expiration date make up a synthetic underlying by constructing parity graphs of the options. This is shown in Figures 141a and 14-1b.

Figure 14-1a


## Figure 14-1b



## While not exactly

identical (hence the use of an equivalent sign rather than an equal sign) a synthetic position acts very much like its real equivalent. For each point the underlying instrument rises, a synthetic long position will gain approximately one point in value and a synthetic short position will lose approximately one point in

# value. This leads us to conclude, correctly, that the delta of a synthetic underlying position must be approximately 100 . If the delta of the June 100 call is 75 , the delta of the June 100 

 put will be approximately 25. If the delta of the June 100 put is -60 , the delta of the June 100 call will be approximately 40. The absolute value of a call andput delta will always add up
to approximately 100. We

# Synthetic Options 

# By rearranging the components of a synthetic underlying position we can create four additional synthetic contracts: 

synthetic long call $\approx$ long an underlying contract + long put synthetic short call $\approx$ short an underlying contract + short

## put

synthetic long put $\approx$ short an underlying contract + long call
synthetic short put $\approx$ long an underlying contract + short call

## Again, all options must

 expire at the same time and have the same exercise price. Each synthetic position has a delta approximately equal toits real equivalent and will therefore gain or lose value at approximately the same rate as its real equivalent. The parity graphs for a synthetic long call are shown in Figures $14-2 \mathrm{a}$ and $14-2 \mathrm{~b}$. The graphs for a synthetic long put are shown in Figures 14-3a and 14-3b.

Figure 14-2a


## Figure 14-2b



## Figure 14-3a



## Figure 14-3b



# A new trader may 

 initially find it difficult to remember which combination is equivalent to which synthetic option. This suggestion may help: If we trade a single option andhedge it with an underlying contract, we have the same position, synthetically in the companion option (the companion option being the opposite type, either a call or
put, at the same exercise price).

> If we buy a call and hedge it by selling the underlying contract, we have synthetically bought a put. If we sell a call and hedge it by buying an underlying contract,
we have
synthetically sold a put.

If we buy a put and hedge it by buying the underlying contract, we have synthetically bought a call. If we sell a put and hedge it by selling an
underlying contract, we have synthetically sold a call.

## Thus far we have made

no mention of the prices at which any of the contracts are traded. The prices will of course be important when deciding whether to create a synthetic position, and we will eventually address this question. But for the present
we are considering only the characteristics of a synthetic position, independent of the prices at which
the
contracts
are traded. In Figures 14-2a and 14-3a the underlying position was taken at a price different than the exercise price. What gives the position its characteristics is not the prices of the contracts, but the slopes of the contracts. And the combined slopes are

# equivalent to $a$ long call 

 (Figure 14-2b) and a long put (Figure 14-3b). Summarizing, there are six basic synthetic contracts -long and short underlying contract, long and short a call, and long and short a put. If all options expire in June, using the 100 exercise price we have:synthetic long underlying $=$ long June 100 call + short

## June 100 put

synthetic short underlying $=$ short June 100 call + long

$$
\text { June } 100 \text { put }
$$

synthetic long June 100 call = long underlying + long June 100 put
synthetic short June 100 call $=$ short underlying + short June 100 put
synthetic long June 100 put = short underlying + long June

# synthetic short June 100 put $=$ 

 long underlying + short June 100 call
## We <br> know <br> from <br> the

 synthetic relationship that the absolute value of the deltas of calls and puts with the same exercise price and expiration date add up to approximately 100. We can also use synthetics to identify other important risk relationships.
## We <br> know <br> that <br> the

gamma and vega of an underlying contract is zero. Since a long call and short put with the same exercise price and expiration date can be combined to create a long underlying contract, the gamma and vega of these combinations must also add up to zero. This means that the gamma and vega of a companion call and put must be identical. If the June call
has a gamma of 5, so must the June 100 put. If the June 105 put has a vega of .20 , so must the June 105 call. (To confirm this, it may be useful to go back and compare the companion delta, gamma, and vega values in Figures 7-13, 13-1, and 13-10.)

## Because the gamma and

 vega of companion calls andvolatility make no distinction between calls and puts with the same exercise price and expiration date. Both have the same gamma and vega, and therefore the same volatility characteristics. If a trader owns a call and would prefer instead to own a put he need only sell the underlying contract. If he owns a put and would prefer to own a call, he need only buy the underlying contract. The volatility risk of

# a position depends not on 

 whether the contracts are calls or puts, but on the exercise prices and expiration dates which make up the position. Why isn't the theta, like the gamma and vega, of companion options identical? Depending on the underlying contract and the settlement procedure, in some cases the theta values will be the same. But in other cases the thetavalues in a synthetic will not add up to zero because of the cost of carry associated with either the underlying contract or the option contracts. As an example, if we purchase stock and the stock price remains unchanged are we making money or losing money? It may seem that the position is just breaking even. But if we consider the cost of borrowing cash in order to

# buy the stock, then the 

 position is losing money because of the interest cost. This will be reflected in the synthetic equivalent having a nonzero theta.
## Unlike stock, there is no

 cost of carry associated with a futures contract. But if options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement there will be a cost of carry associated with the options. If
# companion <br> options trading at different prices 

 there will be a different cost of carry, and this will result in the synthetic underlying position having a nonzero theta.Finally, if we are dealing with options on futures, and the options are subject to futures-type settlement, there is no cost of carry associated with either the underlying
contract or the options. In this case the companion calls and puts will indeed have the same theta.

## Synthetics can explain

 some relationships that were previously discussed. In our discussion of vertical spreads we noted that a bull spread consists of buying the lower exercise price and selling the higher exercise price, regardlessof whether the
spread consisted of all calls or all puts. Using synthetics we can see why this is true:


In the synthetic equivalent the long and short underlying contracts cancel out leaving a bull put spread

# put 

-1 June 100

## put

## The call spread and put

 spread have similar characteristics, but they differ in terms of cash flow. The call spread is done for a debit, while the put spread is done for a credit. Since the spread has a maximum value of 5.00 , in the absence of interest considerations, the value ofthe two spreads at expiration must add up to 5.00 . If the call spread is trading for 3.00 , the put spread must be trading for 2.00 . If interest rates are nonzero, and the options are subject to stock-type settlement, their values today must add up to the present value of 5.00 .

# Using Synthetics in a 

# Spreading Strategy 

## Since essentially <br> synthetic <br> has <br> a the same

 characteristics as its real equivalent, any strategy can be done using a synthetic. This means that there can often be several different ways to create the same strategy.Consider the following
position:

# +2 June 100 calls 

-1 underlying
contract

## This <br> combination

doesn't
seem to fit any previously discussed strategy. But suppose we write the June 100 calls separately:

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
+1 & \text { June } & 100 \\
\text { call } & & \\
+1 & \text { June } & 100
\end{array}
$$

# call 

-1 underlying
contract

We know that a long call and short underlying contract is a synthetic long put. Therefore, the position is really

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
+1 & \text { June } & 100 \\
\text { call } & & \\
+1 & \text { June } & 100
\end{array}
$$

which is
recognizable
as
a easily straddle.
Similarly, suppose we
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \text { June } 100 \\
& \text { puts } \\
& +1 \text { underlying } \\
& \text { contract }
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write the June
100 puts separately

$$
+1 \text { June } 100
$$

put +1 June 100
put
+1 underlying
contract

## A long put and a long

 underlying contract is a synthetic long call. The entire position is again a long straddle:$$
+1 \text { June } 100
$$

# put +1 June 100 call 

From the foregoing
examples, we can see that there are three ways to create a long straddle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. buy the call and } \\
& \text { buy the put } \\
& 2 . \text { buy the call, } \\
& \text { and buy the put } \\
& \text { synthetically }
\end{aligned}
$$

# 3. buy the put, and buy the call synthetically 

## The latter two methods

 are synthetic long straddles. The best way to buy a straddle will depend on the prices of the synthetics compared to their real equivalents. We shall address the question of pricing synthetics in the next chapter.
# Iron Butterflies and 

## Iron Condors

## Consider these

positions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. +1 June } 95 \text { put / } \\
& +1 \text { June } 105 \text { call } \\
& \text { 2. - } 1 \text { June } 100 \text { call } \\
& \text { /-1 June } 100 \text { put }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The first strategy is a

long strangle; the second
strategy is a short straddle. What will happen if we combine the two strategies? We can answer the question by rewriting the position using only calls or only puts. If we choose to express all contracts as calls we can rewrite each put as synthetic:


Replacing the puts with their synthetic equivalents, and canceling out the long and short underlying contracts, we are left with a long butterfly
call
-2 June
calls +1 June 105 call

If, instead of calls, we express all contracts as puts we will also end up with a long butterfly. This confirms the fact that a call and put butterfly are essentially the same.

One
is simply
a synthetic version of the other.

# An iron butterfly is a 

position which combines a strangle and straddle, with the straddle centered exactly in the middle of the strangle. It has the same characteristics as a traditional butterfly. But unlike a long butterfly (buy the outside exercise prices sell the inside exercise price) which is done for a debit (hence the term long), the equivalent iron butterfly (buy the strangle / sell the straddle)
is done for a credit. The straddle which we are selling is always more valuable than the strangle which we are buying. If we receive money when we put on the position then we are short the iron butterfly. Buying a traditional butterfly is equivalent to selling an iron butterfly. What is an iron butterfly worth? We know that a long butterfly will have a value at
expiration between zero and the amount between exercise prices. If we buy the June 95 / 100 / 105 butterfly we will pay some amount between zero and 5.00. We hope the underlying contract will finish at 100 , in which case the butterfly will be worth its maximum of 5.00 . If we sell the June 95 / $100 / 105$ iron butterfly we will take in some amount between zero and
5.00 . We also hope that the
underlying will finish at 100 , in which case all the options will be worthless and we will profit by the amount of the original sale. At expiration the value of a butterfly and an iron butterfly must add up to the amount between exercise prices. Taking interest into consideration, the values today must add up to the present value of this amount.

If we assume that interest rates are zero, and the June 95 / 100 / 105 butterfly is trading from 1.75, the June 95 / 100 / 105 iron butterfly should be trading for 3.25. Whether we buy the butterfly for 1.75, or sell the iron butterfly for 3.25 , we want the same thing to happen, the market to remain close to the inside exercise price of 100. Both spreads will have the same profit or loss potential.

We can also create a condor synthetically by combining long and short strangles.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 . \quad+1 \text { June } 90 \text { put / } \\
& +1 \text { June } 110 \text { call } \\
& 2 . \quad-1 \text { June } 95 \text { put / } \\
& -1 \text { June } 105 \text { call }
\end{aligned}
$$

The first position is a long June 90 / 110 strangle; the second is a short June 95 / 105 strangle. If we express
the entire position in terms of calls we can rewrite each put as a synthetic:


Replacing the puts with their synthetic equivalents,
and canceling out the long and short underlying contracts, we are left with a long condor

$$
+1 \text { June } 90
$$

call

$$
-1 \text { June } 95
$$

call

$$
-1 \text { June } 105
$$

call

$$
+1 \text { June } 110
$$

call

## If we instead express all

 contracts as puts we will also end up with a long condor. This confirms that a call and put condor are essentially the same. One is simply a synthetic version of the other. An iron condor is a position which combines a long strangle with a short strangle, with one strangle centered in the middle of the other strangle. While a longcondor (buy the outside exercise prices / sell the inside exercise price) is done for a debit, the iron condor equivalent (sell the outside strangle / buy the inside strangle) is done for a credit. The inside strangle which we are selling is always more valuable than the outside strangle which we are buying. If we receive money when we put on the position then we are short the iron condor.

Buying a traditional condor is equivalent to selling an iron condor.

At expiration the value of a condor and an iron condor must add up to the amount between the inside and outside exercise prices, in our example 5.00. Taking interest into consideration, the values must add up to the present value of this amount. If we assume that interest
rates are zero, and the June 90 / 95 / 105 / 110 condor is trading for 3.75 , the June 90 95 / 105 / 110 iron condor should be trading for 1.25 . Whether we buy the condor for 3.75 , or sell the iron butterfly for 1.25 , we want the same thing to happen, the market to remain within the exercise prices of the inside strangle. Both spreads will have the
potential.

# The characteristics of 

## some volatility spreads can

 often be more easily recognized when written in synthetic form. For example, in Chapter 11 we looked at spreads commonly known as Christmas trees. A typical long Christmas tree might be$$
\begin{aligned}
& +1 \text { June } 95 \\
& \text { call } /-1 \text { June } \\
& 100 \text { call / }-1 \\
& \text { June } 105 \text { call }
\end{aligned}
$$

# The characteristics of this 

 position may not have been immediately apparent. But suppose we use synthetics to rewrite the June 95 and 100 calls as puts

Replacing the June 95 and 100 calls with their synthetic equivalents, and canceling out the long and short underlying contracts, we are left with

$$
+1 \text { June } 95
$$

put

$$
-1 \text { June } 100
$$

put

$$
-1 \text { June } 105
$$

call

## If we focus first on the

 June 100 put and June 105 call, the position consists of a short strangle (the June100 105 strangle) combined with a long put at a lower exercise price (the June 95 put). If we focus on the June 95 put and the June 100 put, the position consists of a bull put spread (the June100 / 105 put spread) combined with a short call at a higher exercise price (the June 105 call). In
# both cases, we have a position with limited downside risk and unlimited upside risk. 

1 Because the position will not turn into an underlying contract until expiration, it is sometimes referred to as a synthetic forward contract, which is perhaps a more accurate theoretical description. We will see later that pricing of this combination depends on the value of a forward contract.


## Option

Arbitrage

Suppose that we want to take a short position in an underlying contract that is currently trading at 102.00 . We can simply sell the underlying contract at 102.00 .
However,
take a short position synthetically by selling a call and buying a put with the same expiration
date
and exercise price. Which of these strategies is best? Suppose that we sell the December 100 call for 5.00 and buy the December 100 put for 3.00 , for a total credit of 2.00 . If the options are European,
with no possibility of early

# exercise, at expiration, we will always 

 either by exercising the put or by being assigned on the call. Because we have a credit of 2.00 from the option trades, we are in effect selling the underlying contract at its current price of 102.00 . If there arenointerest
identical to the profit or loss resulting from the sale of the underlying contract at 102.00 . Indeed, regardless of the individual prices of the December 100 call and put, as long as the price of the December 100 call is exactly 2.00 greater than the price of the December 100 put, the profit or loss will be the same for both positions. This is shown in Figure 15-1.

Figure 15-1


## Now let's assume that

 we already have a synthetic short position:$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { call } \\
& +1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { put }
\end{aligned}
$$

If we want to get out of the position, what can we do? We can, of course, close out our synthetic by buying back the

## December 100 call and selling out the December 100 put. However, we can also offset the synthetic short position by buying the underlying contract.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { call } \\
& +1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { put } \\
& +1 \text { underlying } \\
& \text { contract }
\end{aligned}
$$

## This position,

referred to as a conversion, 1 is the most common type of option arbitrage. In a classic arbitrage strategy, a trader will try to buy and sell the same or very closely related contracts in different markets to profit from a mispricing. In a conversion, the trader is buying the underlying contract in the underlying market
and
selling
the

# underlying 

+1 December
100 call

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { put } \\
& -1 \text { underlying } \\
& \text { contract }
\end{aligned}
$$

Summarizing,

where the call and put always have the same
exercise price and expiration date.

## Whether a trader will

 want to take either of these positions depends on the prices of the contracts. If the synthetic portion (the long call and short put) is too expensive compared with the underlying contract, a trader will want to do a conversion. If the synthetic portion is too cheap, a trader will want to do a reverse conversion. How can we determine whether the synthetic is mispriced?
# Let's begin by assuming 

 that the underlying contract is stock. In a December 100 conversion, we will$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Sell } & a \\
\text { December } & 100 \\
\text { call } & \\
\text { Buy } & \text { a } \\
\text { December } & 100 \\
\text { put } & \\
\text { Buy stock } &
\end{array}
$$

If we do all these trades

# and carry the position to 

 expiration, what are the resulting credits and debits? First, the credits. When we sell the call, we will receive the call price $C$. We can invest this amount over the life of the option and earn interest $C \times r \times t$. Because we own the stock, we will receive any dividends $D$ that are paid prior to the December expiration. Finally,at expiration, we will either exercise the put or be assigned on the call. In either case, we will sell the stock and receive the exercise price $X$. The total credits are

> Call price $C$
> Interest earned on the call $C \times$ $r \times t$ Dividends, if any, $D$
> Exercise price

## Next, the debits. We will

have to pay the put price $P$ and the stock price $S$. In both cases, we will have to borrow the money, so there is the additional interest cost $P \times r$ $\times t$ and $S \times r \times t$. The total debits are

Put price $P$
Interest cost to
buy the put $P$
$\times r \times t$
Stock price $S$
Interest cost to
buy the stock $S$
$\times r \times t$

## In an arbitrage-free

 market, all credits and debits must be equal:$$
C+C \times r \times t+D+X=P+P
$$

$\times r \times t+S+S \times r \times t$

Traders sometimes refer
to the synthetic portion of a conversion or reversal as a combo, either a long call and short put or a short call and long put. We can determine whether there is a relative mispricing and, consequently, an arbitrage opportunity by solving for the combo value $C-P$ in terms of all other components.
First, we group the call
and put components on the
left side and everything else on the right side
$C+C \times r \times t-P+P \times r \times t=$
$S+S \times r \times t-D-X$

Next, we separate the
interest-rate component
$C \times(1+r \times t)-P \times(1+r \times$
$t)=S \times(1+r \times t)-D-X$
and then isolate $C-P$

# $(C-P) \times(1+r \times t)=S \times(1$ <br> $$
+r \times t)-D-X
$$ 

At this point, we might recognize part of the expression on the right: $S \times(1$ $+r \times t)-D$. This is the forward price for the stock. To simplify our notation, we can replace $S \times(1+r \times t)-D$ with $F$
$(C-P) \times(1+r \times t)=F-X$

## Finally, we divide both

 sides by the interest component $1+r \times t$ $C-P=\frac{F-X}{1+r \times t}$Simply stated, the difference between the call price and put price for European options with the same exercise price and expiration date must be equal to the present value of the
difference between the forward price and exercise price. This relationship, one of the most important in option pricing, goes by various names. In textbooks, it is commonly referred to as put-call parity. Traders may also refer to it as the combo value, the synthetic relationship,
conversion market.
The exact calculation of

## put-call parity depends on the

 underlying market and the settlement procedures for the options market. Let's look at several different cases.
## Options on Futures

## The simplest calculation

 occurs when the underlying is a futures contract and the options are subject to futurestype settlement. In this case,the effective interest rate is 0 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { because } & \text { no money changes } \\ \text { hands } & \text { when either the }\end{array}$ underlying futures contract or the options
are traded. Moreover, futures contracts pay no dividends, so we can express put-call parity in its simplest form as

$$
C-P=F-X
$$

With a December 100
call trading at 5.25 and a

# December 100 put trading at 1.50, what should be the price of the underlying December futures contract? 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { December 100 call } 5.25 \\
& \text { Deerember 100put } \\
& \text { Decemberfitures contract } \\
& \text { Because } \\
& C-P=5.25-1.50=3.75 \\
& F-X \text { also must equal } 3.75 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The futures price must be 103.75.

What will happen in our example if the underlying futures contract is not trading at 103.75 but instead is trading at 104.00 . We can see that

$$
5.25-1.50 \neq 104.00-100
$$

and

$$
3.75 \neq 4.00
$$

## Everyone will want to

execute a reverse conversion by buying the less expensive synthetic (buy the call, sell the put) and selling the more expensive underlying (the futures contract). Ignoring transaction costs, if all trades actually can be done at these prices, the strategy will result in an arbitrage profit of .25 , the amount of the mispricing. What will be the result
of everyone attempting to do a reverse conversion? Because everyone wants to buy the call, there will be upward pressure on the call price. If the call price rises to 5.50 while all other prices remain unchanged, put-call parity is maintained because
$5.50-1.50=104.00-100$

Alternatively, as part of the reverse conversion, everyone
wants to sell the put. This will put downward pressure on the put price. If the put price falls to 1.25 , put-call parity is again maintained because $5.25-1.25=104.00-100$

Finally, everyone wants to sell the futures contract,
putting
downward
pressure on the futures price. If the futures contract falls
103.75, put-call parity is

## again maintained because

$$
5.25-1.50=103.75-100
$$

# Whether the call price 

 rises, the put price falls, the futures price falls, or some combination of all three, the final result must be$$
C-P=F-X
$$

## This application of put-

 call parity, where all contractsare subject to futures-type settlement, is typically used for options traded on futures exchanges outside North America. When an exchange settles option prices at the end of the trading day, there may be inconsistencies having to do with the volatility value of an option. But the exchange will always try to assign
settlement consistent parity. A table of settlement
prices for Euro-bund options traded on Eurex is shown in Figure 15-2. 2 Note that in every case, put-call parity is maintained.

Figure 15-2 Settlement prices for euro-bund options on May 25, 2010

Settlement prices for Euro-bund options on 25 May 2010.
The settlement prices reflect put-call parity in its simplest form. In inevery case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { call price-putprice }=\text { futures price }- \text { exercise price } \\
& \text { June Futures }=129.38 \quad \text { SeptemberFutures }=128.90 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

| exercise <br> price | June <br> calls | June <br> puts | July' <br> calls | July' <br> puts | Aug.' <br> calls | Aug.' <br> puts. | Sep. <br> calls | Sepp. <br> puls |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 126.00 | 3.39 | .01 | 3.16 | .26 | 3.45 | .55 | 3.76 | .86 |
| 126.50 | 2.89 | .01 | 2.75 | .35 | 3.07 | .67 | 3.42 | 1.02 |
| 127.00 | 2.41 | .03 | 2.37 | .47 | 2.73 | .83 | 3.09 | 1.19 |
| 127.50 | 1.93 | .05 | 2.02 | .62 | 2.40 | 1.00 | 2.78 | 1.38 |
| 128.00 | 1.48 | .10 | 1.70 | .80 | 2.10 | 1.20 | 2.50 | 1.60 |
| 128.50 | 1.06 | .18 | 1.41 | 1.01 | 1.83 | 1.43 | 2.23 | 1.83 |
| 129.00 | .71 | .33 | 1.16 | 1.26 | 1.59 | 1.69 | 1.99 | 2.09 |
| 129.50 | .43 | .55 | .94 | 1.54 | 1.37 | 1.97 | 1.76 | 2.36 |
| 130.00 | 23 | .85 | .76 | 1.86 | 1.17 | 2.27 | 1.56 | 2.66 |
| 130.50 | .12 | 1.24 | .60 | 2.20 | 1.00 | 2.60 | 1.37 | 2.97 |
| 131.00 | .05 | 1.67 | .48 | 2.58 | 85 | 2.95 | 1.21 | 3.31 |
| 131.50 | .02 | 2.14 | .37 | 2.97 | .72 | 3.32 | 1.06 | 3.66 |
| 132.00 | .01 | 2.63 | .29 | 3.39 | .61 | 3.71 | .92 | 4.02 |



Put-call parity
calculations become slightly more complicated when options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement, as they are on most futures exchanges in North America. Now we must discount by the interest-rate component


# The difference between the 

 call price and put price must be 2.67, with the negative sign indicating that the put price is greater than the call price$$
C-P=-2.67
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=C-(-2.67)=4.90+2.67 \\
=7.57
\end{gathered}
$$

## The put must be trading for

 7.57.
## Locked Futures

## Markets

Many futures traders prefer not to become involved in options markets because of the apparent complexity of options. There is, however, one situation in which a futures trader ought to become familiar with basic option characteristics. If a futures trader wants to make a
trade but is prevented from doing so because the futures market has reached its daily limit, he may be able to trade futures synthetically by using options. The price at which the synthetic futures contact is trading can be determined through put-call parity. Consider a futures market that has a daily up or down limit of 5.00 . The
futures contract closed the
previous day at 126.75 but is now up limit at 131.75. No further futures trading can take place unless someone is willing to sell at a price of 131.75 or less. If, however, the options market is still open, a trader can buy or sell futures synthetically, even if this price is beyond the daily limit. He can either buy a call and sell a put (buying the futures contract) or sell a call and buy a put (selling the

## futures contract) with the same exercise price and

 expiration date. The price of the call and put, together with the exercise price, will determine the price at which the synthetic futures contract is trading.
## Below is a hypothetical

 table of call and put prices together with the resulting synthetic futures price. For simplicity, we assume thatthere are no interest considerations. Because $C$ $P=F-X$, we can calculate the equivalent futures price $F$ $=C-P+X$.

3
13
15
045
105
$1 \mid 330$
10
415
255
133.2

133
215
495
133.2
83)

1335

## There is some variation

 in the equivalent synthetic prices, possibly because the prices do not reflect the bidask spread or perhaps because the option prices have not been quoted contemporaneously.However, one can see that if the futures contract were still open for trading, its price would probably
be somewhere in the range of 133.20 to 133.30 . If a futures
trader wants to buy or sell futures synthetically in the option market, he can expect to trade at a price within this range.

## Options on Stock

## Calculating put-call parity

 for stock options entails an additional step because we must first calculate theforward price for the stock.

With six months remaining to expiration and an annual interest rate of 4.00 percent, a December 65 call is trading for 8.00 . If the underlying stock is trading at 68.50 and total dividends of .45 are expected prior to expiration, what should be the price of the 65 put?

> We begin with the
forward price
$F=68.50 \times(1+0.04 \times 6 / 12)$
$-0.45=69.42$

## Then



The put price must be

$$
8.00-4.33=3.67
$$

An Approximation for Stock Options
When exchanges first
began
trading options,

# Traders <br> often <br> had <br> to <br> make 

 pricing decisions quickly and without the aid of computers. As a result, they often sought shortcuts by which they could more easily approximate prices. Even if the shortcut resulted in small errors, the value of being able to make faster decisions more thanoffset the small loss in
accuracy.
Let's go back to basic put-call parity for stock options and replace the forward price $F$ with the actual forward price for the stock

this calculation?

## Note that we are

 multiplying the stock price by the interest-rate component and then dividing the stock price, the dividend, and the exercise price by the same interest-rate component. We end up with the stock price itself less the discounted values of the dividend and exercise price
# Dividends are typically 

 small compared with the stock price and exercise price, so a reasonable approximation for the discounted valueof the dividend 1S simply
the dividend $D$ itself. We might approximate the discounted value of the exercise price and eliminate the need to do any division by subtracting the interest on the exercise price from the exercise price
itself


Substituting

$$
\begin{gathered}
C-P \approx S-(X-X \times r \times t)- \\
D=S-X+X \times r \times t-D
\end{gathered}
$$

## The difference between the

 call price and put price is approximately equal to the stock price minus the exercise price plus interest on the exercise price minus expected dividends.| How | good | an |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| approximation | is | this? |

Clearly, if interest rates are very high, the dividend is very large, or we are dealing with long-term options, the
errors will begin to increase. But for short-term options our approximation
often represents a reasonable tradeoff between speed and accuracy.
Let's
go back
to our
previous
stock
option
example:

Stock price $=$
68.50

Time
to
expiration $=6$

## months

Interest rate $=$
4.00
percent
Expected
dividends
.45

We calculated the value of the 65 combo $(C-P)$ as 4.33 . How will our approximation compare?
$C-P \approx S-X+X \times r \times t-D$

# $=68.50-65+65 \times .04 \times 6 / 12-.45$ 

## $=4.35$

## Our approximation differs

 by .02 from the true value. Depending acceptable margin of error in return for being able to make a faster trading decision.All experienced traders are familiar with put-call
parity,
SO
any
price
imbalances are likely to be very short-lived. If the combo is overpriced compared with the underlying, all traders will want to execute a conversion (i.e., buy the underlying, sell the call, buy the put). If the combo is underpriced, all traders will want to execute a reversal (i.e., sell the underlying, buy the call, sell the put). Such activity, where everyone is attempting to do the same thing, will quickly
force prices back into equilibrium.

Indeed, price imbalances in the synthetic relationship are usually small and rarely last for more than a few seconds. When imbalances do
occur,
an
option
trader
is
usually willing
to
execute
conversions or reversals in very large size because of the low risk associated with such strategies.

# Put-call parity specifies 

 the price relationship between three contracts-a call, a put, and an underlying contract. If the price of any two contracts is known, it should be possible to calculate the price of the third contract. If the prices in the marketplace do not seem to be consistent with this relationship, what might a trader infer?> Consider this stock

## option situation:

> 90 call $=7.20$ 90 put $=1.40$

# Time 

 expiration $=3$ monthsInterest rate $=$ 8.00 percent Expected dividends .47

What should be the price of
the underlying stock?

## Using our stock option

approximation for put-call parity, we know that
$C-P \approx S-X+X \times r \times t-D$

Therefore,
$S \approx C-P+X-X X+X 1+D$
$S \approx 7.20-1.40+90-90 \times 0.08 \times 3 / 12+0.47=94.47$

Suppose, however, that the stock is actually trading at
94.30. Does this mean that there is an arbitrage opportunity? The
stock
price
calculation
depended
on assumptions about
interest and dividends. Are we sure that those assumptions are correct? One possibility is that the interest rate we are using, 8 percent, is too low. If we assume that the contract prices and dividends are
correct, we can calculate the implied interest rate $r=\frac{(C-P-S+X+D) / X}{t}$

$$
(7.20-1.40-94.30+90+0.47) / 90
$$

## $=0.0875(8.75 \%)$

Another possibility is that the dividend we are using, 47 , is too high. If we assume that the contract
prices and interest are correct, we can calculate the implied dividend
$D=S-C+P-X+X \times r \times t$
$=94.30-7.20+1.40-90+90 \times .08 \times 3 / 12$
$=.30$

The marketplace seems to be expecting a dividend of only .30. If our original calculation was based on an estimate of the expected divided, we ought to consider

# the possibility that the company will cut the 

 dividend prior to expiration. Arbitrage RiskNew traders who are learning to trade options professionally are
often
encouraged to focus
on conversions and reversals because, they are told, these strategies, once executed, are
essentially riskless. A word of warning: very few strategies are truly riskless. Some strategies entail greater risk, while others entail lesser risk. Rarely, however, does strategy entail no risk. The risks of doing conversions or reversals may not be immediately apparent, but they exist nonetheless.

## Execution Risk

## Because no one wants to

 give away money, a trader is unlikely to be offered a profitable conversion focuses on these strategies will have to begin by executing one or two legs and then hope to execute the final $\operatorname{leg}(s)$ at a later time. He may, for example, initially
## purchase puts together with

 underlying contracts and hope to later complete theconversion by selling calls. However, if call prices begin to fall, he may never be able to profitably complete the conversion. Even a professional trader on an exchange, who would seem to be in a good position to know the prices of all three contracts, can be mistaken. He

create
a
long
synthetic underlying position by purchasing a call and selling a put at what he believes are favorable prices. However, when he tries to sell the underlying contract to complete the reversal, he may find that the price is lower than he expected. Whenever a strategy is executed one leg at a time, there is always the risk of an adverse change in prices before the strategy can be completed.

# When we introduced the 

 concept of a synthetic position, we assumed that at expiration, the underlying market would be either above the exercise price, in which case the call would be exercised, or below the exercise price, in which case the put would be exercised. But what will happen if the underlying market is exactlyequal to, or pinned to, the exercise price at the moment of expiration?

## Suppose that a trader has

 executed a June 100 conversion: he is short a June 100 call, long a June 100 put, and long the underlying contract. If the underlying contract is above or below 100 at expiration, there is no problem. Either he will be assigned on the call or he willexercise the put. In either case, the long underlying position will be offset, and he will have no market position on the day following expiration.

But suppose that at the moment of expiration, the underlying market is right at 100 . The trader would like to be rid of his underlying position. If he is not assigned on the call, he can exercise
his put; if he is assigned on the call, he can let the put expire. In order to make a decision, he must know whether the call will be exercised. But he won't know this until the day after expiration, when he either does or does not receive an assignment notice. If he finds out that he was not assigned on the call, it will be too late to exercise the put because it will have expired.

## It may seem that an

option that is exactly at the money at expiration will never be exercised because, in theory, it has no value. In fact, many at-the-money options are exercised. Even though the option has no theoretical value, it does have some practical value. For example, suppose that the owner of a call that is exactly at the money at expiration wants to take a long position
in the underlying contract. He has two choices. He can either exercise the call or buy the underlying contract. Because an exchange-traded option typically includes the right of exercise in the original transaction cost, it is almost always cheaper to exercise the call. Even if there is a small transaction cost to exercise, an option, it will almost always be less than the cost of trading the underlying
contract. Anyone owning an at-the-money
choosing to take a long or short position at expiration will find that it is cheaper to exercise the option than to buy or sell the underlying contract.

## Clearly, a trader who is

 short an at-the-money option at expiration has a problem. What can he do?One possibility is to make an
educated guess as to whether the at-the-money option will be exercised. If the market appears to be strong on the last trading day, the trader might assume that it will continue higher following expiration. If the holder of the call sees the situation similarly, it is logical to assume that the call will be exercised. Hence the trader will choose not to exercise his put. Unfortunately, if the
trader is wrong and he does not get assigned on the call, he will find himself with a long underlying position that he would rather not have. Conversely, if the market appears to be weak on the last trading day, the trader might make the assumption that he will not be assigned on the call. He will therefore choose to exercise the put. But, again, if he is wrong and does get an assignment notice, he
will find himself with an unwanted short underlying position on the day following expiration.
The risk of a wrong
guess can be further
compounded by the fact that conversions and reversals, because of their low risk, are often done in large size. If the trader guesses wrong, he may find that on the day after expiration, he is naked long
or short not one or two but many underlying contracts.

## There can be no certain

solution to the problem of pin risk. With many, perhaps thousands, of open contracts outstanding,
some
at-the-
money options will be
exercised and some won't. If the trader lets the position go to expiration and relies on luck, he is at the mercy of the fates, and this is a position

## that an intelligent option

 trader prefers to avoid. The practical solution is to avoid carrying a short at-the-money option position to expiration when there iS a real possibility of expiration right at the exercise price. If the trader has a large number of June 100 conversions
# reduce the pin risk by 

 reducing the size of the position. If the trader doesn't reduce the size, he may find that he is under increasing pressure to get out of a large number of risky contracts as expiration approaches. Sometimes even careful trader will find that he still has some outstanding at-the-money conversions Or reversalsas
expiration
approaches. If he is very concerned with the potential pin risk, he might simply liquidate the position at the prevailing market prices. Unfortunately, this is likely to result in a loss because the trader will be forced to trade each contract
at
an
unfavorable price, either
buying at the offer or selling at the bid. Fortunately, it is often possible to trade out of such a position all at once at a
fair price.
Because conversions and
reversals
are
common
strategies, a trader who has an at-the-money conversion and is worried about pin risk can be fairly certain that there are also traders in the market who have at-the-money reversals and are worried about the same pin risk. If the trader with the conversion could find a trader with a reversal
and cross positions with him, both traders would eliminate the pin risk associated with their positions. This is why on option exchanges
one often finds traders looking for other traders who want to trade conversions or reversals at even money. This simply means that a trader wants to trade out of his position at a price that is fair to everyone involved so that everyone can avoid the problem of pin risk.

Whatever profit a trader expected to make from the conversion or reversal presumably resulted from the opening trade, not from the closing trade.

## Pin risk only occurs in

 option marketswhere exercise results in a long or short position in the underlying contract. In some markets, such as stock indexes, options are settled at
expiration in cash rather than with the delivery of an underlying contract. When the option expires, there is a cash payment equal to the difference between the exercise price and underlying price, but no underlying position results. Consequently, there is no pin risk associated with this type of settlement.

## Settlement Risk

 Let's go back to our December 100 conversion example. But now let's assume that the underlying is a December futures contract$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { call } \\
& +1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { put }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+1 \text { December }
$$

futures

## contract

## If the December futures

 contract is trading at 102.00 , there are three months remaining to December expiration, interest rates are 8.00 percent, and all options are subject to stock-type (cash) settlement, the value of the December 100 synthetic combination (the difference between the December 100 call and the December 100
## put) should be



## Suppose that a trader is

 able to sell a December 100 call for 5.00 , buy a December 100 put for 3.00 , and sell a December futures contract for 102.00. At expiration, the trader should realize a profit of .04 because he has done the December 100 conversionat .04 better than its value.
Shortly after the trader
executes the conversion, the underlying December futures contract falls to 98.00 . What will be the cash flow? The synthetic position will show a profit of approximately 4.00 ; the short call and long put together, because they make up a short underlying position, will appreciate by 4.00. But because the options
are settled like stock, the profit on the synthetic position will be unrealized there will be no cash credited to the trader's account. On the other hand, the trader is also long a December futures contract, and this contract, because it is subject to futures-type settlement, will result in an immediate debit of 4.00 when the market drops to 98.00 . To cover this debit, the trader must either
borrow the money or take the money out of an interestbearing account. In either case, there will be a loss in interest, and this interest loss will not be offset by the unrealized profit from the option position. If the loss in interest is great enough, it may more than offset the profit of .04 that the trader originally expected from the position. In the most extreme case, where the trader does
not have access to the funds required to cover the variation on the futures position, he may be forced to liquidate the position. Needless to
say, forced liquidations are never profitable.
Of course, this works both ways. A rise in the price of the underlying futures contract to 106.00 will result in a loss of 4.00 on the
synthetic option position; the short call and long put together will decline by 4.00 . But this loss is unrealized no money will actually be debited from the trader's account. $\frac{3}{}$ On the other hand, the rise in the futures contract will result in an immediate cash credit on which the trader can earn interest. This interest will increase the potential profit beyond the

## expected amount of .04

## Option traders tend to

 assume that conversions and reversals are delta-neutral strategies. But this is not always true. An exactly deltaneutral position hasno preference as to the direction of movement in
the underlying contract. In our example, we can see that the trader prefers upward movement because he can
earn interest on the variation credited to his account. With the underlying futures contract at 102, the deltas in our example might be


## Long December 100put

$-4$

## LongDecemberfutures contact

$+100$

The two extra deltas reflect the fact that the trader prefers the market to rise rather than fall so that cash will flow into his account from the futures position. The interest from this cash flow can result in an unexpected profit. A decline in the futures price will have the opposite effect and can result in an unexpected loss.
Under
normal
circumstances, few traders
will concern themselves with the risk of being two deltas long or short. But conversions and reversals, because they are low-risk strategies, are often done in very large size. A trader who executes 300 of our sample conversions has a delta risk of $300 \times+2=+600$. This is the same as being long an extra six futures contracts. The risk comes from the interest that can be earned on any cash credit or that must
be paid on any cash debit resulting from movement in the underlying futures contract.

## The amount by which

 the delta of a synthetic futures position will differ from 100 depends on the interest risk associated with the position. This, in turn, depends on two factors-the general level of interest rates and the amount of time remaining
# expiration. The higher the 

 interest rate and the more time remaining to expiration, the greater the risk. The lower the interest rate and the less time remaining to expiration, the less the risk. A 10 percent interest rate with nine months remaining to expiration represents a much greater risk than a 4 percent interest rate with one month remaining to expiration. In the former case, the deltas of a syntheticposition may add up to 93 , while in the latter case the deltas may add up to 99 . In general, the total delta for a synthetic futures contract, where the options are subject to stock-type settlement, is
where $r$ is the interest rate and $t$ is the time to maturity of the options.

# This type of settlement 

 risk occurs only when the options and the underlying contract are subject to different settlement procedures. 4 There is no settlement risk when both contracts are subject to the same settlement procedure. If all contracts are subject to stock-type settlement, as they are in a typical stock option market, no cash flow resultsfrom fluctuations in the prices of the contracts prior to expiration. If all contracts are subject to futures-type settlement,
as they
are
On most futures exchanges outside the United States, any cash flow resulting from changes in the price of the underlying futures contract will exactly offset the cash flow resulting from changes in prices of the option contracts.

Interest and Dividend Risk

## Let's again go back to our

 December 100 conversion, but now let's assume that the underlying contract is stock.> -1 December 100 call +1 December 100 put $+1$
stock

## contract

## What <br> are the <br> risks <br> of

holding this position?

## The <br> stock <br> price <br> will

always be greater than the option prices, so the entire position will be done for a debit approximately equal to the option's exercise price. Because the trader will have to borrow this amount, there will be an interest cost associated with the position.

If interest rates rise over the life of the position, the interest costs will also rise, increasing the cost of holding the position and, consequently, reducing the potential profit. If interest rates fall, the potential profit will increase because the costs of carrying the position will decline. 5

## The opposite is true of a

 reverse conversion:$$
\begin{aligned}
& +1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { call } \\
& -1 \text { December } \\
& 100 \text { put } \\
& -1 \\
& \text { contract }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Because the trader will

 receive cash from the sale of the stock, the position will earn interest over time. If interest rates rise, the interest $\begin{array}{ll}\text { earnings } & \text { will also rise, } \\ \text { increasing } & \text { the value of the }\end{array}$position. If interest rates fall, the interest earnings will fall, reducing the value of the position.

## Clearly, conversions and

reverse conversions
are
sensitive
to changes in interest rates. This is reflected in their rho values. In the stock
option
market,
a
conversion has a negative rho, indicating a desire for interest rates to fall. A reverse
conversion has a positive rho, indicating a desire for interest rates to rise. This is logical when we recall that in the stock option market calls have positive rho values and puts have negative rho values. In a conversion or reverse conversion, the signs of the call and the put rho positions will be the same, either both positive or both negative, because we are buying one option and selling the other.

# The <br> fact <br> that 

a
conversion or reverse conversion includes a stock position also means that there is the risk of rising or falling dividends. In a conversion, we are long stock, so any increase in dividends will increase the value of the position, and any cut in dividends will reduce the value. In
a reverse conversion, the opposite is true.

# Even though there is no 

Greek letter used to represent dividend risk, we might say that a conversion has positive dividend risk and a reverse conversion has negative dividend risk. The former will be helped by any increase in dividends, while the latter will be hurt.

We can see the effect of changing interest
and
dividends
by
recalling
our
earlier example:

Stock price $=$
68.50

Time
expiration $=6$ months

Interest rate $=$
4.00 percent

Expected
dividend $=.45$

We
calculated
the
approximate value of the

## combo $(C-P)$ as 4.35

$C-P \approx S-X+X \times r \times t-D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =68.50-65+65 \times .04 \times 6 / 12-.45 \\
& =4.35
\end{aligned}
$$

If interest rates rise to 5.00 percent, the value will now be

$$
\begin{gathered}
68.50-65+65 \times .05 \times 6 / 12 \\
-.45 \approx 4.68
\end{gathered}
$$

If, on the other hand, the dividend is increased to .65 ,

## the value will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
68.50-65+65 \times .04 \times 6 / 12 \\
-.35=4.15
\end{gathered}
$$

## A conversion or reversal

 entails risk because these strategies combine a synthetic underlying position, which is composed of options, with an actual position in the underlying contract. The risk arises because a synthetic position and the actualposition, while very similar, can still have different characteristics, either in terms of settlement procedure, as in the futures option market, or in terms of interest or
dividends, as in the stock option market. Is there any way to eliminate this risk? One way to eliminate this risk is to eliminate the position in the underlying contract. Consider

## conversion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Short a call } \\
& \text { Long a put } \\
& \text { Long } \\
& \text { underlying } \\
& \text { contract }
\end{aligned}
$$

## If we want to maintain this

 position but would also like to eliminate the risk of holding an underlying position, we might replace the long underlying position withsomething that acts like an underlying contract but that isn't an underlying contract. One possibility is to replace the long underlying position with a deeply in-the-money call:

Short a call
Long a put
Long a deeply
in-the-money
call

## If the deeply in-the-money

 call has a delta of 100 and therefore acts like a long underlying contract, the position will have the same characteristics as the conversion.
## In the same way, instead

 of buying a deeply in-themoney call, we can sell a deeply in-the-money put:Short a call
Long a put

# Short a deeply in-the-money put 

## This type of position,

money option to complete a three-way, he still has the risk of the market going through the exercise price. Indeed, as the underlying market moves closer and closer to the exercise price of the deeply in-the-money option, that option will act less and less like an underlying contract, and the entire position will act less and less like a true conversion or reversal.

## Boxes

 What else acts like an underlying contract but isn't an underlying contract? Another possibility is to replace the underlying position with a synthetic position, but a synthetic with a different exercise price. For example, suppose that we have a June 100 conversion:
# call <br> $$
+1 \text { June } 100
$$ 

put

$$
+1
$$

underlying
contract

At the same time, we also execute a June 90 reversal. The combined position is


# The <br> long underlying contracts cancel out, leaving 



We have a synthetic long underlying position at the 90 exercise price and a synthetic short underlying position at the 100 exercise price. This position, known as a box, is similar to a conversion or
reversal except that we have eliminated the risk associated with holding a position in the underlying contract. A trader is long the box when he is synthetically long at the lower exercise price and synthetically short at the higher exercise price. He is short the box when he is synthetically short at the lower exercise price and synthetically long at the higher exercise price. The

# example position is long a June 90/100 box. <br> reversal, a box is an arbitrage 

 -we are buying and selling the same contract but in different markets. In our example, we are buying the underlying contract in the 90 exercise price market and selling the same underlying contract in the 100 exercise price market.
## How much is a box

worth? Ignoring pin risk, at expiration, a trader who has a box will simultaneously buy the underlying contract at one exercise price and sell the underlying contract at the other exercise price. The value of the box at expiration will be exactly the amount between exercise prices. In our example, at expiration, the $90 / 100$ box will be worth exactly 10.00 because the

## trader will simultaneously

## buy the underlying contract at

 90 (exercise the 90 call or be assigned on the 90 put) and sell the underlying contract at 100 (exercise the 100 put or be assigned on the 100 call). If the box is worth 10.00 at expiration, how much is it worth today? If the options are subject to futures-type settlement, the value today is the same as the value at expiration. If, however, theoptions are subject to stocktype settlement, the value of the box today will be the present value of the amount between exercise prices. If our $90 / 100$ box expires in three months with interest rates at 8 percent, the value today is


## eliminates the risk associated

 with carrying a position in the underlying contract, boxesidentical to lending or borrowing funds over the life of the options.
our example, a trader who sells the $90 / 100$ box for 9.80 has essentially borrowed funds from the buyer of the box for three months at an interest rate of 8 percent. Selling the box at a lower price is equivalent to borrowing funds at a higher interest rate. If the trader sells the three-month box at a price of 9.70 , he has,
in effect, agreed to borrow at an annual interest rate of 12 percent.

When no other method is available, a trading firm may be able to raise needed short-term cash by selling boxes. Because the firm will probably have to sell the boxes at a price lower than the theoretical value, this will increase the firm's borrowing costs. Moreover, there will
still margin requirements and transaction costs associated with this strategy, increasing the borrowing costs further. We originally introduced a box as a conversion at one exercise price and a reversal at a different exercise price. With the long and short underlying positions canceling out, we are left with two synthetic underlying positions:


The left side of the box is a synthetic long position at 90 , and the right side is a synthetic short position at 100. Instead of dividing the box into a right side and a left side, suppose that we divide it into upper portion and a lower portion:

# The strategy on the top is a 

 bull vertical call spread (i.e., long June 90 call, short June 100 call), whereas the strategy on the bottom is a bear vertical put spread (i.e., long June 100 put, short June 90 put). Because a box is a combination of two vertical spreads, the combined prices of the vertical spreads mustequal the value of the box. With three interest rates at 8 percent, the value of our June 90/100 box is 9.80. Suppose that a trader knows that the June 90/100 call spread is trading for 6.00 . The trader can estimate the fair market price for the June $90 / 100$ put spread because he knows that the $90 / 100$ box is worth 9.80 and that the value
of a call and put spread must add up to the value of the box. The price of the put spread must therefore be

$$
9.80-6.00=3.80
$$

## If the trader believes that

 he can either buy or sell the call spread for 6.00 and he is asked for a market in the put spread, he will make his market around an assumed value of 3.80 . He might, forexample, make a market of $3.70 \mathrm{bid} / 3.90$ ask. If he is able to buy the put spread for 3.70 , he can then try to buy the call spread for 6.00 . If he is successful, he will have paid a total of 9.70 for a box with a theoretical value of 9.80 . Conversely, if he is able to sell the put spread for 3.90 , he can then try to sell the call vertical for 6.00 . If he is successful, he will have sold a box with a theoretical value
of 9.80 for a price of 9.90 .

## Rolls

In a box, the risk of $\begin{array}{ll}\text { holding } & \text { the underlying } \\ \text { contract } & \text { is offset by }\end{array}$ combining a conversion and reversal in the same month but at different exercise prices:

# Suppose that we instead combine a conversion and reversal, not at different exercise prices, but in different expiration months: 

+1 noavind
Hyadid - Humpry - madringmat

Himethysurach

# If the long and short underlying positions cancel out, we are left with a roll: 



We have a synthetic long underlying position in June and a synthetic short underlying position in August, where both positions have the same exercise price. Although it is always

# possible to combine <br> conversion in one month with 

 a reversal in a different month, in a roll, the underlying positions must cancel out. For example, in a futures option market, the underlying for June may be a June futures contract and the underlying for August may be an August futures contract. Because they are different contracts, the long and short underlying positions will notoffset each other. Hence the position is not a true roll.
Rolls
are
done
most
commonly in a stock option market, where the underlying contract for all expiration months is the
same underlying stock. The long stock position
one expiration month will always offset the short stock position in the other expiration month.

> What should be the
value of a roll in the stock option market? The value of the roll must be the difference in the values of the combos

$$
\left(C_{l}-P_{l}\right)-\left(C_{s}-P_{s}\right)
$$

where $C_{l}$ and $P_{l}$ are the long-term call and put, and $C_{S}$ and $P_{S}$ are the short-term call and put.
For the moment, let's
assume that the stock pays no
dividends. We know the value of a combo

## $C-P=\frac{S-X}{1+r \times t}$

The value of the roll should therefore be


Excluding dividends, the value of the roll is the

## difference

discounting on the short-term exercise price is less than the discounting on the long-term exercise price.

If the stock
pays
a dividend $D$ between expirations, the value of the roll should also include this amount. Ignoring interest on dividends, the roll value is

## Consider

 months to June expiration and four months to August expiration. If we assume a constant interest rate of 6 percent, and the stock is expected to pay a dividend of .40 between expirations, the value of the 90 roll is
## A trader who needs to

make calculations without computer supportmight, as with conversions
reversals, might be willing to give up some accuracy in return for greater speed. How might a trader simplify the calculation of a roll? A trader who is short a roll (i.e., long the short-term synthetic and short the long-term synthetic) will buy stock at the shortterm expiration and sell stock
at the long-term expiration, with both transactions taking place at the same exercise price. Additionally, because the trader will own the stock over the life of the roll, he will receive any dividends paid out over this period. The value of the roll should be approximately the cost of carrying the exercise price from one expiration to the other less any dividends that accrue

$$
X \times r \times t-D
$$

where $t$ is the time between expirations. In our example, we have
$90 \times .06 \times 2 / 12-.40=.90-$

$$
.40=.50
$$

Depending on the trading environment and the trader's ultimate goal, this error of .03 may or may not be acceptable.

## Instead of writing a roll

 as a combination of synthetic long and short underlying positions, we can also write the roll as a combination of calendar spreads:$$
-1 \text { June } 90
$$

call/+1 August
90 call
+1 June 90
put/-1 August
90 put

## The strategy on the top is a

 long call calendar spread; the strategy on the bottom is a short put calendar spread. If we buy the call calendar spreadand
sell
the
put
calendar spread, we have a roll. The value of the roll should therefore be equal to the difference between the two calendar spreads. ${ }^{6}$

## Because <br> the <br> interest <br> component is almost always

greater than dividends, a long roll (i.e., buy the long-term synthetic, sell the short-term synthetic) will typically trade for a positive value, requiring an outlay of cash. Consequently, the call calendar spread will be more valuable than the put calendar spread. However, if dividends are greater than interest, a roll can have a negative value. $\frac{7}{}$ Then the normal relationship

# will be inverted: the put 

 calendar spread will be more valuable than the call calendar spread. In our earlier example, we calculated the value of the June/August 90 roll as . 47 . Suppose that the June/August 90 call calendar spread is trading for 2.25 . What should be the value of the June/August 90 put calendar spread? We know that thedifference between the spreads must be .47. The value of the put spread ought to be

$$
2.25-.47=1.78
$$

In the same way, if the put spread is trading for 1.50 , the call spread ought to be trading for

$$
1.50+.47=1.97
$$

## Because dividends are

discrete amounts that apply equally to all rolls with the same expiration dates, the values of rolls with the same expiration date but different exercise prices should differ by approximately the interest on exercise prices. In our example, the value of the June/August 90 roll was . 47 . The value of the June/August 80 roll should differ from the value of the 90 roll by the

## interest on the difference

## between 80 and 90

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.47-(90-80) \times .06 \times 2 / 12 \\
=.47-.10=0.37
\end{gathered}
$$

execute a roll with the intention of eliminating the risk of holding the underlying contract, this risk is only eliminated up to the shortterm expiration. At that time, the trader will either buy or
sell the underlying stock at the exercise price. The position is therefore sensitive to changes in interest rates and dividends. Rolls fluctuate in value as interest rates rise or fall and as dividends are raised or lowered. The more time between expirations, the more sensitive a roll will be to these changes.

## Time boxes

## A box or roll consists of

 long and short synthetic positions, either in the same month but at different exercise prices (a box) or in different months but at the same exercise price (a roll). We can also combine these strategies by taking synthetic positions at different exercise prices and in different months:
## This position is usually

referred to as either a time box or diagonal roll.

We can calculate the
value of a time box in the same way we calculated the value of a roll-by taking the difference between the discounted exercise prices less expected dividends

# where the subscripts $s$ and $l$ 

 refer to short-term options and long-term options. What should be the value of the June 90 /August 100 time box if there are two months to June expiration and four months to August expiration, interest rates are a constant 6 percent, and the stock is expected to pay a
# dividend of .40 over this period? 

$\left.\frac{X_{s}}{\left(1+r_{s} \times t_{s}\right)}-\frac{X_{i}}{\left(1+r_{i} \times t_{i}\right)}-D\right)=\frac{90}{1.01}-\frac{100}{1.02}-0.40=-9.33$

## The negative sign indicates

 that if a trader wants to put on this position, he will have to pay 9.33. This is logical because the position consists of buying the lower-exerciseprice synthetic (i.e., buy the underlying at 90 at Juneexpiration) and selling the higher-exercise-price synthetic (i.e., sell the underlying at 100 at August expiration).

## In the same way that

 boxes are made up of bull and bear spreads and rolls are made up of calendar spreads, time boxes are made up of diagonal spreads. We can write our timediagonal spreads:

# +1 June 90 

call/-1 August 100 call -1 June 90 put/+1 August 100 put
Are we paying or receiving money for each of these spreads? We are clearly paying for the put spread because the August 100 put will always be more valuable than the June 90 put. But it's
not clear what the cash flow is for the call spread. The lower exercise price seems to imply that the June call will be more valuable, but the greater amount of time might in fact make the August call more valuable. The values of the call options will depend on both the underlying price and volatility. In some cases, we may pay for the call spread;
in
other cases,
we
may be paid. Regardless of
the prices of the individual spreads, though, the total debit must be 9.33. If the call spread is trading for 3.50 , the put spread ought to be trading for $9.33-3.50=5.83$. If the put spread is trading for 7.75 , the call spread ought to be trading for $9.33-7.75=1.58$. Because a time box is a combination of a box and a roll, if we can value a box and a roll, we ought to be
able to value a time box. Suppose that we buy the June 90/100 box

| 1. jurey cal |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

and at the same time sell the June/August 100 roll


- 2 (10) 0

The long and short June
leaving the June 90/August 100 time box:

The time box must therefore be a combination of buying the June 90/100 box and selling the June/August 100 roll.

Similarly, suppose that we buy the August $90 / 100$
and at the same time sell the June/August 90 roll


-1 purellput
HAypris Opicit

The long and short August 90 synthetics cancel out,
again
leaving
the
June

## 90/August 100 time box:



In this case, the time box is a combination of buying the
August 90/100
box
and
selling the June/August 90 roll.
From the foregoing
examples, we can see that if we buy a long-term box and sell a lower-exercise-price
roll or buy a short-term box and sell a higher-exerciseprice roll, both combinations result in the same time box. We can confirm this by calculating the value of the June and August 90/100 boxes as well as the June/August 90 and 100 rolls

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { June } 90 / 100 \text { boo }=\frac{10}{1+0.06 \times 2 / 1 / 2}=9.90 \\
\text { August } 90 / 100 \text { box }=\frac{10}{1+0.06 \times 4 / 1.2}=9.80 \\
\text { June/ August } 90 \text { roll }=\frac{90}{1+0.06 \times 2 / 1 / 2}-\frac{90}{1+0.06 \times 4 / 12}-0.40=0.47 \\
\text { June/ August } 100 \text { roll }=\frac{100}{1+0.06 \times 2 / 12}-\frac{100}{1+0.065 \times 4 / 12}-0.47=0.57
\end{gathered}
$$

If we buy the June $90 / 100$ box and sell the June/August 100 roll, the total value is

$$
-9.90+.57=-9.33
$$

# If we buy the August $90 / 100$ box and sell the June/August 90 roll, the total value is 

$$
-9.80+.47=-9.33
$$

The total in both cases is equal to the value of the time box.

## Using Synthetics in

## Volatility spreads

Any mispriced arbitrage relationship will be quickly recognized by almost all traders. Consequently, there are few opportunities to profit from a mispriced conversion or reversal. When
very
a

## professional trader, who has

 low transaction costs and immediate access to markets, is likely to be able to profit from such a situation. But even if a trader does not intend to execute an arbitrage, he may be able to use a knowledge of arbitrage pricing relationships to execute a strategy at more favorable prices.
## In Chapter 14, we noted

that because there is a synthetic equivalent for every contract, there are three ways to buy a straddle:

1. Buy a call, buy
a put.
2. Buy a call, buy
a put synthetically
(buy two calls, sell
an underlying contract)
3. Buy a call contract)

Suppose that we have the following prices for a call, a put, and an underlying stock:
Stod
51,4
515

## Mall

40
40
Sput
235
20

If there are three months remaining to expiration, interest rates are 4.00 percent, and we expect the stock to pay a dividend of .25 prior to

## expiration, what is the best

 way to buy the 50 straddle?
## Assuming that we must

 sell at the bid price and buy at the offer price, if we buy the straddle outright, we will pay a total of $4.20+2.40=6.60$. Suppose, however, that we buy the put synthetically (i.e., buy the call, sell the underlying). How much are we actually paying for the put?approximation for put-call
parity for stock options

Call price - put price $=$ stock price - exercise price +
interest on exercise price expected dividends

If we buy the put
synthetically, we will have to pay 4.20 for the call and sell the stock at 51.45. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.20-? ?=51.45-50+50 \times \\
& .04 \times 3 / 12-.25=1.70 \\
& \text { The cost of buying the put } \\
& \text { synthetically must be } 2.50 \text {. } \\
& \text { This is higher than the actual } \\
& \text { price of } 2.40, \text { so this is a } \\
& \text { worse choice than buying the } \\
& \text { straddle outright. } \\
& \text { What about buying the } \\
& \text { call synthetically (i.e., buy the } \\
& \text { put, buy the underlying)? We } \\
& \text { will pay } 2.40 \text { for the put and } \\
& 51.50 \text { for the stock. This }
\end{aligned}
$$

## gives us

$? ?-2.40=51.50-50+50 \times$ $.04 \times 3 / 12-.25=1.75$

The synthetic call price is 4.15. This is in fact better than the actual call price of 4.20. If we buy the straddle synthetically, buying two calls and buying stock, we are paying a total of $4.15+2.40$ $=6.55$, or .05 better than buying the straddle outright.

# How important is <br> a 

savings of .05? That probably depends on several factors the size in which the spread will be done, the liquidity of the market, and execution costs and brokerage fees. A professional trader, who has very low transaction costs and tends to trade in large volumes, ought to
save .05. be very happy to save .05 . On the other hand, a retail customer may find that the outright
straddle, because it involves only two contracts rather than three, entails executed more easily in the marketplace. It might be a better practical choice, even if it means giving up a potential savings of .05 .

## It might seem that when

 we are able to trade a contract synthetically at a better price than the actual price, there
# must an arbitrage opportunity 

 available. But in our example no arbitrage opportunity exists. If we do a conversion (i.e., sell call, buy put, buy stock), the put-call parity calculation is$4.10-2.40=51.50-50+50 \times .04 \times 3 / 12-.25$ $1.70=1.75$

We will be selling stock, synthetically, at 1.70 and buying at 1.75 .

## If we instead

# reverse conversion (i.e., buy 

 call, sell put, sell stock), the calculation is
# $4.20-2.35=51.45-50+50 \times .04 \times 3 / 12-.25$ $1.85=1.70$ 

Now we are buying stock, synthetically, at 1.85 and selling at 1.70. Because we must buy at the bid and sell at the offer, no arbitrage is available in either case. Our
goal, however, was a volatility spread, not an arbitrage. And the bid-ask spreads were such that we were able to buy the straddle synthetically at a savings of .05.

Let's expand the number of options and consider a different example:

## Bid

Oter
45call
7.40
7.55

45put
0.70
0.75

50call
4.10
4.20

50put
235
240

55all
1.95
2.00

55put
5.10
5.25

If, as before, there are three months remaining are 4 percent, what is the best way to buy the $45 / 50 / 55$ butterfly?
We might begin by
comparing the prices of the call and put butterflies. We know that these are equivalent strategies and
ought to have the same prices.

## Call buterfify: <br> Buyone ficall <br> $-7.55$ <br> Selltro50calls <br> $+8.20$ <br> Buyone5jcall <br> $-200$ <br> $-1.33$

Putbutueffy:
Buy one tjput
$-0.75$
Selltro SO puts
$+4.70$
Buryone 5 jput
$-5.2 j$
$-1.30$

Buying the put butterfly is slightly better than buying the call butterfly.

## In addition to buying the

 call or put butterfly, we have a third choice-we can sell an iron butterfly. In Chapter 14, we noted that selling an iron butterfly (i.e., buy a strangle and sell a straddle) is equivalent to buying butterfly. Moreover, the value of the iron butterfly and the value of an actual butterfly must add up to the present value of the amount between exercise prices.
## example, the values must add

 up to$5.00 /(1+.04 \times 3 / 12)=4.95$

Therefore, paying 1.30 for the put butterfly is the same as selling the iron butterfly for $4.95-1.30=3.65$. At what price can we sell the iron butterfly?

## Iron butieffy:

Buy one tfoput
Burone3icall
$-2,00$
Sellonejflput
$+2,95$

Sellonejocall
$+4.10$
$+3.70$

## If buying the put butterfly

 for 1.30 is equivalent to selling the iron butterfly for 3.65, then selling the iron butterfly at a price of 3.70 must be .05 better. This, in theory, seems to be the best
# way to buy the $45 / 50 / 55$ 

 butterfly.
## Even though selling the

 iron butterfly is best in theory, other factors, such as ease of execution and transaction costs, may play a role. Everything else being equal, though, selling the iron butterfly for 3.70 is the best way to execute our butterfly strategy.> The relationship
between the prices of call butterflies, put butterflies, and iron butterflies is based on synthetic relationships-the ability to express any contract as a synthetic equivalent. The reader may wish to confirm that no arbitrage opportunities exist, either in the form of conversions,
reverse
conversions, or boxes.
Our
goal, however, was not to take advantage of an arbitrage opportunity but rather to find
the best price at which to buy a butterfly. Our knowledge of synthetic pricing relationships enabled us to do this.

$$
\text { Figure } \quad 15-3 \text { is } a
$$ summary of basic arbitrage

pricing Whenever considering a strategy, relationships. ought to always ask whether he can do better by executing some part of his strategy synthetically. Usually this
will not be possible because synthetic relationships tend to be very efficient.
Occasionally,
though, the trader will find that the synthetic position is slightly more favorable. And over a career of trading, even small savings can add up.

Figure 15-3 Summary of arbitrage relationships for european options.

| Note: Calculationsexcluce interestondividends. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C=$ all price $P$ =putpice $F=$ underlynguturespice $S=$ underyingstockpice $X=$ everise price $I=$ anuad interestate $t=$ imene toexpiationinyears $D=$ expected dividends |  |
|  | simpleinterest continuousinterest agproximation |
| putcal praity | $C-P=(F-X) /(1+t) \quad C-P=(F-X) e^{-H}$ |
|  | $C-P=S-X(1+t)-0 \quad\left(-P=S-X e^{-1}-D \quad(-P z S-X+X r t-0\right.$ |
| boxvalue: <br> $X=$ lowerexercse price <br> $X=$ higherexerciseprice | $\begin{aligned} & \left(X_{0}-X\right)(1+1+1) \quad\left(X_{1}-X_{1} e^{-2} \quad\left(X_{0}-X_{1}\right)-\left(X_{0}-X_{1}\right) t\right. \\ & \text { long box } 1 \text { long (bull) cal spread }+ \text { long(bear) put speeded } \end{aligned}$ |
| rolvauvefor sock options: <br> $t=$ timetoexpiration <br> fortong.temoption <br> $t=$ timetoexpiation <br> forshorttemoption <br> $D=$ expected dividends <br> betwenexpipiations | longroll $=$ longad $\mid$ dendar spread + shortpitcalender spread |
| time box (diagonal ioll) forstockoptions: |  |
|  |  |

1 Some traders refer to a conversion as a forward conversion because the synthetic portion of the strategy is really a synthetic forward contract. It will not turn into an underlying contract until expiration
$\underline{2}$ The options in Figure 15-2 are in fact American and therefore entail the possibility of early exercise. However, when options on futures are subject to futures-type settlement, as they are on Eurex, we will see in Chapter 16 that there is effectively no difference between a European and an American option.
$\underline{3}$ There may be a margin requirement associated with changes in the option
prices. But, as discussed in Chapter 1, margin deposits, in theory, belong to the trader and therefore entail no loss of interest.
$\underline{4}$ A similar type of settlement risk occurs when a futures contract is used to hedge a physical commodity or security position. When the value of the physical commodity or security rises or falls, any profit or loss is unrealized. But the profit or loss on the futures position is immediately realized in the form of variation. The correct hedge is therefore not one to one but is determined by the interest on the variation from the futures position. Hedgers sometime refer to this risk as tailing.
$\underline{5}^{5}$ In theory, a trader can borrow money at a fixed rate, eliminating any interestrate risk. In practice, however, traders usually finance their trading activities through their broker or clearing firm at a variable rate. The cost of borrowing or lending changes daily as interest rates rise or fall.
$\underline{6}$ Note that the value of a box is equal to the sum of two spreads, a bull spread and a bear spread, while the value of a roll is equal to the difference between two spreads, a call calendar spread and a put calendar spread.
${ }^{7}$ Traders need to be careful about what they mean by buying and selling. Usually, buying means paying some
amount (a cash debit), while selling means receiving some amount (a cash credit). With some strategies, however, it may not be clear whether the trader is paying or receiving. Rolls are an example of this.

## Early Exercise

## of

American Optio

## Thus far we have assumed

 that all option strategies involve holding a position to expiration.Because
many
exchange-traded options are American, carrying with them the right of early exercise, it will be worthwhile to consider some of the characteristics of American options. Specifically, we will want
answer
three questions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 . \quad \text { Under what } \\
& \text { circumstances } \\
& \text { might a trader } \\
& \text { consider exercising }
\end{aligned}
$$

an American option prior to expiration? 2. If early exercise is deemed desirable, is there an optimal time to do so?
3. How much more should a
trader be willing to pay for an American
option
over an equivalent

## European option?

> In order for early
exercise to be desirable, there must be some advantage to holding a position in the underlying contract rather than a position in the option contract. This advantage can come in the form of dividends that the owner of stock will receive or in the form of a positive cash flow on which interest can be earned. If there

## are no dividend or interest

 considerations, there is no value to early exercise. In that case,value of an American option $=$ value of a European option This is generally true for options on futures traded on exchanges outside the United States, where the options are subject to futures-type settlement. Futures contracts
do not pay dividends, and no cash flow takes place when either the underlying futures contract or options on that contract are traded. Even though the options may be American, there is effectively no early exercise value associated with such options. Arbitrage Boundaries

When evaluating
contract, a trader might try to determine an arbitrage
boundary for that contract the lowest price (the lower arbitrage boundary)

Or highest
price (the
upper arbitrage boundary) at which the contract can trade without there being some arbitrage opportunity. Identifying the arbitrage boundaries for
European
and
American
options
can
help
US
understand the early exercise criteria for American options. Consider these prices:

## Contract

Price

## June 90 call

## Underlying contract



## If the June 90 call is

 American, everyone will want to buy the call for 9.90, sell the underlying contract
## for 100.00 , and immediately exercise the option. The resulting cash flow will be

> Buythe June OOcall
> Sell the underying contradt +100:00
> Exercise the call
> Total proitandloss PRUL
> +.10

There is an arbitrage profit of 0.10 .

Now consider these
prices:

## Contract

## June 70 put

## Underlying contract <br> 

## If the June 70 put is

 American, everyone will want to buy the put for 4.80 , buy the underlying contract for 65.00, and immediately exercise the option. The resulting cash flow will be

There is an arbitrage profit of 0.20 .

We can conclude from
these examples that
an
American
option
should
never trade for less than intrinsic value. If it does, everyone will buy the option, hedge the position with the
We can express the lower arbitrage boundary for an American option as

where $X$ is the exercise price, and $S$ is the price of the underlying contract.

We have included the qualifier at least for both calls and puts because, as we will see, the lower arbitrage boundary for an American option may in fact be greater than intrinsic value. For the present, we will simply say that it cannot be less than intrinsic value.

## To determine the lower

arbitrage boundary for
a European option, we can use

# put-call parity 

## $C-P=\frac{F-X}{1+r \times t}$

The lowest possible price for a put is 0 , so the lower arbitrage boundary for a European call must be

where $F$ is either the price of an underlying futures
contract or the forward price for an underlying stock.

For a futures option, the lower arbitrage boundary for a call is the present value of the intrinsic value. This means that if European options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement, the lower arbitrage boundary will always be less than intrinsic value because the present value must be less than
intrinsic value.
For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Futures price }= \\
& 1,167.00 \\
& \text { Time to } \\
& \text { expiration }=6 \\
& \text { months } \\
& \text { Interest rate }= \\
& 4.00 \text { percent }
\end{aligned}
$$

## If options are subject to

 stock-type settlement, the lower arbitrage boundary for
## the 1,100 call is



## Even though the intrinsic

 value is 67.00, the lower arbitrage boundary is 65.69 . For stock options, if we replace $F$ with the forward price for the stock and we ignore interest on dividends, the lower arbitrage boundary for a European call is
# A stock option call cannot 

 trade for less than the stock price minus the discounted value of the exercise price less dividends. This means that the lower arbitrage boundary for an out-of-themoney stock option call can be greater than 0 . For example,$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Stock price } \quad=49.50 \\
& \text { Time to expination }=6 \text { months } \\
& \text { Interesstrate }=4,00 \text { percent } \\
& \text { Dividend } \quad=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## A 50 call, even though it is

 out of the money, has a lower arbitrage boundary of

If the call is trading for less than 0.48 , say, 0.40 , we can
buy the call, sell the stock, and exercise the call at expiration. The cash flows will be


This is exactly the
difference between the call
price of 0.40 and the lower arbitrage boundary of 0.48 . In this example, we can be certain of an arbitrage profit of at least 0.08 because we know that we can close out the position at expiration by exercising the call, thereby purchasing the stock back at a price no higher than 50. Suppose, however, that the stock price at expiration is less than 50. Instead of

# exercising the call, we can purchase the stock at its market price. This will result 

 in an even greater profit than 0.08 . The lower arbitrage boundary tells us the price below which there is an arbitrage opportunity and at the same time determines the minimum amount that can be made. The maximum amount can be even greater if at expiration the stock is trading at a price below the exerciseprice.
What is the lower
arbitrage boundary for the 50 call if it is an American option? We might assume that it must be 0 because the option is out of the money, and no one would ever exercise an out-of-the-money option. But early exercise is a right, not an obligation. We can convert an American option into a European option
simply by choosing not to exercise it early. The lower arbitrage boundary for an American option is therefore at least intrinsic value. If the lower arbitrage boundary for an equivalent European option is greater than intrinsic value, as it is in this example, then this number also serves as the lower arbitrage
boundary for the American option:

American call $\geq$ maximum $[0$,

$$
S-X,(F-X) /(1+r \times t)]
$$

In this example, the lower arbitrage boundary for the 50 call is 0.48 regardless of
whether the option European or American. Let's change our example slightly:

Stock price $=$
49.50

Time

# expiration $=6$ months 

Interest rate $=$ 4.00 percent Dividend 0.65 payable every three months (total dividend of 1.30)

What is the lower arbitrage boundary for a European 45 call?

## If the call is American, its

 intrinsic value $(49.50-45=$ 4.50 ) is greater than the European value of 4.08. Therefore, the lower arbitrage boundary for an American 45 call is 4.50 .$$
\text { By reversing } F \text { and } X \text {, }
$$

we can use put-call parity to determine the lower arbitrage boundary for a European put

## As with a futures option

 call, the lower arbitrage boundary for a European put is the present value of the intrinsic value.For stock options, we
can replace $F$ with the stock forward price, giving us the lower arbitrage boundary for a put

# A stock option put cannot 

## trade for less than the

 discounted value of the exercise price minus the stock price plus dividends.Stock price $=$
49.50

Time
expiration $=6$
months

# Interest rate $=$ 4.00 percent Dividend $=0$ 

## The lower arbitrage

 boundary for a European 50 put must be 0 because American, the lower arbitrage boundary will be the option's

## intrinsic value of 0.50

## Because we can always

 turn an American put into a European put simply by choosing not to exercise it, the lower arbitrage boundary for an American put isAmerican put $\geq$ maximum $[0$, $X-S,(X-F) /(1+r \times t)]$

## Because <br> the <br> lower

arbitrage
boundary
for
European
options
is
a

## function of time, interest

 rates, and, in the case of stock, dividends, as time passes, the boundary is constantly changing. For futuresoptions that
are subject to stock-type settlement, the boundary is always rising because the present value is always rising toward intrinsic value. For stock options, however, the boundary may rise or fall
depending on whether the
forward price is greater than or less than the cash price. If the forward price is greater than the cash price (interest is greater than dividends), the lower arbitrage boundary will rise for calls and fall for puts. If the forward price is less than the cash price (interest is less than dividends), the boundary will fall for calls and rise for puts. A graphic representation these
changes
is shown
in
Figures

## 16-1 through 16-4.

Figure 16-1 Lower arbitrage boundary for a European call on futures (stock-type settlement).


# Figure 16-2 Lower arbitrage boundary for a European put on futures (stock-type settlement). 



# Figure 16-3 Lower arbitrage boundary for a European call on stock. 

As time passes, the boundary moves toward itrinsic value.
Lower abitragb boundary

# Figure 16-4 Lower arbitrage boundary for a European put on stock. 



# If the lower arbitrage 

 boundary for a European option is less than intrinsic value, a European option can, in some cases, be worth less than intrinsic value. When this occurs, as time passes, the value of the option will rise toward intrinsic value. As a consequence, the option will have a positive theta. This wasChapter 7 $\begin{aligned} & \text { discussed in } \\ & \text { and shown }\end{aligned}$

## graphically in Figure 7-9.

## Although <br> traders <br> are

primarily interested in the lower exercise boundary for an option, for completeness, we might also want to determine the upper arbitrage boundary for an option.
Because
the underlying contract cannot fall below 0 , the upper arbitrage boundary for an American put, whether on futures or stock, must be
the exercise price. For a European put, which is subject to stock-type settlement, the upper boundary is the present value of the exercise price

> put $\leq$
> $X$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { put } \leq \\
& X /(1 \\
& +r \times
\end{aligned}
$$

## To determine the upper

 arbitrage boundary for a call, we can use put-call parity

We know that the
maximum value for a European put is $X /(1+r \times t)$. Therefore, the maximum value for a European call is

$$
C \leq \frac{F-X}{1+r \times t}+\frac{X}{1+r \times t}=\frac{F}{1+r \times t}
$$

A European call on a futures contract has a maximum value equal to the futures price discounted by interest. If options are subject to futures-type settlement, the maximum value is simply the price of the underlying futures contract.

For a European call on stock, we can replace $F$ with
the forward price for stock $S$ $\times(1+r \times t)-D$

on
Ignoring interest dividends gives us


A European call on stock has a maximum value equal to the stock price less
dividends. An American call has a maximum value equal to the stock price. A summary of arbitrage boundaries is shown in Figure 16-5.

Figure 16-5 Summary of arbitrage boundaries.


## Early Exercise of

Call Options on stock

## Under what <br> conditions

 might we choose to exercise an American call option on stock prior to expiration? To answer this question, let's think about the components that make up the value of a call.
## Clearly, <br> if

exercising
an
considering
option, it must be in the
money. Therefore,
one
component must be intrinsic value. A call also offers some protective value over a stock position because the call's loss is limited by the exercise price. The likelihood that the stock will fall below the exercise price depends on the volatility, so we might refer to this protective value as
volatility value. As volatility rises, we are willing to pay more for the call. The call also includes some interestrate value. As interest rates rise, the call becomes a more desirable substitute for holding a stock position. Finally, there is dividend value. But unlike volatility value and interest value, both of which increase the value of the call, the dividend reduces the
value
of
the
call.

Therefore,

## Call value $=$ intrinsic value +

 volatility value + interest value - dividend valueSuppose that we are able determine the value of each of these components and find that the dividend value is greater than the combined volatility value and interest value

Dividend value $>$ volatility value + interest value

## In this case, the value of

 the call will be less than intrinsic value. And, indeed, European options can, in some cases, trade for less than intrinsic value. But, if the call is American, it becomes an early exercise candidate becausewe
can collect the intrinsic value now by simultaneously exercising

## the call and selling the stock.

 How can we estimate the value of the volatility, interest-rate, and dividend components? The dividend component is simply the total dividend the stock is expected to pay over the life of the option. The interest value must be the interest that we would have to pay if we were to sell the call and buy the stock and carry this positionto expiration. If the call is deeply in the money, its value will be very close to intrinsic value, and the total cash flow will be approximately equal to the exercise price

Intrinsic value $=$ stock price exercise price conclusion by observing that if we exercise the call, we will have to pay the exercise
price. The interest value must be the approximate cost of carrying the exercise price to expiration.

## The

 the stock price falling below the exercise price. The value of the companion put (the put with the same exercise priceand expiration date as the call) must be a good estimate of this value. We know from put-call parity that the vegas of calls and puts with the same exercise price and expiration date are the same -they have the
same sensitivity to changes in volatility. Therefore, their volatility values ought to be the same. 1
For example, consider
the following:

Stock price $=$ 100
Time
expiration $=1$ month

Interest rate $=$ 6.00 percent Dividend 0.75 , payable in 15 days

Is the 90 call an early
exercise candidate if the price of the 90 put is 0.20 ?

We know the dividend value $(0.75)$ and the volatility value $(0.20)$, so the only component we need to calculate is the cost of carrying the exercise price to expiration

$$
90 \times .06 \times 1 / 12=.45
$$

The early exercise criteria are satisfied because

# Dividend value $>$ volatility 

 value + interest value $0.75>$ $0.20+0.45=0.65$ In Figure $16-6$ we canwhy the 90 call has see why the 90 call has
become an early exercise candidate-its European value has fallen below intrinsic value. ${ }^{2}$ If given the choice between exercising now or carrying the option position to expiration, we will come out ahead by 0.10 if we
exercise now.
Figure 16-6


## But are those our only

 two choices-exercise now or not at all? An American option can be exercised at any time prior to expiration. Instead of exercising today, what about exercising the option tomorrow? Or the day after that?Suppose that we exercise today instead of exercising tomorrow. What will we gain, and what will we lose? We

# will lose one day's worth of volatility value. We will also lose one day's worth of 

 interest on the exercise price. In return, we get . . . nothing. We are exercising to get the dividend. But the dividend will not be paid for 15 days. Because we always give up some volatility value and some interest value when we exercise an American calloption
on stock
prior
to
expiration, the only time we
will consider exercising the option early is the day before the stock pays the dividend. On no other day will early exercise be optimal.

## For an American call

 option on stock to be an early exercise candidate, the early exercise criteria must hold true over the entire life of the optionDividend value $>$ volatility
value + interest value

# But, for an option to be an immediate early exercise 

 candidate, this condition must also hold true over the next day. For a call option on stock, the only day on which a trader need consider early exercise is the day before the stock pays a dividend. Indeed, if a stock pays no dividend over the life of the option, there is never any reason to exercise the call prior to expiration.
## Early Exercise of Put

## Options on stock

## Under what conditions

 might we choose to exercise an American put option on stock prior to expiration? Just as we separated the value of a stock option call into its components, we can do the same with a stock option put. Again, we begin with the intrinsic value. To this, wecan add the volatility value the protective value afforded by the put in the event that the stock price rises above the exercise price. There will also be interest value-if we exercise the put, we will collect interest on the exercise price. Finally, there will be some dividend value.

Put value $=$ intrinsic value + volatility value - interest value + dividend value
and dividend value increase the value of the put, while the interest value reduces the put's value. Suppose that we are able to determine the value of each of these components and find that the interest value is greater than the combined volatility value and dividend value

Interest value $>$ volatility value + dividend value

## If this is true, the value of

 the option will be less than intrinsic value. But, if the option is American, it becomes an early exercise candidate because we can collect the intrinsic value right now by exercising the put.> We can estimate the value of these components in the same way we estimated them for a call. The interest
value is the amount of interest we will earn on the exercise price to expiration if we exercise the put. The dividend value is the total dividend the stock is expected to pay over the life of the option. The volatility value is companion out-of-the-money call.

# Consider this situation: 

Stock price

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 \\
& \text { Time } \\
& \text { expiration }=2 \\
& \text { months } \\
& \text { Interest rate }= \\
& 6.00 \text { percent } \\
& \text { Dividend }= \\
& 0.40
\end{aligned}
$$

Is the 120 put an early exercise candidate if the price of the 120 call is 0.55 ?

# value $(0.55)$ and dividend value (0.40). The interest on the exercise price to expiration is 

$$
120 \times .06 \times 1 / 6=1.20
$$

The early exercise criteria are satisfied because

Interest value $>$ volatility value + dividend value $1.20>$

$$
.55+.40=.95
$$

## We can see in Figure 16-

 7 that at a stock price of 100 , the value of the European 120 put falls below intrinsic value, making the put an early exercise candidate. If given the choice between exercising now or carrying the option position to expiration, we will come out ahead by 0.25 if we exercise now. $\underline{3}$Figure 16-7


As with a call, for a put to be an immediate early exercise candidate, the early exercise criteria must hold true not only over the entire life of the option but also over the next day. We will exercise today only if we expect to gain more over the next day through early exercise than we lose. Will this be true for our 120 put?
Suppose that the
dividend of 0.40 will be paid tomorrow. If we exercise today instead of tomorrow, we will gain one day's worth of interest

$$
120 \times 0.06 / 365=0.02
$$

In return, we are giving up one day's worth of volatility value as well as the value of the dividend. Even if we assume that the volatility value is negligible,

# dividend of 0.40 that we will 

lose is far greater than the interest of 0.02 that we will earn. Clearly, we should wait one day before exercising the option, foregoing one day's worth of interest but retaining the value of the dividend.

## Suppose <br> that <br> the

dividend will be paid two
days from
now.
If
we
exercise
today
instead
paid, we will earn two days' worth of interest, 0.04 , but we will still lose the dividend of 0.40. Waiting two days to exercise is still a better strategy. When should we
cise a put early? Because a trader will not want to give up the value of the dividend, the most common day on which to exercise a stock option put early is the day on

# which the stock pays the dividend. But unlike stock 

 option calls, where the only day on which the option ought to be exercised early is the day before the stock pays the dividend, a stock option put might be exercised any time prior to expiration. Early exercise will be optimal if the interest that can be earned is greater than the combined volatility and dividend value.
## Ignoring the volatility

value, we can see that no trader will exercise a put early if the total interest that can be earned is less than the dividend. In our example, where we expect to earn 0.02 in interest per day, early exercise can never be optimal if the dividend will be paid within the next 20 days because

$$
0.40 / .02=20
$$

With fewer than 20 days to the dividend payment, we can never earn enough interest to offset the loss of the dividend. For put options, this blackout period can be easily calculated by dividing the dividend by the daily interest that can be earned on the exercise price. During this period, no knowledgeable trader will exercise a put because the loss of the
dividend will be greater than

## the total interest earned.





## This does not mean that

# a put 

 exercise now, we will earn 30 days' worth of interest, that is, $30 \times 0.02=0.60$. This is greater than the 0.40 value of the dividend. As long as the volatility value over the next 30 days is less than 0.20 , immediate early exercise is a sensible choice.
## Impact of Short

# Stock on Early 

## Exercise

## Interest rates are an

important factor in deciding whether to exercise a stock option early. If we reduce interest rates, calls are more likely to be exercised early (early exercise results in a smaller interest loss), and puts are less likely to be

# exercised early <br> (early exercise results in smaller 

 interest earnings). Because a short stock position entails a lower interest rate (the rate is reduced by the borrowing costs), a trader who has a short stock position is more likely to exercise a call option early. At the same time, a trader who does not already own stock will be less likely to exercise a put option early. This is consistent with the
## general rule that we proposed

 in Chapter 7:$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Whenever } \\
& \text { possible, a } \\
& \text { trader should } \\
& \text { avoid a short } \\
& \text { stock position. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## If a trader is carrying a

 short stock position, exercise of a call will reduce or eliminate this position. If a trader is carrying no stock
# position, exercise of a put 

 will result in a short stock position. In the former case, a call is more likely to be exercised early; in the latter case, a put is less likely to be exercised early.
## Early Exercise of

## Options on Futures

## What happens when we

# exercise a <br> futures <br> option? <br> Exercise <br> of <br> a <br> call option <br> us <br> to 

 underlying futures contract at the exercise price. Exercise of a put option enables us to sell the underlying futures contract at the exercise price. Because the futures contract is subject to futures-type settlement, there willbe
a variation credit equal to the option's intrinsic value, the difference
between
exercise price and the price of the futures contract. If the option is subject to futurestype settlement, exercise will cause the option to disappear, and we will be debited by an amount equal to the option's value. Assuming that the price of the option is equal to its intrinsic value, the credit and debit will cancel out, resulting in no cash flow. Because there is no cash flow,
there
can
be
no
advantage to early exercise. If, however, the option is subject to
stock-type settlement, as is the practice on futures exchanges in the United States, there is no cash flow when the option position disappears. The only cash flow is the variation credit on the futures position, a credit on which we can earn interest.

## For a futures option to

## be an early exercise

 candidate, the option must be subject to stock-type settlement, and the interest that can be earned on the intrinsic value must be greater than the volatility value that we are giving up Interest value $>$ volatility value
## The interest on the option's

 intrinsic value is either$$
(F-X) \times r \times t
$$

## for calls or

$$
(X-F) \times r \times t
$$

for puts.

## As with stock options,

 we can estimate the volatility value of an option by looking at the price of the companion out-of-the-moneyoption. Suppose that we have the following:

# Futures price $=$ 100 <br> Time <br> expiration $=3$ months <br> Interest rate $=$ 8.00 percent 

## Is the 80 call an early

 exercise candidate if the price of the 80 put is $0.15 ?$The interest we can earn
through early exercise is

# $(100-80) \times 0.08 \times 3 / 12=$ 

 0.40
## Because this is greater than

 the volatility value of .15 , the option is an early exercise candidate. If given the choice between exercising now and holding the position to expiration, we will come out ahead by 0.25 if we exercise now. For the option to be an immediate early exercise candidate, it must also meetthe early exercise criteria over the next day. One day's worth of interest must be greater than one day's worth of volatility value.

We can easily calculate one day's worth of interest
$(100-80) \times .08 / 365=0.0044$

How can we calculate one day's worth of volatility value? We know that the price of the companion
option, in this case, the 80 put, is almost all volatility value. As each day passes, the value of the option will fall by one day's worth of volatility value. This
daily loss in value is simply the option's theta.
determining the theta of the companion out-of-the-money option, we can estimate one day's worth of volatility value. Unlike the other calculations, this will require

## the use of a theoretical

 pricing model. Using the Black-Scholes model, we find that the implied volatility of the 80 put is 24.68 percent. At this implied volatility, the option's theta is -0.0046 , slightly greater (in absolute value) than the daily interest. If we exercise the 80 call today instead of tomorrow, we will gain 0.0044 in
## interest, but we will lose

 0.0046 in volatility value. Because we will lose more than we gain, the option is not an immediate early exercise candidate.When
should
we
the
80
call?
that
the
early
exercise
Assuming
exercise criteria are met over the entire life of the option, we will want to exercise when the daily volatility
value is less than the daily interest. In our example, we will want to exercise when the option's theta is less than .0044. Using the BlackScholes model, we
can estimate that this will occur in four days, at which time the theta of the 80 put will be 0.0043 .4

Not exercising an option to retain the theta value may seem counterintuitive. If we

## do not exercise the 80 call

 and the price of the futures contract does not move, we not only lose one day's worth of interest, but we also lose one day's worth of theta. But this is true only if the price of the futures contract does not move. If the futures contract does move, the fact that wehave
a positive
gamma

# position <br> will <br> work in <br> our <br> favor. <br> If the <br> movement is <br> large enough, we will prefer 

## to hold the option position

 rather than a futures position. In an extreme case, if the futures contract were to fall below 80 , we would clearly prefer the option position because of the protective value offered by the 80 call. How likely is it that we will get sufficient movement in the futures price over the next day to justify holding the 80 call rather than exercising it? This is one day's worth ofvolatility value-the theta of the 80 put.

## For an American option

that might be an early exercise candidate, we have considered two choices hold the option or exercise the option. There is also a third choice-sell the option and replace it with a position in the underlying contract. The result is equivalent to exercising the option because

## both strategies result in the

 option position being replaced by an underlying position.
## When does selling an

 option rather than exercising make sense? When we decide to exercise an American option prior to expiration, we have, in effect, concluded that the value of the option is equal to its intrinsic value. If the price of the option in themarketplace is exactly intrinsic value, there is no difference between exercising the option or selling the option and replacing it with an underlying position. If, however, the option is trading at $a$ price greater than intrinsic value, factor, the best choice will always be to sell the option and replace it with a position in the underlying contract. As
a practical matter, however, selling an option that is an early exercise candidate will usually not be a viable alternative. If the option is deeply enough in the money to justify early exercise, the market for the option will be relatively illiquid. Under these conditions, the bid-ask spread is likely to be so wide that any sale will almost certainly have to be done at a price that is no greater than

## intrinsic value.

## Protective Value and

## Early Exercise

When we exercise an option prior to expiration, we are giving up the protective value afforded by the option's exercise price. If the price of the underlying contract were to fall through the exercise
price in the case of a call or rise through the exercise price in the case of a put, we would always prefer the option position to an underlying position. To better understand the consequences of giving up this protective value, let's go back to an earlier stock option example, but with the dividend payable tomorrow:

Stock price 100

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Time to } \\
& \text { expiration }=1 \\
& \text { month } \\
& \text { Interest rate }= \\
& 6.00 \text { percent } \\
& \text { Dividend }= \\
& 0.75, \text { payable } \\
& \text { tomorrow }
\end{aligned}
$$

## If the 90 put is trading at

 0.20 , we know that the 90 call is an immediate early exercise candidate becauseDividend value $>$ volatility value + interest value $.75>$

$$
0.20+.45=.65
$$

## If we exercise the 90 call,

 the result is that we will have no option position, but we will have a long position in the underlying stock. This is the same position that would result had we sold the option and bought the stock. However, if we sell a call and buy the underlying, this issynthetically equivalent to selling a put. In a sense, exercising the 90 call is the same as selling the 90 put. What will cause us to regret selling the 90 put? Whether we sell the 90 put or exercise the 90 call early, in both cases, we will regret our choice if the stock price is below 90 at expiration.

## If exercising the 90 call

 is the same as selling the 90put, we might ask, if we exercise the 90 call, at what price are we selling the 90 put? Because

$$
.75>0.20+0.45=0.65
$$

we can see that we will gain .10 by exercising the 90 call. This must mean that we have sold the 90 put at a price that is .10 better than its market price of 0.20 . Therefore, exercising the 90
call is equivalent to selling the 90 put at a price of 0.30 . How can a trader who believes that early exercise is indicated protect himself from the possibility of the underlying contract going through the exercise price? The solution is simple: at the same time the trader exercises an option, he can purchase the companion out-of-themoney option.

# example, <br> if <br> the <br> trader exercises the 90 call and simultaneously buys the 90 

 put at a price of 0.20 , he will have the same protection afforded by the 90 call, but at a cost that is 0.10 lower. Whether the trader actually chooses to purchase the 90 put is a decision that he will have to make based on his assessment of market conditions. Ifthe trader believes
volatility is low, a price of 0.20 will seem cheap, and he ought to be happy to purchase the 90 put. If implied volatility is high, a price of 0.20 will seem expensive, and the trader will look for some other way of controlling his downside risk.

Pricing of American Options

## Our discussion thus far has

 focused on why and when an American option might be exercised prior to expiration. But we also want to consider the question of pricing. How much is an American option worth? Unless interest rates are 0 and there are no dividend considerations, an American option should always be worth more than an equivalent European option. But how much more?
# The <br> Black-Scholes 

model makes no attempt to evaluate American options because it is a European pricing model. When the Chicago Board Options Exchange opened in 1973, the first listed stock options were American. In spite of this, traders continued to use the Black-Scholes model for several
years
because
no
model of equal simplicity
existed for American options.

Traders tried to approximate American values by making adjustments to Black-
Scholes-generated values.
For example, when a
stock is expected to pay a dividend, an American call value can be approximated by comparing the Black-Scholes value of the call option under two circumstances:

1. The call expires
the day before the
stock goes exdividend.
2. The call expires on its customary date, but the underlying stock price
used to evaluate the call is the current price less the expected dividend.

Whichever value is greater is the pseudo-American call

## value.

## In the case of options on

## futures or put options <br> on

 stock, traders used Black-Scholes-generated values but raised any option with a theoretical value less than parity to exactly parity. Unfortunately, neither of these methods resulted in a truly accurate value for an American option.The first widely used
model to evaluate American options was introduced in 1979 by John Cox of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Stephen Ross of Yale University, and Mark Rubinstein of the University of California at Berkeley. 5 Unlike the Black-Scholes model, which is closed form and therefore returns a single option value, the Cox-RossRubinstein, or binomial,
model is an algorithm or loop. The more times the model passes through the loop, the closer it comes to the true value of an American option. The Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model is relatively easy to understand, both intuitively and mathematically, and is the most common method by which students are introduced to option pricing theory. (We will take a closer look at
binomial option pricing in

# Chapter 19.) However, 

 generate an acceptable value. In an effort to reduce the computational time required by the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model, in 1987, Giovanni Barone-AdesiAmerican options. $\frac{6}{}$ Although the Barone-Adesi-Whaley, or quadratic, model is more complex mathematically, it converges to an acceptable value for American options much more quickly than the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model. The Barone-Adesi-Whaley model has the limitation of treating all cash flows as if they were interest payments that accumulate at a constant
rate. Dividends, however, are paid all in one lump sum, and for this reason, the Cox-RossRubinstein model is more often used to evaluate options on dividend-paying stocks.

## In addition to generating

values for American options,
both
the
Cox-Ross-
Rubinstein
and
Barone-

Adesi-Whaley models specify when early exercise of an American option is optimal.

Although we were somewhat subjective on this point in our earlier discussion, using a true American pricing model, an option is optimally exercised early when its theoretical value is exactly to parity and its delta is exactly 100.

The extent to which American and European option values differ depends on many factors, including
time to expiration, volatility, interest rates, and, in the case of stock options, the amount of the dividend. The likelihood of early exercise will increase, and with it the difference between American and European values, as the option goes more deeply into the money. We can see this in Figure $16-8$, the value of a 90 call on stock where

Figure 16-8 Theoretical value of a

## 90 call.

> Time to expiration $=7$ weeks
> Interest rate =
> 6.00 percent Dividend $=$ 1.00, payable in 4 weeks Volatility $=25$ percent

As the underlying stock price rises from 90 to 110 , the
call moves from out of the money, with a very small likelihood of early exercise, to in the money, with a very high likelihood. Figure 16-9 shows the net difference in values not only at a volatility of 25 percent but also at volatilities of 15 and 35 percent. At a higher volatility, the difference in values is smaller because the American

[^1]
# volatility, the difference is 

 greater because the call is more likely to be exercised early. In all cases, as the option goes more deeply into the money, the difference approaches 0.67 , the amount of the dividend less the interest cost of purchasing the stock at 90 the day before the dividend is paid and carrying the position to expirationFigure 16-9 Difference between the theoretical value of an American and

# European 90 call (American value less European value). 



# $1.00-(90 \times 0.06 \times 22 / 365) \approx$ 

$$
0.67
$$

Now consider the value of a 110 put under the same conditions. As with a call, the more deeply the put goes into the money, the greater the difference between the American value and the European value. This can be seen in Figure 16-10. The net difference under threevolatility assumptions
less likely to be exercised
early. At a lower volatility, the difference is greater because the put is more likely to be exercised early. In all cases, as the option goes more deeply into the money, the difference approaches 0.38 , the amount of interest
that can be earned on the exercise price for the three weeks remaining to expiration following payment of the dividend

Figure 16-10 Theoretical value of a 110 put.


Figure 16-11 Difference between the theoretical value of an American and European 110 put (American value less European value).


# $110 \times 0.06 \times 21 / 365 \approx 0.38$ 

## In our discussion of

 synthetics, we noted that for European stock options, the delta values of a call and put with the same exercise price and expiration date always add up to 100. But, for American options, the deltas can add up to more than 100 . This is because the delta of an in-the-money American
# option goes to 100 more quickly than an equivalent 

 European option. At the same time, the companion out-of-the-money option still retains some delta value. As a result, if we calculate the delta of the synthetic underlying (long call and short put) by adding the American call delta and subtracting the American put delta, we find that the deltas add up to mre $16-12$ than 100. Figure 16-12 shows the

American delta values for the 100 synthetic under the same conditions as in our preceding example:

Figure 16-12 Delta of the 100 synthetic (100 call delta - 100 put delta) if all options are American.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Time to } \\
& \text { expiration }=7 \\
& \text { weeks } \\
& \text { Interest rate = } \\
& 6.00 \text { percent } \\
& \text { Dividend }= \\
& 1.00, \text { payable } \\
& \text { in } 4 \text { weeks } \\
& \text { Volatility }=25 \\
& \text { percent }
\end{aligned}
$$

# Higher volatility tends to reduce the <br> differences 

between American and European options, so the delta of the synthetic will remain closer to 100 .

## Because delta values are

 affected by the likelihood of early exercise, arbitrage strategies such as conversions and reversals, boxes, and rolls, which may be delta neutral ifall
options
are
European, may not be delta neutral if the options are

American. Although these strategies may deviate from delta neutral only by a small amount, the fact that they are often done in large sizes can result in additional risk that a trader should not ignore.
An
American
pricing
is
necessary
model
use of a pricing model. For example, suppose we know the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Time } \\
& \text { expiration }= \\
& 24 \text { days } \\
& \text { Interest rate }= \\
& 6.00 \text { percent } \\
& \text { Dividend }= \\
& 0.60 \text {, payable } \\
& \text { in } 9 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

What should be the value

# of a $100 / 110$ box if all 

 options are American? To answer this question, we can first evaluate an equivalent European box. Then we can adjust the box value depending on which options might be exercised early.The value of the

European box is simply the present value of the amount between exercise prices

## $110-100$

$\overline{1+0.06 \times 24 / 265}$

## Now we can consider the various possibilities for early exercise:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Case 1: Both the } \\
& 100 \text { and } 110 \text { put } \\
& \text { are exercised early. } \\
& \text { The puts will be } \\
& \text { exercised the day } \\
& \text { the dividend is } \\
& \text { paid. The box value }
\end{aligned}
$$

# $9.96+(10 \times 0.06 \times 15 / 365)=$ 

$$
9.96+0.025=9.985
$$

Case 2: Both the
100 and 110 call are exercised early. The calls will be exercised the day before the dividend is paid. The box

# value will increase 

 by the interest earned on 10.00 for 16 days
# $9.96+(10 \times 0.06 \times 16 / 365)=$ 

$$
9.96+0.026=9.986
$$

Case 3: Only the
110 put is exercised early. The box value will increase by the interest earned on 110 for

## 15 days

# $9.96+(110 \times 0.06 \times 15 / 365)$ $=9.96+0.271=10.231$ 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Case 4: Only the } \\
& 100 \text { call is } \\
& \text { exercised early. } \\
& \text { The box value will } \\
& \text { increase by the } \\
& \text { amount of the } \\
& \text { dividend less the } \\
& \text { interest cost on } 100 \\
& \text { for } 16 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

# $9.96+0.60-(100 \times 0.06 \times$ 

$$
\begin{aligned}
16 / 365)= & 9.96+0.60-0.263 \\
& =10.297
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 5: Both the

$$
100 \text { call and } 110
$$

put are exercised
early. The box value will increase by the amount of the dividend plus the interest earned on 110 for 15 days less the interest cost

## on 100 for 16 days

$$
\begin{gathered}
9.96+0.60+(110 \times 0.06 \times \\
15 / 365)-(100 \times 0.06 \times \\
16 / 365)=9.96+0.60+0.271 \\
-0.263=10.568
\end{gathered}
$$

At very low stock prices, where both puts are early exercise candidates, and at very high stock prices, where both calls are early exercise candidates, the box will have a value close to 9.99 . If one

## option, either the 100 call or

 110 put, is an early exercise candidate, the value of the box will be somewhere between 10.23 and 10.30 . Finally, the box will have its maximum value of approximately 10.57 if both the 100 call and the 110 put are early exercise candidates. This will occur if both options are in the money, most likely with the stock price close to 105 . Volatilitymust also be low because in a high-volatility market, no one will want to give up an option's volatility value by exercising early. The value of the $100 / 110$ box at different stock prices and under three different assumptions is shown in Figure 16-13.

Figure 16-13 Value of a 100/110 box if all options are American.


## The difference between

## European and American

 values is usually greatest foroptions
stocks.
on dividend-paying
options
subject
if th
to
But even futures
if the options are
settlement,
additional early
stock-type value. We can see this in Figure 16-14, the value of a 90 call on a futures contract, where

Figure 16-14 Theoretical value of a 90 call on a futures contract where the option is subject to stock-type settlement.


# Time expiration $=3$ months <br> Interest rate $=$ 8.00 percent Volatility $=25$ percent 

## The difference between the

 European and American option values is shown in Figure 16-15. Unlike a stock option, where there is amaximum difference, the difference for options on futures continues to increase as the option goes further into the money. This is because the early exercise value depends on the interest that can be earned on the option's intrinsic value. And the more deeply in the money, the greater the intrinsic value. In our example, with the underlying futures contract trading at 110, the additional
early exercise value for the 90 call will approach the interest that can be earned on the intrinsic value

Figure 16-15 Difference between the theoretical value of an American and European 90 call on a futures contract where the options are subject to stocktype settlement (American value European value).


$$
\begin{gathered}
(110-90) \times 0.08 \times 3 / 12= \\
0.40
\end{gathered}
$$

## Regardless of the model

 a trader chooses, the accuracy of model-generated values will depend at least as much on the inputs into the model as on the theoretical accuracy of the model itself. If a trader evaluates an American option using an incorrect volatility, an incorrect interest rate, oran incorrect underlying price, the fact that he derives his values from an American rather than a European model is likely to make little difference. Both models will generate incorrect values because the inputs are incorrect. The American model may produce less error, but that will be small consolation if the incorrect inputs lead to a large trading loss.

# The importance of early 

 exercise is greatest when there is a significant difference between the cost of carrying an option position and the cost of carrying a position in the underlying contract. This difference can be relatively large in the stock option market, where the cash outlay required to buy stock is much greater than the cash $\begin{array}{ll}\text { outlay } & \text { required to buy } \\ \text { options. } & \text { Moreover, dividend }\end{array}$considerations will also affect the cost of carrying a stock position compared with the cost of carrying an option position. A trader in a stock option market will usually find that the additional accuracy afforded by an American model will indeed be worthwhile.

> In futures options markets, where the options are subject to futures-type
settlement, there is no cost of carry associated with either options or the underlying futures contract. In this case, a European pricing model will suffice because there is no difference between European and American option values. Even if options on futures are subject to stock-type settlement, there is a relatively small cost associated with carrying an
option position because the
price of the option is small compared with the price of the underlying futures contract. The additional value for early exercise is therefore small and is only likely to be a consideration for very deeply in-the-money options. Practical considerations, such as the accuracy of the trader's volatility estimate, his ability to anticipate directional
trends
in
the
underlying
market, and his ability to
control risk through effective spreading strategies, will far outweigh any small advantage gained by using an American rathe
European model. ${ }^{7}$

## Early Exercise

## Strategies

## Early exercise of an option

is a right rather than an
obligation, and there are strategies that depend on someone making an error and not exercising an option early when it ought to be exercised. For example, consider this situation:

> Stock price $=$ 98.75 Time expiration $=5$ days
Dividend

# 1.00, payable tomorrow 

## Suppose that there is a 90

 call that is American and ought to be exercised today in order not to lose the dividend of 1.00. If this is true, the option ought to be worth approximately parity, or 8.75 . Suppose that a trader is able to sell a 90 call for 8.75 and at the same time buy 100 shares of stock for 98.75.Because the 90 call ought to be exercised today, the trader probably will be assigned, requiring him to sell the stock at 90 . If this occurs, excluding transaction costs, the trader will break even:


But suppose that the trader
is not assigned on the 90 call. If the stock opens unchanged, its new price will be 97.75 (the stock price of 98.75 less the dividend of 1.00 ). Because the call is trading at parity, it will open at approximately 7.75. The trader will show a loss of 1.00 on the stock and a profit of 1.00 on the 90 call. But the trader, because he owns the stock, will also receive the dividend. Excluding

## transaction costs, the profit

 for the entire position will be equal to the dividend of 1.00 . In a dividend play, as the ex-dividend day approaches, a trader will try to sell deeply in-the-money calls and simultaneously buy an equal amount of stock. If the trader is assigned on the calls, as he should be, he will essentially break even. But, if he is not assigned, he willshow
a
profit approximately equal to the amount of the dividend. What is the likelihood of the trader being assigned? Because assignment for most exchange-traded options is random, one determinant is the amount of open interest in the call that was sold. The more outstanding call options, the lower the likelihood of assignment. A second determinant is the
relative sophistication of the
market-whether most market participants
early exercise. Dividend
to take advantage of such a possibility. Even then, he may find that he is assigned on the great majority of calls he has sold. A trader also might attempt to execute an interest play by selling stock and simultaneously selling deeply in-the-money American puts that ought to be exercised early. If exercised, the trader will
profit by the amount of the interest he can earn on the exercise price (the proceeds of the stock sale and the put sale combined). This profit will continue
to
accrue
as long as the puts remain unexercised. If the puts are exercised, the trader does no worse than break even. Again, only a professional trader, with low transaction costs, is likely to attempt such a strategy.

If options are subject to stock-type settlement,
an interest play can also be done in a futures option market by either purchasing a futures contract and simultaneously selling a deeply in-the-money call or selling a futures contract and simultaneously selling a deeply in-the-money put. If the option is deeply enough in the money, it ought to be exercised early. But, if the option
remains

# unexercised, the trader will 

 continue to earn interest on the proceeds from the option sale. Because the amount on which the trader will earn interest is approximately the intrinsic value (the difference between the exercise price and futures price), this will not be as profitable as a similar strategy in the stock option market where thetrader will earn interest on the exercise price. Still, if the

# transaction costs are low 

 enough, worthwhile.
## Instead of entering into

 an early exercise strategy by selling options and trading the underlying contract, a trader may also be able to execute the strategy by trading deeply in-the-money call or put spreads. In our dividend-play example, the trader sold 90 calls and
# bought stock. Suppose that both the 85 call and the 90 

 call ought to be exercised to avoid losing the dividend. If this is true, the $85 / 90$ call spread ought to be worth 5.00 , exactly the difference between exercise prices. One might assume that if requested, a market maker will quote a bid price for this spread below 5.00, perhaps 4.90 , and an ask price for the spread above 5.00, perhaps5.10. In fact, a market maker might quote an identical bid and ask price of 5.00 . This may seem illogical, quoting the same bid and ask price, but consider what will happen if the market maker is able to either buy or sell the spread at a price of 5.00 . If the market maker buys the spread (i.e., buy the 85 call, sell the 90 call), he will immediately exercise the 85

# call, thereby purchasing stock. He has effectively 

## entered

dividend
originally into play
the
same
short call, long stock). If he is not assigned on the 90 call, he will again profit by the amount of the dividend. If, instead, the market maker sells the spread (i.e., sell the 85 call, buy the 90 call), he will immediately exercise the 90 call. Now he has executed

## the dividend <br> play

purchasing stock and selling the 85 call. If he is not assigned on the 85 call, he will again profit by the amount of the dividend. The market maker is willing to give up the edge on the bidask spread in return for the potential profit that will result if the short options unexercised.

## Early Exercise Risk

## How concerned should a

 trader be that an option that he has sold will be exercised early? "What will happen if I am suddenly assigned?" Early assignment can sometimes result in a loss. But there are many factors that can cause a trader to lose money; early exercise is only one such factor. A trader should be
## prepared to deal with the

 possibility of early exercise, just as he should be prepared to deal with the possibility of movement in the price of the underlying contract or the possibility of changes in implied volatility. Margin requirements established by the clearinghouses often require a trader to keep sufficient funds in his account to cover the possibility ofearly assignment. But this is
not always true. If the trader is short deeply in-the-money options, an early assignment notice may cause a cash squeeze. If this happens, he will need sufficient capital to
cover
Otherwise, he may be forced to liquidate some or all of the remaining position. And forced invariably losing
propositions.

## In spite of the risk of

 early assignment, it should rarely come as a surprise. A trader need only ask himself, "If I owned this option, would I logically exercise it now?" If the answer is yes then the trader ought to be prepared for assignment. If the answer is no and the trader is still assigned, it is probably good for the trader. It means that someone has mistakenly abandoned theoption's interest or volatility value. When that happens, the trader who is assigned will find that he is the recipient of an unexpected gift.
${ }^{1}$ Although the companion put also has some interest and dividend value, these components will tend to be small. Changing interest rates or dividends will cause the forward price to change, which is similar to changing the underlying price. But the put, with its small delta, will be relatively insensitive to these changes. Consequently, the out-of-the-money put has only a small interest-rate and dividend value. There is no sensitivity measure for dividends, but we can confirm that the put is relatively insensitive to changes in interest rates by noting that an out-of-the-money option has a small rho value compared with an in-the-money option.
${ }^{2}$ Figure $16-6$ is clearly not drawn to scale. The point at which the European lower arbitrage boundary graph bends appears to be halfway between 90 and 100. The actual point is $X /(1+r \times t)+$ $D=90 /(1+0.06 / 12)+0.75=90.30$. $\underline{3}$ Figure 16-7, like Figure 16-6, is not drawn to scale. The point at which the European lower arbitrage boundary graph bends is $X /(1+r \times t)+D=$ $120 /(1+0.06 / 6)+0.40=119.21$.
$\underline{4}$ The term fugit is sometimes used to refer to the number of days remaining until an option becomes an immediate early exercise candidate.
$\underline{5}$ John C. Cox, Stephen A. Ross, and Mark Rubinstein, "Option Pricing: A

Simplified Approach," Journal of Financial Economics 7:229-263, 1979.

Giovanni Baron-Adesi and Robert Whaley, "Efficient Analytic Approximation of American Option Values," Journal of Finance 42(2):301320, 1987.
$\underline{7}$ Early exercise considerations may also be important in a foreign-exchange market if the interest rates associated with the domestic currency (the currency in which the option is settled) and foreign currency (the currency to be delivered in the event of exercise) are significantly different.


## Hedging with

## Options

Futures and options were originally introduced
as insurance contracts, enabling market participants to transfer the risk of holding a position in the underlying instrument

## from one party to another.

 But unlike a futures contract, which essentially transfers all the risk, an option transfers only part of the risk. In this respect, an option acts much more like a traditional insurance policy than does a futures contract.Even though options
were originally intended to function as insurance policies, option markets have
evolved to the point where, in most markets, hedgers (those wanting to protect an existing position)
make up
only a small portion
of
market
participants. including Other traders, arbitrageurs, speculators, and spreaders, typically hedgers. Nevertheless, hedgers important
still
force marketplace, and any active
in the market participant ought to be
aware of the strategies a hedger might use to protect a position.

Many hedgers come to the marketplace as either natural longs or natural shorts. Through the course of normal business activity, they will profit from either a rise or fall in the price of some underlying instrument. The producer of a commodity is a natural long; if the price of
the commodity rises, the producer will receive more when
user
of a commodity is a natural short; if the price of the commodity falls, the user will have to pay less for it when he buys in the marketplace. In the
same way, lenders and borrowers are natural longs and shorts in terms of interest rates. A rise in interest rates will help lenders and hurt borrowers. A
decline in interest rates will have the opposite effect. Other potential hedgers come to the marketplace because they have voluntarily chosen to take a long or short position and now wish to lay off part or all of the risk of that position. A speculator in a commodity may have taken a long or short position but wishes to temporarily reduce the risk associated with an
outright long or short position. A fund manager may hold a portfolio of stocks but believes that the value of the portfolio may decline in the short term. If so, it may be less expensive to temporarily hedge the stocks with options or futures than to sell the stocks and buy them back at a later date. As with insurance, there is a cost to hedging. The cost

## may be immediately apparent

 in the form of a cash outlay. But the cost may also be more subtle, either in terms of lost profit opportunity or in terms of additional risk under some circumstances. Every hedging decision iS a tradeoff: what is the hedger willing to give up under one set of market conditions in return for protection under a different set of market conditions. A hedger with along position who wants to protect his downside will almost certainly have to give up something on the upside; a hedger with a short position who wants to protect his upside will have to give up something on the downside.

## Protective Calls and

## Puts

The simplest way to hedge an underlying position using options is to purchase either a put to protect a long position or a call to protect a short position. In each case, if the market moves adversely, the hedger is insulated from any loss beyond the exercise price. The difference between the exercise price and the current price of the underlying is similar to the
deductible portion of an
insurance policy. The price of the option is similar to the premium that one has to pay for the insurance policy.
Consider an American firm that expects to take delivery of $€ 1$ million worth of German goods in six months. If the contract requires payment in euros at the time of delivery, the American firm has acquired a short position in euros against

## U.S. dollars. If over the next

 six months the euro rises against the dollar, the goods will cost more in dollars; if the euro falls, the goods will cost less. If the euro is currently trading at 1.35 (\$1.35 per euro) and remains there for the next six months, the cost to the American firm will be $\$ 1,350,000$. If, however, at delivery the euro has risen to 1.45 ( $\$ 1.45$ per euro), the cost to theAmerican firm will be
\$1,450,000.
The American firm can
offset the risk it has acquired by purchasing a call option on euros, for example, a 1.40 call. For a complete hedge, the underlying contract will be $€ 1$ million, and the option will have an expiration date corresponding to the date on which payment is required. If the value of the euro begins
to rise against the U.S. dollar, the firm will have to pay a higher price than expected when it takes delivery of the goods in six months. But the price it will have to pay for euros can never be greater than 1.40. If the price is greater than 1.40 at expiration, the firm will simply exercise its
call, effectively purchasing euros at 1.40 . If the price of euros is less than 1.40 at expiration,
the firm will let the option expire worthless because it will be cheaper to purchase euros in the open market. When used to hedge interest-rate risk, protective options are sometimes referred to as caps and floors. A firm that borrows funds at a variable interest rate has a short interest-rate position falling interest rates will reduce its cost of borrowing,
while rising interest rates will increase its costs. To cap the upside risk, the firm can purchase an interest-rate call, thereby establishing

# maximum amount it will have 

 to pay for borrowed funds. No matter how high interest rates rise, the borrower will never have to pay more than the cap's exercise price. An institution that lends funds at a variable interestrate has a long interest-rate position-rising interest rates will increase its returns, while falling interest rates will reduce its returns. To set a floor on its downside risk, the institution can purchase an interest-rate put, thereby establishing a minimum amount it will receive for loaned funds. No matter how low interest rates fall, the lender will never receive less than the floor's exercise
price.
A hedger who chooses
to purchase a call to protect a short position or a put to protect a long position has risk limited by the exercise price of the option. At the same time, the hedger still maintains open-ended profit potential. If the underlying market moves in the hedger's favor, he can let the option expire and take advantage of
the position in the open market. If, in our example, the euro falls to 1.25 at the time of delivery, the firm will simply let the 1.40 call expire unexercised. At the same time, the firm will purchase $€ 1$ million for $\$ 1,250,000$, resulting in a windfall of $\$ 100,000$.

There is a cost involved in buying insurance in the form of a protective call or
put, namely, the price of the option. The cost of the insurance is commensurate with the amount of protection afforded by the option. If the price of a six-month 1.40 call is 0.02 , the firm will pay an extra $\$ 20,000 \quad(0.02 \times 1$ million) no matter what happens. A call option with a higher exercise price will cost less, but it also offers less protection in the form of an additional deductible amount.

## If the firm chooses <br> to

 purchase a 1.45 call trading at .01 , the cost for this insurance will only be $\$ 10,000(0.01 \times$ 1 million), but the firm will have to bear any loss up to a euro price of 1.45. Only above 1.45 is the firm fully protected. In the same way, a lower-exercise-price call will offer additional protection but at a higher price. A 1.35 call will protect the firm against any rise above 1.35 , but if theprice of the call is 0.04 , the purchase of this protection will add an additional $\$ 40,000(0.04 \times 1$ million $)$ to the final cost.

## The cost of purchasing a

 protective option and theinsurance afforded by the strategy are shown in Figures 17-1 (protective put) and $17-2$ (protective call). Because each strategy combines an underlying position with a
long option position, it follows from Chapter 14 that the resulting protected position is a synthetic long option

Figure 17-1 Long an underlying position and long a protective put.


## Figure 17-2 Short an underlying

 position and long a protective call.

# Short underlying + long call $\approx$ 

 synthetic long put Long underlying + long put $\approx$ synthetic long callA hedger who buys a put to protect a long underlying position has effectively created a long call position at the same exercise price. A hedger who buys a call to $\begin{array}{lll}\text { protect } & \text { a } & \text { short } \\ \text { position } & \text { has } & \text { effectively }\end{array}$
created long put position. In our example, if the firm purchases a 1.40 call to protect a short euro position, the combined position (i.e., short underlying, long call) is equivalent to owning a 1.40 put. Which protective option should a hedger buy? This depends on the amount of risk the hedger is willing to bear, something that each hedger
must determine individually. One thing is certain: there will always be a cost associated with the purchase of a protective option. If the insurance afforded by the option enables the hedger to protect his financial position, the cost may be worthwhile.

Covered Writes

If a hedger is averse to

# paying for protective options, 

 which offer limited and welldefined risk, the hedger may instead consider selling, writing, an option against an underlying position. This covered write (sometimes referred to as an overwrite) does not offer the limited risk afforded by the purchase of a protective option but does have the obvious advantage of creating an immediate cash credit.This
credit
offers
limited protection against an adverse move in the underlying market.
Consider an investor
who owns stock but wants to protect against a short-term decline in the stock price. He can, of course, buy a protective put. But if he believes that any decline is likely to be only moderate, he might instead sell a call option against the long stock
position.

The
amountprotection the investor is
an
out-of-the-money call offers
less protection but leaves

## room for additional upside

 profit.
## Suppose that an investor

 owns a stock that is currently trading at 100 . If he sells a 95 call at a price of 6.50 , the sale of the call will offer a high degree of protection against a decline in the price of the stock. As long as the stock declines by no more than 6.50 to 93.50 , the investor will do no worse than break even.Unfortunately, if the stock begins to rise, there will be no opportunity to participate in the rising stock price because the stock will be called away when the investor is assigned on the 95 call. Still, even if the stock rises, the investor will at least profit by the time premium of 1.50 that he received from the sale of the 95 call.

## On the other hand, if the

investor wants to participate in upside movement in the stock and is also willing to accept less protection on the downside, he might sell a 105 call. If the 105 call is trading at a price of 2.00 , the sale of this option will only protect the investor down to a stock price of 98 . But, if the stock price rises, the investor will participate up to a price of 105.

Above 105, he
can expect the stock to be called
away, eliminating any further profit.

Which option should the investor sell? This is a subjective decision based on how much risk the investor is willing to accept, as well as the amount of upside appreciation in which he wants to participate. Many covered writes involve selling at-the-money options. Such options offer less protection
than in-the-money calls and less profit potential than out-of-the-money options. But an at-the-money option has the greatest amount of time premium. If the market remains close to its current price, a position that is hedged by selling at-themoney options will show the greatest amount
appreciation.
The characteristics of a
covered write and the protection afforded by the strategy are shown in Figures 17-3 (covered call) and 17-4 (covered put). Because each strategy combines
an
underlying position with a short option position, it follows from Chapter 14 that the resulting protected position is a synthetic short option:

## Figure 17-3 Long an underlying

 position and short a covered call.

## Figure 17-4 Short an underlying

 position and short a covered put.

# Long underlying + short call $\approx$ synthetic short put 

 Short underlying + short put $\approx$ synthetic short callA hedger who sells a call against a long underlying position has effectively created a short put position at the same exercise price. A hedger who sells a put to $\begin{array}{llll}\text { protect } & \text { a } & \text { short } & \text { underlying } \\ \text { position } & \text { has } & \text { effectively }\end{array}$

# created short call put position. 

 In our example, if the hedger sells a 105 call to protect a long stock position, the combined position (i.e., long underlying, short call) is equivalent to selling a 105 put.Selling a covered call against a long stock position is one of the most popular
hedging strategies
in equity option markets.
executed all at one time buying stock
simultaneously selling a call on the stock -the strategy is referred to as a buy/write. The December 105 buy/write consists of buying one stock contract (usually 100 shares) and simultaneously selling a December 105 call. As with any spread, it can be quoted as a single price (the stock price - the call price) and executed with
a
single

# counterparty. With a stock trading at 100 and the 

 December 105 call trading at 2.00 , the December 105 buy/write is trading at 98.00 . The price quoted by a market maker might be 97.90 98.10. In total, the market maker is willing to buy the stock and sell the call for 97.90 . He is willing to sell the stock and buy the call for 98.10.
## Buy/writes <br> are <br> such

common strategies that some exchanges publish indexes reflecting the performance of the strategy, usually against a major stock index. The
Chicago Board Options Exchange BuyWrite Index (BXM) reflects

# performance of a strategy 

consisting
of
buying
a
Standard and Poor's (S\&P)
500
Index
(SPX)
portfolio
and
each
month
selling
a

# slightly <br> out-of-the-money 

one-month S\&P 500 Index
call option. 1
A covered write can also
be used to set a target price for either buying or selling an underlying instrument. An investor who owns a stock may decide that if the stock reaches a certain price, he will be willing to sell. By selling a call with an exercise price equal to the target price,
the investor has effectively locked in the sale if the stock reaches the exercise price. If the stock does not reach the exercise price, the investor still gets to keep the premium received from the sale of the call.
Similarly, an investor
who is willing to buy stock if the price declines by some given amount can sell a put with an exercise price equal
to the target purchase price. If the stock falls below the exercise price, the investor
will be assigned on the put,
forcing him to purchase the
stock. But that was his original intention. If the stock fails to fall below the exercise price, the investor gets to
keep the premium received from the sale of the put. This strategy of selling puts to trigger the purchase of stock is often used by companies
that want to initiate a buyback program for their stock. By selling puts with exercise prices equal to the target buyback price, the company either buys back its own stock or profits by the amount of the put premium.

## The <br> primary <br> difference

 between selling a call to set a sale price and selling a put to set a purchase price is theway in which the trade is
secured. The sale of a call is secured with ownership of the stock. But the sale of the put must be secured with enough cash to support the purchase of the stock should the put be exercised. The sale of a cashsecured put requires the investor to keep on deposit cash equal to the exercise price of the put. If the put is European with no possibility of early exercise, the investor can keep on deposit cash
equal to the present value of the exercise price

## The <br> purchase <br> of <br> a

 protective option and the sale of a covered option are the two most common hedging strategies involving options. If given a choice between these strategies, which one should a hedger choose? Intheory, the hedger ought to base his decision on the same criteria used by a trader: price versus value. If option prices seem low, the purchase of a protective option makes sense. If option prices seem high, the sale of a covered option makes sense. From a trader's point of view, low or high is typically expressed in terms of implied volatility.

Bycomparing implied volatility with the expected

## volatility over the life of the

 option, a hedger ought to be able to make a sensible determination as to whether he wants to buy or sell options. Of course, he is still left with the question of which exercise price to choose. This will depend on the amofavorable
of
adverse
Or hedger foresees, as well as the risk he is willing to accept if he is wrong.

## While <br> theoretical

considerations often play a role in a hedger's decision, these may be less important than practical considerations. If a hedger knows that a move in the underlying contract beyond a certain price will represent a threat to his business, then the purchase of a protective option at that exercise may be the most sensible strategy
regardless of whether the

# option <br> 1S <br> theoretically 

 overpriced. $\underline{2}$Many hedgers seem to have an aversion to buying protective options. "Why should I pay for an option when I will probably lose the premium?" This is, indeed, true. Most protective options do expire out of the money. The reasoning, however, seems illogical when one considers that most people
willingly purchase insurance to protect their personal property. And the great majority of insurance policies expire without claims ever being made against them: houses do not burn down; people do not die; and cars are not stolen. This is the reason insurance companies make a profit. But most people do not buy insurance to make a profit. They do so for the peace of mind that the
insurance policy affords. The same philosophy ought to apply to the purchase of options. If a hedger needs well-defined protection, the purchase of an option may be the best choice regardless of the fact that the option will most often expire worthless. Collars

A hedger may want the

## limited risk afforded by the

 purchase of a protective option but may also be reluctant to pay the premium associated collarsimultaneously purchasing a protective option and selling a covered option against a position in an underlying contract. $\frac{3}{}$ Collars are popular hedging tools because they
offer known protection at a low cost. At the same time, they still allow a hedger to participate, at least partially, in favorable market movement. With an underlying stock trading at 100, a hedger with a long position might choose to buy a 95 put and at the same time sell a 105 call. The hedger is insulated from any fall in price below 95 because he can then exercise his put. At

## the same time, he can

participate in any upward move up to 105. The terms long and short, when applied to collars, typically refer to the underlying position. A long underlying position together with a protective put and covered call is a long collar. A short underlying position together with a protective call and covered put is a short
collar. The characteristics of a collar are shown in Figures $17-5$ and 17-6. Because every contract can be expressed as a synthetic equivalent, we can see that a long collar (Figure 17-5) is simply a bull vertical spread, while a short collar (Figure 17-6) is simply a bear vertical spread.

Both strategies have limited risk and limited reward.

Figure 17-5 Long collar (long an underlying contract, long a protective
put, short a covered call).


Figure 17-6 Short collar (short an underlying contract, long a protective call, short a covered put).


# Because a collar is a <br> vertical spread, it will have 

 the risk characteristics described in Chapter 12. A long collar will always have a positive delta; a short collar will always have a negative delta. The gamma, theta, and vega will be determined by the choice of exercise prices. If the underlying price is closer to the protective option,the position
will
usually have a positive gamma, negative theta, and positive vega. If the underlying price is closer to the covered option,
position will usually have a negative gamma, positive theta,
and negative vega. Unless one option is much further out of the money than the other, these risk measures are likely to be similar, resulting in only a small
position. A hedger might also choose exercise prices such that the collar will be
approximately neutral with respect to the gamma, theta, or vega. Collars are also popular because the sale of the covered option may offset some or all of the cost of the protective option. When the price of the protective option is greater than the price of the
covered option, as it is in Figure 17-5, the midsection of the combined position will fall below the profit and loss (P\&L) graph for the underlying position. When the price of the protective option is less than the price of the covered option, as it is in Figure 17-6, the midsection of the combined position will be above the P\&L graph for the underlying position. If the price of the protective option
and the covered option are the same, the strategy becomes a zero-cost collar. A summary of basic hedging strategies is given in Figure 17-7.

Figure 17-7 Summary of basic hedging strategies.

| position | hevolingstreyy | acrantiges | disdarateyes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inagunderling sell duvireorlomadd nodowside isk |  |  | nousidid pofit potetital |
| buydpotectivept |  | initedoonsiderisk unlinted uscide poft pxetentia | costaftreopion |
| sella coveded al |  | partid dowsidep poctecton equal lotrepriceofthecall | ulinitedownsidertisc <br> Intiteupiside profit <br> poteritial |
|  | brgeclar <br> \|lorgapotettreput| shoracovenedall) | Iinteddoonsiderisk | lintecupiside porff potertia |
| storundely |  |  | nodownsidepositptertial |
| byyporectiveal |  | Inted upiderstst unlimite uyside profit plentia | cosioftreopion |
| sellacovedput |  | partalupideppocetion equalithe cosod oftepit | unimited usiderisk Initeddownside arofft pteritial |
|  | sthorcolat <br> (Imgapocetivecal) shortacovericiput) | iniedupsidetsts | lintedodonsise enofit patential |

## Complex Hedging <br> Strategies

Because most hedgers are not professional option traders and have neither the time nor the desire to carefully
option
prices,
strategies purchase
or involving
the simple hedging
options are the most widely used. However, if one is willing to do a more detailed analysis of options, it is possible to construct a wide variety of hedging strategies that involve both volatility and directional considerations. To do this, a hedger must be familiar with volatility and its impact on option values, as well as the delta as a measure
of
directional
risk.
The
hedger
can then combine his
knowledge of options with
the practical considerations of hedging.
As a first step in
choosing a strategy, a hedger might consider the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. Does the hedge } \\
& \text { need to offer } \\
& \text { protection against a } \\
& \text { worst-case } \\
& \text { scenario? } \\
& 2 \text {. How much of }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { the } \\
\text { directional } \\
\text { should the hedge } \\
\text { eliminate? }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 3. What additional } \\
& \text { risks is the hedger } \\
& \text { willing to accept? } \\
& \text { A hedger who needs }
\end{aligned}
$$ disaster insurance to protect against a worst-case scenario only has a choice of which option(s) to buy. Even so, he still needs to decide which

exercise price to purchase and how many options. With a long position in an underlying contract currently trading at 100, a hedger decides to buy a put because he needs to limit the downside risk to some known and fixed amount. Which put should he buy?
If the hedger has
determined that options are generally overpriced (i.e.,
implied
volatility
seems
high),
any option purchase
will clearly be to the hedger's disadvantage. If
his
sole
purpose is to hedge his
downside risk without regard to upside profit potential, he ought to avoid options and hedge his position in the futures or forward market. If, however, he still wants upside profit potential, he must ask himself how much of a long position he wants to retain. If
he is willing to retain 50 percent of his current long position, he ought to purchase puts with a total delta of -50 . He can do this by purchasing one at-the-money put with a delta of -50 or several out-of-the-money puts whose deltas add up to -50 . In a high-implied-volatility market, however, it is usually best to buy as few options as
possible and sell as many
options as possible. (This is
analogous to constructing a ratio spread.) Hence, purchasing one put with a delta of -50 will be less costly, theoretically, than purchasing several puts with a total delta of -50 . If the hedger wants to eliminate even more of the directional risk, say, 75 percent, under these circumstances, he will be better off purchasing one put with a delta of -75 .

All other factors
being equal, in a
high-implied-
volatility market, a hedger should buy as few options as possible and/or sell as many options as possible.
Conversely, in a low-impliedvolatility market, a hedger should buy as many options as

## possible and/or sell

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { as few options as } \\
& \text { possible. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## This means that if all

options are overpriced (i.e., implied volatility seems high) and the hedger decides that he is willing to accept the unlimited downside risk that goes with the sale of a covered call, in theory, he ought to sell as many calls as possible to reach his hedging
objectives. If he is trying to hedge 50 percent of his long underlying position, he can do a ratio write by selling several out-of-the-money calls with a total delta of 50 rather than selling a single at-the-money call with a delta of 50.
There is an obvious disadvantage if one sells multiple calls against a single long underlying position.

## Now the hedger not only has

 the unlimited downside risk that goes with a covered call position, but he also has unlimited upside risk because he has sold more calls than he can cover with the underlying. If the market moves up enough, he will be assigned on all the calls. Most hedgers want to restrict their unlimited risk to one direction, usually the directionof
their
natural

# position. A hedger with a long underlying position may be willing to accept unlimited downside risk, but he is probably unwilling to accept unlimited upside risk. A hedger with <br>  <br> short 

 underlying position may be willing to accept unlimited upside risk, but he is probably unwilling to accept unlimited downside risk. A hedger who $\begin{array}{ll}\text { constructs } & \text { a position with } \\ \text { unlimited } & \text { risk in either }\end{array}$direction is presumably taking a volatility position. There is nothing wrong with this because volatility trading can be highly profitable. But a true hedger ought not lose sight of what his ultimate goal is-to protect an existing position and to keep the cost of this protection as low as possible.

> A hedger can also
protect a position
constructing one-to-one volatility spreads with deltas that yield the desired amount of protection. A hedger who wants to protect 50 percent of a short underlying position can buy or sell calendar spreads or butterflies with a total delta of +50 . Such spreads offer partial protection within a range. The entire position still has unlimited upside risk but also retains unlimited downsidevolatilityalsogive
the hedger the choice ..... ofbuying or selling volatility. If implied volatility is generally low, with the underlying market currently at 100 , the hedger might protect a short underlying purchasing position by calendar purchase call, sell a
This
a spread (i.e., call call).
spread
has
a

## positive delta and is also theoretically <br> attractive <br> because <br> the <br> makes spread relatively inexpensive. If the 110 call

 calendar spread has a delta of +25 , to hedge 50 percent of his directional risk, the hedger can buy two spreads for each short underlying position. Conversely, if implied volatility is high, the hedger can consider sellingcalendar spreads. Now he will have to choose a lower exercise price to achieve a positive delta. If he sells the 90 call calendar spread (i.e., purchase a short-term 90 call, sell a long-term 90 call), he will have a position with a positive delta and a positive theoretical edge. If he wants to protect 75 percent of his position and the spread has a delta of +25 , he can sell the spread three times for each

# underlying <br> position. <br> (See 

Chapter 11 for characteristics
of
calendar
spreads
and butterflies.)

A hedger can also buy or sell vertical spreads to achieve a desired amount of protection. Depending
on whether options are generally underpriced or overpriced (i.e., implied volatility is excessively low or high), the hedger will work around the
at-the-money option. With the underlying market
currently at 100 , the hedger who wants to protect a long position can execute a bear vertical spread (i.e., sell the lower exercise price, buy the higher exercise price). If implied volatility is high, he will prefer to sell an at-themoney option and buy an option at a higher exercise price. If implied volatility is low, he will prefer to buy an
in-the-money option and sell an option at a lower exercise price. Each spread will have a negative delta but will also have a positive theoretical edge because the at-themoney option is the most sensitive to changes in volatility. (See Chapter 12 for characteristics of vertical spreads.)
As is obvious, using
options to hedge a position
can be just as complex as using options to construct trading strategies. Many factors go into the decisionmaking process. When
a potential hedger is confronted for the first time with the multitude of possible strategies,
he
can understandably
feel
overwhelmed, to the point where he decides to abandon options completely. Perhaps a better approach is to consider
a limited number of strategies (perhaps four or five) that make sense and compare the various risk-reward characteristics of the strategies. Given the hedger's general market outlook and his willingness certain risks, it should then be possible to make an informed decision.

## Hedging to Reduce

## Volatility

## In addition to protecting a

 position against an adverse move in the underlying contract, hedging strategies have an additional important advantage-they tend to reduce the volatility of a position. To understand why this may be important, consider a portfolio managerwho generates the following annual returns over a period of five years:

$$
\begin{gathered}
+19 \%-14 \%+27 \%-9 \% \\
+22 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

His average annual return is

$$
\begin{gathered}
(19 \%-14 \%+27 \%-9 \%+ \\
22 \%) / 5=+9 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

Now consider a second

# portfolio <br> manager generates these annual returns: 

$$
+25 \%-20 \%-23 \%+44+24
$$

His average annual return
is

$$
\begin{gathered}
(25 \%-20 \%-23 \%+44 \%+ \\
24 \%) / 5=+10 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

## Finally, a third portfolio

 managergenerates
these

## returns:

$$
+35 \%+15-35+65 \%-20 \%
$$

His average annual return
is
$(35 \%+15 \%-35 \%+65 \%-$
$20 \%) / 5=+12 \%$

Portfolio Manager 3 trumpets his average annual return of 12 percent
compared with Portfolio

# Managers 1 and 2, with 

 returns of only 9 and 10 percent. Clearly, we ought to investour money with Portfolio Manager 3. Or should we? Perhaps we should consider not only what is happening each year but also how each portfolio performs over the entire fiveyear period. We can do this by taking the product of all the annual changes for each portfolio:

$$
1.22=1.4429
$$

$$
(\operatorname{up} 44.29 \%)
$$

$$
\text { Portfolio } \quad 2:
$$

$$
1.25 \times 0.80 \times
$$

$$
0.77 \times 1.44 \times
$$

$$
1.24=1.3749
$$

$$
(\operatorname{up} 37.49 \%)
$$

$$
\text { Portfolio } \quad 3:
$$

$$
1.35 \times 1.15 \times
$$

$$
0.65 \times 1.65 \times
$$

# $0.80=1.3320$ (up 33.20\%) 

## Even though Portfolio

## Manager 3 had the best

 average annual return, his portfolio fared the worst. Portfolio Manager 1, with the lowest annual return, fared the best, making 11 percent more over the five-year period than PortfolioManager 3 .

The explanation for this seemingly unexpected result has to do with the volatility, or standard deviation, of the returns. The returns for Portfolio Manager 3 fluctuated wildly from a high of +65 percent to a low of -
35 percent. The returns for Portfolio Manger 1 fluctuated much less, between +27 percent and -14 percent. The greater volatility seemed to reduce the total return.

# The results for each 

## portfolio

## manager

# We have also added a very 

 boring Portfolio Manager 4, who plods along with a return of exactly 8 percent each year for the five-year period. In spite of having the lowest average return, his portfolio performed the best, gaining 46.93 percent over the entire period.
# Figure 17-8 The greater the volatility, the lower the total return. 

| Heat lot <br>  <br>  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

# Our example does not mean that high volatility is 

unacceptable. A portfolio manager with highly volatile returns may still be preferable if his average return is also commensurably higher. This tradeoff between returns and volatility is often expressed by the Sharpe ratio, originally suggested by William Sharpe in $1966^{4}$

Average return/standard deviation of returns

## The greater the Sharpe

 ratio, the more favorable the tradeoff between risk(volatility)
(returns). deviation and the Sharpe ratio for all four portfolio managers are also given in Figure 17-8.
long position in an underlying asset such as stock and that we would like to protect our position against a possible decline in price
over some period of time. One possible strategy is to purchase a protective put. Unfortunately, when we go into the market to purchase the put, we find that no market exists for options on our stock. What can we do?

If we were really able to purchase a put, our position would be

## Long stock + long put

## But we know that a long

 underlying position together with a long put is equivalent to a long call. What we really want is a long call position with the same exercise price and expiration date as the put that we wanted but wereunable to buy. What would be the characteristics of this call? We can determine this by using a theoretical pricing model. To do this, we need the basic inputs into the theoretical pricing model:

Exercise price
Time
expiration
Underlying
stock price

Interest rate Volatility

## Because we are not

dependent on listed exercise prices and expiration dates (because none exist), the exercise price and expiration date can be of our own choosing. We can determine the stock price and interest rate from current market conditions. Only the volatility cannot be directly observed in
the marketplace. But, if we

## have a database of historical

price changes for the stock,
we may be able to make a reasonable estimate of the stock's volatility.

## Suppose that we feed all

 the inputs into a theoretical pricing model and determine that our intended call has a delta of 75 . To replicate the call position, we need to own 75 percent of the underlyingcontract. We can achieve this by selling off 25 percent of our holdings in the stock. If we originally owned 1,000 shares, we need to sell 250 shares, leaving us with a long position of 750 shares.

## Now suppose that at

some later date we look at the new market conditions, recalculate the delta of the call, and find that it is now 60. To achieve the desired
delta position, we must now sell off an additional 15 percent of our original holdings, or 150 shares. We are now long 600 shares of stock.
Suppose that
underlying stock to achieve a position with the same delta as the presumed call option. Finally, suppose that at the target expiration date we buy back a sufficient amount of the stock so that we have 100 percent of our original holding. What should be the result of this entire process? We are essentially going through the dynamic hedging process described in Chapter

# 8. Whereas in Chapter 8 we used dynamic hedging to capture the difference between an option's price in the marketplace and its theoretical value, in our 

 current example, we cannot profit from a mispriced option because no option exists. But we can replicate the characteristics of the option to achieve a desired option position.In Chapter 8 we presented a stock option example and a futures option example. In the stock option example, we bought a call at a price that was less than its theoretical value and then sold the call, through the dynamic hedging process, at a price that was equal to its theoretical value. In the futures option example, we sold a put at a price that was greater than its theoretical
value and then bought the put, through the dynamic hedging process, at a price that was equal to its theoretical value. In both examples, we ended up with a profit equal to the difference between the option's price and its theoretical value. Portfolio insurance, or
option replication, is
a method by which the dynamic hedging process is
used to create a position with the same characteristics as an option. In theory, the method should achieve the
same results as buying a protective option but without actually purchasing the option. Portfolio insurance can be used by a fund manager to insure the value of the securities in a portfolio against a drop in value. If a manager has a portfolio of
securities currently valued at

# $\$ 100$ million and wants to 

 insure the value of the portfolio against a drop in value below $\$ 90$ million, he can either buy a $\$ 90$ million put or replicate thecharacteristics of a $\$ 90$ million call. If he is unable to find someone willing to sell him a $\$ 90$ million put, he can evaluate the characteristics of the $\$ 90$ million call and continuously buy or sell a
portion of his portfolio
required to replicate the call position. In effect, he has created his own put.

## Portfolio <br> insurance

strategies were widely used by fund managers prior to the stock market crash of 1987, especially by managers with a portfolio that tended to track a major index. If the portfolio manager wanted to buy protective puts but also believed that the prices of
puts were inflated, he could create the puts himself at the "correct" theoretical value through the dynamic hedging process. Instead of buying or selling a portion of the portfolio, which could be expensive in terms of transaction
costs, mimic the delta adjustments by buying or selling index futures to increase or reduce the total value of the

# portfolio. In return for a fee, 

 firms that marketed portfolio insurance strategies assumed the responsibilitycharacteristics of the option that the portfolio manager wanted to
purchase
generated additional fees by acting as a broker and executing the necessary adjustments in the index futures market.
Unfortunately, following
 crash
of
1987,
practitioners came to realize that portfolio insurance would only achieve the desired results if the inputs into the model were correct and the model itself was based on
realistic assumptions. $\underline{6}$ increase in volatility resulting from the crash, so the volatility input that was being used was clearly incorrect. At the same time, many of the model assumptions about dynamic hedging seemed to be violated in the real world. The upshot was that the cost of replicating an option through the dynamic hedging
process became much more expensive than anyone had anticipated. As a result, portfolio insurance strategies fell out of favor with most fund managers.

1 A complete description of the CBOE Buy/Write Index, as well as its historical performance, can be found at http://www.cboe.com/micro/bxm/.
$\underline{2}$ Of course, if options seem wildly overpriced, a hedger may be reluctant to buy a protective option. But this is an unlikely scenario. If option prices are high, there is usually a valid reason.
$\underline{3}$ The collar strategy goes by a wide variety of names, including fence, tunnel, cylinder, range forward, or split-strike conversion.
4 The returns used to calculate the Sharpe ratio are sometimes expressed as the returns in excess of some
benchmark, such as a risk-free Treasury

## instrument.

5 The firm most closely associated with portfolio insurance prior to the crash of 1987 was Los Angeles-based Leland, O'Brien, Rubinstein (with principals Hayne Leland, John O’Brien, and Mark Rubinstein).
$\underline{6}$ Some studies have suggested that the dynamic hedging required to implement portfolio insurance exacerbated the stock market crash of October 19, 1987. Because of the dramatic drop in the stock market, portfolio insurers were required to sell ever larger numbers of index futures contracts, creating a cascading effect in the market.


## The Black-

## Scholes Model

Because of its importance as a foundation of option pricing theory, as well as its widespread use by traders, it will be worthwhile to take a closer look at the Black-

# Scholes model. $\underline{1}$ <br> The <br> discussion in this chapter is 

 not meant to be a rigorous or detailed derivation of the model, which is better suited to a university textbook or to a class in financial engineering. Rather, we hope to present a more intuitive discussion of the workings of the model, as well as some observations on the values generated by the model.
## Initially, rather than

## calculating

# Scholes tried to answer this 

 question: if the stock price moves randomly over time, but in a manner that is consistent with a constant interest rate and volatility, what must be the option price after each moment in time such that an option position that is correctly hedged will just break even? The answerto this question resulted in rather intimidating-looking equation


Although
this
equation might look mysterious to many readers, it is just a mathematician's way of expressing how changes in one set of variables-stock price $S$ and time $t$-affect the

# value of something else, a 

 call $C$. To determine the exact effect caused by changes in the variables, one must solve the equation.
## Note that we did not

 refer to the volatility $\sigma$ and interest rate $r$ as variables. In the Black-Scholes equation, only the stock price and time are changing. As inputs into the model, the volatility and interest rate will affect thevalue of the option. But once they have been chosen, they are assumed to remain constant over the life of the option. This is consistent with the dynamic hedging examples in Chapter 8. Over the life of an option, we assumed that
only the underlying price and time were changing. Everything else remained constant. We have
already

# encountered several of the 

 components of the BlackScholes equation in slightly different form. The terms $C$

## are the more formal

 mathematical notation for the option's delta $(\Delta)$, gamma $(\Gamma)$, and theta $(\Theta)$. The BlackScholes equation states that changes in an option's valuedepend on the sensitivity of the option to changes in the stock price (the delta), the sensitivity of the option's delta to changes in the stock price (the gamma), and the sensitivity of the option to the passage of time (the theta). Of course, the equation also includes volatility and interest-rate components. The interest-rate component plays two roles. First, because the

Black-Scholes model values options from the forward price, the interest rate takes us from the spot price to the forward price (assuming that the stock pays no dividend). This spot-to-forward relationship
equation as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r S \\
& \text { Second, the } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Black- } \\
\text { les equation initially }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

gives us the expected value of the option as time passes. If we want to determine the option's theoretical value, we must discount the expected value backwards to get its present value. This expected-value-to-present-value relationship appears in the equation as
Finally, there is a
volatility component. The rate at which the delta changes depends not only on the gamma but on the speed at which the stock price is changing. The speed is expressed as a volatility or standard deviation $\sigma$. The volatility component and its effect on the gamma appear in the Black-Scholes equation as

# We will not go into the 

 formal derivation of the Black-Scholes equation in this text because it can be mathematically complex. But we might note that there is some similarity between the Black-Scholes equation and the method used in Chapter 7 to estimate the change in an option's value as theunderlying price changes from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$. To approximate this change, we used the average delta over the price range

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times \Delta+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right)^{2} \times \\
& \Gamma / 2=\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \times \Delta+1 / 2\left(S_{1}-\right. \\
&\left.S_{2}\right)^{2} \times \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that is a similarity between this relationship and the first two terms of the Black-Scholes equation.



# The primary differences 

 are the interest-ratecomponent attached to $S$ (the stock price must move from spot to forward) and the volatility component attached to the gamma. Although we assumed a discrete price change from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$, the Black-Scholes equation assumes an infinitesimally small, or instantaneous, price change.

# This is, admittedly, a 

very simplistic attempt to explain the roles played by the various components in the Black-Scholes equation. However, even for someone who fully understands the model, being able to write out the equation does not necessarily yield a value. The real goal is to solve the equation so that it is possible to calculate the exact value of an option.

## The solution to the

## Black-Scholes <br> equation

 yields the well-known BlackScholes model: if$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=\text { theoretical } \\
& \text { value of a } \\
& \text { European call } \\
& S=\text { the price } \\
& \text { of a non- } \\
& \text { dividend- } \\
& \text { paying stock } \\
& X=\text { exercise } \\
& \text { price }
\end{aligned}
$$

# $t=$ time to expiration, in years 

$\sigma=$ annual standard deviation (volatility) of the stock price, in percent $r=$ annual interest rate $\ln =$ the natural logarithm
$e \quad=\quad$ the
exponential function $N \quad=\quad$ the cumulative normal distribution function
then

$$
C=S M\left(d_{1}\right)-X e^{-1} N\left(d_{2}\right)
$$

## where

$$
d_{1}=\frac{\ln (S / X)+\left[r+\left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right)\right] t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}
$$

## and

$$
d_{2}=\frac{\ln (S / X)+\left[r+\left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right)\right] d t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}=d_{1}-\sigma \sqrt{t}
$$

It
may
not
be
immediately apparent what the values in the BlackScholes model represent, but one starting point is put-call parity, discussed in Chapter 15

# If the underlying contract is a non-dividend-paying stock, the forward price is 

$$
F=S \times(1+r \times t)
$$

## Substituting this into the

 put-call parity relationship gives us

## In our examples thus far,

 we have used simple interest. If, instead, we use continuous interest, rather than dividing by $1+r \times t$, we can multiplyby $e^{-r t}$. This gives us

$$
C-P=S-X e^{-r t}
$$

## Because a put can never be

 worth less than 0 , we know from Chapter 16 that the lower arbitrage boundary for a European call option on stock is the greater of either 0 or$$
S-X e^{-r t}
$$

## This expression looks

 similar to the Black-Scholes value for a call option, but without the terms $N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and $N\left(d_{2}\right)$ attached to $S$ and $X e^{-r t}$, respectively. What do $N\left(d_{l}\right)$ and $N\left(d_{2}\right)$ represent?

We proposed a very simple method for evaluating options by considering a series of underlying prices at expiration
and
assigning
probabilities to each of those prices. Using this approach, the expected value for a call option is the sum of the intrinsic values multiplied by the probability associated with each underlying price
 the

# exercise price into one expression $\left(S_{i}-X\right)$ 

 The Black-Scholes model takes a slightly different approach separating the underlying price and exercise price into two distinct components and then asking two questions:$1 . \quad$ If held to
expiration, what is
the average value of
all the stock above the exercise price? 2. If held to expiration, what is the likelihood that the owner of an option will end up paying the exercise price?

If we can answer these questions, the difference between the average value of the stock above the exercise paying the exercise price should equal the option's expected value.
To
help
explain
the
approach taken by Black and Scholes, let's consider a discrete distribution of stock prices at expiration, but one that more closely resembles a lognormal distribution with an extended right tail. Such a distribution, resulting from a
total of 153 occurrences, is shown in Figure 18-1. Using this distribution, how might we evaluate a call option with an exercise price of $12 \frac{1}{2}$ ?

Figure 18-1


## First, we must determine

 the value of all stock above $12 \frac{1}{2}$, that is, the value resulting from all occurrences that fall into troughs 13 through 27. The number of occurrences and the value of the occurrences in each trough are as follows:
## Trough <br> Number of Occurrences <br> Stock Value

| 13 | 11 | 143 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 9 | 126 |
| 15 | 8 | 120 |
| 16 | 7 | 113 |
| 17 | 6 | 102 |
| 18 | 5 | 90 |
| 19 | 4 | 76 |
| 20 | 3 | 60 |

$21 \quad 2$
2
42
22
2
44
23
1
23
24
1
24
25
1
25
26
0
0
27
1
0
Total
60
987

## The average value of all

 stock above the exercise price of $12 \frac{1}{2}$ is the total value, 987 , divided by the total number of occurrences, 153$$
987 / 153=6.45
$$

## Next, we need to

 determine the likelihood that we will pay the exercise price of $12 \frac{1}{2}$. There are 60occurrences where the option
is in the money (the stock price is above $12 \frac{1}{2}$ ), but there are a total of 153 occurrences. The likelihood that we will pay the exercise price is

$$
60 / 153=0.392
$$

## The

 average payout resulting from exercise of the option $0.392 \times 12 \frac{1}{2}=4.90$. In the Black-Scholes model, the average value of all stock above the exerciseprice is given by $S e^{r t} N\left(d_{1}\right)$, where $S e^{r t}$ is the forward price of the stock. The average amount we will have to pay is given by $X N\left(d_{2}\right)$. The expected value for a call option is the difference between these two numbers

$$
\begin{gathered}
S e^{r t} N\left(d_{1}\right)-X N\left(d_{2}\right)=6.45- \\
4.90=1.55 \\
\text { These terms are slightly }
\end{gathered}
$$

different from the terms that appear in the model, $S N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and $X e^{-r t} N\left(d_{2}\right)$, but we will show shortly how $\mathrm{Se}^{r t} N\left(d_{l}\right)$ becomes $S N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and how $X N\left(d_{2}\right)$ becomes $X e^{-r t} N\left(d_{2}\right)$. We can confirm that 1.55 is the correct value (with slight rounding error) by returning to our original approach of adding up the intrinsic values multiplied by

## their probabilities (the number of occurrences divided by 153).

| Trough | IntrinsiiValue of <br> Ihe 12/lCall | Number of <br> Occurrences | Probability | Opiion Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.0719 | 0.0359 |
| 14 | 1.5 | 9 | 0.0588 | 0.0882 |
| 15 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.0523 | 0.1307 |
| 16 | 3.5 | 7 | 0.0458 | 0.1601 |
| 17 | 4.5 | 6 | 0.0392 | 0.1765 |
| 18 | 5.5 | 5 | 0.0327 | 0.1797 |
| 19 | 6.5 | 4 | 0.0261 | 0.1699 |
| 20 | 7.5 | 3 | 0.0196 | 0.1471 |
| 21 | 8.5 | 2 | 0.0131 | 0.1111 |
| 22 | 9.5 | 2 | 0.0131 | 0.1242 |
| 23 | 10.5 | 1 | 0.0065 | 0.0686 |
| 24 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.0065 | 0.0752 |
| 25 | 12.5 | 1 | 0.0065 | 0.0817 |
| 26 | 13.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 14.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totatoption |  |  |  |  |
| expected value: |  |  | 1.5489 |  |

## This is essentially the

 approach taken by the Black and Scholes. The primary difference is that the BlackScholes model, rather than using discrete outcomes as we did, assumes a continuous lognormal distribution. $n(x)$ and $N(x)$[^2]be useful to define two important probability functions- $n(x)$ and $N(x)$. In this chapter and in previous discussions of volatility, we have often referred to the concept of a bell-shaped, or normal, distribution. Depending on the mean and standard deviation, there can be many different normal distributions, but $n(x)$, the standard normal distribution, is perhaps the most common.

# It has a mean of 0 and a 

 standard deviation of 1 . The standard normal distribution, shown in Figure 18-2, also has one very useful characteristic: the total area under the curve adds up to exactly 1. That is, the curve represents 100 percent of all occurrences that form a true normal distribution.
## Figure 18-2 $n(x)$-the standard

 normal distribution curve with mean $=$ 0 and standard deviation $=1$.

Siandard deiatons

## Although the standard

normal distribution takes in 100 percent of all
occurrences, we may want to know what percent of the
occurrences fall
within
a
specific portion of the
standard normal distribution.
This is given by $N(x)$, the standard cumulative normal distribution function. If $x$ is some number of standard deviations, $N(x)$ returns the

# probability of getting an occurrence less than $x$ by 

 calculating the area under the standard normal distribution curve between the values of $\infty$ and $x$, as shown in Figure 18-3. That is, $N(x)$ tells us what percentage of all possible occurrences fall between $-\infty$ and $x$. Obviously, $N(+\infty)$ must be 1.00 because 100 percent of all occurrences must fall between $-\infty$ and $+\infty$. And $N(-\infty)$ must be 0 becausethere can be no occurrences to the left of $-\infty$. Because the normal distribution curve is symmetrical, with 50 percent of the occurrences falling to the left of 0 and 50 percent falling to the right, $N(0)$ must equal 0.50. It also follows that the area under the curve between $-\infty$ and $x$ must be equal to the area under the curve between $-x$ and $+\infty$, resulting in this useful relationship

Figure 18-3 $N(x)$-the area under the standard normal distribution curve between $-\infty$ and $x$.


$$
N(x)=1-N(-x)
$$

## The <br> Black-Scholes

 model makes all calculations using the probabilities associated with a normal distribution. This may seem inconsistent with our assumption that the prices of an underlying contract are lognormally distributed because a normal distribution and a lognormal distributionare clearly not the same. However, by making some adjustments to the value of $x$, we can use $N(x)$ to generate probabilities associated with a lognormal distribution.

It will also be useful to
define three numbers used to describe many common distributions:

## Mode. The peak of the

 distribution.The
point at which the greatest number of
occurrences take place.

Mean. The balance point of the distribution. The point at which half the value of the occurrences fall to the left and half to the right.
Median. The point at which half the occurrences fall to the left and half to the right.

> In a perfect normal
distribution, all these points fall in the same place, exactly in the middle of the distribution. But consider the distribution in Figure 18-1. The mode, mean, and median of this distribution all fall at different points, as shown in figure Figure 18-4. The mode is approximately 9.3 , the mean is approximately 12.7 , and the median is
approximately 10.5 . To make
the appropriate adjustments to
a lognormal distribution so that we can use the probabilities associated with a normal distribution, we must locate these numbers.

Figure 18-4

## The <br> Black-Scholes

model begins by defining the relationship between the exercise price and the underlying price. In a normal distribution, this is simply $S-$ $X$, but in a lognormal distribution, the relationship is

# If $S>X$, this value is 

 positive, and the call is in the money; if $S<X$, the value is negative, and the call is out of the money.Next,
because
options
are valued off the forward price and the forward price is a function of interest rates, we must adjust this relationship by the interest component over the life of the option $r$. This gives us ${ }^{2}$

## The number of standard

 deviations associated with an occurrence depends on how far the occurrence is from the mean of the distribution. In a normal distribution, the mean, like the mode, is located in the exact center of the distribution. But in Figure 184, which approximateslognormal distribution, with its elongated right tail, we can see that the mean must be somewhere to the right of the mode. How far to the right? This depends on the standard deviation of the lognormal distribution. The higher the standard deviation, the longer the right tail,
consequently, the further to the right we must shift the mean. Mathematically,
shift is equal to $\sigma^{2} t / 2$. Adding this adjustment gives us


Combining the interest-rate and volatility components gives us the numerator for $d_{1}$


## Finally, we must convert

 this value to some number of standard deviations. If we know the value of one standard deviation, we can divide by this value to determine the total number of standard deviations. In fact, we know that over any time period $t$, one standard deviation is equal to $\sigma \sqrt{t}$. If we divide by this value, the result, $d_{1}$, tells us, in standard
# deviations, how far the exercise price is from the 

 mean when adjusted for a lognormal distribution In the equation shown in Figure 18-5, the calculation of $d_{1}$ may seem somewhat complicated, but it is really just adjustments to the exercise price and underlying price that enablcumulative us to use a
normal

# distribution function 

Figure 18-5

# spot price to fonvard price adjustment (carry on the underlying) 

the relationship between the underlying price and the exercise price (how much the option is inor out-of-the-money)

normalization factor (one standard deviation) to determine the total number of standard deviations

## Once we have determined

the value of $d_{l}$, multiplying the forward price of the stock by $N\left(d_{1}\right)$ gives us the average value of all stock above the exercise price at expiration. Having calculated the average value of all stock above the exercise price, we still need to determine the likelihood that the option will be exercised. To do this, we
need the median of the distribution, the point that exactly bisects the total number of occurrences. In Figure 18-4, we can see that the median in a lognormal distribution falls somewhere to the left of the mean. How far to the left? In fact, the median falls to the left by

## The value $N\left(d_{2}\right)$ uses the

## median to calculate the

probability of the option being in the money at expiration and therefore being exercised. Multiplying this probability by the exercise price gives us the average amount we will pay at expiration if we own the option

## Taking the average value

 of the stock we will receive at expiration and subtracting the average amount we will pay at expiration gives us the expected value for the call$$
S e^{r t} N\left(d_{1}\right)-X N\left(d_{2}\right)
$$

There is still one final step in calculating the
theoretical value of a call option, and this step explains
how the terms $S^{-r t} N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and $X N\left(d_{2}\right)$ become $S N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and
$X e^{-r t} N\left(d_{2}\right)$, which is the way they appear in the BlackScholes model. The expression $S e^{r t} N\left(d_{1}\right)-X N\left(d_{2}\right)$ represents the expected value of the option at expiration. If we must pay for the option today, the theoretical value is the present value of the expected value. Multiplying the expected value by $e^{-r t}$

## yields the familiar form of the

 Black-Scholes model$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=\left[S e^{r t} N\left(d_{1}\right)-X N\left(d_{2}\right)\right] e^{-r t}= \\
& \qquad S N\left(d_{1}\right)-X e^{-r t} N\left(d_{2}\right) \\
& \text { In the original Black- } \\
& \text { Scholes model, } \\
& \text { underlying contract was } \\
& \text { assumed to be a non- } \\
& \text { dividend-paying a stock. } \\
& \text { However, } \\
& \text { introduction, the model has }
\end{aligned}
$$

been extended to evaluate options on other types of underlying instruments. This is most commonly done by including an adjustment factor $b$ that varies depending on the type of underlying instrument and the settlement procedure for the options. If $r$ is the domestic interest rate and $r_{f}$ is the foreign interest rate, then

 anmo

# The complete BlackScholes model, with variations and sensitivities, is given in Figure 18-6. <br> Figure 18-6 The Black-Scholes model. 

If $\mathrm{S}=$ the spot price or underlying price
$\mathrm{X}=$ the exercise price
$t=$ the time to expiration, in years
$r=$ the domestic interest rate
$\alpha=$ the annualized volatility or standard deviation, in percent
then the value of a European call, C , and the value of a European put; $P$, are given by

$$
C=S e^{i-15} N\left(d_{1}\right)-X e^{-\pi} N\left(d_{2}\right) \quad P=X e^{-a} N\left(-d_{2}\right)-S e^{b-\pi} N\left(-d_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
d_{1}=\frac{\ln \left(\frac{S}{x}\right)+\left(b+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}
$$

$$
d_{2}=\frac{\ln \left(\frac{S}{x}\right)+\left(b-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}=d_{1}-\sigma \sqrt{t}
$$

The common variations on the original Black-Scholes model are determined by the value of $b$.

If

$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{r}: \quad$| The Black-Scholes model for options on stock |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{r}=0:$ The Black-Scholes model for options on futures where the options are |
| subject to futures type settlement |


$\mathrm{b}=0:$| The Black model for options on futures where the options are subject to |
| :--- |
| stock-type settiement |

$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{z}}:$
The Garman-Kohlhagen model for options on foreign currencies, where $\mathrm{r}_{t}$
is the foreign interest rate

For options on a dividend paying stock the spot price, $S$, must be discounted by the value of the expected dividend payments. This can be approximated by setting $b=r-q$, where $q$ is the annual dividend yield in percent. For a more exact calculation we can deduct from $\$$ the value of each dividend payment, $D$, together with the interest which can be earned on that dividend payment to expiration. $S$ is then replaced by $S-\Sigma D_{,} e^{\prime 00}$, where $t_{,}$is the time remaining from each dividend payment to expiration of the option.


| Vanna | $-e^{(b-i t} n\left(d_{1}\right) \frac{d_{2}}{\sigma} \quad$ samefor calls and puts $\quad-e^{(b-i)} n\left(d_{0}\right) \frac{d_{2}}{\sigma}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Charm | $-e^{(-12}\left[n\left(d_{1}\right)\left(\frac{b}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}-\frac{d_{2}}{2 t}\right)+(b-r) N\left(d_{2}\right)\right]-e^{(b-t s}\left[n(d)\left(\frac{b}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}-\frac{d_{2}}{2 t}\right)-(b-r) N\left(d_{1}\right)\right]$ |
| Speed | $-\frac{\Gamma}{S}\left(1+\frac{d_{1}}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}\right) \quad$ same for calls and puts $\quad-\frac{\Gamma}{S}\left(1+\frac{d_{1}}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}\right)$ |
| Color | $\Gamma\left(r-b+\frac{b d_{1}}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}+\frac{1-d_{1} d_{2}}{2 t}\right)$ samefor calls and puts $\Gamma\left(r-b+\frac{b d_{1}}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}+\frac{1-d_{1} d_{2}}{2 t}\right)$ |


| Volga (Vomma) vega $\left(\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{\sigma}\right)$ | same for calls and puts vega $\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}_{2}}{\sigma}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vega Decay vega $\left(1-b+\frac{b d}{\sigma d}, \frac{1-d d_{j}}{2 t}\right.$ | sameforcallsandputs vega $\left(t-b+\frac{b d_{1}}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}+1\right.$ |
| Zomma $\quad \Gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}_{2}-1}{\sigma}\right)$ | same for calls and puts $\quad \Gamma\left(\frac{d d_{2}-1}{0}\right)$ |

A complete listing of fall sensitivities and theif formulas can befound in The Complete Guide to Option Pricing Formula by Espen Gaarder Haug, 2nd Edition, 2007, McGraw-Hill.

## A Useful

## Approximation

A trader might wonder whether it is possible to calculate a Black-Scholes value without using a computer. In general, the
answer is no; the computations are just too complex. However, there is
one type of approximation that many traders are able to make without too much difficulty.

## Suppose that an option is

 exactly at the money $(X=S)$ and that there is one year to expiration $(t=1)$. Suppose also that the interest rate is 0 $(r=0)$ and that volatility is 1 percent $(\sigma=0.01)$. This means that $\ln (S / X)=0$ and that $\sigma \sqrt{t}=0.01$. Calculating$d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, we get $d_{1}=\frac{0.01^{2} / 2}{0.01}=0.005$ and $d_{2}=\frac{-0.01^{2} / 2}{0.01}=0.005$

If we calculate $N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and
$N\left(d_{2}\right)$, we find that
$N\left(d_{1}\right)=0.501995$ and $N\left(d_{2}\right)=$

$$
0.498005
$$

Because the interest rate is 0 , the value of the call option must be

$$
\begin{gathered}
(S \times 0.501995)-(X \times \\
0.498005)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { If } X=S, \text { the value of the }
$$

## call is

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \times(0.501995-0.498005)= \\
X \times 0.003990 \\
\text { What does this number }
\end{gathered}
$$ tell us? For a one-year European option that is exactly at the forward (i.e., the forward price is equal to

the exercise price), for each percentage point of volatility, the expected value for the option is equal to the exercise price multiplied by 0.00399 . If the exercise price is 100 , the expected value is 0.00399 $\times 100=0.399$ for each percentage point in volatility. Why doesn't this value change as we increase volatility? Although the first percentage point of volatility

## may be worth 0.00399 , perhaps the second percentage point is worth either more 0.00399 . But recall from or less than Chapter 9 that the vega of an

 at-the-money option relativelyconstant
with
respect
volatility. to changes
volatility of 20 percent, the value of a 100 call should be

$$
20 \times 100 \times 0.00399=7.98
$$

At a volatility of 35 percent, the value should be

# $35 \times 100 \times 0.00399=13.965$ 

We also know that the theoretical value of an at-theforward option is proportional to its exercise price. If the value of a one-year 100 call at a volatility of 20 percent is 7.98,

## under

 thesame
conditions, the value of an at-the-forward 50 call should be

## $20 \times 50 \times 0.00399=3.99$

and the value of a 125 call should be
$20 \times 125 \times 0.00399=9.975$

## We can further refine

 our approximation if we note that an at-the-money option is made up entirely of time value and that the time value of an option is proportional to the square root of time. If aone-year 100 call is worth 7.98 at a volatility of 20 percent, the same call with six months to expiration $(t=$ 0.5 ) must be worth

# everything together, for an 

 exactlyat-the-forward
European
option,
the
expected value at expiration
is approximately ${ }^{3}$

and the theoretical value is 4

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{X \times(\sigma \times 100) \times \sqrt{t} \times 0.00399}{1+r \times t} \\
\text { This approximation applies }
\end{gathered}
$$

## to both calls and puts because

 under put-call parity, an exactly at-the-forward European call and put must have the same value. For example, if volatility is 18 percent, what is the expected value of a threemonth $(t=1 / 4)$ at-the-forward option with an exercise price of 65?If interest rates are 4 percent, the option's
theoretical
approximately
actually be slightly greater than the true Black-Scholes value. This is because the vega of an at-the-money option declines slightly as we increase volatility, and this decline is magnified with greater time to expiration. This can be seen in Figure 914: the vega of an at-themoney option, although relatively constant with respect to changes in volatility, does in fact decline
slightly with increasing volatility. If, in our example, we raise the volatility to 40 percent and increase the time to expiration to two years, the approximation for the expected value is
$65 \times 40 \times \sqrt{2} \times 0.00399=65 \times 40 \times 1.414 \times 0.00399 \approx 14.67$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { while the actual Black- } \\
& \text { Scholes expected value is } \\
& 14.48 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The reader who

is

## familiar with the <br> characteristics of a standard

 normal distributionsignificance of the value
0.00399. Referring to Figure 18-2, for a standard normal distribution with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 , the peak of the distribution has a value of approximately
0.399
(more
exactly,
0.398942 ).
Because
represents $1 / 100$ of a standard deviation, the value from the model is $0.399 / 100$ 0.00399 .

## The Delta

In the Black-Scholes model, the delta of an option is equal to $N\left(d_{1}\right)$. When we defined the delta in Chapter 7, we suggested that the delta
is approximately the probability that an option will finish in the money. But we now know that the true probability that an option will finish in the money is equal to $N\left(d_{2}\right)$. Although $N\left(d_{1}\right)$ and $N\left(d_{2}\right)$ are often very close in value, especially for shortterm options, $N\left(d_{1}\right)$ (the delta $)$ is always larger than $N\left(d_{2}\right)$.

## For a call option that is

 at the forward, the delta will
# be greater than 50 , even if only slightly. Because we know that 

# Put delta $=$ call delta -100 

the delta of a put will be less than -50 in absolute value. This means that an at-the-forward straddle will have a positive delta. If a call and put have the same exercise price, at what forward price will the delta of the call and

# put be identical? This will 

 occur when $d_{1}$ is exactly 0 . A straddle will therefore be exactly delta neutral when$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solving, for } S \text {, we get } \\
& \left.\left.\qquad S=X e^{-[r+(\sigma 2} / 2\right)\right]^{\mathrm{t}} \\
& \text { For a straddle to be exactly } \\
& \text { delta neutral, the forward }
\end{aligned}
$$

price will be less than the exercise price by a factor of

$$
e^{\left.-\left[r+\sigma^{2} / 2\right)\right] t}
$$

As time or volatility
increases, the forward price at which the straddle is delta neutral drops further and further below the exercise price-the call goes further out of the money, and the put goes further into the money. With a 0 interest rate, the
underlying price at which a 100 straddle will be exactly delta neutral is shown in Figure 18-7. At very low volatilities, the delta-neutral price is close to 100 . But, at very high volatilities and with increasing time to expiration, the delta-neutral price is well below 100 .

Figure 18-7 The underlying price at which a straddle is exactly deltaneutral.


## The Theta

## Of all the sensitivities

derived
from
the
Black-

Scholes model, the formula for theta is probably the most complex. Depending on the underlying instrument and the option settlement procedure, the passage of time affects option values in three different ways. First, there is

# a decay in the option's 

 volatility value-as time passes, the distribution of possible prices at expiration becomes more restricted. This is represented by the first term in the theta formula
# Second, for an underlying 

 contract such as stock, the spot price is assumed to movetoward the forward price as time passes. This is represented by the second term in the theta formula

$$
(b-r) S e^{(b-r) t} N\left(d_{1}\right.
$$

## Finally, the present value

 of the option's expected value at expiration is changing as time passes. This appears in the formula as$$
r X e^{-r t} N\left(d_{2}\right)
$$

## We know from put-call

 parity that the volatility value for a call and put with identical contract specifications must be the same. The sign of the first component, the decay in volatility value,must therefore be the same for calls and puts. The other two theta components depend on the
effects of interest rates and may be either positive or negative depending on the settlement procedure and whether the option is a call or a put.

> The decay in volatility value is almost always more important than interest considerations and will tend to dominate the theta calculation. If interest rates are 0 or if options on futures
are subject to futures-type settlement, the second and third components in the theta formula will be 0 , leaving only the volatility decay component. In this case, the volatility decay component, sometimes referred to as the driftless theta, will be the sole factor that determines how an option's theoretical value changes as time passes.

## Maximum Gamma,

## Theta, and Vega

## In Chapter 7, we suggested

## that an <br> option <br> has <br> its

maximum gamma, theta, and vega when it is exactly at the money. But, just as we tend to assign a delta of 50 to an at-the-money option, this is only an approximation. Where does the maximum gamma, theta, and vega really

## occur?

## Without going into the

 mathematical derivation, we can summarize the critical underlying prices as follows:Delta of 50:
Maximum gamma': Maximum theta ${ }^{5}$ : Maximum vega ${ }^{5}$ :
$S=X e^{\left.-b-\sigma^{2} / 2\right) t}$
$S=X_{e^{\left(-h-3 \sigma^{2} / 2\right) t}}$
$S=X_{e}\left(b+\sigma^{2} / 2\right) t$
$S=X e^{-b+\sigma^{2} / 2 t}$

If $b=0$, the maximum
gamma and theta will occur at an underlying price that is higher than the exercise price, and the maximum vega will occur at an underlying price that is lower than the exercise price. Moreover, the maximum gamma and theta will occur at the same underlying price. This is shown in Figure 18-8 for a one-year option with an exercise price of 100 . If we raise interest rates $(b>0)$, the
underlying price at which the maximum gamma and vega occur will fall, and the underlying price where the maximum theta occurs will rise. This is shown in Figure 18-9.

Figure 18-8 At an interest rate of zero, the underlying price at which the maximum gamma, theta, and vega occur.*


# *We can also relate the 

 critical underlying prices to the higher-order risk measures. If we ignore the extremes, where the option is either very deeply in the money or very far out of the money, the maximum gamma will occur when the option's speed is 0 . The maximum theta willoccur when the option's charm is 0 . The maximum vega will occur
when the option's vanna is 0.

Figure 18-9 At an interest rate of 4 percent, the underlying price at which the maximum gamma, theta, and vega will occur.


## We might also consider

 what will happen to the vega of an option as we change time. The answer may seem obvious becausealways increases with time-long-term options are more sensitive to $a$ change in volatility short-term options. But this is true only if the underlying price is
equal to the forward price, as it is assumed to be when evaluating options on futures. If we evaluate a stock option, the forward price for stock is a function of both time and interest rates. If interest rates are greater than 0 , and assuming no dividends, as we increase time, the forward price will increase, causing the option to become either more or less at the forward.
Because
an
at-the-forward
option tends to have the highest vega, changing time can cause the vega of an option to either rise or fall. This means that under some conditions, it is possible for the vega of a stock option to decline if we increase to expiration. We can see this effect in Figure 18-10.

Figure 18-10 Vega as time and interest change.


# With an underlying 

stock price of 100 , a volatility
of 20 percent, and interest rate of 0 , the vega of a 100 call always increases as we increase time to expiration. But as we raise interest rates, there is some point in time at which the opposite occurs the option's vega begins to decline as we increase time to expiration. At an interest rate of 10 percent, this occurs if

## there are more than 33

 months remainingis 10 months remaining to expiration.

We can also see where these critical points are by looking at a graph of the vega decay, as shown in Figure 1811. At an interest rate of 0 , the vega decay is always positive. At an interest rate of

10 percent, the vega decay is positive with less than 33 months to expiration but negative with more than 33 months. And at an interest rate of 20 percent, the vega decay is positive with less than 10 months to expiration and negative with more than 10 months.

Figure 18-11 Vega decay as time and interest change.

${ }^{1}$ The Black-Scholes model is sometimes referred to as the Black-Scholes-Merton model because Robert Merton, originally associated with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, contributed significantly to the theory of option pricing. Merton and Scholes were jointly awarded the Nobel Prize in Economics in 1997 for their work on option pricing. Fischer Black, sadly, died in 1995.
$\underline{2}$. We could in fact drop $r t$ and at the same time replace $S$ with its forward price Sert. The values are the same: $\ln (S / X)+r t=\ln (\operatorname{Ser} t / X)$.
$\underline{3}^{3}$ To further simplify this
approximation, many traders round
.00399 to .004 . This leads to what is sometimes referred to as the $40 \%$ rule: the expected value of an at-the-forward option is equal to approximately $40 \%$ of one standard deviation, where one standard deviation is equal to $F \times \sigma \sqrt{ }$. $4^{4}$ For a more exact calculation, $1+r \times t$ can be replaced by $e^{r t}$.


## Binomial

## Option Pricing

The Black-Scholes model is the most widely used of all theoretical option pricing models. Unfortunately, a full understanding of the model requires some familiarity with
advanced mathematics. In the late 1970 s , three professors, John Cox of the
Massachusetts Institute
of Technology, Stephen Ross of Yale University, and Mark Rubinstein of the University of California at Berkeley, were trying to develop a method of explaining basic option pricing theory to their students without advanced mathematics. The
method they proposed,
binomial option pricing, $\frac{1}{}$ is not only relatively easy to understand, but the binomial model (also known as the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model) that resulted from this approach can be used to price some options (primarily American options) that cannot be priced using the Black-Scholes model.

## A Risk-Neutral

## World

## Consider a security that is

 currently trading at 100 and that, on some day in the future, can take on one of two prices, 120 and 90. Assuming that there are no interest or dividend considerations, would you rather buy or sell this security at today's price of 100 ?
## Instinctively, it seems

 that one would rather be long this security at a price of 100 than short the security at the same price. After all, the security can go up 20 but down only 10 .

## The decision to go long

 is probably based on the assumption that the likelihood of the price rising and falling is the same, 50 percent. But why should the probabilities be the same? Perhaps the probability of movement in one direction is greater than the probability of movement in the other direction. Indeed, there should be some probability of upwardmovement $p$ and downward movement $1-p$ such that an investor will be indifferent as to whether he buys or sells the security. For an investor to be indifferent, the total expected value must be equal to the current price of 100
$p \times 120+(1-p) \times 90=100$

Solving for $p$, we get $120 p+90-90 p=100 \gg$

$$
30 p=10 \gg p=1 / 3
$$

# We can confirm that this is correct by doing the arithmetic 

$$
1 / 3 \times 120+2 / 3 \times 90=40+60
$$

$$
=100
$$

security price, we can
generalize this approach by
defining $u$ and $d$ as multipliers that represent the
magnitudes of the upward and downward moves. This results in a one-period
binomial tree:


## In a risk-neutral world,

$$
p S u+(1-p) S d=S
$$

## Solving for $p$,

$p(S u)+(1-p) S d=S \gg p u+$
$d-p d=1 \gg p=(1-d) /(u-$ d)

In our original example, $u$ and $d$ were 1.20 and 0.90 , respectively, with $p$ equal to

## What should $p$ and $1-p$

 be for a non-dividend-paying stock? For an investor to be indifferent to buying or selling, the risk neutral probabilities must yield a value that is equal to the forward price for the stock $S(1+r \times t)$. Therefore,
## Valuing an Option

## Suppose that we want to

 value an option using a oneperiod binomial tree. We know at expiration that an option is worth exactly its intrinsic value, the maximum of $[S-X, 0]$ for a call and the maximum of $[X-S, 0]$ for aput. In a one-period binomial tree, the expected value of a call is


## The theoretical value of the

 call is the present value of the expected value
$1+i \times 1$

## Using the same reasoning,

 the theoretical value of the put is
$1+r \times t$

Suppose that we expand our binomial tree to two periods each of length $t / 2$ and also make the assumption that $u$ and $d$ are multiplicative inverses. Then
$d=1 / u \gg u=1 / d \gg u d=d u$ $=1$
This means that an up move followed by a down move or a down move followed by an up move results in the same price. If the magnitudes of the up and down moves $u$ and $d$ are the same at every branch in our tree, then in a risk-neutral world, the probability of an upward move will always be

## $p=\frac{[1+(r \times t / n)]-d}{u-d}$

and the probability of a down move will always be 1 $-p$.

# There are now three possible prices for the underlying at expiration 

 _Suu, Sud, and Sdd. There is only one path that will lead to either Suu or Sdd. But there are two possible paths to the middle price Sud. The underlying can go up and then down or down and then up. The theoretical value of a call in the two-period example is

## $|1+x| /\left.2\right|^{2}$

## The value of a put is

 $\mid 1+1 \times 1 / 22^{2}$
Using this approach, we

## can expand our binomial tree

 to any number of periods.If
$n=$ number of periods in the binomial tree $\begin{array}{ll}t=\text { time } & \text { to } \\ \text { expiration } & \text { in }\end{array}$
years
$r=$ annual
interest rate

# the possible terminal <br> underlying prices are <br> $S u^{j} d(n-j)$ for $j=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ 

## The number of paths that

 will lead to each terminal price is given by the binomial expansion ${ }^{2}$

The values of a European call and put are

# A Three-Period 

Example
Suppose that

$$
n=3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=100 \\
& t=9 \text { months } \\
& (0.75 \text { year }) \\
& r=4 \text { percent } \\
& (0.04) \\
& u=1.05 \\
& d=1 / u \quad \approx \\
& 0.9524
\end{aligned}
$$

## Then the values of $p$ and 1

## $-p$ are

# $$
p=\frac{(1+r \times t / n)-d}{u-d}=\frac{(1+0.03 / 3)-0.9524}{1.05-0.9524}=0.59
$$ <br> $$
1-p=1-0.59=0.41
$$ <br> The complete three-period binomial tree is shown in Figure 19-1. 3 

Figure 19-1 A three-period binomial tree.

Stock price $(S)=100.00$
Number of periods $(n)=3$
Time to expration $(t)=9$ months $=.75$
Annual interes irate $(\mathrm{r})=4.00 \%$
$u=1.05$
$d=1 / 1.05=.9524$
$p=\frac{1+(.04 \times .75 / 3)-.9524}{1.05-.9524}=\frac{.0576}{.0976} \approx .59$
$1-p=1-59=41$

## Using the three-period

 binomial tree, what should be the value of a 100 call and a 100 put?| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Werminal lofall } \\ & \text { Price Valus } \end{aligned}$ |  | Probelily | Numberol <br> Paths |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $115 \% 6$ |  | $0590690059=0250$ | 1 | 02364 |
| 105.00 500 | 0 | $059 \times 0.59 \times 0.41=0.1427$ | 3 | 0.488 |
| 984 | 4.76 | $059 \times 0.4 \times 0.41=00989$ | 3 | $0.09 \%$ |
| 88.38 | 1362 | $0.4 \times 0.41 \times 0.41=00660$ | 1 | 0.060 |
| The value of the 100 call is |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## The value of the 100 put is



## If the values for the 100

## call and put are correct, they

 should be consistent with putcall parity

We can check this by first
calculating the forward price for the stock. Because we are compounding interest over three time periods, the forward price is

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=100 \times(1+0.75 \times \\
0.04 / 3)^{3}=100 \times 1.0303= \\
103.03
\end{gathered}
$$

## Then


which is indeed equal to $C$ $-P$

$$
5.22-2.28=2.94
$$

## Binomial Notation

When constructing binomial tree, it is customary to denote each price in the tree as $S_{i, j}$, where $i, j=0,1$, $2, \ldots$. The value of $i$
locates $S$ along the tree
moving from left to right. The value of $j$ locates $S$ moving from bottom to top. A fiveperiod binomial tree using this notation is shown in Figure 19-2.

Figure 19-2 Binomial notation for a five-period binomial tree.


## Instead of filling in a

binomial
tree with
the underlying prices $S_{i, j}$ at each node, we can instead fill in the tree with option values, either $C_{i, j}$ for calls or $P_{i, j}$ for puts. Figure 19-3 shows the value of a 100 call at each node along the binomial tree in Figure 19-1. The terminal values $C_{3, j}$ are simply the maximum of either $S_{3_{j}}-100$
or 0. For $S_{3,3}=115.76$, the value of the call $C_{3,3}$ is equal to $115.76-100=15.76$; for $S_{3,2}=105.00$, the value of the call $C_{3,2}$ is equal to 105.00 $100=5.00$. For $S_{3,1}=95.24$ and $S_{3,0}=86.38$, the 100 call is out of the money, so both $C_{3,1}$ and $C_{3,0}$ are 0.

Figure 19-3 A call value at any point along the binomial tree.

It's obvious what thevalue of the 100 call is at
expiration, either intrinsic
value or 0 . But what should be the value of the call at other nodes along the tree? To determine these values, we can work backwards from the terminal values using the probabilities of upward and downward moves and discounting by interest to determine the present value.

For example, what is the value of $C_{2,2}$ ? We know that there is a 59 percent chance that at $S_{2,2}$ the stock will move up in price, in which case the option will be worth 15.76. We also know that there is a 41 percent chance that the stock will move down in price, in which case the option will be worth 5.00 . The expected value of the option at $C_{2,2}$ is therefore
know that there is a 41 percent chance that the stock will move down in price, in which case the option will be worth 5.00. The expected value of the option at $C_{2,2}$ is therefore
$(0.59 \times 15.76)+(0.41 \times 5.00)$ $=11.35$

## The theoretical value of the

 option at $C_{2,2}$ is the presentvalue of 11.35


Using the same reasoning, the theoretical value of the option at $C_{2,1}$ is


The value of the option at $C_{2,0}$ must be 0 because either
an upward or downward move results in a value of 0 . We can express the value of a call at any point along the binomial tree as

along the tree, we come finally to $C_{0,0}$, the option's initial theoretical value. Of course, we already know

## from our previous calculation

 that this value is 5.22 , so why go through the process of calculating the call value at every point along the binomial tree? The reason for calculating these intermediate values is that they not only enable us to determine some of the risk sensitivities associated with the option, but also, as we will see later, they enable us to calculate the value of an American option.
## The Delta

## We know the initial value

 of the 100 call, 5.22. But what is the option's delta at $C_{0,0}$ ? The delta is the change in the option's value with respect to movement in the price of the underlying contract. We can express this as a fractionAs we move from $C_{0,0}$ to either $C_{1,1}$ or $C_{1,0}$, the option will go up in value to 7.75 or down in value to 1.71 . At the same time, the stock will move up in price to 105.00 or down in price to 95.24 . The delta is therefore

format, the initial delta of the 100 call is 62 .

We can calculate the delta at every point along the binomial tree by dividing the change in the option's value by the change in the underlying price

stock price, the value of the 100 call, and the delta of the call at every node along the binomial tree.

Figure 19-4 Delta of an option using a binomial tree.


## In Chapter 8, we showed

 that the dynamic hedging process enables us to capture the difference between an option's value and its price. We can see this same principal at work in the binomial model. Returning to Figure 19-4, suppose that we buy the 100 call at its theoretical value of 5.22 and create a delta-neutral hedge $(\Delta=62)$ by selling 62 percentof an underlying stock contract at a price of 100 . What will be the result if we hold the position for one time period?

If the stock price moves up to 105.00 , the option will be worth 7.75 , resulting in a profit on the option of 7.75 $5.22=2.53$. At the same time, we will lose $0.62 \times(100$ $-105)=-3.10$ on the stock position, giving us a loss on

## the hedge of

$$
+2.53-3.10=-0.57
$$

If the stock price moves down to 95.24 , the option will be worth 1.71 , resulting in a loss on the option of 1.71 $5.22=-3.51$. At the same time, we will make $0.62 \times$ $(100-95.24)=2.95$ on the stock position, giving us a loss on the hedge of

$$
-3.51+2.95=-0.56
$$

It seems that we will lose money, either 0.56 or 0.57 , regardless of whether the stock moves up or down in price. In fact, both numbers are the same, the difference being due to a rounding error in our calculations (the true delta is 61.88). But this still leaves us with a loss when option pricing theory says we ought to break even.

Recall that when we bought the option and sold stock, the cash flow was a credit to our account of
$-5.22+0.62 \times 100=+56.78$

At an interest rate over this time period of 1.00 percent, we are able to earn interest on this credit of

$$
0.01 \times 56.78 \approx+0.57
$$

## Including this in our

calculations, we do in fact just break even.

# If we go through the 

 delta-neutral rehedging process at every node in the tree, taking into consideration the value of the hedge as well as any interest considerations, regardless of the path the stock follows, at expiration, we will break exactly even. It therefore follows that if weare able to buy an option at a price less than theoretical value or sell an option at a price greater than theoretical value, we will show a profit at expiration equal to the difference between the price at which we traded the option and its theoretical value. This is the principle of dynamic hedging described in Chapter 8.

## The Gamma

## The gamma of an option is

 the change in the option's delta with respect to movement in the price of the underlying contract. As we did with the delta, we can express the gamma as a fraction
## In Figure 19-4, we can see

 that as we move from $C_{0,0}$ toeither
$C_{1,1}$
or
$C_{1,0}$,
the
option's delta will either go up to 81 or down to 31 . At the same time, the stock will either move up to 105.00 or move down to 95.24. The gamma is therefore

The initial gamma of the 100 call is 5.1.

We can calculate the gamma at any point along the binomial tree by dividing the change in the option's delta by the change in the underlying price


## The Theta

The theta is the change in an option's value as time passes, assuming everything else, including the underlying price, remains unchanged. In a binomial model, at each time period, the underlying price is assumed to move either up or down. The underlying price remains unchanged
only
after
two
time periods, when the underlying price either goes up and down or down and up. To approximate the theta, we must therefore consider the change in the option's value over two time periods.

## In Figure 19-4, we can

see that as we move from $C_{0,0}$ to $C_{2,1}$, the value of the 100 call drops from 5.22 to 2.92, for a loss in value of 2.30 . If we want to estimate the daily
theta, we can divide by the number of days during this two-period time
$\frac{0.75 \times 365}{3}=91.25 \quad \frac{-2.30}{91.25}=-0.0252$

We can approximate the daily theta at any point along the tree as


## Vega and Rho

## It would be convenient if

 we could use the same simple arithmetic to calculate the vega and rho that we used to calculate the delta, gamma, and theta. Unfortunately, there is no simple solution to the volatility and interest-rate sensitivities. To determine the vega, we must change the volatility input-we will seeshortly how we determine this input-and then see how the option's value changes. To determine the rho, we must change the interest-rate input.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The Values of } \boldsymbol{u} \text { and } \boldsymbol{d} \\
& \text { We have chosen the } \\
& \text { upward move } u \text { and } \\
& \text { downward move } d \text { so that } \\
& \text { they form a recombining }
\end{aligned}
$$

binomial tree. The terminal price for the security is independent of the order in which the price moves occur. Whether the security moves up first and then down or down first and then up, the result is the same

$$
u \times d=d \times u
$$

If the upward and
downward moves were not
recombining, the number of
calculations would be greatly increased because each node on the binomial tree would yield a completely new set of upward and downward values. multiplicative inverse of each other

$$
u \times d=d \times u=1.00
$$

# security makes an upward 

move followed by
downward
downward move followed by an upward underlying
price will be same price at which it began. If $u$ and $d$ were not inverses, there would be a drift in the underlying price. If, for example, $u$ and $d$ were chosen to be 1.25 and 0.75 , then there would
downward drift because

# $u \times d=1.25 \times 0.75=0.9375$ 

## In order to calculate the

 theta, as we did previously, we need to eliminate the drift in the underlying price. This will be true if $u$ and $d$ are multiplicative inverses.
## Other

than
the
restrictions that $u$ and $d$ are inverses and result in a driftless underlying price, we have not specified exactly what the values of $u$ and $d$
should be. It will not come as a surprise that $u$ and $d$ must be derived from the volatility input. If we want binomial values to approximate BlackScholes values, $u$ and $d$ must be chosen in such a way that the terminal prices approximate a lognormal distribution. We can achieve this by defining $u$ and $d$ as a one standard deviation price change over each time period in our binomial tree

# In our three-period 

 example, what volatility does $u=1.05$ represent? To determine this, we can work backwards to solve for the volatility $\sigma$$u=1.05=e^{\sigma \sqrt{75 / 3}}=e^{\sigma \sqrt{25}}=e^{0.5 \sigma}$

## Taking the natural

logarithm of each side, we get

# $\ln (1.05)=\ln \left(\mathrm{e}^{0.5 \sigma}\right) \gg 0.0488$ $=0.5 \sigma \gg \sigma=0.0976(9.76 \%)$ <br> In our three-period example, we used a volatility of 9.76 percent. 

## Gamma Rent

In theory, every volatility position in the option market represents a tradeoff between the cash flow created by the
dynamic hedging process and the decay in the option's value as time passes. A positive gamma, negative theta position will make money through dynamic hedging
but lose money through time decay. A negative gamma, positive theta position will perform just the opposite, losing money through dynamic hedging but making money through time decay. Traders
sometimes refer to volatility trading as renting the gamma, with the rental costs being equal to the theta. Over a given time period, how much movement is required in the underlying contract to offset the effects of time decay? We can give an approximate answer by going back to our binomial tree. We know that a deltaneutral position taken at
theoretical value will just break even if the underlying contract moves either up by $u$ or down by $d$. The magnitudes of the $u$ and $d$ are equal to


But these values are equal to a one standard deviation price change over the time interval $t / n$. Therefore, over any interval of time, the
amount of price movement needed in the underlying contract to just break even must be equal to one standard deviation.

## The reason that this is

 only an approximation is that while $u$ and $d$ re-main constant, thetachanges, sometime very rapidly, as time passes. For very short time intervals or with a great deal of time remaining to

# expiration, this approximation 

 will be reasonably accurate. However, over longer time intervals or with very little time remaining to expiration, the changes in the theta will cause the approximation to be less accurate.American Options
Let's go back to our threeperiod binomial tree in Figure
principles of option pricing: at every node, put-call parity is maintained; the absolute values of call and put deltas always add up to 100 ; and the call and put gammas are identical.

Figure 19-5 The value of a 100 put at any point along the binomial tree.


## If we assume that the

 100 put is European and cannot be exercised early, the only reason to calculate the intermediate values is to determine the delta and gamma. But suppose that the 100 put is American. Might there be any reason to exercise the option prior to expiration?> Look closely at the value
of the 100 put at $P_{2,0}$ in Figure 19-5. The theoretical value of the put is 8.31. But with an underlying price of 90.70 the put has an intrinsic value of 9.30. If the put is American, anyone holding the put under those conditions will choose to exercise it early. If we are using a binomial tree to evaluate an American option, we might compare the value of the

# European 

 intrinsic value at each node. If the intrinsic value is greater than the European value, we can replace the value at that node with the option's intrinsic value and then continue to work backwards to determine the option's value at each preceding node. If we replace the value at $P_{2,0}$ with 9.30 , the put value at $P_{1,0}$ will be$$
\frac{(0.59 \times 1.93)+(0.41 \times 9.30)}{1.01}=\mathbf{4 . 9 0}
$$

We need to replace the European value of 4.50 at $P_{1,0}$ with the American value of 4.90 .

Finally, the initial value, $P_{0,0}$, is

$$
\frac{(0.59 \times 0.78)+(0.41 \times 4.90)}{1.01}=2.44
$$

Because the delta and
gamma are calculated from option values at every node, these new values will affect the calculation of the delta and gamma for an American option. The initial delta of the 100 put if it is American is


## The delta of the European

 100 put was -38 , but the delta of American 100 put is -42 .The values for an American 100 put at every node are shown in Figure 19-6. Because the delta is affected by the possibility of early exercise, the gamma will also be affected. The gamma for the 100 put is now

Figure 19-6 The value of an American 100 put.


rather than a gamma of 5.1 for the European option.

## Dividends

How does the possibility of early exercise affect the value of a call? If we look at the call value at every node in

# Figure 19-4, we find that at no point is it less than intrinsic value. This means 

 that the European and American values must be the same. And, indeed, we know from Chapter 16 that if a stock does not pay a dividend over the life of the option, there is never any reason to exercise an American stock option call early.But what if the stock
does pay a dividend? Suppose that the stock in Figure 19-1 will pay a dividend of 2.00 at some point during the last time period. When a stock pays a dividend, its price typically drops by the amount of the dividend. Consequently, each terminal price in our binomial tree will be reduced by the amount of the dividend, 4 as shown in Figure 19-7. (The terminal
values if there is no dividend are shown in parentheses.) If we want to calculate the value of the 100 call, we can use these new terminal prices. Then, as before, we can use the probabilities $p$ and $1-p$ to calculate the theoretical value and delta of the 100 call at each node of the binomial tree. These values are shown in Figure 19-8.

## Figure 19-7 A binomial tree with

 dividend payment

## Figure 19-8 The value of a European call on a dividend-paying stock.



## The value for the 100

 call in Figure 19-8 is a European value because we never considered the possibility of early exercise. But look more closely at the value of the call one time period prior to expiration with the stock price at 110.25 . The theoretical value of the 100 call is 9.26 . But, with a stock price of 110.25 , the call has an intrinsic value of10.25. If the call is American, anyone holding the call under these conditions will choose to exercise it early. As we did with an American put, at each node, we can compare the European value of the call with its intrinsic value. If the intrinsic value is greater than the European value, we can replace the value at that node with the option's intrinsic value and then continue to
work backwards to determine
the option's value at each preceding node. The initial value of the call, $C_{0,0}$, will
then be the value of an American call. The complete binomial tree for the
American 100 call is shown in Figure 19-9.

## Figure 19-9 The value of an

 American call on a dividend-paying stock.

## If we want to construct a

 binomial tree for a dividendpaying stock, it might seem that we can simply reduce all stock prices following the dividend payment by the amount of the dividend. In Figure 19-7, where the dividend was paid over the last time period, this reduced the terminal prices by 2.00 . But suppose that the dividend is paid during the next-to-last
# time period, as shown in 

 Figure 19-10. The stock prices at the following nodes are reduced by 2.00 . But look at what happens when we continue to calculate stock prices using $u=1.05$ and $d=$ 0.9524 . The subsequent stock prices do not recombine.Each node begins a new binomial tree. In our threeperiod binomial tree, this may not seem like a significant problem.
can still
calculate the value of an option using the terminal stock prices (now there are six terminal prices instead of four) and then work backward to determine the option's theoretical value. The value for the 100 call using our new binomial tree is shown in Figure 19-11.

Figure 19-10 The value of an American call on a dividend-paying stock.

Stock price ( $(3)=100.00$
Number of periods $(n)=3$
Time to expiation ( $(\mathrm{t})=9$ months $=.75$
Annual interest rate $(t)=4.00 \%$
$u=1.05$
$d=1 / 1.05=.9524$
Dividend
$p=\frac{1+(.04 \times .753)-.9524}{1.05-.9524}=\frac{.0576}{.0976}=.59 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { payment } \\ & \text { of } 2.20\end{aligned}$
$1-p=1-59=.41$

## Figure 19-11 A binomial tree with

 an early dividend payment.Stock price $(S)=100.00$
Number of periods $(\mathrm{n})=3$
Time lo expration (t) $=9$ months $=.75$
Annual interest rate $(t)=4,00 \%$
$u=1.05$
$d=1 / 1.05 \approx .9524$
Dividend
payment
$p=\frac{1+(.04 \times .753)-.0524}{1.05-.9524}=\frac{.0576}{.0976}=.59$
$1-p=1-.59=.41$

What if there are
multiple dividend payments over the life of the option? And what if our binomial tree consists of many time periods?

Because
each
dividend payment generates a new set of binomial prices, the number of calculations required to value an option will be greatly increased, perhaps to the point of being unwieldy. This presents a
problem to which there is no ideal solution. Perhaps the simplest way to handle dividend payments is to create a complete binomial tree without dividends and then reduce the stock price at each node by the total amount of dividends. An example of this is shown in Figure 19-12, which represents an approximation of the call option value generated in Figure $\quad 19-11$. Instead
of

# generating new binomial 

 prices after the dividend payment, we have simply reduced all subsequent values by the 2.00 amount of the dividend. We can see that this is only an approximation. The call values in Figure 19-12 tend to be slightly larger than the values in Figure 19-11.Figure 19-12 The value of an

American call on a dividend-paying stock.

## One final comment

 about the values for $p$ and $1-$ $p$. We typically expect a probability to fall between 0 to 1.00 , that is, somewhere between "no chance" and "absolute certainty." However, this is not necessarily true for $p$ and 1 $p$. Consider the conditions in Figure 19-11:$$
\text { Stock price } S=
$$

100
Time
expiration $t=$
9 months
Number of
periods $n=3$
Interest rate $r$
$=4$ percent
$u=1.05$
$d=1 / u=$
0.9524

The values for $p$ and $1-p$
resulting from these values are 0.59 and 0.41 , respectively. But suppose that we are in a high inflationary climate and that instead of setting $r$ equal to 4 percent, we set $r$ equal to 40 percent. The new values of $p$ and $1-p$ will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(1+r \times t / n)-d}{u-d}=\frac{(1+0.1)-0.9524}{1.05-0.9524}=1.51 \\
1-p=1-1.51=-0.51
\end{gathered}
$$

# Thus $p$ and $1-p$ no longer look like traditional 

 probabilities: $p$ exceeds 1.00 , and $1-p$ is negative. In fact, $p$ and $1-p$ can fall outside the range for a typical probability. For this reason, they are sometimes referred to as pseudoprobabilities. What is the implication of $p$ being greater than 1.00 and $1-p$ being less than 0 ? This means that the potential
## for movement in the

 underlying stock is not sufficiently large to offset the interest loss should we buy the stock. In our example with $u=1.05$, if the stock price always rises over each time period, we will show a profit of 5 percent. But with an interest rate of 30 percent, we would always be better off leaving our money in thebank and earning interest over each three-month time

## period of

$$
0.30 / 4=7.5 \%
$$

Of course, if we increase
the stock volatility by increasing the value of $u$, then the potential profit from investing in the stock will go up. If we choose a large enough value for $u$, the values for $p$ and $1-p$ will indeed fall between 0 and 1.00. Because the value for $u$ must
be greater than $1+r \times t / n$, with an interest rate of 30 percent, $u$ must be greater than

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+0.3 \times 0.75 / 3=1.075 \\
& \text { As we did with the }
\end{aligned}
$$ Black-Scholes model, we can use the binomial model to evaluate options on different underlying instruments. The binomial model

and its variations are
shown
in

Figure 19-13.
Figure 19-13

If $S=$ the spot priceor underfying price
$\mathrm{X}=$ the exerciseprice
$t=$ the time to expiration, inyears
$r=$ the domesticinterestrate
$\sigma=$ the annualizedvolatility or standard deviation, in percent
$n=$ the number of periods in the binomial tree
$u=e^{9 * / n}$
$d=1 / u=e-0 . t / n$
$p=[(1+b t / n)-d] /[u-d]$

$$
\text { Put } \left.=\frac{1}{(1+r / n)^{n}} \sum_{0=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} \times(1-p)^{n-1} x \max x X-\operatorname{Su} d^{n-1}, 0\right]
$$

The variations on the binomia model are determined by the values of rand $b$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } b=r>0 \text { : Thebinomial modelforoptionson stock } \\
& b=r=0 \text {. The binomial modelfor options onfutures where the options are } \\
& \text { subjecttofutures-typesettlement } \\
& b=0 \text { and } r>0 \text { : The binomial model for options onfutures wherethe options are } \\
& \text { subject to stock-typesertlement } \\
& b=r-r \text {; The binomial model for options on foreign currencies, wherer, is the } \\
& \text { foreigninterestrate }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 

## 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta P=\left(P_{1}-P\right) /(S \quad-S
\end{aligned}
$$

## 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}=\left(\mathbb{P}_{P}--P_{P}\right) /\left(S_{S}-S_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta R_{0}\left(P_{t},-P\right) \mid t
\end{aligned}
$$

## How close are option

values

## binomial

generated
generated
model
by
the
to
by
a

Scholes model? This question only makes sense for European options because the Black-Scholes model cannot be used to evaluate American options. In our three-period binomial tree, the value of a European 100 call is 5.22, and the value of a 100 put is
2.28. Using the BlackScholes model, the values are 5.01 and 2.05. Both binomial values are greater than the true Black-Scholes values. We can increase the accuracy of the binomial model by increasing the number of time periods. In a four-period binomial tree, the values are 4.79 and 1.84. Figure 19-14 shows the difference between the Black-Scholes and binomial values for the 100
call as we increase the number of time periods from 1 to 10 . We can see that the error oscillates between positive and negative, with the absolute value of the error becoming smaller and smaller. Indeed, if we build a tree with an infinite number of time periods, the error will converge to 0 . The binomial and Black-Scholes values will be identical.

Figure 19-14 As we increase the number of periods, the binomial value converges to the Black-Scholes value.


## How many periods

 should we use in a binomial model? As we divide the time to expiration into smaller and smaller increments,
## Given the tradeoff between

 accuracy and speed,a
somewhere between 50 and 100 periods.

## The

accuracy
of
a
calculation
can be further increased by taking the average value generated by two periods, sometimes referred to as half-steps. For example, the 9 -period tree overvalues the 100 call by about
0.07
(Black-Scholes
value
binomial
value =
0.07 ), whereas the 10 -period
tree undervalues the call by about 0.09 . If we take the average of the $9-$ and 10 period values (a 9½-period value), the option is undervalued by only 0.01 . The results of this averaging procedure can be seen in Figure 19-14.
$\underline{1}^{1}$ John C. Cox, Stephen A. Ross, and Mark Rubinstein, "Option Pricing: A Simplified Approach," Journal of Financial Economics 7(3):229-263, 1979.
${ }^{2}$ The binomial expansion is sometimes

## written as

$\underline{3}$ For simplicity, binomial trees are often drawn symmetrically from top to bottom. However, this can be somewhat misleading. If drawn to scale, the branches typically become narrower as we move from top to bottom. We can see this in Figure 19-1: 115.76-105.00 $=10.76$ (the top two branches); 105.00
$-95.24=9.76$ (the middle two branches); $95.24-86.38=8.86$ (the bottom two branches). Because $10.76>$ $9.76>8.86$, the branches must be getting narrower.
$\underline{4}$ For simplicity, we ignore the interest that can be earned on the dividend payment. A more accurate binomial tree should also include this amount.


## Volatility Revisited

When a trader enters a volatility into a theoretical pricing model, what exactly is he feeding into the model? We know the mathematical definition of volatility-one
standard deviation, in percent terms, over a one-year period. Beyond this, we still have the question of interpretation. Does the number represent a realized volatility

## Consider this situation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Underlying } \\
& \text { price }=100.00 \\
& \text { Time } \\
& \text { expiration }=8 \\
& \text { weeks } \\
& \text { Interest rate }= \\
& 0 \\
& \text { Implied } \\
& \text { volatility }=20 \\
& \text { percent }
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that we buy the

100 straddle at a price equal to its implied volatility of 20 percent, in this case 6.25 . The position should be approximately delta neutral because both the 100 call and the 100 put are at the money. After we buy the straddle, implied volatility rises to 22 percent. How are we doing? We might instinctively assume that the position will show a profit because the

## increase in implied volatility

should be a reflection
of rising option prices. Indeed, if there is an immediate increase in implied volatility and all other conditions remain unchanged, the price of the 100 straddle will rise to 6.87 , resulting in a profit of

$$
6.87-6.25=+0.62
$$

## But suppose that implied

volatility slowly rises to 22
percent over a period of three weeks. Even though the increase in implied volatility will work in our favor, the passage of time will cause the options to decay. In fact, with the underlying contract still at 100.00 , the straddle will be worth only 5.43 , resulting in a loss of

$$
5.43-6.25=-0.82
$$

The benefits of rising
implied volatility were overwhelmed by the costs of time decay.
Now suppose that
instead of rising, implied volatility falls to 18 percent. How will this affect our position?

If there is an immediate
dec
with
no changes in any other market conditions, the price of the 100 straddle will fall to 5.62 , leaving us with a loss of

$$
5.62-6.25=-0.63
$$

## But suppose <br> that as

 implied volatility falls to 18 percent, the underlying price is also changing. We are now benefiting from a positive gamma. If the underlying price rises immediately to 105.00 , the 100 straddle will be worth 7.09 , resulting in a profit of$$
7.09-6.25=+0.84
$$

## If the underlying price

 moves in the other direction and falls immediately to 95.00 , the straddle will be worth 6.87 , now resulting in a profit of$$
6.87-6.25=+0.62
$$

The disadvantages of falling implied volatility were more than offset by the benefits of movement in the underlying stock price.

# This example illustrate 

## an important option trading:

 principleThe longer an option position is held, the more important is the realized volatility of the underlying contract and the less important is the implied volatility. If a position is held to

# expiration, realized volatility is the only consideration. 

 We saw this principle at work in
## Chapter 8

on
dynamic hedging. The deltaneutral adjustment process eventually determined whether a position would show a profit or loss, irrespective of any changes in implied volatility. This is not to say that implied volatility
is unimportant; prices are always important because they will often determine interim cash flows and capital requirements. But, in order to make sensible trading
decisions, we need to know value as well as price. In the final analysis, the value of an option position will be determined by the volatility of the underlying contract. Determining the right
volatility input can be a difficult and frustrating exercise, even for an experienced option trader. The forecasting of directional price movements, either through fundamental Or technical analysis,
1S

commonly studied area in trading, and there are many sources to which a trader can turn for information on these subjects. Unfortunately, volatility is a much newer
concept, and there is less to guide a trader. In spite of this difficulty, an option trader must make some effort to come up with a reasonable volatility input if he intends to use a theoretical pricing model to make trading decisions and manage risk.

## Historical Volatility

## volatility over the life of an

 option will eventuallydominate any changes in implied volatility, we will certainly want to give some thought to how we might predict future realized volatility. Such a prediction will often begin by looking at historical volatility data. How should we calculate historical volatility?

We know that volatility
represents a standard deviation. Two methods are commonly used to calculate a standard deviation, either


In each case, $x_{i}$ are the data points, $\mu$ is the mean of all data points, and $n$ is the total number of data points. The only difference between the

## two

denominator, either $n$ or $n-$ 1.

If we want to know the standard deviation of an entire population of data points, we can use the first method, dividing by $n$. This is known as the population standard deviation. Suppose, however, that we have a sample set of data points from a larger population, and we
want to use this sample to estimate the standard deviation of the entire population.

Because
our
sample is
limited,
we
are likely to miss some of the more extreme data points in the larger population. For this reason, our estimate of the standard deviation for the entire population is likely to be too low. To improve our estimate, we ought to increase the standard
deviation

# calculation. 

# commonly done by reducing 

 the size of the denominator from $n$ to $n-1$, resulting in a sample standard deviation of the larger population. Because historical volatility is most often used to estimate a future volatility, historical volatility calculations most often made using the sample standard deviation, that is, dividing by $n-1$.
## The data points $x_{i}$ in a

 volatility calculation are the price returns, either the percent change in the underlying price from one time period to the next
or, more commonly, the logarithmic change

# Time periods may be any length, but for exchangetraded contracts, returns are usually based on the price change from one day's 

 settlement to the next.
## In the standard deviation

 calculation, $\mu$ (the Greek letter mu) is the average of all price returns. Because thevolatility is the deviation from average, if a contract goes up 1 percent each day for 10 consecutive days, its volatility over the 10-day period is 0 ; the price change never deviated from its average. To most traders, this feels wrong. The upward moves of 1 percent ought to represent some volatility other than 0. In fact, most historical volatility
calculations use a zero-mean
assumption: $\mu$ is always assumed to be 0 regardless of the actual mean.

## When calculating

 historical volatility, traders typically exclude weekends and holidays, resulting in a trading year of between 250 and 260 days. But one might also calculate volatility using all 365 days, assigning a 0 price change to nontrading days. This method might beappropriate when trying to compare the volatilities of
products traded
on two different exchanges with different trading calendars. The two methods will obviously yield slightly
historical
volatilities. But, if historical volatility is used as a general guideline to future realized volatility, the differences are unlikely to be significant. This can be seen in Figure

20-1, which shows the threemonth volatility of the Standard and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index calculated using only trading
days
(approximately 252 days per year) and using all 365 days. $\frac{1}{2}$ The graphs are almost indistinguishable.

Figure 20-1 S\&P 500 Index threemonth historical volatility: 2001-2010.


## Although

# calculation? <br>  

although fluctuations seem to be slightly greater using weekly returns. This is probably due to the smaller number of data points (13 weekly data points rather than 91 daily data points). The greater number of data points will tend to have a smoothing effect. Because the graphs show similar characteristics, we can conclude that if a contract is volatile from day to day, it will be equally
volatile from week to week or month to month. Daily returns are used most often in order to increase the number of data points in the volatility calculation and therefore yield a more accurate volatility.

Figure 20-2 Gold three-month historical volatility: 2001-2010.


Suppose that the price of a contract fluctuates wildly during a trading day, making dramatic up and down moves, yet finishes the day unchanged. If this is a common occurrence, then using only settlement prices to calculate the historical volatility may result in an incomplete
picture
of
a
contract's true volatility. To take
into
consideration
intraday price movement, several alternative methods have been proposed to calculate historical volatility. The extreme-value method, proposed by Michael Parkinson, ${ }^{2}$ uses the high and low values during a 24-hour period. This method not only gives a more complete picture of volatility but may also be useful when no definitive settlement
prices
available. Using the extremevalue method, the annualized historical volatility is given by

where $n=$ number of price returns, $h_{i}=$ highest price during
the
chosen
time
interval, $l_{i}=$ lowest price during the chosen time interval, $\quad \ln$ $=$ natural logarithm, and $t=$ the length of each time interval in years. An alternative approach proposed by Mark Garman and Michael Klass ${ }^{\underline{3}}$ expands the Parkinson method by also including the opening and closing prices for an underlying contract. Using this method, the annualized
historical volatility is given by

where $o_{i}=$ opening price at the beginning of trading, and $c_{i}=$ closing price at the end of trading.

As with the traditional
close-to-close estimator, both
the Parkinson and GarmanKlass
estimators
are annualized by dividing by the square root of $t$, the time between price intervals. (This is the same as multiplying by the square root of the number of time intervals in a year.)

## Figure 20-3 shows the

 three-month volatility of the EuroStoxx 50 Index, a widely followed index of large European companies. The
## volatility has been calculated

 using three methods: close-toclose, high-low (Parkinson), and open-high, low-close (Garman-Klass). The last two methods seem to yield a consistently lower volatility than the first method. The explanation probably has to do with the fact that Parkinson and Garman-Klass are used only when markets are open and trading is continuous.
## EuroStoxx 50 Index is not

 calculated continuously. It is calculated during a period of just under 10 hours, from approximately 9:00 a.m. to 6:50 p.m. European time. During the remaining hours of the day, the volatility of the index is unobservable. Because of this, for contracts that trade only during part of the day, Garman and Klass recommend givingsome weight to the close-to-close
estimate. One approach is to give the observable volatility (either Parkinson or GarmanKlass) weight proportional to the fraction of the day during which the market is open and give the remaining weight to the close-to-close volatility. This usually means giving greater weight to the close-toclose volatility estimate
because
many
markets
are
closed more hours than they
are open. But the Parkinson

## and Garman-Klass methods

 are generally considered more accurate estimates, at least when a market is trading continuously. Thus, it might make more sense to increase the weightings for these estimates and reduce the weightings for the close-toclose estimate. Garman and Klass propose a precise formula for weighting the estimates, but a practical solution might be to simplyweight the estimates equally.
Figure 20-3 EuroStoxx 50 Index three-month historical volatility: 20012010.


## Because we have gone

 into the calculation of historical volatility in some detail, the reader may have been left with the impression that the method chosen will be an important determinant of whether an option strategy is successful or not. For most traders, though, historicalvolatility is
simply
a guideline to what the trader is really interested in-the
future realized volatility. Because the results of each method are unlikely to differ significantly, in practice, it probably does not make much difference which method is chosen. It is far more important to be able to interpret historical volatility data rather than to worry about the exact method used.

## Some Volatility

## Characteristics

## In Chapter 6, we used the

 analogy that the volatility in its different interpretationshistorical, future, implied-is similar to the weather. The volatility-weather analogy can also help us identify some basic characteristics.> Suppose that volatility
trying to estimate tomorrow's high temperature, and we
have only one piece of information, today's high temperature. What is our best estimate?

Because
temperatures do not usually
change dramatically from one
day to the next, our best estimate of tomorrow's high temperature is probably the same
as
today's
high
temperature.
Temperature
readings are said to be serial
correlated. In the absence of other information, the best guess about what will happen over the next time period is what happened over the last time period. Volatility seems to exhibit this serial correlation characteristic. What will happen in the future often depends on what happened in the past.

> Now suppose that we
know not only today's high

## temperature but we also know

 the average high temperature at this time of year. If today's high temperature is higher than theaverage,
an
intelligent
estimate
for
tomorrow's high probably will be lower than today's high. If today's high temperature is lower than the average, intelligent estimate for tomorrow's high will be higher than today's high. We
know
that
temperatures tend to be mean reverting. Volatility also seems to exhibit this characteristic. There is
a greater likelihood that volatility, like temperature, will move toward the mean rather than away from it. We can see the mean-
reverting characteristic of
volatility if we compare Figure 20-2, the three-month volatility of gold, with Figure
$20-4$, the price of gold over the same period. 4 Both prices and volatility sometimes rise and sometimes fall. But unlike the price of an underlying contract, which can move in one direction for long periods of time, there seems to be an equilibrium number to which volatility tends to return. Over the $10-$ year period in question, the price of gold rose from under
$\$ 300$ per ounce to over
$\$ 1,400$ per ounce. Although prices fluctuated, they never again reached the lows of 2001. On the other hand, gold volatility, in spite of dramatic fluctuations between a low of 9 percent and a high of over 40 percent, always seemed to return eventually to the 10 to
20 percent range.
Figure 20-4 Gold futures prices:
2001-2010.



## We might conclude from

Figure 20-2 that gold tends to exhibit a long-term average or mean volatility. When volatility rises above the mean, one can be fairly certain that it will eventually fall back to its mean. When volatility falls below the mean, one can be fairly certain that it will eventually rise to its mean. There is a
constant gyration back and

## forth through this mean.

Mean
reversion
is
a

## common

 volatility characteristic of almost all traded underlying contracts. Figures 20-1 and 20-5 show the three-month historical volatility, using daily returns, for the S\&P 500 Index and Bund futures from 2001 to 2010. In spite of the dramatic fluctuations, both the S\&P 500 Index and Bund futurestend to exhibit a mean volatility to which both contracts tend to return. In the case of the S\&P 500 Index, this seems to be somewhere between 15 and 20 percent. In the case of the Bund, a much less volatile contract, the mean volatility seems to be around 5 percent.

Figure 20-5 Bund futures threemonth historical volatility: 2001-2010.


## In Figures 20-6 through

$\underline{20-8}$, we can see more clearly the mean-reverting
characteristic of volatility. These graphs show the minimum, maximum, and average realized volatilities for the S\&P 500 Index, gold futures, and Bund futures from 2001 to 2010 over time periods ranging from 2 to 300 weeks. For example, in

Figure 20-6, if we consider

# every possible two-week period from 2001 to 2010 , we can see that the minimum two-week volatility for the S\&P 500 <br> Index <br> was <br> approximately 5 percent, <br> while the maximum two- 

 week volatility was just over 100 percent. The average two-weekvolatility was approximately 18
percent. For every possible 300 -week period, the minimum
volatility for the S\&P 500

Index was approximately 14 percent, the maximum volatility was 24 percent, and the average volatility was approximately 19 percent. The graphs for the gold futures (Figure 20-7) and for Bund futures (Figure 20-8) show the same general characteristics. As we increase the length of time over which the volatility is calculated, the results tend to converge to an average or

## mean volatility.

Figure 20-6 S\&P 500 Index historical realized volatility by time period: 2001-2010.


## Figure 20-7 Gold futures historical realized volatility by time period: 20012010.



## Figure 20-8 Bund futures historical realized volatility by time period: 20012010.



## Graphs similar to those

in Figures 20-6 through 20-8 are often used to illustrate the term structure of volatility the likelihood of volatility falling within a given range over a specified period of time. The term-structure graph typically has a conic shape, with greater variations over short periods of time and smaller variations over long periods. $\frac{5}{}$ Because of the term
structure of volatility, it is often easier to predict longterm volatility than short-term volatility.
seem counterintuitive because we tend to expect greater variability over long periods of time than over short periods. However, volatility can be thought of as an average variability. Over long periods of time, the large and small price fluctuations tend to offset each other, resulting
in more stable results.

## Because <br> long-term

volatility tends to be more stable
than
short-term
volatility, one might assume that it is easier to value longterm options than short-term options. This would be true if all options were equally sensitive
to
changes
volatility. But we know that long-term
options
have
greater
vega values
than
short-term options-they are more sensitive to changes in volatility. This means that any volatility error will be greatly magnified when evaluating a long-term option. Depending on the time to expiration, the effect of a two or three percentage point volatility error on a long-term option may be greater than a five or six percentage point error on a short-term option.

What else can we say about volatility? Looking again at Figure 20-2, we might surmise that volatility has some trending characteristics. From early 2004 through the middle of 2005, there was a persistent downward trend in gold volatility. This was followed by a more dramatic upward trend from the middle of 2005 to the middle of 2006. And from early 2007 through most
of 2008, there seemed to be a stepping-stone increase in volatility to a high of over 40 percent. Within these major trends, there were also minor trends as volatility rose and fell for short periods of time. In this respect, volatility charts seem to display some of the same characteristics as price charts, and it would not be unreasonable to apply some of the same principles used in technical analysis to
volatility analysis. It is important to remember, however, that although price changes and volatility
are related, they are not the same thing. If a trader tries to apply exactly the same rules of technical analysis to volatility analysis, he is likely to find that in some cases the rules have no relevance and that in other cases the rules must be modified to take into account the unique characteristics of

## volatility.

# Volatility Forecasting 

How can we use historical volatility data, together with the characteristics
of
volatility, to predict future realized volatility? Suppose that we have the following historical volatility data for an underlying contract:

## 6.veded hisoria wadility: 28pereat

 12.veredhistoriad wadilily: I2pperent 2F-ruethistoria wodilily: 19preat 54) wreekhistonical voakility: 18pereent We might prefer to look at more volatility data, but if these are the only data available, how should we go about making a volatility forecast?
## One possible approach is

to simply average all the

## available data:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(28 \%+22 \%+19 \%+18 \%) / 4 \\
=21.75 \% \\
\text { Using this method, each }
\end{gathered}
$$ piece of historical data is given equal weight. But is this reasonable? Perhaps some data are more important than other data. A trader might assume, for example, that the more current the data, the greater their importance.

# Because 

 weight, 40 percent, to the sixweek volatility but only 20 percent weight to each of the other time periods:$$
(40 \% \times 28 \%)+(20 \% \times 22 \%)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+(20 \% \times 19 \%)+(20 \% \times \\
18 \%)=23.0 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

## Our volatility forecast has

 increased slightly because of the additional weight given to the sixvolatility.
Of course, if it is true
that the more recent volatility over the last 6 weeks is more important than the other data, it follows that the volatility over the last 12 weeks ought

## to be more important than the

 volatility over the last 26 and 52 weeks. It also follows that the volatility over the last 26 weeks$$
+(20 \% \times 19 \%)+(10 \% \times
$$

$$
18 \%)=23.4 \%
$$

## Here we have given the 6-

 week volatility 40 percent of the weight, the 12 -week volatility 30 percent of the weight, the 26 -week volatility 20 percent of the weight, and the 52 -week volatility 10 percent of the weight.We
have
made
the
assumption that the more
recent the data, the greater their importance. Is this always true? If we are interested in evaluating shortterm options, it may be true that data that cover short periods of time are the most important. But suppose that we are interested in
evaluating very long-term options. Over long periods of time, the mean-reverting characteristic of volatility is
likely to reduce the
importance of any short-term fluctuations in volatility. In fact, over very long periods of time, the most reasonable volatility forecast is simply the long-term mean volatility of the instrument. Therefore, the relative weight we give to the different volatility data will depend on the amount of time remaining to expiration for the options in which we are interested.

## In a sense, all the

historical volatilities we have
at our disposal are current; they simply cover different periods of time. How do we know which data are the most important? In addition to the mean-reverting characteristic, we know that volatility also tends to be serial correlated. The volatility over any given period is likely to depend on,
or correlate
with,
the
volatility over the previous

# period, assuming that both periods cover the same amount of time. If the 

volatility of a contract over the last four weeks was 15 percent, the volatility over the next four weeks is more likely to be close to 15 percent than far away from 15 percent. Once we realize this, we might logically choose to give the greatest weight to the volatility data covering a time period closest to the life of
the options in which we are interested. That is, if we are trading very long-term options, the long-term data should be given the most weight. If we are trading very short-term options, the shortterm data should be given the most weight. And if we are trading intermediate-term options, the intermediate-term data should be given the most weight.

## Given <br> the <br> serial

correlation characteristic of
volatility,
what
volatility
should we assign to options that expire in five months if we have only our four historical volatilities: 6-week, 12-week, 26-week, and 52week volatilities? Because 5 months is closest to 26 weeks, we can give the 26week volatility the greatest weight and give other data correspondingly lesser weight

# $(15 \% \times 28 \%)+(25 \% \times 22 \%)$ $+(35 \% \times 19 \%)+(25 \% \times$ $18 \%)=20.85 \%$ 

## Alternatively, if we are

 interested in evaluating 3month options, we can give the greatest weight to the 12 week historical volatility$$
\begin{gathered}
(25 \% \times 28 \%)+(35 \% \times 22 \%) \\
+(25 \% \times 19 \%)+(15 \% \times \\
18 \%)=22.15 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

# In the <br> foregoing 

examples, we used only four historical volatilities. But the more volatility data that are available, the more accurate any volatility forecast is likely to be. Not only will more data, covering different periods of time, give a better overview of the volatility characteristics
of an underlying instrument, they will also enable a trader to more closely match historical
volatilities to options with different periods of time to expiration. In our examples, we used historical volatilities over the last 12 and 26 weeks as approximations to forecast volatilities over the next six and three months. Ideally, we would like historical data covering exactly six- and three-month periods.
This approach

# that many period. 

## The analysis of a data

 series in order to predict future values falls into an area of study usually referred to as time-series analysis.series models to volatility forecasting, but to do so, we need a series of data points where each point is independent of every other point. In our examples, the volatilities we used to make our prediction do not form a true time series because the volatilities overlap and, as such,
week volatilities. The 26week volatility overlaps the 12- and 6-week volatilities. And the 12 -week volatility overlaps the 6-week volatility. But suppose that instead of using as our data points, the historical volatilities, we use the underlying returns. These returns create a true time series to which we might be able to apply a time-series model.

## One time-series model

often used to estimate future volatility is the exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) model. model, greater weight is always given to more recent returns,
returns given progressively smaller weightings.
standard deviation) $\sigma^{2}$ over the next period of time is given by
$\sigma^{2}=\alpha_{1} r_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2} r_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}^{2}+\alpha_{m r_{n}^{2}}$
where $r_{n}$ is the most recent return. The constraints are that all the weightings must add up to 1.00

and that the more recent the return, the greater is the weighting

$$
\alpha_{n}>\alpha_{n-1}
$$

By choosing a variable $\lambda$ between 0 and 1.00 , the constraints will be met if


As we reduce the value of
$\lambda$, more recent returns are assigned progressively greater weight-the variance estimate tends to discount the effect of older returns. As we increase the value of $\lambda$, the estimate makes less and less distinction between returnsolder returns become just as important as newer returns. As $\lambda$ approaches 1.00 (it can never be exactly 1.00 ), the weight for all returns converges to a single value,

# $1.00 / n$. A common choice for 

$\lambda$ in many risk-management programs is something close to 0.94 .

> The EWMA model is relatively simple method for predicting volatility. Two factors that it ignores are the likely
correlation
between successive returns and the mean-reversion characteristic of volatility. The time-series models most often used to

## forecast volatility were an

outgrowth
of
the
autoregressive
conditional heteroskedasticity (ARCH) model first proposed by Robert Engle in 1982. 6 The techniques used in ARCH models have subsequently been refined and extended into what is now commonly referred to as the generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH)

## family

# forecasting models. GARCH 

 models consist of three components: a volatility estimate, such as EWMA; a correlationcomponent reflecting the fact that the magnitude of successive returns tends to be correlated (i.e., large returns tend to be followed by large returns, and small returns tend to be followed by small returns); and
mean-reversion
component specifying how fast volatility tends to revert to its mean. An in-depth discussion of

GARCH models is beyond the scope of this text, but further information on these models is available in most advanced texts on time-series analysis. Implied Volatility as a Predictor of Future

## Volatility

# If, as many traders believe, 

## prices in the marketplace reflect all available

 information affecting the value of a contract, ${ }^{7}$ the best predictor of the future realized volatility ought to be the implied volatility. Just how good a predictor offuture volatility is implied volatility? Although it may be
impossible to answer this question definitively, because that would require a detailed study of many markets over long periods of time, we still might gain some insight by looking at sample data.

## Figure 20-9 shows the

three-month
realized
volatility (approximately 63 trading days) for the S\&P 500 Index and a rolling implied volatility for three-month at-
the-money options ${ }^{-8}$ on the index from 2002 through 2010. However, the values for the three-month realized volatility have been shifted forward so that each data point represents the future realized volatility of the index over the next three months. If implied volatility is a perfect predictor of future volatility, both graphs would be identical, but obviously, this
is not the case. In general, the volatility of the S\&P 500 Index tends to lead the implied volatility. If the index becomes more volatile, implied volatility rises; if the index becomes less volatile, implied volatility falls. The marketplace seems to react to the volatility of the index. This was particularly evident during 2008, when implied volatility rose following the dramatic increase in volatility
of the index, and in 2009, when implied volatility fell as the index itself became less volatile.

Figure 20-9 S\&P 500 Index threemonth future volatility versus the threemonth implied volatility.


## We can do the same

 comparison using a 12 -month period. Figure 20-10 shows the 12 -month future realized volatility of the S\&P 500 Index (approximately 252 trading days) versus a rolling 12-month at-the-money implied volatility over the same time period. Here the lag is even more evident due to the longer time frame.Figure 20-10 S\&P 500 Index 12month future volatility versus the 12month implied volatility.


## Clearly, the implied

volatility in our examples did not accurately predict future volatility. But, even if the implied volatility was not a totally accurate predictor, perhaps we can draw some conclusions by looking at the difference between
the implied volatility and the future realized volatility. This is shown in Figure 20-11 for both 3- and 12-month
options. A positive value indicates an implied volatility that was too low (the future realized volatility turned out to be higher), while a negative value indicates an implied volatility that was too high (the future realized volatility turned out to be lower).

Figure 20-11 Difference between future volatility and implied volatility for the S\&P 500 Index.


## We can see in Figure 20-

## 11 that for much of the period

 in question, implied volatility seemed to predict a future volatility that was too high by up to 10 percentage points. But there are some dramatic exceptions. During 2008, the three-month implied volatility at one point predicted a future volatility that was too low by almost 50 percentage points and at another point predicteda volatility that was too high by 20 percentage points. Admittedly, 2008 was a year of extremes, but even during other years, a difference of 10 percentage points between implied volatility and future volatility was not uncommon. Implied volatility is at best an imperfect predictor of future volatility. What else might we conclude from
these graphs? Under normal
conditions, implied volatility seems to be too high options tend to be overpriced. Buyers of options may be willing to this extra premium in return for the few occasions when implied volatility is dramatically too low and there is a subsequent volatility explosion. This is analogous to insurance.

A rational buyer of insurance is aware that the price of an insurance contract is almost
certainly higher than its value. Otherwise, the insurance company would have no profit expectation. But buyers of insurance are willing to pay this extra premium for those rare occasions when an unforeseen event occurs and the insurance
becomes
absolutely necessary.
There are, of course,
other reasons why options
tend to be overpriced. For the seller of an option, such as a market maker, there may be a cost to replicating the option through the dynamic hedging process, a cost that the market maker is likely to pass on to the customer. Moreover, there may be weaknesses in the theoretical pricing model from which implied volatility is derived. Taken together, these factors may in fact
justify the seemingly inflated

# prices of options in the marketplace. 

## The Term structure of

 Implied VolatilityIf held to expiration, the sole determinant of an option position's value is, in theory, the realized volatility of the underlying
contract.
However, a trader may decide for a variety of reasons that a
position should be closed prior to expiration. The position may have achieved its expected profit potential prior to expiration. Or the position, even if it hasn't achieved its expected profit, may have become too risky. Or holding the position may require a large amount of capital, capital that could be put to better use. Regardless of why a trader decides to
close out a position prior to

# expiration, there is usually 

 one primary cause: changes in implied volatility. Although realized volatility, in the real world of option trading, changes in implied volatility can often make or break a strategy. For this reason, a sensible trader will give some thought to how changes in implied volatility will affect a position.
# It may seem that 

 determining the sensitivity of a position to changes in implied volatility is relatively simple.We
need only determine the total position vega, which we can do by adding up all the individual vega values. Unfortunately, determining the true implied volatility risk can be significantly more complex. We know that vega values change with changing market
conditions, so today's vega may not be tomorrow's vega. Moreover, the vega values across different exercise prices and expiration months may not be a true reflection of implied-volatility risk. Consider a market where there are three expiration months, all in the same calendar year-March, June, and September. Let's assume that the mean volatility in this
market is 25 percent, and although this almost never happens, let's also assume that the current implied volatility for every month is the same, 25 percent.

# Suppose <br> <br> that <br> <br> that <br> the <br> volatility of the underlying 

contract begins to rise. What
will happen to implied volatility? Implied volatility will almost certainly rise, but will it rise at the same rate for each month? If the implied volatility for March rises to 30 percent, will the implied volatility of
June
and
September also rise to 30 percent? Traders know that volatility is mean reverting, and there is a greater likelihood that volatility will revert to its mean over long

# periods of time than over 

 short periods. Therefore, as we move to more distant expirations, implied volatility is likely to remain closer to its mean, in this case, 25
## percent. <br> The <br> new implied

 volatilities might be

## 

## NH|

for

## 

## Pryay

## - समी

affect falling implied volatility. If the underlying market becomes less volatile and implied volatility in March falls to 20 percent, the new implied volatilities might be
 montivedaldy

## $44^{0}$



> Even if there is a large change in the implied
volatility of short-term options, the implied volatility of long-term options will tend to change less because of the mean-reversion characteristics of volatility.
Figure 20-12
shows
the
typical term structure of
implied volatility.
Figure 20-12 The term structure of implied volatility.


## The fact that implied

volatilities across different expiration months change at different rates can have important implications for risk analysis. Consider an option position consisting of four different expiration months with the following vega values for each month:

## beil

## Treterapion <br> Inath <br> 4mathy <br>  <br> Bradits



## What is the impliedvolatility risk of the position? We might begin by adding up all the vegas

$$
\begin{gathered}
+15.00-36.00-21.00+ \\
42.00=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## With a total vega of 0 , it

 might appear that there is no implied-volatility risk. This, however,assumes
that implied volatility will change at the same rate across all months. But we know that this is unlikely. The implied volatility of short-term options will tend to change more quickly than the implied volatility of long-term options.

Given this,
how
should we determine our total
implied-volatility risk?

## Suppose that the mean

volatility in this market is 25 percent and that we believe that the term structure of implied volatility is similar to that shown in Figure 20-13. If April implied volatility rises to 28 percent, what will be the profit or loss to the position? If there are two months remaining to April expiration

## volatility in April rises to 28

 percent, we expect June implied volatility to rise to only 27 percent, August implied volatility to only 26.5 percent, and October to only 26.1 percent. Adjusting for the different rates of change, the result is a loss becauseFigure 20-13 Relative changes in implied volatility for April, June, August, and October options.


$$
\begin{gathered}
(3 \times 15.00)-(2 \times 36.00)- \\
(1.5 \times 21.00)+(1.1 \times 42.00) \\
=-12.30
\end{gathered}
$$

And if April implied volatility falls to 22 percent, the result will be reversed; we will show a profit of 12.30 . Clearly, the position is not vega neutral. We would much prefer implied volatility to fall than rise.

## In order to form a more

accurate picture of the
implied-volatility risk, we must adjust the vega values for each month. We know that for each percentage point $\begin{array}{ll}\text { change in April implied } \\ \text { volatility, } & \text { June implied }\end{array}$ volatility will change by

$$
2 / 3=0.67
$$

## For each percentage point

change
in April
implied
volatility,
August
implied

# volatility will change by 

$$
1.5 / 3=0.50
$$

And for each percentage point change in April implied volatility, October implied volatility will change by

$$
1.1 / 3=0.37
$$

## If we want to know our

 total implied-volatility risk in terms of changes in April
# implied volatility, <br> we can adjust our <br> vega values accordingly 

June vega $=-36.00 \times 0.67=$ $-24.12$

August vega $=-21.00 \times 0.5=$ $-10.50$

October vega $=+42.00 \times 0.37$

$$
=+15.54
$$

Adding everything up, we can see that we do indeed have a short vega position.

## For each percentage point

 change in April implied volatility, the value of the total position will change by$$
\begin{gathered}
+15.00-24.12-10.50 \\
+15.54=-4.08
\end{gathered}
$$

In order to accurately assess implied-volatility risk, a trader will need some method of determining how implied volatilities are likely to change across multiple

## expirations. This usually

 takes the form of an impliedvolatility term-structure model. There is no single model that all traders use. Models are often "home grown," with a trader trying to develop a model that is consistent with his mathematical sophistication, as well as his experience in the marketplace. Whatever the model, it will usually require at least three inputs: aprimary month against which all other months will be compared, a mean volatility to which implied volatility tends
to revert, and a "whippiness" factor that
specifies how implied volatility changes across other expirations with respect to changes in the primary month. The primary month will often be the front month, where trading activity tends to be concentrated. But this is
not always the case. In agricultural markets, trading activity is often concentrated in expiration months that fall close to either the planting or harvesting calendar. If this is the case, one of these months may be a better choice as the primary month. Additionally, implied volatility in the front month can be unstable, especially as expiration approaches. It often changes in ways that are inconsistent

# with the term structure of 

 other expiration months. As a result, many traders evaluate their position in front-month contracts separately from their positions inother months, with the volatility term-structure model applying to all months except the front month. The primary month chosen in this approach will be something other than the front month.

# Figure $20-14$ shows how 

the term structure of implied volatility
can evolve
over time. The values represent the implied volatilities during 2010 of at-the-money options on the EuroStoxx 50 Index for expirations extending out 24 months. Values were calculated at two-month intervals, on the first Friday of February, April, June,
August, October,

# December. <br> The <br> reader <br> may 

find it useful to compare the changes in the term-structure graphs with the 30-day historical
volatility of the EuroStoxx 50 Index during this period, shown in Figure 20-15. In early February, the term-structure graph was downward sloping: long-term options were trading at lower implied volatilities than shortterm options. By April, as a result of declining index volatility,
not
only had
implied volatility declined, but the term-structure graph had inverted and was upward sloping: long-term
options
were trading at higher implied volatilities than shortterm options. After a dramatic increase in index volatility, the June term-structure graph again became downward sloping. Finally,
after
declining index volatility in the last half of 2010 , implied volatilities seemed to settle
into a middle area, with a relatively flat term structure.

Figure 20-14 Implied-volatility term structure for eurostoxx 50 Index options during 2010.


## Figure 20-15 eurostoxx 50 Index 30day historical volatility during 2010.



## Note one other important

 point: the disconnect between the front-month implied volatility and the remainder of the term-structure graph in December. The graph is generally upward sloping, but the front-month implied volatility is still much higher than all other months. This is a common characteristic in many option markets. The front-month implied volatilitycan often trade in a way that is inconsistent with the term structure of other months.

## The term structure in

Figure $20-12$ is typical of markets where the only factors that tend to affect implied volatility are the recent volatility of the underlying contract and the mean volatility. However, in some markets, there may also be a seasonal volatility factor.

# Given the possibility of 

 extremely hot temperatures, as well as droughts, summer expiration months in agricultural markets typically trade at higher implied volatilities than other months, regardless of the time of year. In energy markets where fuel is needed for heating in the winter and cooling in the summer, the possibility of very cold winters and veryhot summers may result in
some months trading at persistently higher implied volatilities than other months. In such markets, it can be difficult to create a reliable term-structure model.

## Figure $20-16$ shows the

changing term structure of implied volatility for options on natural gas futures during 2009. Although not as obvious as the Eurostox 50 Index in Figure 20-14, we can
still detect the tendency of long-term implied volatility to revert to a mean, perhaps around 40 percent. But in addition, there is also a seasonal volatility factor. Note the implied volatility of the October option contract, which has been highlighted with a circle. Regardless of the term structure, October options always seem to trade at an inflated implied volatility. This is perhaps
easier to see in Figure 20-17, which shows the average implied volatility of each expiration month during
2009. October clearly carries a higher implied volatility than any other month. The reason for this has to do primarily with the Atlantic hurricane season, which extends from approximately early June to late November, with the height of the season falling
September. During this period, any major hurricane can disrupt natural
gas operations,
which in the United
States
are
concentrated
along the northern coast of the Gulf of Mexico. October options, which expire toward the end of September, will capture any volatility occurring during the height of the hurricane season. Consequently,
October
options tend to trade at consistently higher implied volatilities than other months.

Figure 20-16 Implied-volatility term structure for options on natural gas futures during 2009.


Figure 20-17 Average implied volatility by expiration month of options on natural gas futures during 2009.


## Forward Volatility

## Let's return to the term-

 structure graphs of implied volatilities across expiration months shown in Figure 2014. Can we identify any trading opportunities from these graphs?Wemight simply decide that implied volatility is either too high, in which case we will prefer to
sell options, or too low, in which case we will prefer to buy options. In either case, we can, in theory, capture a perceived mispricing

# contract. But we might also 

 ask a different question: are any expiration months mispriced with respect to other expiration months? Should we consider some type of calendar spread,selling options in one month and buying options in a different month?

## Let's focus on one graph

 from Figure 20-14, the term structure of Eurostoxx 50 Index options on February 5, 2010. This is shown in Figure 20-18. The large dots represent the at-the-money implied volatilities, with the solid black line representing the best fit generated by aterm-structure model. We can see that some contract months seem to deviate from the bestfit line. June 2010 implied volatility falls below the line, whiles September and December 2010 fall above the line. Assuming that each month is in fact trading at the indicated implied volatility, $\underline{9}$ do these deviations represent a trading opportunity? Should we be buying June options
and selling September or December options?

## One method that traders

use to determine the
mispricing of a calendar
spread is to consider the spread's implied volatility. That is, what single volatility applied to both expiration months will cause the value of the spread to be equal to its price in the marketplace? To better understand this, let's
use the volatilities in Figure 20-18 to calculate the prices of several calendar spreads. For simplicity, we will assume that the underlying contract is trading at 100 and that there are no interest-rate considerations. The relevant data is shown in Figure 2019.

> Figure 20-18 Implied volatility for at-the-money eurostoxx 50 Index options on February 5, 2010.


## Figure 20-19 Calendar spread values

 using implied volatilities on February 5, 2010.| Expridion Morth | Timeto Expiration (days) | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \begin{array}{l} \text { Implied } \\ \text { 5) } \end{array} \\ \text { Volaility } \end{array}$ | Price of the 100Call | Vega of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Februar2010 | 14 | 29.61\% | 231 | 0.078 |
| March2010 | 42 | 28.66\% | 3.80 | 0.135 |
| Apil 2010 | 70 | 27.15\% | 4.74 | 0.174 |
| June2010 | 133 | 25.61\% | 6.15 | 0.240 |
| September 2010 | 224 | 25.08\% | 782 | 0.311 |
| December 2010 | 315 | 24.71\% | 9.14 | 0.368 |
| June2011 | 497 | 23.99\% | 11.13 | 0.461 |
| December 2011 | 679 | 23.80\% | 12.89 | 0.537 |
| Calendarspread Sp |  | SpreadImplied |  |  |
| Febraay 2010/March 2010 |  | 1.49 | 25.94\% | 0.057 |
| March 2010/Apil 2010 |  | 0.94 | 24.02\% | 0.039 |
| April2010/June2010 |  | 1.41 | 2150\% | 0.066 |
| June 2010/ September 2010 |  | 1.67 | 23.28\% | 0.071 |
| September 2010/Decenbeer2010 |  | 132 | 2270\% | 0.071 |
| December 2010/June 2011 |  | 199 | 21.33\% | 0.093 |
| June 2011/Decermber 2011 |  | 1.76 | 2267\% | 0.076 |

## Looking at the

calendar spread,
the
implied
volatilities for the two months are 29.61 percent for February and 28.06 percent for March. The values of the at-the-money calls are 2.31 and 3.80 , with a spread value of 1.49. If we evaluate these options using the same volatility, what single volatility will yield a value
equal to the price of $1.49 ?$ Logically, this volatility has to be less than 28.06 percent because at this volatility the March option is fairly priced, but the February option is too expensive. The entire spread will be worth more than 1.49 . We need to reduce the
volatility until we find the single volatility that will cause the spread to be worth 1.49. Using a computer, we find that the February/March

# calendar spread has an implied volatility of 25.94 

 percent.We can go through this process for each successive calendar spread, calculating the implied volatility of each spread. These volatilities are shown at the bottom of Figure 20-19. How will these calendar spread implied volatilities look if we overlay them on Figure 20-18? This is

## shown in Figure 20-20. We

 can see clearly that the June 2010 options are significantly underpriced inthe
marketplace
compared with nearby expirations, while the September 2010 options are significantly overpriced. If given a choice of strategies, it might make sense to buy the April/June

Together
these spreads make up a time butterfly.

Figure 20-20 eurostoxx 50 Index calendar spread implied volatilities on February 5, 2010.


## We use these implied

volatilities not to determine whether implied volatility in the entire option complex is either too high or too low but rather to determine whether particular months
are mispriced with respect to other months. The impliedvolatility graph acts as
a magnifying glass, enabling us to more easily determine which months are overpriced
and which are underpriced. When the term-structure graph is downward sloping, as it is in Figure 20-20, all calendar spread implied volatilities will fall below the term-structure graph. Alternatively, if the termstructure graph is upward sloping, all calendar spread implied volatilities will fall above the graph. If all implied volatilities

# exactly along the best-fit graph, regardless of whether the graph is upward Or downward sloping, <br> the implied-volatility curve will be smooth, suggesting that there are no obviously 

 mispriced calendar spreads. Determining the exactimplied calendar requires programmed spread usually

of
a
model. However, it is often possible to estimate the implied volatility of an at-themoney calendar spread if we recall that the vega of an at-the-money option is relatively constant with respect to changes in volatility. Suppose that we know both the prices $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ and the vega values $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ of the two options that make up the calendar spread. The price of
the spread is $O_{2}-O_{1}$, and the vega of the spread is $V_{2}-V_{1}$. The implied volatility of the spread, given as a whole number, is approximately equal to the price of the spread divided by its vega Spread implied volicity $=$

This method is not exact because there is likely to be
rounding error, and the vega does change slightly as we change volatility. However, this approach may be useful if a trader needs to make a quick estimate of whether a calendar spread is overpriced or underpriced.

## The vega values for the

 individual options, as well as for the various calendar spreads, are given in Figure $\underline{20-20}$. The reader may find itworthwhile to estimate the implied volatility of each spread using this method and then compare the result with the true implied volatility of the spread.

Instead of analyzing the volatility term structure by looking at the implied volatility of
successive calendar spreads, we might take a slightly
theoretical approach. Suppose
that we have two option expirations,
option expiring at $t_{1}$ and a long-term option expiring at $t_{2}$. If the implied volatility of the short-term expiration is $\sigma_{1}$ and the implied volatility of the long-term expiration is $\sigma_{2}$, we might ask this question: what forward volatility $\sigma_{f}$ is the marketplace implying between expiration of the
short-term
option
expiration of the long-term option?


This is analogous to a forward rate in an interest-
rate market. Given a shortterm interest rate and a longterm interest rate, what rate must apply between the two maturities such that arbitrage opportunity exists? Unlike interest rates, which are directly proportional to time, volatility is proportional to the square root of time. Using this, we can calculate the forward volatility $\underline{10}$

## We can expand this

relationship to any number of volatilities over any number of consecutive time periods. Given forward volatilities $\sigma_{i}$ covering the time from $t_{i-1}$ to $t_{i}$, the volatility over the entire time period from $t_{0}$ to $t_{n}$ must be

# Suppose <br> that <br> we <br> calculate the <br> forward <br> volatilities for the volatility 

 term structure in Figure 2020. How would this compare with the implied volatilities of the calendar spreads? This is shown in Figure 20-21. The forward volatility graph has the same general structure asthe calendar spread graph. Both graphs serve the same purpose - to highlight any mispricing of a particular expiration month.

Figure 20-21


## Every experienced

## option <br> trader knows <br> that

dealing with volatility can be a difficult task. To facilitate the decision-making process, we have attempted to make some generalizations about volatility characteristics. Even then, it may not be clear what the right strategy is. Moreover, looking at a
limited number of examples makes the generalizations

# even less reliable. Every 

 market has its OWn characteristics, understanding the volatility characteristics of a particular market, whether interest rates, foreign currencies, stocks, or commodities, is at least as important as knowing the technicalcharacteristics
of

## volatility.

And
this
knowledge can only come from careful study of a market combined with actual
trading experience.

1
Because volatility is always quoted on an annualized basis, whether we calculate historical volatility using all 365 days or only trading days, the standard deviation of price changes must be multiplied by the square root of the number of trading periods in a year. For a 365-day trading year, the standard deviation must be multiplied by
$\underline{2}$ Michael Parkinson, "The Extreme Value Method of Estimating the Variance of the Rate of Return," Journal of Business 53(1):61-64, 1980.
$\underline{3}$ Mark B. Garman and Michael J. Klass, 'On the Estimation of Security

Price Volatilities from Historical Data," Journal of Business 53(1):67-78, 1980. 4 Historical gold volatility in Figure 20$\underline{2}$ and Bund volatility in Figure 20-5 were calculated from settlement prices of the front-month futures contract.
$\underline{5}$ For additional discussion of volatility cones, see Galen Burghardt and Morton Lane, "How to Tell If Options Are Cheap," Journal of Portfolio Management, Winter:72-78, 1990. Conditional Heteroskedsticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation," Econometrica 50(4):987-1000, 1982. Engle was awarded the 2003 Nobel Prize in

## Economics.

$\underline{7}$ This is known in finance as the efficient-market hypothesis.
$\underline{8}$ The three-month implied volatility was calculated by interpolating between the implied volatility of options bracketing three months.
$\underline{9}$ We make this proviso because option settlement prices do not necessarily reflect actual trading activity. When this happens, anyone using settlement prices as a guide to potential trading strategies may be disappointed to find that the settlement price is not an accurate reflection of where an option can actually be traded.
$\underline{10}$
Some readers may recognize that the
forward volatility calculation results from the fact that the square of volatility or variance $\sigma 2$ is directly proportional to time

$$
\sigma_{f}^{2} \times\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma_{2}^{2} \times t_{2}\right)-\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} \times t_{1}\right)
$$



## Position

 AnalysisInvestors or speculators in option markets often have a particular view of market conditions in terms of either direction or volatility. They attempt to profit from this
view through the selection of spreading strategies such as those discussed in Chapters $\frac{11}{1}$ and 12. In Chapter 13 , we characteristics of some of these strategies under changing market conditions. Because each spread consisted of a limited number of contracts, it Was

# An active option trader, 

 such as a market maker, may build up much more complex positions consisting of many different options across a wide range of exercise prices and expirationmonths. Unlike simple strategies, where the risks are relatively easy to identify, analysis of a complex position can be particularly difficult because of the many ways in which risks can change as market
conditions change. If a trader cannot determine the risks of a position, he will be unprepared to take the necessary action to protect himself when market conditions move against him or to take advantage of his good fortune when market conditions move in his favor.
Before theoretical pricing models came into widespread use, analyzing a
complex position made up of many different options was often an impossible task. Even if a trader had some idea of how each option changed as market conditions changed, combining different options often caused the entire position to change in unexpected ways. Still, if he expected to survive, an intelligent trader needed to make some effort to analyze the position.

## In the early days of

 option trading, one common approach to analyzing risk was to use synthetic relationships to a position in a more easily recognizable form. If the rewritten position conformed to a strategy with which the trader was familiar, the trader might then be able to determine the risks of the position.
# For example, consider 

this position:

$$
+29
$$

underlying
contracts
-44 March 65 calls
+44 March 65
puts
-7 March 70
calls
+49 March 70
puts
-33 March 75 calls
-51 March 75
puts
+30 March 80
calls
+12 March 80
puts

# Suppose <br> that <br> the 

underlying contract is trading
at a price of 71.50. What is
the delta of this position positive, negative, or neutral? Without a theoretical pricing model, this may look like an impossible question to answer. And, indeed, without a model, there is no way of knowing the exact delta of the position. But even if we cannot determine the exact delta, perhaps
direct in

## which <br> we <br> underlying contract to move.

the

## Using

relationships, positions that consist of both calls and puts can be rewritten so that they consist of a single type of option, either all calls or all puts. This can sometimes make a position easier to analyze. Let's take
our position and rewrite it so that it consists only of calls, rewriting each put as its synthetic equivalent:
If we total all the contracts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 19 \text { maderlingecarracts } \\
& -19 \mathrm{Mambrbjalls}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fikralidelk }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FMMathad }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { + Mayduly }
\end{aligned}
$$

Unetrying $\quad 420 \quad-19 \quad 40 \quad 45 \quad-12=0$ contats

Mach65alls tig \& 19

$$
=0
$$

Mact7oals
$-7+4$
$+42$

Macti7ctas-23 - -1 $=-84$

## Mach80alls $+20+12$

표
46

We really have this
position.

# +42 March 70 

 calls-84 March 75 calls
+42 March 65 calls

## As complex as <br> the

 position first appeared, it was simply a long butterfly. And a long butterfly always wants the underlyingcontract to move toward the inside exercise price, in this case,
75. With the underlying contract currently trading at 71.50 , the position must be delta positive. If we had rewritten the position so that it consisted only of puts, the result would have been the same because a call and put butterfly have essentially the same characteristics.

> The foregoing example was admittedly created so that when the position was
rewritten in terms of synthetic equivalents, tell the entire story.


## the following <br> market

 conditions:
# Underlying price $=99.60$ Time 

to
September expiration $=9$ weeks Volatility $=18$ percent
Interest rate ${ }^{1}=$ 0

## The September 95 put and

# September 105 call have these risk characteristics: 

## Long <br> September 95 puts <br> Short <br> September 105 calls <br> Long <br> 5 <br> underlying contracts

The
total
risk
sensitivities for the position are


It appears that we have no directional risk (delta is 0 ), no realized volatility risk (gamma is 0), no risk with respect to the passage of time (theta is 0 ), and no implied volatility risk (vega is 0). If the position was initiated with
some positive theoretical edge and the risk sensitivities associated with the position are all 0 , then the position is certain to show a profit. So what's the problem?

## The problem is that the

delta, gamma, theta, and vega are only measures of the position's risk under current market conditions. But today's market conditions may not be-in fact, cannot

## be-tomorrow's conditions.

 Even if the underlying price and volatility remain unchanged, time will pass. And we know that the passage of time can change a position's characteristics. Looking at a position's characteristics under current market conditions is only the first step in analyzing risk. We need to ask not only what the risks are right now but also what the risks might beunder different market conditions. What will happen if the underlying contract moves up or down in price? What will happen if implied volatility rises or falls? What will happen as time passes?
We can expand our
analysis by using what we already know about how risk sensitivities change as market conditions change.

Suppose that the underlying price
begins to fall. How might our risk change? We know that gamma is greatest for at-themoney options.

As
the
underlying price
begins
to
fall, it is moving toward the lower exercise price, 95 , and away from the higher exercise price, 105. The gamma of the September 95 put must be increasing, while the gamma of the September 105 call must be declining.
Because we are long the 95

# put and short the 105 call, the 

 total gamma position is becoming positive. Moreover, if we have a positive gamma, as the market falls, our position, which was initially delta neutral, will become delta negative.What if the underlying price begins to rise? Now the market is moving away from 95 and toward 105: the gamma of the September 95
put is declining, and the gamma of the September 105 call is increasing. The entire position is now becoming gamma negative. Consequently, as the market rises, our position will become delta negative.

## This <br> seems <br> odd. <br> The

position
becomes
delta
negative if the underlying price falls or rises. The explanation is the changing
gamma: the position becomes gamma positive on the way down but gamma negative on the way up.

## Now let's consider what

 will happen if volatility rises. As volatility increases, the delta of calls moves toward 50 , and the delta of puts moves toward -50 , while the delta of the underlying contract remains constant at 100. Because we are longputs, now with a delta greater (in absolute value) than -25 , and short calls, now with a delta greater than 25 , the position is becoming delta negative. If the delta of the September 95 put goes to -30 and the delta of the 105 call goes to +30 , the total delta position will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
(10 \times-30)-(10 \times 30)+(5 \times \\
100)=-100
\end{gathered}
$$

## In the same way,

reducing volatility causes
delta values to move away from 50. If the delta of the September 95 put goes to -20 and the delta of the 105 call goes to +20 , the total delta position would be

$$
\begin{gathered}
(10 \times-20)-(10 \times 20)+(5 \times \\
100)=+100
\end{gathered}
$$

Summarizing, if volatility rises, we want the underlying

## market to fall. If volatility

 falls, we want the underlying market to rise. What will happen to the position as time passes? Reducing time, like reducing volatility, causes delta values to move away from 50 . With no change in the underlying price as time passes, the call and put will move further out of the money. The five underlying contracts will tendto dominate the position, resulting in a positive delta. We have initially

## focused <br> on the <br> delta <br> and

gamma, but we can also infer what will happen to the theta and vega because these values, like the gamma, are greatest for at-the-money options. If the underlying contract begins to fall, our theta position will become negative (the passage of time

# will begin to hurt), and our 

 vega position will become positive (we will want implied volatility to increase). If the underlying contract begins to rise, our theta position will become positive (the passage of time will begin to help), and our vega position will become negative (we will want implied volatility to decline). If the underlying price does notchange, the gamma, theta,
and vega of the position are unlikely to be significantly affected by changes in either time or volatility. We
can summarize the effect of changing market conditions on the risk characteristics of the position as follows:
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## If a position is not overly

complex, a trader may be able to do this type of analysis, first looking at the initial risk sensitivities and then considering how the sensitivities might change as market conditions change. However, a trader can get a more complete picture of a position's risk by looking at a graph of the position's value over a broad range of
conditions. Let's do this for the current position:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Long } & 10 \\
\text { September } & 95 \\
\text { puts } & \\
\text { Short } & 10 \\
\text { September } & 105 \\
\text { calls } & \\
\text { Long } & 5 \\
\text { underlying } & \\
\text { contracts } &
\end{array}
$$

Figure 21-1 shows the
value of the position with respect to movement in the underlying contract.
underlying price rises, the position loses value. For a positive delta position, the graph extends from the lower left to the upper right-as the underlying price rises, the position gains value. In our example, the position is always delta negative at higher volatilities. Around the current underlying price of 99.60 , the position is delta neutral-the graph is exactly horizontal.

At
lower
volatilities, the delta will become positive around the current underlying price.

Figure 21-1 Position value as the underlying price and volatility change.


## For a negative gamma

## position, <br> the graph <br> curves

downward,
taking
on the shape of a frown; price movement in either direction decreases the value of the position. For a positive gamma position, the graph curves upward, taking on the shape of a smile; price movement in either direction increases the value of the position. Our position has a

## positive gamma below the

 current price of 99.60 and a negative gamma above 99.60. At lower volatilities, the gamma is magnified (there is greater curvature), while at higher volatilities, the gamma is muted (there is less curvature). Thecurrent underlying price of 99.60 is an inflection point-the gamma is changing from positive to negative. At this price, the graph is essentially
a straight line. The graphic interpretations of a positive and negative delta and gamma are shown in Figure 21-2.

Figure 21-2 Positive and negative delta and gamma.


Unelying picice

## Because gamma and

 theta are of opposite signs, a positive gamma position will lose value as time passes with no movement inthe underlying contract. A negative gamma will gain value. This is shown in Figures 21-3 and 21-4.
Figure 21-3 Positive gamma, negative theta position as time passes.
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## Figure 21-4 Negative gamma,

 positive theta position as time passes.

Undelifing pice

## Although gamma and

theta are always of opposite signs, gamma and vega may be either the same or the opposite. Regardless whether we have a positive gamma (we want the underlying contract to move) or a negative gamma (we want the underlying contract to sit still), we can have either a positive vega (we want implied volatility to rise) or a
negative vega (we want implied volatility to fall). The graphic representations of these positions are shown in Figures 21-5 and 21-6.

Figure 21-5 Positive gamma position as volatility changes.
Theoretical pril
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## Figure 21-6 Negative gamma

 position as volatility changes.
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## It may also be useful to

 look at graphs of the risk sensitivities as market conditions change. In Figure 21-7, we can see the changing delta as the underlying price and volatility change. Close to the current underlying price of 99.60, raising volatility causes the delta to become negative, while lowering volatility causes the delta to become positive. Aswe have already seen, if the underlying

## becomes negative. In Figure

 21-8, we can see the changing gamma as the underlying price and volatility change. Close tothe
current
underlying price of 99.60 , the gamma is unaffected by changes in volatility. The gamma becomes positive if the underlying price falls or negative if the underlying

## contract rises.

Figure 21-7 Position delta as the underlying price and volatility change.


> Figure $21-8$ Position gamma as the underlying price and volatility change.


# In addition <br> considering 

 in which these values change as market conditions change, traders are well advised to look at the net contract position. If the market makes a dramatic downward move such that all calls move far out of the money while all puts go deeply into themoney, or the market makes a dramatic upward move such that all puts move far out of the money while all calls go deeply into the money, what will be the result? In other words, if the market falls and all puts begin to act like short underlying contracts, or the market rises and all calls begin to act like long underlying contracts, what is the trader left with? In our
position, the downside
contract position is short five. At very low underlying prices, the long 10 September 95 puts together combined with the long 5 underlying contracts will act like a position that is short 5 underlying contracts.
like a position that is also short 5 underlying contracts. This is apparent in Figure 217; the delta approaches -500 in either direction.

## The net contract position

 may sometimes seem irrelevant, particularly if a position consists of very far out-of-the-money options. After all, how likely is it that they will go so deeply into the money that they will actlike underlying contracts? But traders
disasters, corporate takeovers

## dramatically.

occurs, a trader may find that options that "couldn't possibly go into the money" have done just that.

A trader who is short very far out-of-the-money options may believe that there is so little chance that the options will go into the money that there is no point in buying them back. This may be true, but the
clearinghouse will still require a margin deposit for each short option. In order to eliminate this requirement, and perhaps put the money to better use, the trader may want to buy back the options. Of course, he will only want to do this if the price is reasonable. Certainly, the price that the trader will be willing to pay ought to be less than the margin requirement. In the same way, a trader who
is long very far out-of-themoney options that he believes are worthless will usually be happy to sell the options at whatever price he can. After all, something is better than nothing, which is what the options will be worth if they expire out of the money.

Very often the price at which traders are willing to buy or sell very far out-of-the
money options is less than the minimum price that the
exchange For this exchanges permit options to trade at a cabinet bid, a bid usually made at a price of one currency unit. For example, if the minimum price for an option on a U.S. exchange is $\$ 5.00$, an exchange may permit options to trade at a cabinet bid of \$1.00. This will allow traders who are either

# long or short options that they 

 believe to be worthless to remove them from their accounts. The conditions under which cabinet bids are permissible are specified by each exchange.Now
let's
consider
the more complex position shown in Figure 21-9. The position consists of options that all expire at the same time, but it includes calls and puts at five
different exercise prices, together with a position in the underlying
before, we assume that the position has some positive theoretical edge. Otherwise, the immediate goal would be to liquidate the position in order to avoid a loss or to alter it in order to create a positive theoretical edge. What are the risks of holding this position?

Figure 21.9


## Beginning with a quick

look at the sensitivities, we can see that we are at risk from a decline in the underlying market (negative delta), from an increase in realized volatility (negative gamma),
only at the delta and gamma, the most favorable outcome seems to be a slow downward

# move in the underlying 

 market. The least favorable outcome seems to be a swift upward move. What else can we say about this position? From the negative delta, it's clear that we would like downward movement in the underlying price. But how far down? The current price is 101.25. Do we want the market to fall to 100? To 95? To 90? Perhaps
## we want an unlimited decline.

 However, the negative gamma indicates that a swift and violent downward move cannot be good for this position. Taken together with the delta, we can approximate just how far we want the underlying to fall if we realize that a negative gamma position always wants become delta neutral. The profit resulting
negative gamma position will

## tend to be maximized when it

 is delta neutral. Where will our position be delta neutral if the market starts to fall? For each point decline in the underlying market, we must subtract the gamma, -25.8 , fromour delta. By dividing the current delta by the gamma, we can estimate that the position is approximately delta neutral at an underlying price of

# $101.25-(297.4 / 24.13)=$ $101.25-12.32=88.93$ 

Of course, this is only an approximation because we are assuming that the gamma is constant, which it is not. An increasing or declining gamma as the underlying price changes will alter our conclusion. However, if we have to make a quick estimate
of wh
occur, hat we would like to
move to around 89.00 seems best.

We have also surmised that a swift upward move will hurt this position. Now both the delta and gamma are working against the position. Suppose that the worst happens-the underlying contract suddenly leaps to 150. Will the result be disastrous for us? Here we return to the net contract

# position: if the market makes 

 a dramatic move such that all contracts move into or out of the money, what are we left with? In a large upwardmove,

all
the
puts
will
collapse to 0 , while all the calls will eventually begin to act like underlying contracts. Our position is net short a total of 7 calls. But we are also long 13 underlying contracts. This gives us a net upside contract position of
+6 . If the market makes a really big upward move, we will have a position that is long 6 underlying contracts, giving us a potentially unlimited profit. We can conclude that as the market moves up, at some point our gamma must turn positive, causing the delta to eventually become positive. The downside contract position is not so favorable.

## Now all the calls will collapse

 to 0 , while all the puts will act like short underlying contracts. We are net long 5 puts, but we are also long the same 13 underlying contracts. Our net downside contract position is +8 . If the market makes a violent downward move, we will have a position that is long 8 underlying contracts, with potentially disastrous results.
## Because we are focusing

 on the risk characteristics of our position, no prices or theoretical values are given for the options in Figure 21-9. We have simply made the assumption that the position has some positive theoretical edge. However, the size of the theoretical edge-how much, in theory, we expect to make with the position if our volatility estimate of27
percent is correct-can be an
important consideration in analyzing the risk of the position. For example, let's assume that the position has a positive theoretical edge of 6.00. If 27 percent turns out to be the correct volatility over the six-week life of the position and we go through the delta-neutral dynamic hedging process, $\underline{3}$ we expect to show a profit of 6.00 . The theoretical edge and
vega can help us estimate our volatility risk. From the vega position of -0.759 , we know that any increase in volatility will hurt. Consequently, we might ask this question: how much can volatility rise before our potential profit turns into a potential loss? For each percentage point increase in volatility
our
potential profit will be reduced by the amount of the vega.

By
dividing
the
theoretical edge by the vega, we can estimate that the position will break even at a volatility of approximately
$27.00+(6.00 / 0.759)=27.00$ $+7.90=34.90(\%)$

Assuming a theoretical edge of 6.00 , if volatility turns out to be no higher than 34.90 percent, the position will do no worse than break even. Above 34.90 percent,

## the position will begin to

 show a loss. We discussed this concept-the breakeven volatility of a position-in Chapter 7. This can be thought of as the implied volatility of the entire position. It tells us that we have a margin for error of 7.90 volatility points in our volatility estimate. Whether thisrepresents
a
small
Or large margin of error depends on the volatility

# characteristics <br> of <br> this 

## particular market.

## How can we increase the

margin for error in our volatility estimate? We can do so by either increasing the theoretical edge (without increasing the vega) or by reducing the vega (without reducing the theoretical edge). If we can increase the theoretical edge to 8.00 without increasing the vega,
the implied volatility of the position will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
27.00+(8.00 / 0.759)=27.00 \\
+10.54=37.54(\%)
\end{gathered}
$$

Alternatively, if we can reduce the vega to -0.65 , the implied volatility will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
27.00+(6.00 / 0.65)=27.00+ \\
9.23=36.23(\%) \\
\text { Unfortunately, it may not }
\end{gathered}
$$

be possible to do either. In this case, we will have to decide whether the vega risk of -0.759 is reasonable given the potential profit of 6.00 . We know that the risk sensitivities of the position delta, gamma, theta, and vega -are likely to change as market conditions change. It is almost impossible to do a $\begin{array}{lll}\text { detailed } & \text { analysis of these } \\ \text { changes } & \text { without computer }\end{array}$
support. However, we may be able to say something about how the delta changes as time and volatility change if we recall that delta values move either toward 50 or away from 50 with changes in time to expiration and volatility.
Consider
what
will
happen if volatility begins to rise. All call deltas will move toward 50 and put deltas toward -50 . Because we are
net short 7 calls and net long 5 puts, in the extreme, the call delta position will be

$$
-7 \times 50=-350
$$

and the put delta position will be

$$
5 \times-50=-250
$$

Together with the 13 long underlying contracts, the total delta will be

$$
-350-250+1,300=+700
$$

Of course, we would have to raise volatility dramatically for all the deltas to actually approach 50. But, as we begin to raise volatility, the current delta of -297 will become less negative and eventually will turn positive. In a high-volatility market, we will prefer
upward
movement in the underlying contract.

What about a decline in volatility or the passage of time, both of which will cause delta values to move away from 50? The delta values of out-of-the-money options will move toward 0 , while the delta values of in-the-money options will move toward 100. Because we are currently net short 2 in-themoney calls (the 90,95 , and 100 calls) and net long 20 in-the-money puts (the 105 and

## 110 puts), in the extreme, our

 total delta will be$$
-200-2,000+1,300=-900
$$

If we reduce volatility or time passes, we will prefer downward movement in the underlying contract.

For a new trader, using a basic knowledge of delta, gamma, theta, and vega characteristics to analyze the risk of a position can be a
useful exercise. However, when computer support is available, it is almost always easier and more efficient to look at graphs of the position's risk. This has been done for the current position in Figures 21-10 through 2113.

Figure 21-10 Position value as the underlying price and volatility change.


# Figure 21-11 Position delta as the underlying price and volatility change. 



# Figure 21-12 Position gamma as the underlying price and volatility change. 



## Figure 21-13 Position value as volatility changes and time passes.



## In Figure 21-10, we can

see that at a volatility of 27 percent, the maximum profit on the downside will occur at a price of approximately 95.00 , at which point the position delta is 0 . This differs considerably from our estimate of 88.93 because the gamma, which was initially 24.13 , becomes a much larger negative number as the market drops. The negative

# delta of -297 is more rapidly 

 offset by the increasing gamma. In Figure 21-12, we see that on the downside, the gamma reaches its maximum of approximately -80 at an underlying price of 93. If the market moves up, we will initially lose money. But, at an underlying price of 104, the gamma becomes positive. Our negative delta begins to turn around and at aprice of 112 actually becomes positive (Figure 21-11). We will continue to lose money above 112 , but at some point the position will begin to show a profit. Figure 21-10 only goes up to an underlying price of 120 , but a more extensive analysis would show that at an underlying price of 124 , the position will begin to show a profit.

## In Chapter 9, we looked

at some of the nontraditional higher-order risk measures. Figure 21-12 shows that between the underlying prices of 93 and 114 , the position has a positive speed; as the price rises, the gamma increases. Below 93 and above 114 , the position has a negative speed; as the price rises, the gamma declines. We can also see that changing the volatility causes the
gamma and, consequently,
the delta to change at a different rate. Lowering volatility causes the speed to increase, while raising volatility causes the speed to decline.

## Figure 21-13 shows the

 sensitivity of the position to changes in implied volatility, assumingimplied volatility will help the position; any increase in implied volatility will hurt the position. Given a theoretical edge, we can estimate the breakeven (implied) volatility for the entire position by dividing the total theoretical edge by the vega. If, for example, we have a total edge of 6.00 , we estimated that the position has an implied volatility of approximately
34.90 percent. In fact, we can
see in Figure 21-13 that the implied
volatility
iS
somewhat higher than 34.90 percent. The six-week graph crosses -6.00 , which would exactly offset a theoretical edge of +6.00 , at a volatility of approximately 36 percent. The reason the breakeven volatility is greater than our estimate is that the six-week graph has a positive volga-it curves upward slightly. As volatility rises, the vega
becomes more positive or less negative. As volatility falls, the vega becomes more negative or less positive. Even though the current volga is positive, we can see that as time passes, the volga of the position becomes slightly negative. The fourweek graph is approximately a straight line, while the twoweek graph curves slightly downward.

## What <br> should

conclude about the position in Figure 21-9? The reason for doing an analysis is to help us determine beforehand what actions
to take
to either
maximize
our
profits
if
conditions move in our favor
or minimize losses if
conditions move against us.
We currently have a negative delta. If we wish to maintain a downward bias, then no action is necessary.

If,
however, we are trading from a purely theoretical standpoint, then perhaps we ought to buy the 297 deltas that we are short. The easiest way to do this is to buy three underlying contracts.
If
we
maintain
our
current position
and
the
market begins to decline, what action should we take? If the decline is slow (clearly a very good outcome given
our delta and gamma) and there is no increase in implied volatility, perhaps we ought to consider buying puts at lower exercise prices. This will
have
the
effect
of
offsetting our downside net contract risk and reducing our negative vega while locking in some of the theoretical edge. If, however, the decline is swift, we may have to ignore theoretical
considerations and buy puts
at the market price. This may be the cost of having a bad position, something that will inevitably occur at some point in every trader's career. If we are forced to buy puts at inflated prices, especially if there is an increase in implied volatility,
to take advantage of those subsequent occasions when conditions work in our favor, can mean the difference between success and failure in option trading. What action should we take if the market begins to rise? We ought to be prepared for one course of action if the move is slow (the delta is working against us, while the gamma is working for us),
but a different course of action if the move is swift (the delta and gamma are initially working against us, but if the upward move is large enough, these numbers may eventually work in our favor).
A detailed position analysis will help us prepare for a variety of changes in market conditions. Bu
no matter how detailed our

# analysis, <br> we <br>  <br> encounter situations where 

 we are in uncharted territory. When conditions do change, we can never know for certain how the marketplace will react. If the underlying price begins to rise or fall, depending on the specific market, we may expect implied volatility to change in a certain way. But we may find that it has changed in a completely different way. Wemay have to accept the fact that our analysis was incorrect and take whatever action we can to reduce our losses or maximize our profits under these new and unexpected conditions.

## Some Thoughts on

 Market MakingIn order to ensure liquidity
in a market, exchanges may appoint one or more market makers in a product. A market maker guarantees that he will continuously quote both a price at which he is willing to buy and a price at which he is willing to sell. As a consequence, a buyer or seller can always be certain that there will be someone in the marketplace willing to take the opposite side of the trade. This does not mean that
a customer is required to trade with a market maker. If other market participants are willing to buy at a higher price or sell at a lower price, the customer is always free to trade at the best available price. But by continuously quoting a bid-ask spread, the market maker fulfills his role as the buyer or seller of last resort

A market maker must
comply with rules established by the exchange concerning the width of the bid-ask spread as well as the minimum number of
contracts that the market maker must be willing to trade. If exchange rules dictate that a market maker may quote a bid-ask spread no wider than 2.00 , then a bid price of 63.00 for a contract implies an offer price that is no higher than 65.00 .

Similarly, an offer price of 47.00 implies a bid price that is no lower than 45.00 . The market maker may quote a tighter bid-ask spread, for example, 63.50-64.50 in the former case or 45.75-46.25 in the latter, but the spread may be no wider than that specified under the exchange rules.

## In addition to quoting a

 bid-ask spread, a marketmaker must be willing to trade a minimum number of contracts at the quoted prices. If the exchange minimum is 100 contracts, the market maker must be willing to buy or sell a minimum of 100 contracts at his quoted prices. He may offer to trade more than the minimum, in which case he will usually quote his size along with the bid-ask spread, for example,

# $63.50-64.50$ <br> <br> $200 \times 200$ 

 <br> <br> $200 \times 200$}

## The market maker is willing to buy at least 200

 contracts at a price of 63.50 or sell at least 200 contracts at a price of 64.50 . The quoted size need not be balanced:$$
\begin{gathered}
63.50-64.50 \\
500 \times 200
\end{gathered}
$$

## Here the market maker is

 willing to buy 500 contracts but only willing to sell 200 contracts.
## Rules governing the

 width of a market maker's bid-ask spread usually apply only to the minimum size that the market maker must be prepared to do. If a customer wants to trade a very large number of contracts, a market maker is permitted to widenthe spread because of the increased risk associated with the trade. In response to a customer who wants to trade 1,000 contracts, a market maker might quote a spread of 62.00-66.00. To facilitate trading, when a customer has a large order, he will usually indicate that he wants a quote for size.

# In return for fulfilling <br> his obligations, a market 

maker will receive special considerations from the exchange. These may come in the form of very low exchange fees or preferential treatment when competing against other market participants. If a customer is willing to sell at the market maker's bid price and two other market participants are also quoting the same bid price, the market maker may be entitled to 50 percent of
the order, while the other two bidders may onlybe entitled to 25 percent each.

## Unlike

investors,
speculators, or hedgers, who can choose the strategies that best fit their needs and who can also determine when to enter and exit a market, a market maker has less control over the positions he takes. This does not mean that a market maker is totally at the
mercy of his customers. He may be forced to take on a position, but he at least has some choice as to the price at which he does so. Moreover, by adjusting his bid-ask spread, he can to some extent determine the types of positions he acquires. But having done so, he may still find that he has taken on a position that he would prefer not to have.

## Although market makers

typically represent
only
a small percentage of option market participants, they can play a crucial role in trading, often determining the success or failure of an exchangelisted product. 4 For this reason, it may be useful to take a closer look at how an option market maker goes about his business.
A
successful
market

# maker must ask three 

 questions:> 1. What does the marketplace think an option is worth? $2 . \quad$ What do I (the market maker) think the option is worth? $3 . \quad$ What positions am I currently carrying?

## The answers to these

 questions will determine how a market maker prices options and how he manages risk. The answer to the first question-what does the marketplace think an option is worth?-is the basis for the simplest of all market-making techniques. In this approach, the market maker attempts to profit solely from the bid-ask spread, constantly buying atthe bid price and selling at the offer price. No special knowledge of option pricing theory is required, but in order to succeed, the market maker must be able to identify an equilibrium price around which there are an equal number of buyers and sellers. $\frac{5}{}$ If he can correctly determine this equilibrium price, he is in a position to act as a middleman, showing a
small profit on each trade while carrying positions for only short periods of time. Of course, the equilibrium price is constantly changing as new buyers and sellers enter the market. Although a market maker will constantly monitor market activity to determine changes in buying and selling pressure, even an experienced market maker will sometimes find, especially in a very fastmoving market, that he has
the wrong equilibrium price. When this occurs, he may find that he has either bought or sold many more contracts than he desires.

# In addition to profiting 

 from the bid-ask spread, by answering the second question-what do I think the option is worth?-an option market maker will also try to profit from a theoretically mispriced option.The
mispricing may be the result of an unbalanced arbitrage relationship, in which case the market maker will attempt to "lock in" the profit by completing the arbitrage. Or the mispricing may be the result of using a theoretical pricing model. In this case, if the market maker buys at a price below or sells at a price above his
presumed
theoretical
value, he
can
dynamically
hedge
the
position to expiration or until the option is again trading at theoretical value. If his theoretical value is correct, the dynamic hedging process should, in theory, result in a profit.

## Once the market maker

## begins to acquire positions,

 hemust
consider the possibility
that
market
conditions
might
move
against him. This brings us to
the final question-what positions
am I
currently
carrying? Although there is some risk associated with every position, if the risk becomes too great, an adverse change in market conditions might put the market maker in a situation where he is unable to freely trade and therefore unable to benefit from his position as a market maker. In an extreme case, he may be forced out of business
because he is no longer able to fulfill his obligations as a market maker.

## A market maker must

 consider a variety of risks. Initially, he will probably determine a maximum risk he is willing to carry under current market conditions. This may mean limiting the size of his position with respect to the various risk parameters-delta, gamma,theta, vega, and rho. When a limit is reached, the market maker will begin to focus on making markets that will have the effect of reducing his risk. If a market maker is approaching the maximum negative gamma position that he is willing to accept, as he gets closer to this limit, he will increasingly focus on reducing or at least limiting this risk. As a market maker, he still must quote both a bid
price and an offer price, but he would much prefer to buy options because this will have the effect of reducing his negative gamma position. Under normal conditions, if asked to make a market, he will likely do so around the presumed theoretical value. If the value of the option is 64.00 , he might quote a
market of $63.00-65.00$, but if the market maker is intent on reducing his negative gamma
risk, he will clearly prefer to buy options rather than sell. To reflect this preference, he can adjust his bid-ask spread, perhaps quoting a market of 63.50-65.50. The fact that he has raised both his bid and offer makes it more likely that he will buy options rather than sell. Of course, he may still be required to sell if the offer of 65.50 is accepted. But at least he has done so at a more advantageous price.

## A market maker must

consider not only the risks under current market conditions but also how those risks might change as market conditions change. Suppose that in a rising market the market maker has reached the maximum negative gamma he is willing to accept. However, in analyzing the position, he has also noted that if the underlying contract continues to rise, the gamma risk will

# begin to decline. $\frac{6}{}$ If the underlying does move, the market maker may still be hurt because he has a negative gamma position. But he may decide that he can live with this risk because the gamma risk will begin to decline. 

## In addition to monitoring

the various risk sensitivities, a market maker must also intelligently manage his

## inventory. As conditions

 change, a position that includes a concentrated risk may evolve into a serious threat to the market maker. Consider a market maker who has the following gamma position spread out over 10 different exercise prices:| 15 | 0 | 08 | 7 | D | 10 | 10 | 110 | 111 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -110 | $1 / 15$ |  | 40 | $-110$ | 47 | +14 | -616 | $+310$ | +1\% |

Even if the total gamma risk is relatively small (indeed, the total gamma in this case is 0 ), the fact that such a large negative gamma is concentrated at
one exercise price, 95 , is likely to be of concern to the market maker. If these are long-term options, the situation may not be critical today. But as time passes, if the underlying market approaches 95, the position
will
take
on

# increasingly greater risk. Rather than let this risk increase, an intelligent market maker will focus <br> On 

 spreading out his risk more evenly across exercise prices. In the same way that a wise investor will seek to diversify his risk, a market maker will strive for a similar goal.
## In this example, the risk

 was concentrated at one exercise price. But anyconcentration of risk at a specific exercise price

Or expiration date or in terms of a single large risk sensitivity should be a cause for concern. It may not always be feasible because market conditions do not always
cooperate, but a market maker's ultimate objective should be to diversify his position as much as possible. Consider the stock

## option position shown in

 Figure 21-14. This position does not fall into any easily recognizable category and represents the type of mixed collection of options that a market maker might accumulate over time as a result of buying and selling by customers. ${ }^{-}$The current market conditions (i.e., underlying share price, time to expiration,implied

## volatility, and expected dividends) are also shown in Figure 21-14.

Figure 21-14

| Undertying shate price $=68.76$ |  |  | Interestrate $=4.95 \%$ Expected dividend $=0.58$ in 10 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time to April expiration $=4$ weeks |  |  | April mpledvolatility $=34.27 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Time to June expiration $=13$ week |  |  | Juneimpliedvolatility $=33.20 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Time to August expiration $=21$ weeks |  |  | Augustimplied volatily $=3214 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Agril |  |  | June |  |  | August |  |
| Exercise <br> Price | Cols | Puts | Calls Puts |  |  | Calls | Puts |
| 55 | +77 | +47 | $-103$ |  |  | +32 |  |
| 60 | -162 | +111 | +3 | +81 |  | +24 | -46 |
| 65 | +13 | $-77$ | +92 | -25 |  |  |  |
| 70 | +10\% | -19 | -110 | -49 |  | -26 | $-20$ |
| 75 | -8 | $+122$ | +86 | $-2$ |  | +8 | -25 |
| 80 | -31 | -18 | +21 | +30 |  |  |  |
| 85 | -135 | +46 | -25 | +7 |  | -72 | Pho |
|  | Calls | Puts | Delta | Gamma | Theta | Adjusted Vega |  |
| April | -140 | +212 | -12,615 | +504 | -251 | +6.26 | $-750$ |
| June | +67 | -91 | +6,093 | +13 | -062 | +0.36 | +9.75 |
| August | -36 | -59 | +3,425 | $-252$ | $+125$ | -11.19 | $+10.45$ |
| Shares | +3,300 |  | +3,300 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  | +203. | +265 | -188 | -4.57 | +12.70 |

# To fully analyze the 

## position, we will need to

 make some assumptions about the term structure of implied volatility. Here we will assume that April is the primary month and that the mean volatility for this market is 30 percent. We will also assume that the implied volatility for June changes at 75 percent of the rateof change in April and the
implied volatility for August changes at 50 percent of the rate of change in April. $\underline{8}$ We can see that the current
implied
volatilities
are
consistent structure:

April (primary month) implied volatility

$$
34.27 \%
$$

Difference
from the
mean $=$
$34.27 \%$
$30.00 \%=$
4.27\%

June implied volatility 33.20\%

Differencє from the
mean
33.20\%
$30.00 \%=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.20 \% \\
& 0.75 \\
& 4.27 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

August implied volatility 32.14\% Difference
from the mean 32.14\% $30.00 \%=$ 2.14\%

# 0.50 <br> $4.27 \%$ 

## The primary risk <br> characteristics <br> of <br> the

position - -theoretical profit and loss (P\&L), delta, gamma, and vega-are shown in Figures 21-15 through 21-18. 10 From these graphs, it is evident that the risks of the position can change significantly
with the delta, gamma, and vega gyrating between positive and negative. Given this, how should we analyze the position?

Figure 21-15 Position value as the underlying price and volatility change.


# Figure 21-16 Position delta as the underlying price and volatility change. 



# Figure 21-17 Position gamma as the underlying price and volatility change. 



# Figure 21-18 Position vega as the underlying price and volatility change. 


A market maker's
ultimate goal is to establish
positions with a positive
profit expectation while intelligently managing risk. Indeed, were it not for the complexities of the marketplace and the unique characteristics of options, a market maker's life might be thought of as quite boring because he is trying to do the same thing over and over:


Once a position with a positive theoretical edge has been established, the market maker ideally would like to reduce all risks to 0 without giving up any potential profit. This would be identical to turning the graph in Figure
$\underline{21-15}$ into a single horizontal line with a positive theoretical P\&L. In reality, with a large and complex position, it is virtually impossible to achieve such a goal. A more practical approach is to ask what changes in market conditions represent the greatest immediate
threat to the position and what steps can be taken to mitigate those risks. Even this will depend
on many subjective factors: the trader's appetite for risk, his capitalization, the extent of his trading experience, and his familiarity market. Unfortunately, there are very few easy answers when it comes to risk analysis.

> Some risk limitations
will be set by the firm for which the trader works or by the trader's clearing firm. For

# example, a clearing firm may 

 require that a trader maintain enough capital to withstand a 20 percent move in the underlying contract in either direction. Or the firm may require enough capital to withstand a doubling of implied volatility. If the trader currently has insufficient capital to meet these requirements, he must either deposit additionalmoney with the clearing firm
or reduce the size of the position so that it falls within the clearing firm's guidelines. How should we analyze the risk of the position in Figure 21-14? Risk analysis is important because it enables a trader to plan ahead - to decide what course of action is best-given a change in market conditions. An option trader may have to consider many different
market scenarios, but it is often best to begin with three basic questions:

1. What will I do if market conditions move against me? 2. What will I do if market conditions move in my favor? (Risk analysis should focus not only on protecting against the
bad

> things that might occur but also on taking advantage of the good things.)
> 3 . What can I do now to avoid the adverse effects of conditions moving against me at a later time?

## What are the bad things

## that <br> can happen to the

 position? Clearly, the greatestthreat is a violent upward move. Above a stock price of 85 , the position will take on a negative delta and from that point on will continue to lose money as the market rises (Figures 21-15 and 21-16). The upside contract position (the sum of all calls and underlying contracts) is -76 . With a current delta of +203 , there is also some risk of a declining stock price.

This may not be of immediate concern, but note that as the stock price declines toward 62, the position takes on an increasingly negative vega (Figure 21-18). This means that the position is at risk if the stock price falls moderately while implied volatility rises.
In Figure 21-17, we can
see that the position has a maximum positive gamma at
stock prices of approximately 53 and 72. If the market were to approach either of these prices and remain there, the position would most likely take on its maximum negative theta and consequently begin to decay very rapidly. Given the various risks, what should be the immediate concern? The answer must necessarily be subjective and will depend on what this

## trader knows about the

characteristics of this stock. If there is some possibility of a really large upward move, for example, the company is a takeover target, is incumbent on the trader to cover at least some of his upside risk, perhaps by purchasing higher-exerciseprice calls. Admittedly, if the prices of the upside calls are inflated because the company is known to be a takeover
target, the cost of protecting the upside may be high. But, if a takeover could result in the trader's demise, this may be a price that he will have to pay.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Of course, the trader } \\
& \text { believe that a large }
\end{aligned}
$$ upward move is so unlikely that he is willing to accept the risk. Then he may want to focus on some of the lesser threats to the position. If he is

a disciplined theoretical trader, he may want to cover his current delta position of +203 , although this too may represent such a small risk that it is not of immediate concern. Otherwise, he may want to sell approximately 200 deltas in some form-sell stock, sell calls, or buy puts. The last choice, buying puts, especially those with exercise prices of 60 or 65 , will have the effect
not
only
of
reducing the delta but also reducing the negative vega in the range of 60 to 65 . If given the choice, the purchase of April 60 or 65 puts will probably show the greatest benefit to the position. If the stock price does decline to between 60 and 65, these options will be at the money, and at-the-money short-term options have
the
greatest gamma. As such, they will do the most to offset the negative

## gamma in this range.

What changes in market
conditions might help the position? Below a stock price of 55 , the position will take on a negative delta, so a collapse in the stock price will obviously
prove beneficial. The downside contract position (the sum of all puts and underlying contracts) is -29 . If the stock price should climb toward 85 ,

# especially 

also be very favorable.
Indeed, almost any decline in implied volatility will help the position, as shown by the vega in Figure 21-18.

## Even though time decay

may not be an immediate concern, it may still be worth considering how the passage of time will affect the position. The position has a

## negative theta (consistent

 with a positive gamma), so the passage of time will work against the position if there is no change in the underlying stock price. The total theta of -1.90 may be small, but note that most of the theta is concentrated in April. And the April position consists of a large long position in April 70 calls. As time passes, the theta of these options, which are close to at the money, will
# accelerate, 

position to lose value at an increasingly greater rate. If the market remains close to 70 , it is also likely that there will be a decline in implied volatility. Given the position's negative vega, this will work in the position's favor. Still, it may be worth thinking about what action to take if the stock price remains close to 70. The value of the position after the passage of

# one and two weeks is shown 

 in Figure 21-19.Figure 21-19 Position value as the underlying price changes and time passes.

What else might hurt
this position? We have
assumed that the stock will pay a dividend of 0.58 in 10 weeks. If the company has not officially announced the dividend, perhaps the actual dividend will be more than or less than this amount. The April options, which expire in four weeks,
will
be unaffected by a change in the dividend. But how will the
overall position be affected? We can run a computer simulation at higher or lower dividend amounts, perhaps an easier approach is to note that the position is long 3,300 shares of stock. Because we own stock and therefore receive the dividend, any increase in the dividend will cause the position value to rise, and any decrease will cause the
position value to fall. The

# change in value will be 

 approximately equal to the change in the dividend multiplied by the number of shares of stock, in this case, 3,300.If there is a real possibility that the dividend will be reduced, one way to eliminate the risk is to replace the long stock position with synthetic long stock: sell the stock, and buy calls and sell
puts at the same exercise price. This is similar to reducing the risk of a conversion or reverse conversion by turning the position into a box (see Chapter 15).

## The total rho of +12.70

## also indicates that there is

 some risk of falling interest rates. For each full-point decline ( 100 basis points ${ }^{\underline{11}}$ ) in interest rates, the position
## value will fall by 12.70

## It is usually easiest to

 analyze risk by generating graphs of a position's characteristics, as we have done in Figures 21-15 to 2119. However, some traders prefer to create a table showing the risk sensitivities at various underlying prices. This has been done in Figure 21-20, beginning with a stock price of 45 and continuing atfive-point increments up to a stock price of 95 . The table includes not only the traditional risk measures but also the nontraditional higherorder measures discussed in Chapter 9. These higher-order measures can often give a trader a more complete picture of how the risks of his position will
change as market conditions change. For convenience, we list these measures below:

Figure 21-20 Risk sensitivities as the underlying price changes

| Sockprice | 45 | 50 | 55 | 68 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 8) | 82 | 29 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretcal ply | +179 | 432 | $-25$ | -1 | 44 | 4. 5 | +74 | +182 | $+221$ | $+131$ | -76 |
| Total deta | -3,205 | $-2,306$ | -14 | $+533$ | $-232$ | +571 | +2,083 |  | -439 | $-3092$ | $-5,045$ |
| Totalgamma | 12 | +391 | +378 | -134 | $-46$ | +325 | +179 | $-292$ | $-544$ | $-479$ | $-301$ |
| Toxaladusted vega | -0.84 | +286 | $+0.81$ | $-3.97$ | -401 | +011 | $-209$ | -9,58 | -14,34 | -1427 | -10.70 |
| Toal theia | 70.61 | $-1.06$ | -1.53 | +0.99 | +0.51 | $-250$ | -1.74 | +2.91 | +650 | +6.77 | +5,18 |
| Toxalito | 419 | 419 | 418 | 417 | 415 | 412 | 410 | 47 | $+3$ | -1 | 4 |
| Total yanna | -5 | +28 | -98 | -115 | +34 | +16 | -131 | -14) | $-30$ | 474 | +100 |
| Todel cham | $-15$ | -41 | +33 | +39 | -55 | -38 | +68 | $+98$ | +38 | $-21$ | $-36$ |
| Toxalspeed | 445 | +77 | $-90$ | -63 | 481 | +34 | -82 | -84 | -14 | +32 | $+34$ |
| Totalcolor | $-800$ | -47 | $-1650$ | -1553 | $-12.74$ | +18.10 | +17.52 | -5.65 | -14.77 | $-7.35$ | +54 |
| Toral volga | -0.0004 | -0.0008 | -1.0023 | -10055 | 0 | -0.0015 | -0,0015 | 0 | +.0002 |  | -0.0017 |
| Todivegrocciy | +0.037 | $+0.065$ | $-0.030$ | +0092 | +0,134 | -0.040 | -0.0117 | -0.035 | -0.052 | -0.179 | -0.247 |
| Totalzonma | $+10$ | -8 | -28 | 424 | 421 | -26 | -22 | 415 | 427 | 113 | -1 |



## Stock Splits

## conclude

discussion, let's consider one last change in market conditions-a stock split. This often happens when a company wants to reduce its stock price to promote trading in the stock or to encourage wider ownership of the stock. If the stock price remains high, trading activity tends to be limited, with ownership of the stock concentrated in fewer hands.

Suppose that the stock in our example splits 2 for 1 , resulting in a new stock price of $68.76 / 2=34.38$. What will happen to the position? Where the trader previously owned 3,300 shares, he will now own $2 \times 3,300=6,600$ shares. To maintain the same relationship between each exercise price and the stock price, as a result of the split, the clearinghouse will divide all the exercise prices by 2 .

The 55 exercise price will become $27 \frac{1}{2}$, the 60 exercise price will become 30, and so on. The underlying contract will remain 100 shares of stock, but in order to maintain equity, the clearinghouse will double the trader's position at each exercise price. Instead of being long 77 April 55 calls, the trader will now be long 154 April 27½ calls. Instead of being short 162 April 60 calls, the trader will now be
short 324 April 30 calls.
How will the trader's
risk position look now? In order to understand what happens, let's consider a simple example. an underlying stock trading at 60.00 , we own a May 60 call with a delta of 50 and a gamma of 5 . If the stock splits 2 for 1 , our position will now be

## Pamesit <br> hassith

## Souktrite 6 <br> 10

| $100^{4}$ | $+1 \text { Wotan }$ | tamovals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Wharyng contad
1059ac
1005 ores

Staportion

## $-5$

$+10$

## Because the option is still

 at the money, the delta will be 50. But now we own two calls, so our delta position
## will double to +100 .

What about the gamma?
Because the gamma is the change in delta per point change in the underlying stock price, if we can determine the new delta position at a stock price of 31 , we will know the gamma. Suppose that the stock price rises to 31 . This is equivalent to the stock price rising to 62 prior to the split. At a stock
price of 62 (prior to the split), our delta position would have been $+50+(2 \times 5)=+60$. But the stock split caused our delta to double, so the new delta position at a stock price of 31 must be $2 \times+60=$ +120 . If the delta rises from 100 to 120 with a stock price change from 30 to 31 , the gamma of the position must be +20 .

If a stock splits $Y$ for $X$

## (each $X$ number of shares will be replaced with $Y$ number of shares), we can summarize the <br> new conditions follows:



These calculations hold
true as long as the split is $Y$ for 1 , where $Y$ is a whole number (e.g., 2 for 1, 3 for 1, 4 for 1 , etc.). If $Y$ is not a whole number, the number of shares in the underlying contract may have to be adjusted. For example, using our stock price of 60 , what will happen if the stock is split 3 for 2 ? Now $Y$ is not a whole number because the split is equivalent to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1 .

If we own a May 60 call, we can make the following calculations:

allow fractional option positions ( $+1 \frac{1}{2}$ May 40 calls ). In order to eliminate the fraction, the clearinghouse will replace each May 60 call before the split with one May 40 call after the split. At the same time, the underlying contract will be adjusted so that the new underlying contract is equal to the old underlying contract multiplied by the split ratio

# 100 shares $\times 3 / 2=150$ shares 

## Using these adjustments,

the delta and gamma now make sense. The option is at the money, so it should be equivalent to approximately 50 percent of the underlying contract, or 75 shares. If the stock price rises to 41 , equal to a price of $61 \frac{1}{2}$ before the split, the old delta would have been

$$
50+(1.5 \times 5)=57.5
$$

# The option would have been equivalent to 57.5 percent of the underlying contract. Therefore, the new option (the 40 call) should be equivalent to 

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.575-150 \text { shares }=86.25 \\
\text { shares }
\end{gathered}
$$

As expected, this is the same as the delta (75) plus

## the gamma (11.25).

## What happens to the

other risk measures -theta,
vega, and rho-if a stock
splits? These numbers remain unchanged. The passage of time, changes in volatility, and changes in interest rates have the same effect on a position after a split as before a split. Only the delta and gamma must be adjusted. Indeed,
assuming

## other conditions remain

 unchanged, a stock split has no real effect on a trader's position. It simply results in an accounting change in such a way that equity is maintained. Of course, all other conditions may not remain unchanged. When a stock splits, we might assume that the dividend also will be split proportionally. But this is not necessarily the case. A stock split often indicates thata company is doing well, and it is not unusual for the split to be accompanied by an increase in the dividend. Any
change in the expected
dividend will change the value of an option position. Figure 21-21 shows the characteristics of our original position after a 2 -for-1 stock split with no change in the expected dividend.

Figure 21-21 The effect of a 2-for-1 stock split.

$\frac{1}{1}$ In order to focus on the volatility characteristics of the positions, we assume an interest rate of 0 in this and other examples.
${ }^{2}$ Some readers may recognize this position as a risk reversal or split strike conversion. More on this in Chapter 24.
${ }^{3}$ The position is, of course, not currently delta neutral. If we want to dynamically hedge the position, we must begin by offsetting the current delta of -297 , perhaps by purchasing three underlying contracts.
4
Customers sometimes believe that market makers "fix" the prices of exchange-traded contracts. This may be true for short periods of time, usually at
the beginning of the trading day when very little information is available. Ultimately, however, a market maker's quotes reflect current market activity. A market maker does not set prices any more than a thermometer sets the temperature.
$\underline{5}$ A trader who tries to profit solely from the bid-ask spread, buying at the bid price and selling at the ask price without regard to theoretical value, is sometimes referred to as a scalper. Scalping is a common trading technique in open-outcry markets.
$\underline{6}$ In this case, the market maker has a positive speed position. His gamma position becomes more positive or less negative as the price of the underlying

## contract rises.

7
An active market maker's position is likely to be much larger than the position shown, with hundreds or even thousands of options at each exercise price. For simplicity, the position shown has been scaled down. But the risk-analysis process will be the same.
$\underline{8}$ We might also make assumptions about the term structure of interest rates, as well as how implied volatility is distributed across exercise prices. In order not to overly complicate the current example, we will assume a constant interest rate across expiration months, as well as uniform implied volatilities across exercise prices. We leave the discussion of volatility skews
to a later chapter.
$\underline{9}$ In this example, we have assumed that the options are European and have made all calculations using the BlackScholes model. The risk-analysis process would be the same if the options were American, although the calculations necessarily would have to be made using an American pricing model.
$\underline{10}$ Because of the term structure of volatility, the changes in volatility in Figures 21-15, through $\underline{21-18}$ are expressed in percent terms rather than percentage points. Given our assumptions (i.e., mean volatility $=30$ percent, June implied volatility changes 75 percent as fast as April, August
implied volatility changes 50 percent as fast as April), a 20 percent increase in volatility from the current levels results in


11 Traders commonly express changes in interest rates in basis points. One basis point is equal to $1 / 100$ of a

## percentage point, or 0.0001 .



# Stock Index 

## Futures and

## Options

## Because stock <br> index

 futuresand options
are
among
the most
actively traded of all derivatives, it
will be worthwhile to take a closer look at these contracts. Even though the focus of this book is primarily options, stock index futures and options are so closely related, and so many strategies involve both contracts, that it is almost impossible to discuss one without discussing the other. We will therefore include
both instruments in our discussion.

## What Is an Index?

An index is a number that represents the composite value of a group of items. In the case of a stock index, the value of the index is determined by the market prices of the stocks that make up the index. As the stocks in the index rise in price, the value of the index rises; as the stocks fall in price, the
value of the index falls. If some stocks in the index rise while others fall, the offsetting changes in stock prices may result in the index itself remaining unchanged, even though the price of every stock in the index may have changed. Although the index is made up of individual stocks, the value of the index always reflects the total value of the stocks that make up the index.

## Stock indexes are often

 classified as being either broad based or narrow based. A broad-based index is usually made up of a large numberof stocks and is intended to represent the value of the market as a whole or at least a large portion of the market. Below are some widely followed broad-based indexes.

| Inder | Curtyuntegon | Nunterof Swa cinternex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP55 | Wherddes | 10 |
| Mestactio | Whend fites | 10 |
| Assel 200 |  | 2000 |



# The designation of an 

 index as broad based can be somewhat subjective. Even if an index is composed of a smaller number of stocks, it may still be considered broad based if the companies that make up the index represent a wide cross section of the economy in a country or region.Index Country or Region Numbero oflocks in ihe Index
Dow.ones Industrials UnitedStates ..... 30
DAX Germany ..... 30
CAC40 France ..... 40
Eurosoxox50 Europe ..... 50
OMX30 Sweden ..... 30
FTSEMB Italy ..... 40
AEX Nethelands ..... 25
HangSeng HongKong ..... 30
Sensex 30 India ..... 30
IPC Mexico ..... 35
TelAviv25 srael ..... 25
StraitsTimes Singapore ..... 30
SwissMarket Switzerland ..... 20

## A narrow-based index is

## usually composed of a small

 number of stocks and reflects the value of a particular market segment.|  |  | Nunderondo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HaNourdent |  |
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## Calculating an Index

## There are several methods

 that can be used to calculate the value of a stock index, but the most common methods focus on either the prices of the stocks in the index or the capitalization of the companies that make up the index. To see how these methods work, consider the ABC Index composed of the following three stocks:
## 5100 <br> 陾官 <br>  <br> 

A

14

( 00 400 20000

## The market capitalization

 of each company is equal to the stock price multiplied by the number of outstanding shares. This represents the total value of all stock in the
## company.

## If an index is price

 weighted, the value of the index is the sum of the individual stock pricesABC Index (price weighted) $=\sum$ price $_{i}=80+20+50=$

$$
150
$$

If an index is capitalization weighted (cap weighted for short), the value of the index is the sum of the individual

## capitalizations

ABC Index $($ cap weighted $)=$ $\sum\left(\right.$ price $_{i} \times$ shares $\left._{i}\right)=8,000+$ $40,000+20,000=68,000$

Suppose that the price of Stock A rises 10 percent to 88. How will the value of the ABC Index change if the index is price weighted? The new index value will be
$88+20+50=158$ increase of

$$
8 / 150=5.33 \%
$$

We can make the same calculation for the priceweighted index if Stock B rises 10 percent to 22 or if Stock $C$ rises 10 percent to 55. The percent increases in the index are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.33 \% \\
& \text { Stock C:5/150 } \\
& =3.33 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## In percent terms, changes

 in the highest-priced stock, Stock A, have the greatest effect on the value of the index. Stock A has the greatest index weighting-it accounts for the largest portion of the index. We can calculate the role that each stock plays in the index by
## calculating the individual weightings:

# StockA: $\quad 80 / 150=53.33 \%$ <br> StockB: $20 / 150=13.33 \%$ <br> StockC; $\quad 50 / 150=33.93 \%$ 

## We can also calculate

 the weightings for each stock (with small rounding errors) if the ABC Indexis capitalization weighted:

## Now Stock B, the stock

with the greatest
capitalization, has the greatest index weighting.
In a price-weighted index, stocks with the highest price have the greatest index weighting. In a capitalizationweighted index, stocks with
the greatest capitalization
(stocks with a large number of outstanding shares) have the greatest weighting. We can also create an equal-weighted index where, in percent terms, each stock plays exactly the same role in the index. We can do this by making the initial contribution of each stock to the index identical. For
example, suppose that

# initially the value of our index is 

# $\sum\left(\right.$ price $_{i} /$ price $\left._{i}\right)=1+1+1=$ 

3

Here each stock contributes exactly 33.33 percent to the index. Of course, if we always divide each stock by itself, the value of the index will never change. But this is only the value when the index is first introduced.

Subsequently, as the price of each stock changes, the new price is divided by the old price to determine the new value of the index. If any one stock in the index rises 10 percent, the effect on the index will be the same because

$$
88 / 80=22 / 20=55 / 50
$$

## If all three stocks rise 10

 percent, the new value of the
## index will be

# $88 / 80+22 / 20+55 / 50=1.10$ 

 $+1.10+1.10=3.30$The index will rise exactly 10 percent. ${ }^{-1}$

As time passes and some stocks in an equal-weighted index outperform other stocks, the weighting of the stocks will change so that the index will no longer be equal weighted. In order to ensure
that each stock in the index accounts for approximately the
same
weighted
value, equal-
periodically rebalanced.
Suppose that at a later date the prices of Stocks A, $B$, and $C$ are 76,25 , and 51 , respectively. The value of the equal-weighted index now will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
76 / 80+25 / 20+51 / 50=0.95 \\
+1.25+1.02=3.22
\end{gathered}
$$

## Stock B now accounts for a

 greater portion of the index than either Stock A or Stock C. To ensure that all stocks again have an equal weighting, the index is now rebalanced$$
76 / 76+25 / 25+51 / 51=3.00
$$

Of course, the index value of 3.00 seems inconsistent with the preceding index value of 3.22. In order to
generate a continuous index value, the index value after the rebalancing must be multiplied by the percent increase in the index during the previous rebalancing period. In our example, the index after the rebalancing, we must multiply the index value by

$$
3.22 / 3.00=1.0733
$$

because the index rose by
7.33 percent over the last rebalancing period.
It is a relatively easy
task to add up a list of
The Dow Jones Industrial Average, introduced in 1896, is probably the best known of all price-weighted indexes. However, a capitalizationweighted index gives a more

# accurate <br> picture <br> of <br> each company's value. With the advent of computer technology to make the calculations, most widely followed indexes are capitalization weighted. 

## The total capitalization

of a company depends on the number of outstanding shares in the company. However, company restrictions may prevent some of these shares

## from being available for trading. Shares held in the company treasury, <br> company officers, or in employee investment plans may not be available to the public. The shares that are available for trading are

 referred to as the free float, and it is the number of shares in the free float that typically is used to calculate the value of a capitalization-weighted index.
## The Index Divisor

## When an index is first

 introduced, it is common to set the value of the index to some round number. Suppose that we initially want the value of the ABC Index to be 100. To accomplish this, we must adjust the raw index price of either 150 (price weighted) 68,000 (cap weighted) by using a divisor to achieve our target value of
## 100. Because

# Raw index value/divisor $=$ target index value 

the divisor must be

Divisor $=$ target index value/raw index value

For our ABC Indexes, the respective divisors are

## Once the divisor has

# been determined, 

 are made by dividing the raw index value by the divisor. If the price of Stock $B$ rises to 25 , the price-weighted index value, which was initially 100, will now be$$
(80+25+50) / 1.50=
$$

$$
155 / 1.50=103.33
$$

## The <br> capitalization <br> of

Company B will now be $25 \times$ $2,000=50,000$, and the capweighted index value will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
(8,000+50,000+ \\
20,000) / 680=78,000 / 680= \\
114.71 \\
\text { It is sometimes }
\end{gathered}
$$

necessary to adjust the divisor to ensure that the index

# accurately reflects the performance of the component stocks. Consider what will happen if Stock A, which was trading at 80, splits 2 for 1 . The stock price is now 40, but with 200 shares outstanding. Stock price total Shares outstanding Market Capitalization 
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1
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## H1

An Mu

If the ABC Index is price weighted，the index value， which was previously 100 （using our divisor of 1．50）， will now be

# $(40+20+50) / 1.50=$ $110 / 1.50=73.33$ 

## But is this logical? From

 the point of view of an investor in Company $A$, the value of his holdings has not changed, so why should the index value change? To generate a continuous and logical index value, the index divisor must be adjusted. With a new raw index value of 110 and a target indexvalue of 100 (assuming that no other price changes occurred), the new index divisor will be

New divisor $=110 / 100=1.10$ When an index divisor is adjusted, the organization responsible for calculating the index will typically issue a press release announcing the new divisor and the reason for the adjustment: "The new

ABC Index divisor is 1.10 as a result of the 2 for 1 stock split of Company A." How will the 2 for 1 stock split affect the divisor in our cap-weighted ABC Index? We can see that the capitalization of Stock A is unchanged at

8,000.
Therefore, no adjustment is required. The divisor is still 680.

## The component stocks

that make up an index are not permanent. A company may cease to exist because it has gone out of business or
because it has been taken over by another company. Or a company may no longer meet the criteria for inclusion in an index because its price or capitalization has dropped below some threshold. To maintain a constant number
of index components,

# from an index must be replaced with a new 

 company. This will require an adjustment to the divisor. Suppose that Company C is replaced in the ABC Index with C ompany currently trading at 75 with 500 shares outstanding:
(and

## Total-Return Indexes

## In a traditional stock index,

when the price of a
component stock falls, the price of the index will fall. This is true even if the price decline is the result of a dividend payout. In a totalreturn index, all dividends are assumed to be immediately reinvested in the index. Consequently, stock price declines
resulting
from
dividend payout do not cause the index value to decline. The value of the priceweighted ABC Index composed of our original three stocks with a divisor of 1.50 is
$(80+20+50) / 1.50=100.00$

## If Stock A pays a dividend

 of 1.00 and opens at a price of 79 on the ex-dividend day, the opening index value will$(79+20+50) / 1.50=99.33$

## But if the ABC Index is a

 total-return index, the opening index value will remain at 100 because the 1.00 decline in Stock A was solely the result of the dividend payout. To maintain an index value of 100 , the index divisor mustadjusted to 1.49 because

# $(79+20+50) / 1.49=100.00$ 

## Whenever a component

 stock in a total-return index pays a dividend, the divisor will be adjusted to reflect the dividend payout.
## Although they are less

 common than traditional indexes, there are some widely followed total-return indexes. The best known of these is probably the German DAX Index. Occasionally, an
## index, such as the Standard

 and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index, will be published in two versions, as both a traditional index and a total-return index. The former, however, is much more widely followed.
## Impact of Individual

 Stock price Changes on an Index
## If an individual component

 stock price changes, how will this affect the value of an index? Suppose that the current value of the priceweighted ABC Index is $I$. If the price of Stock A (price $A$ ) changes by an amount $a$, what will be the new value of the index? The raw value of the index should rise by $a$ because$$
(A+a)+B+C=I+a
$$

# In percent terms, <br> the 

change in the index is $a / I$. Suppose that we rewrite $a / I$ in a slightly different form

$$
a / I=(a / A) \times(A / I)
$$

$a / A$ is the percent change in the stock price, while $A / I$ is the stock's weighting in the index. The percent change in the index must therefore be equal to the percent change in the stock multiplied by the
stock's weighting in the index. This is true regardless of whether the index is price weighted, cap weighted,

Or equal weighted.
We
can
confirm
this
through an example. Suppose that Stock $A$ in the priceweighted ABC Index, currently trading at 100 with a divisor of 1.50 , rises one point. The new index value is

$$
(81+20+50) / 1.50=
$$

## $151 / 1.50=100.67$

The weighting of Stock A (before its one-point rise) was 53.33 percent, so the percent change in the index should be

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.5333 \times(1 / 80)=0.5333 \times \\
0.0125=0.0067
\end{gathered}
$$

From this we get a new index value of
$(1+0.0067) \times 100=100.67$

## For the cap-weighted

 ABC Index, currently trading at 100 with a divisor of 680 , the new index value will be$$
\begin{gathered}
{[(81 \times 100)+40,000+} \\
20,000] / 680=68,100 / 680= \\
100.147
\end{gathered}
$$

The weighting of Stock A (before its one-point rise) was 11.76 percent, so the percent change in the index should be

# $0.1176 \times(1 / 80)=0.1176 \times$ $0.0125=0.00147$ 

## From this we get a new

 index value of$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+0.00147) \times 100= \\
100.147
\end{gathered}
$$

As the price of each stock changes, the new index price is

Old index price $\times\left(1+\sum\right.$

# percent change $_{i} \times$ weight $\left._{i}\right)$ 

For a price-weighted index, if we know the index divisor, we can simplify this calculation by noting that the change in the index per onepoint move in any individual component is always given by

> Change in stock price/divisor
> Each 1.00 change in a
stock in the price-weighted ABC Index will cause the index to change by

$$
1.00 / 1.50=0.67
$$

It may seem odd that every point change in a component stock has the same effect on an index. If a component stock rises one point and then rises a second point, the second point will cause
a
smaller
percent
increase in the stock price. One might therefore expect the second point to have a smaller effect on the index. But this is offset by the fact that each point increase in the stock also increases the stock's weighting in the index. Taken together, the percent change in the stock and its weighting combine to yield a constant point change in the index.
trader
occasionally
may not be an accurate reflection of where the stock is currently trading. Suppose that trading
an index

component stock has been

temporarily halted. ${ }^{2}$ The
index value will be based on
the last trade price of the halted stock, but this last price may differ significantly from the expected price when trading in the stock resumes. Suppose that the current value of an index is $1,425.50$ and that the last trade price for a component stock is 63.00. However, trading in the stock has been halted

## pending news that is expected

 to cause the stock price to rise significantly. Although one knows the exact price at which the stock will reopen, the indication (very often disseminated by the exchange) somewhere between 67.50 and 68.00 . If the weighting of the stock in the index is 2.5 percent, an index trader might use a price of 67.75 to estimate the newindex price when the stock
reopens, that is,
$1,425.50 \times[1+.025 \times(67.75$
$-63.00) / 63.00]=1,428.19$
Alternatively, the trader may have already determined that each point change in the stock price will cause a change of 0.57 in the index value, yielding a new index estimate of

$$
1,425.50+(4.75 \times .57)=
$$

$1,428.21$

## Either estimate will enable

 the trader to make a more informed decision.
## Volume-Weighted

Average Price
The index value at the end of a trading day is usually determined by the last price of each component stock
when trading closes. But the last trade price may not accurately reflect trading activity in the stock. Suppose that at the close of the trading day the quoted bid-ask spread for a stock is 43.10-43.30 and that the very last trade in the stock was for 300 shares at a price of 43.30. Suppose, however, that just prior to the last trade, 2,400 shares traded at 43.15 , and just prior to that, another 1,800 shares traded at

### 43.10. The last trade of 43.30

 seems to be an anomaly, and logic suggests that perhaps one of the other prices ought to be used for the index calculation. To solve this problem, some exchanges use a volume-weighted average price (VWAP)over
designated period prior to closing. In our example, if the last three trades during the VWAP period are those just given, the closing price for

## the stock will be

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[(300 \times 43.30)+(2,400 \times} \\
43.15)+(1,800 \times 43.10) \\
] /(300+2,400+1,800)= \\
43.14
\end{gathered}
$$

The volume-weighted average price of 43.14 will be used to calculate the index value.

## In theory, one can create a

 futures contract on a stock index in exactly the same way that futures contracts are created on traditional commodities. At expiration, the holder of a long stock index futures position will be required to take delivery of all the stocks that make up the index in their correct proportions. The holder of a short position will be required to make delivery of thestocks.

## In fact, no stock index

futures contracts are settled through the physical delivery of the stocks that make up the index. Such
a process, requiring the delivery of the correct number of shares of many different stocks, would be unmanageable for most clearing organizations. Moreover, settlement might require the delivery of

# fractional shares of stock, 

 which is not possible. For these reasons, exchanges typically settle stock index futures at expiration in cash rather than through physical delivery of the component stocks.As with all futures, stock index futures are subject to margin and variation, with a final cash payment equal to the difference between the

## expiration value of the index

 and the previous day's futures settlement price. If the index value at the moment of expiration is 462.50 and the preceding day's settlement price for the futures contract was 461.00, the holder of a long position will be credited with a final payment of 1.50 . If the value of each index point is $\$ 100$, the long futures position will be credited with $\$ 100 \times 1.50=\$ 150$, and theshort futures position will be debited by an equal amount. Once this final payment has been made, both parties are out of the market and unaffected by any subsequent index movement What should be the fair price for a stock index forward contract? In Chapter 2, we calculated the forward price for an individual stock by adding the interest costs to
the stock price (the cost of buying now) and subtracting the expected dividends (the benefit of buying now)

$$
F=S \times(1+r \times t)-D
$$

## The forward price for the

 index can be calculated using the same procedure. We add the interest cost to the current index price and subtract the total dividends that the index components are expected topay prior to maturity. But unlike an individual stock, where dividends are paid in one lump sum, the dividend payments for an index are likely to be spread out over time. An exact forward price calculation requires us
the dividends, including the interest that can be earned on each dividend payment from the payment date to maturity of the forward contract. Clearly, calculation of the dividend payout
and, consequently, calculation of the forward price can be rather complex. To simplify this calculation, many traders use an approximation by treating the dividend flow as
if it were a negative interest rate

$$
F=S \times[1+(r-d) \times \mathrm{t}]
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { where } d \text { is the average } \\
\text { annualized } \\
\text { dividend, in }
\end{gathered}
$$ percent terms, for the index. If

> Current index price $=100.00$ Time to maturity of the

## forward

 contract $=4$months

## Interest rate $=$

6.00 percent

Average
annualized
dividend
payout $=2.25$
percent
the three-month forward price ought to be

# $100.00 \times[1+(0.06-0.0225)$ 

 $\times 4 / 12]=100.00 \times 1.0125=$ 101.25
## For long-term forward

 contracts, this approximation represents a reasonable tradeoffbetween
and ease
of

## calculation and accuracy.

 Unfortunately, for short-term contracts, the fact that dividend payments come in discrete bundles that arespread out unevenly over the
life of the forward contract can result in large errors. We can see this in Figure 22-1, which shows the daily dividend payout of the Dow Jones Industrial Index over a three-month period. The total annualized dividend 1S approximately 2.75 percent, but depending on the time to maturity of a forward contract, this value can either overstate or understate the true dividend payout.

Figure 22-1 dow Jones Industrial Index daily dividend payout, octoberdecember 2012.

## Suppose that a forward

 contract matures at the end of the three-month dividend cycle. If a position in the forward contract is taken at the beginning of this period, the 2.75 percent estimate of the dividend flow is a reasonably accurate reflection of the actual dividend payout. However, if the position is taken toward the end of the three-month period, after all
## the dividends have been paid,

 2.75 percent is a gross overstatement;dividend payout is close to 0 . The dotted line in Figure 22-1 shows the true dividend payout, on an annualized basis, from that point in time to maturity. If a position is taken when the dotted line is below 2.75 percent, this estimate overstates the true dividend payout. If a position is taken when the dotted line
is above 2.75 percent, this estimate understates the true dividend payout.

## Index Arbitrage

$$
\text { In February } 1982, \text { the }
$$

## Kansas City Board of Trade

 began trading futures on the Value Line Stock Index. This was the first exchange-traded stock index futures contract listed in the United States.
# Two months later, in April 1982, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange began trading futures on the S\&P 500 Index. 

In theory, the price of a futures contract should reflect the fair value of holding the futures contract rather than holding the stocks making up the index. If the futures contract is not trading at fair value, a trader can execute an
arbitrage by purchasing one asset, either the basket of stocks or the futures contract, and selling the other. If there are no other considerations, the trader should realize a profit equal to the mispricing of the futures contract. However, this profit will only be fully realized at expiration of the futures contract, at which time the futures contract and index value will converge. At expiration, the

## value of the futures contract

 will automatically be settled in cash, but the trader will have to place an order to liquidate the stock position. He will want to do this in such a way that the prices at which the basket of stocks are traded determine the value of the index at the moment of expiration. This can be done by placing a market-on-close order, guaranteeing that the last trade price for each stock,which determines the final index value, will be the liquidation price for the trader's stock holdings.

## Index arbitrage entails

 risks similar to any stock futures arbitrage strategy. If the trade has not been executed at a fixed interest rate, any change in rates represents $a$ risk to the position. If dividends have been incorrectly estimated,
# this will also affect the 

 profitability of the strategy. Moreover, if the strategy involves selling stock short, there may be restrictions that make the strategy impractical. And even if stock can be sold short, the short interest rate may make the strategy unprofitable. This type of strategy, where a trader buys or sells a mispriced stock index futures contract andtakes an opposing position in
the underlying stocks, is often referred to as index arbitrage. Because computers can be programmed to calculate the fair value of a futures contract and to execute the arbitrage when the futures contract is mispriced, such strategies are also known as program trading. With the advent of computer-driven trading, index arbitrage has become
an increasingly popular strategy. When a computer detects
an index futures contract that is mispriced with respect to the index itself, the computer can send orders to either sell futures contracts and buy the
component
stocks (a buy program) or buy futures contracts and sell the component stocks (a sell program). Once the strategy
has been executed, it will

# usually be carried 

## process <br> market-on-close

 orders resulting from index arbitrage strategies without significantproblems. However, as the popularity of program trading increased, exchanges found that as the
close of business approached on the last day of trading, they were receiving everlarger market-on-close orders. These large orders often caused disruptions in the normal trading process, with unexpected jumps in the prices of component stocks. For this reason, many derivative exchanges, at the behest of the relevant stock exchanges, agreed to settle index futures contracts at

# expiration based on the opening prices of the 

 component stocks rather than the closing prices. This eliminated a last-minute rush to buy or sell stock and enabled stock exchanges to more easily match up buy and sell orders.Settlement at expiration based on opening prices rather than closing prices is now used for most stock

## index futures and option

 contracts. This settlement procedure is sometimes referred to as $A M$ expiration. $P M$ expiration, where the settlement value is determined by closing prices at the end of the trading day, is still usednumber a
numall
of stock
contracts. $\underline{3}$

Replicating an Index

## Sometimes a trader will

 want to create a holding of stocks that exactly replicates the value of the index. He can do this by holding an amount of each stock in the exact proportion to the stock's weight in the index. Returning to our ABC Index, we had the following values:
## haylleditive

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A N | 10 | $8 m 0$ | 3335 | 11604 |
| 8 A | 200 | 400 | 1338 | 20\% |
| \$0 | 4) | nem | 133\% | 2046 |

# If a trader wants to replicate the price-weighted 

ABC Index, 53.33 percent of his holdings should be in Stock A, 13.33 percent in Stock B, and 33.33 percent in Stock C. If a trader wants to replicate the capitalizationweighted ABC Index, 11.76 percent of his holdings should be in Stock A, 58.82 percent in Stock B, and 29.41 percent in Stock C. If the trader has $\$ 100,000$ to invest, he needs to hold the following number of shares in each stock:
Slock Piri-henghledthodinys
Coplalizion-Meghiedlodolings

A $3330 \times 5 \$ 00000080566$ shates




|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

of each stock in a priceweighted index is proportional to its price, we can replicate a price-weighted

# index by purchasing an equal number of shares of each 

 component stock. The same, however, is not true for the capitalization-weighted index, where the weighting of each stock is proportional to its total capitalization. In both cases, however, we can confirm that the proper number ofshares will replicate a $\$ 100,000$ investment in the index

$$
\begin{gathered}
(667 \times 80)+(667 \times 20)+ \\
(667 \times 50) \approx \$ 100,000(\text { price } \\
\text { weighted }) \\
(147 \times 80)+(2,941 \times 20)+ \\
(588 \times 50) \approx \$ 100,000 \\
(\text { capitalization weighted }) \\
\text { Why might someone }
\end{gathered}
$$

# Indeed, the investor may further <br> diversify 

will need to do so in such a way that he exactly replicates the index futures contract.

## The amount of stock that the trader will need to

 purchase will depend on the size, or notional value, of the futures contract. This, in turn, will depend on the index multiplier that the exchange has assigned to the futures contract. Suppose that our capitalization-weighted indexwith a divisor of 68,000 is currently trading at 100.00 and that the exchange has assigned
a multiplier of $\$ 1,000$ to each point. The notional value of the futures contract is therefore $100.00 \times$ $\$ 1,000=\$ 100,000$. Given this, it may seem that a trader who is
overpriced able to sell
an contract
can
offset this
position
by
shares of Stock A, 2,941 index
futures
shares of Stock B, and 588 shares of Stock C. The problem with this approach is that the trader needs to replicate the futures contract, not the actual index. And the futures contract and index may have different characteristics.
To understand why replicating the index will not exactly offset the futures position, consider what will
happen over the life of the futures contract while the trader is waiting for expiration, when the futures price and index price will converge. The prices of the stocks will surely fluctuate, resulting in either a profit or loss to his stock position. But this profit or loss will be unrealized because the trader must hold the position to expiration to ensure an arbitrage profit. At the same

# time, the profit or loss resulting from futures contract will be immediately realized, resulting in 

# debit, <br> thetrader <br> must pay interest. In either case, the 

 resulting interest will change the arbitrage profit that the trader originally expected.This
is
another
example
of
settlement risk, which we discussed in Chapter 15. A position that exactly replicates the index is an imperfect hedge against the futures contract because one side is subject to stock-type settlement, while the other side is subject to futures-type settlement. Given this, what should be the correct hedge? Ignoring dividends, the fair value of a stock index

## forward contract is

$$
F=S \times(1+r \times t)
$$

## For each point increase in

 the index, the index futures contract should rise by $1+r \times$ $t$. If we think of the cash index as the underlying contract, we can apply the concept of the delta to the futures contract in much the same way we do to an option contract. The delta is the rateat which the value of a contract will change with respect to movement in the underlying contract. If the goal is to be delta neutral, for each futures contract we hold, we must hold an opposing cash index position equal to 1 $+r \times t$.
The magnitude of the futures delta will depend on both the amount of time remaining to expiration and
the level of interest rates. For a long-term futures contract in a high-interest-rate
environment, the required holdings in the component stocks may be considerably greater than the equivalent futures position. As expiration approaches or in a low-interest-rate environment, the futures and stock holdings will be almost identical. Consequently, an
index arbitrage strategy
requires an adjustment to the stock position as time passes or interest rates change.

## Suppose that there are

 four months to expiration of our ABC Index futures contract and that the annual interest rate is 6.00 percent. If we sell an overpriced futures contract, we must offset this with a long stock holding of 1 $+0.06 \times 4 / 12=1.02$, or 2 percent greater than the
## holding required for an exact

 index replication. If a month passes so that there are now only three months to expiration, we should reduce our stock holding to $1+0.06$ $\times 3 / 12=1.015$, or $11 / 2$ percent greater than the shares required for an exact index replication. The required holdings for the capitalization-weighted ABC Index are as follows:
#  




| 0 | $4$ | $10.30 \Rightarrow+100$ | $100204 \times 290$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| \% | 80 | $100 \times 5000$ | $10.5 \times 50=5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

# A change in interest rates will not only affect the delta of the futures contract but can also affect the profitability of an index 

arbitrage strategy. If a trader initiates a buy program (i.e., buy stocks, sell futures), he is effectively borrowing cash in order to purchase the stocks. If the cost of funds is tied to a floating interest rate, any rate increase will hurt his position, and any decrease will help. If he institutes a sell program (i.e., sell stocks, buy futures), he is effectively lending cash. Now any rate increase will
help his position, and any
decrease will hurt it. If the change in interest rates is sufficiently large, an initially profitable strategy might become unprofitable. This is especially true if the program trade consists
of
long-term futures contracts. In such a case, the interest considerations are magnified because of the greater costs of borrowing or lending
over extended periods. In the same way, because of reduced
interest considerations, changes in interest rates are unlikely to affect program trades consisting of shortterm futures.

## We have also assumed

 that the dividend payout of all the stocks in an index remains constant. But this is not necessarily true. Companies can have good years and bad years, and their dividend policies can change
# accordingly. <br> In 

 sell the futures), any increase in dividends will help the position, and any decrease will hurt. In a sell program (i.e., sell the stocks, buy the futures), the opposite is true. In a broadly based index consisting of hundreds of stocks, it is unlikely that a change in the dividend policy of any one company or evenseveral companies will have a
significant impact on the profitability of a program trade. But in a narrow-based index consisting of only a few stocks, a change in the expected dividend payout of even one firm can alter the potential profitability of the trade. In such a case, the trader must carefully consider beforehand the possibility of a dividend change for the companies that make up the index.

## Bias in the Futures

## Market

Stock index futures are among the most liquid and actively traded of all futures contracts. These markets enable all types of traders to make decisions based on general market conditions rather than on unique conditions that might affect an individual stock. Most
traders believe that the general market is less subject to manipulation than individual stocks and that index markets offer a more level playing field. One especially active participant in the stock index market is the portfolio manager whose goal is typically to generate a maximum return on capital with a minimum amount of
risk. Historically, a portfolio manager has achieved this goal in the equity markets by maintaining a portfolio of stocks that the manager believes will outperform the general market. As the manager identifies new stocks that meet this criterion, he adds them to the portfolio while at the same time selling off stocks that have either met his performance goals or have ceased
to
perform
as

## expected.

## Occasionally, a manager

 with an equity portfolio may want to protect his holding against an expected shortterm decline in the general market. Prior to the introduction of index futures, the only way to do this was to sell off the stocks in the portfolio and then buy them back at a later date. Not only was this time consuming but
## the transaction costs also

 tended to reduce the expected profits from the position. But with the introduction of index futures a manager with a broadly based portfolio may decide that his holdings tend to mimic an index on which futures are available. If the manager believes that the characteristics of his portfolio are sufficiently similar to the index, index futures offer a method of hedging the stocksin the portfolio without the time-consuming and costly
process
of
selling
each
individual
stock
in
the
portfolio.

## The effect of portfolio

 hedging strategies on stock index futures tends to result in a one-sided market because the vast majority of equity portfolio managers take long positions in equities. Even if a manager believes that astock will underperform the market, it is much less common for a manager to sell stock short (sell stock that he does not own) as part of his investment program. Hence, a portfolio manager is almost always trying to hedge a long position in the market. To achieve this, a portfolio manager is most often selling futures contracts. This
constant
selling
pressure tends to depress the price of

## futures contracts compared

 with theoretical value. If there were a sure way to profit from this downward bias in the market, arbitrageurs would take the opposite position in the underlying index. But we have seen that replicating an index with a basket of stocks is not always possible. Moreover, when the portfolio manager protects his longequity position by selling futures, a market maker or arbitrageur ends up taking the opposite position; he is buying futures. If he wants to hedge his position with an underlying basket of stocks, he must sell stocks short. In some markets, the short sale of stock may be prohibited, but even if short sales are permitted, selling stocks short is never as easy as buying stocks. Moreover, the short
sale of a stock, as discussed in Chapter 2, may not earn full interest.

## Given all these factors,

buying and selling pressure in the stock index futures market is not symmetrical. Many more factors seem to result in downward pressure on futures prices than upward pressure. This does not mean that such markets can never become inflated, with futures
contracts trading at prices greater than fair value, but this is by far the exception. In stock index markets around the world, there tends to be constant downward pressure on futures prices.

## Stock Index options

There are really two types of stock index options-those where the underlying is an
index futures contract and those where the underlying is a cash index. Although they are alike in many respects, they also have unique characteristics that set them apart from each other. 4

## Options on Stock

Index Futures
Exchange-traded options
on stock index futures were
first listed in the United States in January 1983, when the Chicago Mercantile Exchange began trading options on S\&P 500 futures contracts. Options on stock index futures are evaluated in the same way as any other futures option. Exercise

orassignment results in
futures position, which is immediately subject to margin and variation. The only exercise
or
assignment does not result in a futures position is when the options and the underlying futures contract expire at the same time. Because most stock index futures trade on the March-June-SeptemberDecember quarterly cycle, there are four times each year when stock index futures, options on futures, and options on the cash index all expire at the same time. This triple
occurs on the third Friday of the contract month, when all expiring stock index contracts, both futures and options, are settled in cash. Consider a trader who owns a February 1,000 call on a stock index futures contract. Because February is a serial month (there are no February futures), the underlying contract is the March future. If the March
future is trading at 1,025 at February expiration, the
trader will exercise the February 1,000 call, resulting in a long March futures position. Unless the trader immediately sells the March future, the position will be subject to a margin requirement that the trader must deposit with the clearinghouse. At the same time,
the
trader,
through
exercise, will buy a March

## futures contract at 1,000 .

 With the futures contract now trading at 1,025 , the trader's account will be credited with 25.00 points times the index point value. If the point value is $\$ 100$, the trader's account will be credited with $25 \times$ $\$ 100=\$ 2,500$. In the same way, a trader who is assigned on a February 1,000 call will have a short March futures position. Unless the traderbuys back the March future,
he will also be required to post margin, and his account will be debited by $\$ 2,500$. Both the trader who exercises and the trader who is assigned still have market positions. One trader has a long futures position and therefore wants the market to rise. The other trader has a short futures position and therefore wants the market to decline.

> Now consider what will

# happen at expiration to a trader who owns a March 1,000 call in the same index futures market. Unlike the February option, which is subject to PM expiration (the option essentially expires at the close <br> of <br> business <br> on expiration Friday), the March option is subject to AM 

 expiration because the March future is subject to AMexpiration. The value of the March
future
will
be
determined by the opening prices of all the component stocks on expiration Friday, and this, in turn, will determine the value of the March 1,000 call. If the call is out of the money, it will expire worthless. If the call is in the money, the exchange will automatically settle all expiring in-the-money options in cash. The trader
who owns the call will be credited
equal to the difference between the exercise price and the opening index value times the index multiplier. If the opening index value is 1,040 and the multiplier is again $\$ 100$, the trader who is long the option will be credited with $\$ 4,000$. At the same time, the trader who is
short the option
will
be debited by an equal amount. Moreover,
cash transfer
takes
place,
both
traders are out of the market. Whether the index subsequently rises or falls is of no consequence because no market position results from the cash settlement. Options on stock index futures, like most futures options, are American and therefore carry the right of early exercise. If the options are subject to stock-type settlement, as they are in the
United States, there may be some early exercise value over an equivalent European option,
as described in Chapter 16, although this extra value will usually be small. If the options are subject to futures-type settlement, as they are on most exchanges in Europe and the Far East, there is effectively no additional value over
an equivalent European option.

## Options on a Cash <br> Index

The first cash options on a stock index began trading at the Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) in March 1983. The exchange had wanted to list options on one of the widely followed indexes, such as the S\&P 500 or Dow Jones Industrials Average, but was initially
unable to obtain the rights to trade any of these indexes. As a result, the CBOE decided to create its own Options Exchange Index (with ticker symbol OEX) made up of 100 of the largest U.S. companies. $\underline{5}$ Because all individual traded at the CBOE at that time were American, with the right of early exercise, it seemed logical to make OEX
options American as well. However, once trading began, it became obvious that the early exercise feature resulted in additional and unforeseen risks and also greatly complicated theoretical evaluation. As a result, all exchange-traded cash index options are now European, with no possibility of early exercise.

For stock index options

# on a cash index, $\underline{6}$ 

 underlying position results from exercise. At expiration, the exchange automatically settles all options in cash, with a cash credit to the purchaser of an in-the-money option equal to the difference between the exercise price and index price and cash debit of an equal amount to the seller of the option. This is the same procedure used tosettle expiring futures options when the underlying contract for the option is the expiring futures month. Cash index options are typically subject to AM expiration, with the value of the index, and consequently the value of the options, being determined by the opening prices of all the index components.

## How should a trader

hedge a position in cash index
options? In theory, one might buy or sell all the stocks in the index in the right proportion to hedge such a position. However, this would require trades
stocks
and,
many
different
11
in
theory, might require the
purchase or sale of fractional shares. Moreover, as the delta of the option position changed, the trader would have to periodically adjust the stock holdings. Given these

# drawbacks, hedging 

impractical for most traders. What most traders want is a hedging instrument that is easily traded and correlates closely with the cash index. The contract that meets these requirements is a futures contract on the same stock index as the cash options. Assuming that futures
contracts on an index are available, a trader in a cash index option market will hedge his position with the futures contract that expires at the same time as the options. If no corresponding futures month is available, the nearest futures contract beyond the option expiration is used as the hedging instrument. For index futures trading on the quarterly cycle, We can summarize

# underlying <br> hedging instrument as follows: 


Afi) Way, mine Inetivere

loykhayit SederenterSepremeritives
Qutwellowne: DeemberRemprofture
Clearly, this is not ..... a

# perfect solution to the 

 hedging problem because the futures contract and the cash index are not identical. Indeed, a futures contract may trade at a price above or below its theoretical value compared with the cash index. But for most traders, using the futures contract represents a practical solution to the hedging problem. Even if we use an index
## futures contract as the <br> hedging instrument, we still

need an underlying price to evaluate options. For March, June, September, options,
1S
carried

# C Consequently, <br> a trader <br> can 

treat the futures contract as the underlying contract. Not only does this make practical sense, but it also makes theoretical sense because option values are derived from the forward price of the underlying contract, and the futures contract is simply the traded form of the forward price. Moreover, if both cash options and futures options are available on an index and all options expire at the same
time, there is effectively no difference between the options. They will essentially trade at the same prices. The question of what underlying price to use when evaluating a cash index option is somewhat more complex for serial month options, where there is no corresponding futures month. If December futures are available, we can always
price December options using the December futures price. We may also use the December futures contract to hedge an October
or
November option position if no corresponding October or November futures contract is available. But the October or November forward price will differ from the December forward price, so using the December futures price as the underlying price cannot be
correct.
If we assume that the
December futures contract represents the
correct
December
forward price, what should be the correct November forward price? We might work backwards because
$F_{D e c}=F_{N o v} \times(1+r \times t)-D$

Then

# However, this requires us to estimate the dividends 

 expected between November and December expirations. An easier method used by most traders is to determine the November forward price implied by option prices in the marketplace. We can do this by observing the prices of a November call and put thatare close to at the money and whose prices will consequently be similar and then use put-call parity to calculate the implied forward price. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { November } \\
& 1,000 \text { call }= \\
& 34.85 \\
& \text { November } \\
& 1,000 \text { put }= \\
& 29.90
\end{aligned}
$$

# November 

 expiration $=2$ monthsAnnual
interest rate $=$
6.00 percent

## Because


then

$$
F=(C-P) \times(1+r \times t)+X
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\text {Nov }}=(34.80-29.85) \times 1.01 \\
&+1,000=1,005 \\
& \text { The implied November }
\end{aligned}
$$ forward price is $1,005.00$. Now suppose that when we calculate the implied November forward price, the December futures price is $1,010.00$. This means that there should be a difference

between the
November forward
price
and
the

## December forward price of

 5.00. As the price of the December futures contract fluctuates, if we want to calculate theoretical values for November cash options, we can use as the underlying price, the December futures price, less 5.00.We might also use putcall parity to calculate the implied December forward price. But this is not really
necessary because we have the implied December forward price in the form of a December futures contract. Still, we might check to see if December option prices are consistent with the December futures price. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { December } \\
& \text { futures price }= \\
& 1,010 \\
& \text { Time to } \\
& \text { December }
\end{aligned}
$$

expiration $=3$ months

Annual
interest rate $=$

### 6.00 percent

from put-call-parity we know that the December 1,000 combo (the difference between the prices of the December 1,000 call and 1,000 put) should be <br> \title{
If the December 1,000 call
} <br> \title{
If the December 1,000 call
} is trading at a price of 44.60 , the December 1,000 put should be trading at a price of $44.60-9.85=34.75$.

## Nomber

Deventer

## 1000al

340
460
308.

345

# The <br> price <br> of <br> the 

 November/December 1,000 roll (i.e., the difference between the December and November 1,000 synthetics)is

$$
\begin{gathered}
(44.60-34.75)-(34.80- \\
29.85)=9.85-4.95=4.90
\end{gathered}
$$

$\underline{1}^{A}$ less common variation on an equalweighted index involves weighting the stocks geometrically rather than arithmetically. The value of a geometric-weighted index made up of $n$ stocks is the $n$th root of the product of the price ratios. If our ABC Index is geometric weighted, the initial index value will be
$\underline{2}$ Trading in a stock can be halted for a variety of reasons, but it occurs most often when there is important news pending concerning the company. By halting trading, the exchange hopes to give investors time to absorb the new
information and thereby make a better assessment of its impact on the market.
$\underline{3}$ Options on exchange-traded funds, which are often designed to mimic a stock index, are subject to traditional PM expiration. The value of the option depends on closing stock prices at the end of trading on expiration day.
4 We might also include options on exchange-traded funds. However, exchange-traded funds are issued in shares and therefore tend to trade like individual equity options.
$\underline{5}$ The CBOE subsequently reached an agreement with Standard and Poor's allowing the exchange to trade options on the S\&P 500 Index. As part of the
agreement, Standard and Poor's assumed the responsibility for
calculating and disseminating OEX values. At the same time, the OEX was renamed the S\&P 100 Index, although it still retains its original ticker symbol OEX.
$\underline{6}^{6}$ Ticker symbols for cash indexes very often end with the letter $X$, for example, SPX (Standard and Poors 500 Index), DJX (Dow Jones Industrial Index), DAX (Deutsche Aktien Index-the German Stock Index), AEX
(Amsterdam Exchange Index), OMX 30 (Stockholm Options Market Index), and ASX 200 (Australian Stock Exchange Index).
$\underline{7}$
For deeply in-the-money options on

## futures, which are typically American, there may be a very slight additional early exercise value.



# Models and the 

## Real World

A trader who uses a theoretical pricing model is exposed to two types of risk -the risk that the trader has the wrong inputs into the model and the risk that the
model itself is wrong because it is based on false or unrealistic assumptions. Thus far we have focused primarily on the first area, the risk associated with the inputs into the model. A trader will typically deal with this risk by paying close attention to the sensitivities of an option position (i.e., delta, gamma, theta, vega, and rho), thereby preparing to take protective action when
market
conditions move against him. While any of the inputs into the model may represent a risk, we have placed special emphasis

On
volatility because it is the one input that cannot be directly
observed in the marketplace. However, an active option trader cannot afford to ignore the second type of risk, the possibility that the assumptions on which the
model is based are inaccurate or unrealistic. Some of these assumptions pertain to the way business is transacted in the marketplace, while others pertain to the mathematics of the model.

## the

 assumptions
## To begin, we might list

traditional pricing models ${ }^{\underline{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. Markets are } \\
& \text { frictionless. }
\end{aligned}
$$

A.
without restriction.
B. Unlimited money can be borrowed or lent, and the same interest rate applies to

# C. There are no transaction costs. 

D. There are no tax consequences.
2. Interest rates are constant over the life of
an option. 3. Volatility is
constant over the life of an option. 4. Trading is continuous, with no gaps in the price of an underlying
contract.
5. Volatility is independent of the price of the underlying contract. 6. Over small periods of time, the

# percent <br> price changes <br> distributed, resulting in lognormal <br> distribution underlying prices at expiration. 

## The reader may already

 have an opinion about the validity of these assumptions,but let's consider them one by one.

## Markets are

## Frictionless

## In Chapter 8, we came to

 the obvious conclusion that markets are not frictionless. The underlying contract cannot always be freely bought or sold; there aresometimes tax consequences; a trader cannot always borrow and lend money freely, nor at the same rate; and there are always transaction costs.

## In futures markets, the

 underlying cannot always be freely bought or sold because an exchange may set a daily price limit beyond which a futures contract is not permitted to trade. When thatlimit is reached, the market it locked, and trading is halted until the market comes off its limit. If it does not come off its limit, trading does not resume until the next business day.

Even if a futures market is locked, it may be possible for a trader to circumvent the trading restriction. Instead of buying or selling futures contracts, a trader might be

## able to trade in the cash

market. Or the trader might be able to trade a futures spread where one side of the spread is not locked. For example, a trader who wants to buy a June futures contract that is up its allowable limit may be able to buy a June/March spread (i.e., buy June, sell March). If the March futures contract is still trading because it is not up its limit, the trader can then go
back into the market and buy back the March futures contract. This leaves him long a June futures contract, which was his original intention. If the underlying futures market is locked but the option market is not locked, a trader might be able to buy or sell synthetic futures contracts.
Trading can also be
halted on a stock exchange if a designated stock index
either rises or falls during a trading exchange will halt trading for some period of time. The exchange's circuit breakers specify how long trading will be halted for a given percent change in a stock market index

## In Chapter 2, we noted

## that

an
exchange
Or

# regulatory authority may 

 place restrictions on the short sale of stock - the sale of stock that a trader does not actually own. Even if short sales are permitted, there may be restrictions on when such sales can be made. If a trader cannot freely sell stock, put prices will tend to become inflated compared with call prices, and arbitrage relationships,will appear to be mispriced. Many stock option traders, as a matter of good trading practice, will try to carry some long stock so that they will always be in a position to sell stock if the need arises.
The assumption that a trader can always borrow or lend money freely is a more serious weakness in pricing models. Even if a trader has sufficient funds to initiate a

## trade, he may find at some later date that he needs additional funds to meet increased margin

 requirements. ${ }^{2}$ If money were freely available, margin would never be a problem. A trader could always borrow margin money and deposit the money with the clearinghouse.Because the borrowing and lending rates are assumed to be the same,
and because the
clearinghouse, in theory, pays interest on the margin deposit, there would never be a problem obtaining margin money, nor would there ever be a cost associated with it. In the real world, traders do not have unlimited borrowing capacity. a trader cannot meet a margin requirement, he may forced to liquidate a position

## prior to expiration. Because

 all models, even those that allow for early exercise, assume that a trader will always have the choice of holding a position toexpiration, the inability to meet margin requirements and therefore maintain the position can make the values generated by the theoretical pricing model less reliable. An experienced trader should always consider the risk of a

## position not only in terms of

 how much the position might lose in total but also in terms of how much margin might be required to maintain the position over time.Even if a trader has unlimited borrowing capacity, the fact that for most traders, borrowing and lending rates are not the same can also cause problems with strategies based on model-

# generated values. A trader 

 who borrows margin money at one rate will almost certainly receive a lower rate when he deposits this money with the clearinghouse. The difference between these rates is something of which the model is unaware. And the greater the differencebetween borrowing and lending rates, the less reliable will be the values generated by the model.

# Although <br> for most traders, <br> these <br> are usually 

 secondary. Fora
given
a strategy, a trader is unlikely to ask himself, "If this trade is profitable or unprofitable, what will be the tax consequences?" Differences in tax consequences rarely make one strategy better than another. 3

## Lastly, the assumption

that there are no transaction costs is a serious flaw in the frictionless markets hypothesis. While a strategy may or may not be affected by tax or interest-rate considerations, there are always transaction
costs.
These costs can come in the form of brokerage fees, clearing fees, or an exchange membership.

For
some
market
participants,

# transaction costs may be prohibitive, and <br> a strategy that looks sensible based on model-generated values may not be worth doing when transaction costs are also 

 taken into consideration. Moreover, transaction costs can accrue not only when the strategy is initiated or liquidated but also whenever an adjustment is made. If a strategy will require many adjustments because it has ahigh gamma and the trader intends to remain approximately delta neutral, the transaction costs can have a significant impact
model-generated values.
on

Interest Rates are

## Constant over the

## Life of an Option

When a trader feeds an
interest rate into a pricing model, the model assumes that this one rate applies to all transactions over the entire life of the option. Whatever cash flows result from an option trade will be either invested, if a credit, or borrowed, if a debit, at one constant rate. In reality, very few traders initiate one trade and simply hold the position to expiration. As traders
initiate new positions or close
out existing ones, they are constantly borrowing and lending money. Moreover, in futures
options markets, traders
are
subject
to
changing
margin and variation requirements. For all these reasons, most traders require a degree of cash liquidity that is incompatible with borrowing or lending at one fixed rate over long periods of time. To achieve the required liquidity, traders

# commonly <br> finance <br> their 

 trading activity by borrowing from or lending to their clearing firm at a variable rate. The clearing firm acts as a bank, informing the trader of the effective rate or rates that apply on any given day. Even if a trader is able to negotiate a fixed rate over some period of time, there is still the problem of determining which of thevarious rates apply: is the trader borrowing money (a borrowing rate), lending money (a lending rate), or receiving interest on a short stock position (a short stock rebate). In the last case, the rate that the trader receives will often depend on the difficulty of borrowing the stock.
Although changing
interest rates will cause the
value of a trader's option position to change, interest rates tend to be a lesser risk for most traders, at least for short-term option strategies. The impact of changing interest rates is a function of time to expiration. Because most actively traded options tend to be short term, with expirations of less than one year, interest rates would have to change dramatically to have an impact on any but
the most deeply in-the-money options. Changing interest rates become even less of a concern when one considers how much more sensitive option values are to changes in the price of the underlying instrument or to changes in volatility.

This is not to say that a trader should completely ignore interest-rate risk. For stock options especially,
raising interest rates raises the forward price, which raises the value of calls and lowers the value of puts. The options that are most sensitive to this change are deeply in-themoney long-term options. Such options will have the greatest interest-rate sensitivity, as reflected by their high rho values. With many exchanges now listing long-term options, a trader should be aware of the impact
of changing rates on such options. Figure 23-1 shows the effect of rising interest rates on long-term stock options. Figure 23-2 shows the effect on rho values for stock options as we increase time to expiration.

Figure 23-1 Theoretical values as interest rates change.


# Figure 23-2 Rho values as time to expiration changes. 



## Volatility Is Constant

 over the Life of the
## Option

When a trader feeds a
volatility into a theoretical
pricing model, he is
specifying the magnitude and
frequency of price changes
that will occur over the life of
the option. Because these
price changes are assumed to be normally distributed, the model recognizes that there will be some number of one, two, three, and so on standard deviation occurrences and that these occurrences will be evenly distributed over the life of the option. Two standard deviation price changes will be evenly distributed
among the
one standard
deviation
price
changes; three standard
deviation price changes will be evenly distributed among the one and two standard deviation price changes; and so on.
In the real world,
however, price changes are unlikely to be evenly distributed. Over the life of an option, a trader will encounter periods of high volatility, wher
will large price changes

dominate,

together with periods of low volatility, where small price changes dominate. The combination of these highand low-volatility periods will result in one volatility. But a theoretical pricing model is indifferent as to how the volatility unfolds. The model sees one volatility and evaluates options accordingly.
Figures 23-3 and 23-4
are daily high/low/close bar charts for a hypothetical underlying contract
over
a
period of 80 trading days. Both bar charts represent exactly the same close-toclose realized volatility over the period in question, 28 percent. But the order in which the volatility unfolds is different. In Figure 23-3, volatility is clearly declining, with larger price changes occurring early in the 80-day

# period and smaller price 

 changes occurring later in the period. In Figure 23-4, the opposite is true. Volatility is rising, with smaller price changes occurring early and larger changes occurring later. The reader may have already guessed that the charts are in fact mirror images of each other and therefore must represent the same volatility. Even though the volatility unfolded in two
# completely 

different scenarios, in both cases, a pricing model will use the same volatility, 28 percent, to make all calculations.

Figure 23-3 Falling volatility.


Figure 23-4 Rising volatility.


## In both Figures 23-3 and

23-4, the beginning and ending price is 100 . Suppose that a trader buys a 100 straddle and assumes, correctly, a volatility of 28 percent. What should this straddle
be worth? To simplify the example, let's assume that there are 80 calendar days to expiration and that every day is a trading day (hence no weekends and
holidays). To focus only on volatility, let's also assume that the interest rate is 0 . Under these assumptions, the Black-Scholes model will generate a value for both the 100 call and put of 5.23 , for a total straddle value of 10.46 . Alternatively,
suppose
that we calculate the value of the 100 call and put by running a simulation of the dynamic hedging process.

# Using the closing price each day, the number of days 

 remaining to expiration, and a known volatility
## percent, we can calculate the

 delta at the end of each trading day. We can then buy or sell the required number of underlying contractsremain delta neutral. (This is the same approach used to explain the dynamic hedging process in Chapter 8.) The results of such a simulation
show that if the volatility is falling (Figure 23-3), the 100 call and put are worth 2.97 each, for a total straddle value of 5.94. But, if volatility is rising (Figure 23-4), the 100 call and put are worth 6.41 each, for a total straddle value of 12.82. Why do these values differ so dramatically from the Black-Scholes value of 10.46 ?

A strategy that will be
helped by higher realized volatility, such as a long straddle, will benefit most if periods of high in volatility occur when the gamma is greatest. The high gamma will magnify the changes in the delta as the underlying price changes, resulting in greater profit from the dynamic hedging
process. Because the 100 straddle is essentially at the money and the
gamma
of
at-the-
money option increases as expiration approaches,
any increase in volatility close to expiration will
have
a
disproportionately greater impact on the option's value than a similar increase in volatility early in the option's life. Consequently, the risingvolatility scenario increases the value of the 100 straddle well above the Black-Scholes value. Of course, the higher gamma close to expiration
goes hand in hand with a higher theta. to expiration, the option will decay at an accelerated rate. Therefore, the fallingvolatility scenario has an inordinately negative impact on the value of the 100 straddle, causing the value to fall below the Black-Scholes value.
For out-of-the-money
options, the effect is just the opposite. The gamma of an out-of-the-money option is largest early in its life, so a period of high volatility early in the option's life will increase its value. An out-of-the-money option will be worth more than the predicted Black-Scholes value in a falling-volatility scenario and worth less in a risingvolatility scenario.
dynamic hedging simulation for the 80 put and 120 call. At a volatility of 28 percent, the Black-Scholes values are 0.21 for the 80 put and 0.54 for the 120 call. If, however, volatility is falling, the values are 0.44 and 0.89 . If volatility is rising, the values are 0.05 and 0.14 .

## Option values under our different volatility

 three scenarios for exercise prices
## from 70 to 130 are shown in

 Figure 23-5. With the price of the underlying remaining generally between 95 and 105, options with exercise prices of 95,100 , and 105 are worth more than the BlackScholes value in a risingvolatility market and less than the Black-Scholes value in a falling-volatility market. The opposite is true for exercise prices below 90 or above 110 . They are worth more in a
# falling-volatility market and less in a rising-volatility market. 

Figure 23-5 option values under three different volatility scenarios.
Underying price $=100$Timetoexpration $=80$ daysInterestrate $=0$Volatity $=28 \%$
Everoseprice: $\quad 70 \quad 72 \quad 80 \quad 85 \quad 92 \quad 95 \quad 100 \quad 105 \quad 110 \quad 115 \quad 120 \quad 125 \quad 130$Constant volatity Calls: $30.0125: 06$(Blackscholes)

Stradle: 30.0125 .1220 .4216 .2412 .9610 .9610 .4611 .4413 .7417 .0521 .0825 .543026
(Fgure 23-3)
Puts: 00.040 .150 .441 .001 .792 .5929776912 .1016 .4320 .8925 .513027
Stadde: 30.08253020 .8817 .00135810 .18594103814 .2017 .85217 .7826 .023054
Pisingulatity Calls: $30.0025 .01 \quad 20.0515 .2110 .75 \quad 8.97 \quad 641 \quad 3.361 .29 \quad 0.41 \quad 0.140 .05 \quad 0.02$(Fgure 23-4)Puts: $\quad 0 \quad 0.01 \quad 0.05 \quad 0.21 \quad 0.75 \quad 3.97 \quad 64183611.2915 .4120 .1425 .0530 .02$Studde: $30.0025 .02 \quad 20.1015 .42 \quad 11.5012 .94128211 .7212 .5815 .82 \quad 20.2825 .103004$
Using an interestrateo izero, the time premium for a cal and put with the same exercise price must be identical. The valueot the call and put will difer only by intrinsic value

# If an option is held to expiration with no accompanying dynamic delta hedging, the value of the 

 option depends solely on the underlying price at expiration. The option's value is independent of the path by which the underlying contract reaches its terminal value. But the preceding examples make it clear that in a world where a trader dynamicallyhedges an option position, the value of the option is in fact path dependent. Even if we assume a single volatility, the route that the underlying takes can have a significant impact on the value of the option.

Because the value of an option seems to be path dependent, conclude
that
one might Scholes model is unreliable.

Indeed, for any one randomwalk scenario, the value resulting from the dynamic hedging process will almost certainly differ from a BlackScholes value. But the BlackScholes model 1S probabilistic model. A given volatility will, on average, result in a given value for the option. In our example, we considered only two alternative volatility scenarios, where volatility is
either rising or falling. But there are an almost infinite number of paths that the underlying price might follow over the life of an option. If we were to generate a large number of random price paths, all with normally distributed price changes and with the same volatility of 28 percent and if we were to then simulate the dynamic hedging process, we would find that, on average, each

## exercise price is worth

 something very close to the value predicted by the BlackScholes model.
## Although the Black-

Scholes model assumes that prices follow a random walk through time with constant volatility, we might instead assume that volatility is itself random. Several models that assume stochastic volatility have been proposed and
might, in some cases, be more suitable than a traditional pricing model. At the same time, such models add an additional dimension of complexity to a trader's life and for this reason are not widely used.

## Some contracts, by their

very nature, are known to change their volatility characteristics over time. Interest-rate products in
particular fall into this category. As
a
bond maturity, the approaches price of the bond moves inexorably toward par. At maturity, regardless
of interest rates, the bond will have a fixed and known value. Clearly, one cannot assume that the price of the bond follows a random walk through time. Even if one
assumes that interest rates
move randomly and that the

# volatility of interest rates is 

 constant, interest-rate instruments will change their volatility over time because instruments of different maturities have different sensitivities to changes in interest rates. If we take into consideration the fact that interest rates also vary fordifferent traditional maturities, type model is obviously not well suited to the evaluation
of such products. This has led to the development of special models to evaluate interestrate instruments.

## Trading Is

## Continuous

## To model option values, a

 model must make some assumptions about how theprice
of
an
underlying
contract changes over time. One possible assumption is that
prices
follow
continuous diffusion process. Under this assumption, price changes are continuous, with no gaps permitted between consecutive prices. An example of a typical continuous diffusion process might be the temperature readings in
a specific location.

Although the temperature can change very
quickly, there will never be any gaps. If the temperature is initially 25 degrees but later drops to 22 degrees, then at some intermediate time, even if only very briefly, the temperature must have also been 24 degrees and then 23 degrees.
The Black-Scholes
model assumes that the underlying contract follows a continuous diffusion process.

# Trading proceeds 24 hours 

 per day, 7 days per week, without interruption, and with no gaps in the price of the underlying contract. If contract trades at 46.05 and at some later time trades at 46.08, then at some intermediate time it must also have traded, even if only briefly, at 46.06 and 46.07. If one were to graph with pen and paper the prices of an underlyingfollow a continuous diffusion process, one would never lift the pen from the paper. An example of this is shown in Figure 23-6a.

Figure 23-6 (a) diffusion process.
(b) Jump process. (c) Jump-diffusion process.


## If we assume that the

 underlying contract follows a continuous diffusion process, we can also assume that the dynamic hedging process can be carried out continuously. This is fundamental tocapturing

option's theoretical value. The BlackScholes model assumes that a position can be rehedged to remain delta neutral at every possible moment in time.

## A continuous diffusion

process may be a reasonable approximation of how prices change in the real world, but it is clearly not perfect. An exchange-traded
contract cannot follow a
pure diffusion process if the exchange is
not
open
24
hours per day. At the end of the trading day, a contract may close at one price and then open the next day at a different price. This causes a
price gap, something that a diffusion process does not permit. Even during normal trading hours, news might be released, the impact of which can be almost instantaneous, causing the price of a contract to gap either up or down. Instead of a diffusion process, prices might follow a jump process. In a jump process,
the price of
a contract remains fixed for a
period of time and then
instantaneously jumps to a
new price, where it again
remains fixed until a new
jump occurs. The way in which central banks set interest rates is typical of a jump process. In the United States, when the Federal Reserve sets the discount rate, it remains fixed until the Fed announces a change. The discount rate then jumps to a new level. A typical jump

# process, shown in Figure 23- 

 $\underline{6} \underline{b}$, is a combination of fixed prices and instantaneous jumps.
## In the real world, prices

 of most underlying contracts follow neithera
pure
diffusion process nor a pure jump process. The real world seems to be a combination of the two-a jump-diffusion process. Most of the time, trading proceeds
normally

# with no price gaps. Occasionally, though, an 

 unexpected change in market conditions occurs that causes the underlying contract to instantaneously gap to a new price. Such a process is shown in Figure 23-6c.If
a theoretical
pricing model assumes that prices follow a diffusion process when in fact they don't, how is this likely to affect values
generated by the model? To understand the effect of a gap, consider a trader who sells an at-the-money straddle with the underlying contract trading at 100 . How will the trader feel if the underlying contract suddenly gaps up to 105 ? Clearly, this is not what the trader was hoping for. Such a large move might well be accompanied by an increase in implied volatility, which will also hurt the
trader's position. But even if implied change, volatility does
not because of the negative gamma associated with the short straddle, the large move in the underlying contract will clearly work against the trader. How bad will the damage be? If the options are relatively long term, say, one year, the gap in the underlying price is unlikely to be the end of the world. After all, with one
year remaining to expiration, the underlying market could certainly fall back to 100 . While the gap has hurt the trader, it is probably not disastrous. But, if the gap occurs with only a very short time remaining to expiration, say, one day, the trader is now in a much worse situation. With only one day to expiration, there is not enough time for the market to retrace its movement. The

100 calls that the trader sold as part of the short straddle will immediately go deeply into the money, acting like short underlying contracts. The straddle may have begun approximately delta neutral, but after the gap, the trader will find himself naked short deeply in-the-money calls, each with a delta of 100 . The value of the one-day straddle will increase dramatically

compared with the value of

the one-year straddle.

## The reason the effect of

the gap is much greater if the straddle is short term rather than long term is a result of how the gamma changes over time. We know that as
expiration
approaches,
the
gamma of an at-the-money
option increases, causing the delta to change much more rapidly when the underlying price moves. The dynamic
hedging process can reduce some of the damage if the trader is able to buy underlying contracts as the underlying price rises. But a gap is an instantaneous move; there is no opportunity to adjust. The very high gamma, combined with an inability to make any adjustment, makes the consequences of the gap much more dramatic close to expiration.

## Not <br> only <br> does <br> the

gamma of an at-the-money
option increase as expiration
approaches, but it also
increases as we reduce
volatility. Consequently, the impact of a gap will be much greater in a low-volatility market than in a highvolatility market. If we consider these two traits together, we can conclude that at-the-money options close to expiration in a low-

# volatility market are among 

 the riskiest of options.
## Figure 23-7 shows the <br> change in value for a 100

 straddle if the market should gap as expiration approaches. The chart shows the change under two volatility scenarios, 15 and 25 percent. Note the greater change in the straddle value close to expiration, as well as thegreater change in a low-
volatility market.
Figure 23-7 effect of a gap on the value of a 100 straddle.

## Underying pice $=100$

## TimetoExpirtion 10ay Week 1 Month 3 Koonts (Months IVear

## Implied voldtitity $=15 \%$

| Initial stadde value | 0.63 | 1.66 | 3.45 | 5.98 | 8.46 | 1196 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stradlegamma | 101 | 38 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 5 |
| Straddevalue aftera <br> gap from 100 to 105 | 5.00 | 5.01 | 5.58 | 739 | 9.57 | 12.90 |
| Increaseinvalue | 437 | 3.35 | 2.13 | 1.41 | 1.11 | 0.94 |
| Implied volatily= $258 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial staddle value | 1.04 | 276 | 5.76 | 9.97 | 14.09 | 19.9 |
| Straddlegamma | 61 | 23 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| Straddevalue aftera <br> gap from 100to 105 | 500 | 5.25 | 7.20 | 10.8 | 14.98 | 20.78 |
| Increaseinvalue | 3.96 | 2.49 | 1.44 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.88 |

## Options have the unique

 characteristic of automatically and continuously rehedging themselves by changing their deltas as the price of the underlying contract changes. It is this characteristic for which buyers of options are paying. A trader who uses a theoretical
## delta neutral,

# underlying contract and then 

 manually performing the rehedging process himself over the life of the option. If a model assumes that prices follow a diffusion process, the model also assumes that one can continuously maintain a delta-neutral hedge. But when the market gaps, the assumptions on which the model is based are violated. Consequently, the
# values generated <br> bymodel are rendered invalid. 

 This problem extends to any application that attempts to replicatecharacteristics through
a continuous rehedging in the underlying market. The proponents of portfolio insurance (see Chapter 17) suffered their greatest setbacks on October 19 and 20, 1987, when the market made
several
large-gap
moves. Because of the gaps, the portfolio insurers were unable to make continuous delta adjustments to their positions. As a consequence, they found that the cost of protection offered portfolio insurance was much greater than they had expected.
To more accurately
evaluate options, a variation on the Black-Scholes model
has been proposed that includes the possibility of
gaps in the price of the underlying contract. This jump-diffusion model,
theory, generates values that are more accurate than traditional Black-Scholes values, 4 but the model is considerably more complex mathematically and also requires two new inputs-the average size of a jump in the
underlying market and the frequency with which jumps are likely to occur. Unless the user can accurately estimate these new inputs, the values generated by a jump-diffusion model may be no better-and might be worse-than those generated by a traditional model. Many traders take the view that whatever weaknesses are encountered in a traditional model can be best offset through intelligent

# decision making based on actual trading experience rather than through the use of a more complex jumpdiffusion model. 

Assuming that a trader has a delta-neutral position that he intends to dynamically hedge, any gap will have a negative impact on a trader who has a negative gamma position because the trader will not have an opportunity
to adjust as the market moves. The same gap will have a positive impact on a trader with a positive gamma position because he will also not have an opportunity to adjust as the market moves. In the latter case, this works to the trader's advantage.

## Because a gap in the

 market will have its greatest effect on high-gamma options, andbecause
at-the-
money options close to expiration have the highest gamma, it is these options that are most likely to be mispriced by a traditional theoretical pricing model. Consequently, as expiration approaches, experienced traders will tend to rely less and less on model-generated values and more on their own experience and intuition. This is not to suggest that under these circumstances a model
is of no value, but one needs to make adjustments when the model is known to be incorrect.

## As a result of the gaps

 that occur in the real world, both a trader's experience and empirical evidence seem to indicate that a traditional model, with its built-in diffusion assumption, tends to undervalue options in the real world. If one compares theaverage historical volatility of an underlying market with the average implied volatility over long periods of time, the average implied volatility is almost always greater. This seems to indicate that buyers of options are
overpaying. Part of this may be due to hedgers willing

topay an additional premium for protective options. But the implied volatility is derived from a theoretical pricing
model that does not include the possibility of gaps in the underlying price. The possibility of these gaps tends to indicate that perhaps the values of options are in fact greater in the real world than is predicted by a traditional theoretical pricing model. We have seen that a gap will have the greatest impact on an option position close to expiration, particularly for at-
the-money options because
these options have the greatest gamma. From a risk standpoint, this means that it can be very dangerous to sell a large number of at-themoney options close to expiration because any gap in the underlying market can have devastating results. New traders in
to particular are advised positions.
will

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { No risk } & \text { manager } \\
\text { appreciate } & \text { even }
\end{array}
$$

# experienced traders being 

 short large numbers of at-themoney options as expiration approaches.
## Expiration Straddles

If it is dangerous to sell at-the-money options close to expiration, perhaps there is some sense in taking the opposite purchasing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { position by } \\
& \text { at-the-money }
\end{aligned}
$$

# options as expiration approaches. This may seem to contradict conventional option wisdom, which focuses on the rapid time decay associated with such 

 options. But there is always a tradeoff between risk and reward. If one sells at-themoney options, the reward may be an accelerated profit if the market doesn't move (high positive theta), but the risk is an increased loss if themarket does move (high negative gamma). Because the model does not know about the possibility of a gap in the underlying market, the risk is often greater than the reward. If one sells at-themoney options, the losses from an unexpected gap can more than offset the profits resulting from increased time decay. An experienced trader may therefore take the opposite position by

## purchasing at-the-money

 options close to expiration. This is not to suggest that every time expiration approaches, a trader should buy at-the-money options. As with any strategy, conditions must make the strategy look attractive. But because many traders are intent on selling time premium as expiration approaches, it is often possible to find cheap at-the-money options. Suppose that with three days remaining to expiration, the Black-Scholes model generates a value for an at-the-money call of 0.75 . What can we say about this call? Although we may not know the exact value because we don't know the true future volatility, there is high likelihood that in the real world the call is worth more than 0.75 because the model doesn't know about

## possibility of a gap in the

 market. If, on top of this, the call is trading at a price below its model-generated value, say, 0.65 , it is likely to be a good buy.As with any strategy based on volatility, the trader who buys these calls will try to establish a delta-neutral position. Because of the synthetic relationship, if the calls are underpriced, the puts
at the same exercise price will also be under-priced. Thus, a logical strategy might be the purchase of at-the-money straddles. This enables a trader to buy both underpriced calls and underpriced puts and to profit if the underlying market gaps either up or down.
In theory, all volatility
be adjusted periodically to remain delta neutral.
However, with little time remaining to expiration, the model is not only unreliable with respect to theoretical values, but also unreliable with respect to deltas. Because it is impossible to say what the right delta is, it is also impossible to say what the correct adjustment is. For this reason, traders who buy expiration straddles often

# abandon any attempt 

to manage a volatility
position, but given all the uncertainties associated with

# expiration approaches, it may 

 be a practical choice. Even if a trader carefullystraddles, the great majority of time no gap will occur in the market. In any single case, the trader is more likely to show a loss than a profit. But the primary concern is not the profit or loss 5 from any single trade, but what happens in the long run. Returning to the roulette example in Chapter 5, a player who chooses a number at a roulette table can expect
to win on average only 1 time in 38. But, if the theoretical value of the bet is 95 cents and the player can buy the bet for less than 95 cents, he expects to be a winner in the long run. Even if he is able to pay a very low price for the bet, say, 50 cents, he still expects to lose 37 times out of 38 . But now the bet is very attractive. Even if he only
wins 1 time in 38 , this will still
more than
offset
the
small losses he takes each time he loses. The same logic is true of expiration straddles. A trader may lose several times before winning. But when he does win, he can expect a return that is great enough to more than offset all the small losses.

## The fact that an at-the-

 money straddle may be cheap does not mean that a trader should buy these straddles inlarge numbers. Such strategies are likely to result in a loss more often than a profit, so an intelligent trader should only invest an amount that he can afford to lose. However, when conditions are right, a trader ought to be willing to
make
the
investment. Even if he loses several times in succession, in the long run, he will encounter gaps in the market or large increases in volatility
often enough to make such strategies profitable.

## Volatility Is

## Independent of the

## Price of the

## Underlying Contract

When a trader feeds a volatility into a theoretical pricing model, the volatility defines a one standard
deviation price change at any time during the life of the option regardless of whether the underlying
contract happens to be rising or falling in price. If a contract is currently at 100 and we assume a volatility of 20 percent, a one standard deviation price change is always based on this volatility of 20 percent. If, at some later time during the life
of the
option,
the
contract
should move up to 125 or down to 75, the effective volatility is still assumed to be 20 percent.
In

markets,
however,
this
assumption
appears to be inconsistent with
most traders' experience. If one were to ask a stock index trader whether his market becomes more volatile
when rising
he
would
probably
say that it becomes more volatile when falling. On the other hand, if one were to ask a commodity trader the same question, he likely would give the opposite answer. His market will tend to become more volatile when rising. In other words, the volatility of a market is not independent of the price of the underlying contract. On the contrary, the volatility over time seems to depend on the direction of
movement in the underlying contract. In some cases, a trader expects the market to become more volatile if the movement is downward and less volatile if the movement is upward; in other cases, a trader expects the market to become more volatile if the movement is upward and less volatile if the movement is downward.
Because
volatility

some markets seems to depend on the direction of price movement in the underlying contract, a further variation
of the Black-
Scholes
model
has
been
proposed. The constant-
elasticity of variance (CEV) model ${ }^{6}$ is based on the assumption that volatility changes as the price of the underlying contract changes. Price changes are still
assumed to be random in the CEV model,
volatility, and consequently
the magnitude of the price
changes, varies with the price of the underlying contract. Like the jump-diffusion model, the CEV model is both mathematically complex and requires additional inputs in the form of a mathematical relationship between the volatility and price movement

# in the underlying contract. Given these difficulties, the CEV model has not found wide acceptance among option traders. 

## Underlying Prices at

 Expiration Are
## Lognormally

## Distributed

In the real world, do prices
at expiration form a lognormal distribution? We might try to answer this question by asking how the percent price changes are distributed. If this distribution is normal, the continuous compounding of price changes is likely to result in a lognormal distribution of prices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Figure } 23-8 a \text { is } \quad \text { a } \\
& \text { histogram of daily }
\end{aligned}
$$

## and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index

 price changes for the 10-year period from 2003 through 2012. Each bar represents the number of occurrences of a given price change rounded to the nearest $1 / 4$ percent. As one would expect, most of the changes are relatively small and close to 0 . As we move away from the 0 in either direction, we encounter fewer and fewer occurrences. The distribution seems tohave

## many of the characteristics of

 a normal distribution. But is it really a normal distribution, and if not, how does it differ from a true normal distribution?
## Figure 23-8 (a) s\&P 500 daily price

 changes: January 2003-december 2012. (b) Crude oil daily price changes: January2003-december 2012. (c) euro (versus dollar) daily price changes: January 2003-december 2012. (d) Bund daily price changes: January 2003december 2012.


## If the frequency

distribution conforms exactly to a normal distribution, the tops of the bars should coincide exactly with a true normal distribution. To find out if this is the case, the mean ( +0.0296 percent) and standard deviation (1.31 percent) have been calculated for all 2,535 daily price changes over the 10-year period. From these numbers,
a best-fit normal distribution has been overlaid on the frequency chart. The actual frequency distribution is similar to the normal distribution,
but
there
are
some
clear
differences.
Because the bars representing the small price changes rise above the normal distribution curve, there seem to be more days with small price changes than one would expect from a true normal distribution.

# Although <br> they <br> are <br> not <br> as 

obvious, there are also several large price changes,
outliers, that rise above the extreme tails of the normal distribution. These outliers seem to suggest that there are more large moves in our frequency distribution than one would expect from a true normal distribution. Finally, in the midsections, between the peak of the distribution and the extreme tails, there
seem to be fewer occurrences than one would expect. One might surmise that the differences in Figure 238 $\underline{a}$ between the S\&P 500 frequency distribution and the true normal distribution are either unique to the S\&P 500 or an aberration of the 10 year period in question,
which admittedly included the financial crisis of 2008. However, studies tend to

## indicate that price-change

 distributions for almost all exchange-traded underlying markets exhibit characteristics that are very similar to the S\&P 500 distribution. There are always more days with small moves, more days with large moves, and fewer days with intermediate moves than are predicted by a true normal distribution. The differences between the actual andtheoretical distributions can also be seen in several other histograms covering the same period of time: crude oil (Figure $23-8 b b$ ), the euro (Figure 23-8c ), and the Bund (Figure 23-8 $\underline{d}$ ).

## Skewness and

## Kurtosis

Distributions such as those
in Figure 23-8a through 23$\underline{8} \underline{d}$ are approximately normal but still differ from a true normal distribution. If one is trying to make decisions based on the characteristics of a distribution, it might be useful to know how the actual distribution differs from the normal. A perfectly normal distribution can be fully
described by its mean and standard deviation. But two
other numbers, the skewness
and kurtosis, are often used to describe the extent to which an actual distribution differs from a true normal distribution. 7

## The

skewness
of
a
distribution (Figure 23-9) can be thought of as the lopsidedness of the distribution, or the extent to which one tail is longer than the other tail. In a positively skewed distribution, the right tail is
longer than the left tail. (The lognormal distribution shown in Figure 6-7 is positively skewed.) In a negatively skewed distribution, the left tail is longer than the right tail. A perfectly normal distribution has a skewness of 0 . The frequency distribution in Figure 23-8c (euro) is positively skewed, while the distributions in Figures 23-8 $\underline{a}$ (S\&P 500), 23-8b (crude oil), and

23-8d
(Bund)
are

## negatively skewed.

Figure 23-9 skewness-the degree to which one tail of a distribution is longer than the other tail.


## The kurtosis of <br> distribution (Figure 23-10) is

 the extent to which the center of the distribution is either unusually tall or unusually flat. A distribution with a positive kurtosis has a tall peak (leptokurtic), whiles a distribution with a negative kurtosis has a low or flat peak (platykurtic). A perfectly normal distribution hasFigure 23-10 Kurtosis-the degree to which a distribution has a taller peak and wider tails.


## At first sight, a positive

kurtosisdistribution looks similar to a low standard deviation distribution because both have high peaks. But a distribution with a low standard deviation also has short tails, while
distribution with a positive kurtosis has elongated tails. One might think of a positive kurtosis distribution as a normal distribution where the
midsection to the left and right of the peak has been squeezed inward. This forces the peak of the distribution upward and the tails outward. The frequency distributions in Figures $23-8 \underline{a}$ through $23-8 \underline{d}$ all exhibit the same positive kurtosis, which is typical of almost all exchange-traded underlying markets. They have higher peaks (more days with small moves), elongated tails (more days with big
moves), and narrow midsections (fewer days with intermediate moves) than are predicted by a true normal distribution.

Traders
sometimes refer to these as "fat tail" distributions.

$$
\text { The } \quad \text { S\&P }
$$

fat, we can express the biggest up and down moves in standard deviations and then consider the chances of these moves occurring under the assumption of a normal distribution. The biggest up move in the S\&P over the 10 year period was 11.58
percent. With a standard deviation of 1.31 percent, this $\begin{array}{llr}\text { translates } & \text { into } & \text { an } \begin{array}{r}8.84 \\ \text { standard }\end{array} \\ \text { occurrence. } & \text { The } & \text { probability }\end{array}$
of such

# approximately 1 1 chance e 

# 2,000,000,000,000,000,000 (2 

 quintillion, for anyone who is counting). The biggest down move, 9.03 percent, translates into a 6.75 standard deviation occurrence, with a probability equal to approximately chance in $350,000,000,000$ (350 billion). Simply put, the likelihood of either of these occurrences is so small that they will essentially neveroccur. ${ }^{9}$

## The kurtosis values for

crude oil, the euro, and the Bund are not as dramatic as the S\&P 500. But even in these markets under the assumptions of a normal distribution, we would expect to see the biggest up and down moves only once in many millions
of occurrences. Keeping in mind that the data covered a period
of between 2,500 and 2,600 days, we can see how much more often big moves occur are in the real world compared with what is predicted by a normal distribution. The probabilities associated with the largest moves in our sample distributions are shown in Figure 23-11.

## Figure 23-11 Probabilities

 associated with the biggest up and down moves.| Proved | ane <br> Saravard <br> naiden | Bigse:Non <br> inferen | Bygsthowin <br> Starard Raitions | Pexalily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ess | $131 \%$ | Upil159 | uperstoel | Tounieflocaluste |
|  |  | Dave033 | Domb69x deve | Itaxeren3xpumame |
| Cutedel | 268\% | Up1427\% | Upasistoel |  |
|  |  | Com 103 SM | Dom400stder |  |
| Evo | 0.6518 | 1p35\% | upsialstor | 1 (tareinisi) |
|  |  | Dam240 | Dom369stdev | Idaxenesso |
| bind | 03044 | Up19\% | upsansider | 1tareenicamos |
|  |  | Davi 500 | Dom410stdel. | 1.tarensism |

1
By traditional pricing model we mean those that are most commonly used: the Black-Scholes model and its variations or the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model.
$\underline{2}$ The possibility that a trader in a futures option market may also have to come up with additional variation money, as opposed to margin money, after establishing an option position is incorporated into most models. This is why a conversion or reversal in a futures option market may not be delta neutral.
$\underline{3}$ This is not to say that tax consequences are always insignificant. Tax considerations can play a role in portfolio management or in option
strategies involving dividends when the dividends are subject to tax rules different from the gains or losses from stock or options.
4 A discussion of the jump-diffusion model can be found in most advanced texts on option pricing. For additional information, see Robert Merton, "Option Pricing when Underlying Stock Returns Are Discontinuous," Journal of Financial Economics 3(March):125 144, 1976; Stan Beckers, "A Note on Estimating the Parameters in the JumpDiffusion Model of Stock Returns," Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, March 1981, pp. 127-140; and Espen Gaarder Haug, The Complete Guide to Option Pricing Formulas, 2nd
ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2006).
5 This assumes, of course, that the trader is able to absorb the loss and still stay in business for the long run.
$\underline{6}$ For information on the CEV model, see John C. Cox and Stephen A. Ross, "The Valuation of Options for Alternative Stochastic Processes," Journal of Financial Economics 3(March):145-166, 1976; Stan Beckers, "The Constant Elasticity of Variance Model and Its Implications for Option Pricing," Journal of Finance, June 1980, pp. 661-673; Mark Schroder, "Computing the Constant Elasticity of Variance Option Pricing Model," Journal of Finance 44(1):211-219, 1989; and Espen Gaarder Haug, The

## Complete Guide to Option Pricing

 Formulas, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2006).7 The skewness and kurtosis functions are included in most commonly used spreadsheets. Their formulas can be found in a statistics or probability textbook.
$\underline{8}$ Mathematically, a true normal distribution has a kurtosis of 3 . However, as commonly expressed, 3 is usually subtracted from the kurtosis value, so a true normal distribution has a kurtosis value of 0 .
$\underline{9}$ Nassim Taleb has referred to such unlikely occurrences as "black swans." See Nassim Nicholas Taleb, The Black

# Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable (New York: Penguin Books, 2008). 

## Volatility

## Skews

## There are clearly real

 problems associated with the use of a traditional theoretical pricing model. Markets are not frictionless, prices do not always follow a diffusion
# process, volatility may vary 

 over the life of an option, the real world may not look like a lognormal distribution. With all these weaknesses, one might wonder whether theoreticalpricing models have any practical value at all. In fact, most traders have found that pricing models, while not perfect, are an invaluable tool for making decisions in the option market. Even if a model does
not work perfectly, traders have found that using a model, even a flawed one, is usually better than using no model at all.

Still, a trader who wants to make the best possible decisions cannot afford to ignore the problems associated with a theoretical pricing model. Consequently, a trader who uses a pricing model might look for a way
to reduce the potential errors resulting from these weaknesses. Initially,
one might simply look for a better theoretical pricing model. If such a model exists, it will certainly be worth replacing the old model with the new one. But better is a relative term. A model might be better in the sense that it gives slightly more accurate theoretical values. But if the model is extremely complex
and difficult to use, or if it requires additional inputs of which a trader cannot always be certain, then the model may merely substitute one set of problems for another. Given the fact that most traders are not theoreticians, a more realistic solution might be to use a less complex model and somehow finetune it so that it is consistent with the realities of the marketplace.

# A <br> trader <br> trying 

compensate for weaknesses in a pricing model might make the assumption that the marketplace is using the same model as the trader and then ask how the marketplace is dealing with the weaknesses in the model. This is somewhat analogous calculating implied volatility where we assume that
everyone is using the same model, that the price of the
option is known, and that everyone agrees on all the inputs except volatility. From these assumptions, we are able to determine the volatility that the marketplace is implying to the underlying contract. We can take the same general approach but ask instead what weaknesses the marketplace is implying to the model.

$$
\text { Figure } 24-1 \text { shows the }
$$

# implied volatilities across exercise prices for June 2012 FTSE 100 Index ${ }^{1}$ options traded <br> on <br> the <br> London <br> International <br> Financial <br> Exchange on March 16, 2012. Calculations were made at the 

 end of the trading day from the average of the bid-ask spread using the BlackScholes model. It is immediately apparent that implied volatilitiesacross exercise prices. If we assume that the exercise price, time to expiration, underlying price, and interest rate are known, the theoretical value of an option in a Black-Scholes world will depend solely on the volatility of the underlying contract over the life of the option. Of course, we won't know what that volatility is until we reach expiration, at which time we can look back
and calculate the historical volatility over the 13-week period from March 16 to June expiration. But the FTSE 100 Index
can have only
one
volatility over this period. Because the underlying index is the same for all options, it doesn't make sense in a perfect Black-Scholes world for every exercise price to have a different implied volatility. If the activity in the marketplace were a result of
everyone believing in the efficiency of the BlackScholes model, the selling of overpriced options and the buying of underpriced options would eventually cause every option to have the same implied volatility. Yet this almost never happens in any market.

Figure 24-1 June 2012 FTSE 100 implied volatilities: March 16, 2012.
$\square$

# The <br> distribution <br> of <br> implied <br> volatilities <br> across <br> exercise <br> prices is <br> often <br> referred to as a volatility skew 

 or, possibly, a volatility smile or volatility smirk depending on the shape of the skew. One likely explanation for the distribution of implied volatilities has to do with the way in which options are used as a hedging instrument. In the stock market, mostinvestors are long stock $\underline{\underline{2}}$ and are therefore concerned about a decline in stock prices. The two most common hedging strategies to protect a long underlying position, as
described in Chapter 17, are the purchase of protective puts and the sale of covered calls.
If a stock investor

decidesto purchase
price will he choose? An out-of-the-money put costs less than an in-the-money put but also offers less protection against a down move. However, if the investor is so worried about a downward move that he needs the protection afforded by an in-the-money put, he ought to simply sell the stock. The result is that most protective puts are purchased at lower exercise prices.

## If, instead, the investor

decides to sell a covered call, he will almost always do so at a higher exercise price. This will offer less protection than the sale of an in-the-money call, but presumably the investor holds the stock because he believes that the stock price will rise. If it does rise, he will want participate in at least some of the upside profit potential. If the stock rises and the
investor has sold an in-themoney call, the stock will be quickly called away, limiting any upside profit. The result is that most covered calls are sold at higher exercise prices. As a result of hedging activity, in the stock option market there tends to be buying pressure on the lower exercise prices (the purchase of protective puts) and selling pressure

On
the
higher
exercise prices (the sale of covered calls). This causes the implied volatilities at lower exercise prices to rise and the implied volatilities at higher exercise prices to fall. The resulting skew, such as that in Figure 24-1, is sometimes referred to as an investment skew. It occurs in markets in which people freely invest,
obvious
example being the stock market. Traders
sometimes describe investment skew by saying that the "skew is to the puts," indicating that put implied volatilities are inflated. But put-call parity dictates that if a put price is inflated, the call price at the same exercise price must also be inflated, so perhaps it is more accurate to say that the "skew is to the downside."
stock market
end users try to protect
themselves
against rising
commodity skew (there is a demand for the commodity), lower exercise prices have lower implied volatilities, and higher exercise prices have higher implied volatilities. Of course, commodity producers, such as farmers, mining companies, and oil drilling companies, are likely to worry about falling commodity prices, so it might seem that there ought to be
equal
between the longs (producers) and the shorts (end users). But in many markets the end users tend to dominate, perhaps because higher commodity prices, and the concomitant inflationary pressures, are perceived as having a negative effect on the entire economy. Moreover, in some countries, the government has

# growers have less to worry about from falling 

 agricultural commodity prices than end users have from rising prices.Finally,
there
are
markets where both longs and shorts are equally worried. Consider a U.S. company buying goods in Europe that must be paid for on some future date in euros. The company clearly is worried
about a rising euro compared with the dollar. At the same time, a European company may buy goods in the United States that must be paid for in dollars. This company is worried about a falling euro compared with the dollar. If both companies choose to hedge their risk in the currency option market, the hedging activity will tend to result in
a
balance
is no
skew,
where there is no obvious

## domination of implied

 volatilities at either higher or lower exercise prices. This does not mean that implied volatilities will necessarily form a flat skew, but thedistribution
volatilities is symmetrical

implied
current underlying price. The three common types of skews are shown in Figure 24-2.

Figure 24-2 (a) Investment skew. (b) Demand skew. (c) Balanced skew.
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## In addition to distortions

 caused by hedging activity, we also know from Chapter 23 that there are inherent weaknesses in many models. For example, most traders believe that stock markets become more volatile when they are falling and less volatile when they are rising. We also know that an option is most sensitive to volatility changes (it has its highestvega) when it is at the money. If an underlying stock is trading at 100 and the market begins to fall, the vega of the 95 put will rise because it is becoming more at the money. If the market also becomes more volatile because the stock price is falling, this will increase the volatility value of the 95 put. But, if the market begins to rise, the 105 call, even though its vega is rising, will not benefit to the

# same extent as the 95 put because the market is becoming less volatile. So it should not come as a surprise that the 95 put carries a higher implied volatility and the 105 call a lower implied volatility than expected. This is consistent with an investment skew. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The marketplace, like } \\
& \text { every individual trader, is }
\end{aligned}
$$ trying to evaluate options as

# efficiently as possible given all available information. Whether one believes that 

 markets are efficient or not, one can argue that the marketplace is trying to be efficient. From the wide range of implied volatilities found in almost every option market, we can reasonably infer that the marketplace does not think the BlackScholes model is perfectly efficient. Unfortunately,
## trying to identify the source

 of the inefficiency may not be possible. It might have to do with how options are used in hedging strategies. Or it might have to do with weaknesses in the theoretical pricing model. Whatever the reason, we can make the assumption that at any moment in time the marketplace believes that options are priced efficiently, even if those prices happen todiffer from model-generated values.

## An option trader using a

 theoretical pricing model might take the view that the volatility skew contains useful information that can be used in the decision-making process. By treating the volatility skew as an additionalinput into the theoretical pricing model, the skew becomes an important
aid in generating theoretical values and managing risk. Moreover, analysis of the skew can form the basis for a variety of option strategies.

## Modeling the Skew

If we want to include a skew in our model, we need to do it in a way that the model understands. This is typically done using a

## mathematical function that

 generates a best fit for the skew$$
f(x)=y
$$

where $y$ is the implied volatility at each exercise price $x$. A trader can choose any function that seems to yield a good fit, but many traders use a polynomial function of the form $a+b x+$ $c x^{2}+d x^{3}+\ldots$. A best-fit

## function for the implied volatilities in Figure 24-1 is shown in Figure 24-3.

Figure 24-3 June 2012 FTSE 100 implied volatilities: March 16, 2012.


## If we think of the skew

 as an input into the model, then, as with all inputs, we need to ask how changes in the inputaffect
a
position. If we can model possible changes in the skew as market conditions change, we will be in a better position to assess the risk associated with an option position. In particular,
want to model both the location and shape of the skew.

## Of course, we might take

 the position that the location and shape of the volatility skew will remain fixed. Underthis sticky-strike assumption, the current skew determines the implied volatility each strike regardless of how market conditions change.

## Unfortunately, a sticky-

 strike skew, with its fixed volatilities at each exercise price, is not consistent with the observed dynamics of the marketplace. In most option markets, the skew will shift as the underlying price moves or implied volatility changes. An alternative approach is to use a floating skew, where the entire skew is shifted horizontally as the underlying price rises or falls or
## vertically as implied volatility

 rises or falls. The shift is equal to the amount of change in either the price
# volatility. If the underlying 

 price rises five points, the skew is shifted to the right by five points. If implied volatilityfalls
percentage points, the skew is shifted downward

bytwo percentage points. This type of skew is shown in Figure 24-4.

Figure 24-4 (a) A simple floating skew as the underlying price changes. (b) A simple floating skew as implied volatility changes.

Exercise price


Shifting the entire skew might be that the shape of the skew will remain unchanged regardless of changing market conditions. But is this likely? The implied volatilities at different exercise prices are likely to depend on how the marketplace views the likelihood of either larger or smaller moves in the price of
the underlying contract. But all moves are relative with respect to both the underlying price and the time to expiration. In relative terms, a price change of 10.00 is greater with an underlying price of 100 (a 10 percent move) than with
an underlying price of 200 (a 5 percent move). In the same way, a 10 percent move is $\begin{array}{lcl}\text { greater } & \text { over a } \\ \text { period } \\ \text { than } & \text { one-week } \\ \text { the } & \text { same } & 10\end{array}$
percent move over a onemonth period.
A first step in adjusting
for the relative magnitude of price changes is to express each exercise price along the $x$ axis in terms of its moneyness-how far in the money or out of the money the exercise price is as a percent of the underlying price. The 90 exercise price with the underlying price at

# 100 will have a moneyness of 


 is the
same
moneyness as the 180 exercise price with an underlying price of 200 . We can make a further refinement by expressing each exercise price in logarithmic terms $\ln$ $(X / S)$, where $S$ is the underlying or spot price and $X$ is the exercise price. This is consistent with the
assumption
that
underlying prices
are lognormally

## distributed.

## How will the passage of

 time affect the shape of the volatility skew? Consider a 90 put with the underlying contract trading at 100. As time passes, in relative terms, the 90 put is moving further out of the money. In an investment skew, as the option moves further out of the money, its implied volatility will rise. In a sense,it is moving "up the skew." This will cause the skew to appear more severe as time passes, with lower exercise prices carrying increasingly higher implied volatilities. Higher exercise prices may also be affected by the passage of time because an out-of-the-money call will also go further out of the money. Depending on the shape of the skew and where an exercise price falls along

# the skew, its implied volatility may rise, fall, or remain the same. If no adjustment is made, the effect of time passing on the FTSE 100 skew is shown in Figure 24-5. 

Figure 24-5 FTSE 100 option implied volatilities, March 16, 2012 $($ FTSE $=5965.58)$.


\[

\] express each exercise price in terms of standard deviations away from at the money. Recalling the square-root relationship between time and volatility,

logarithmic scale, the number of standard deviations in the money or out of the money for each exercise price is given by

with an exactly at-themoney ${ }^{3}$
 having
a standard
deviation
of
0.

Skews for several FTSE 100 option expirations as of

## March 16,2012 , are shown in

 Figure 24-6. When expressed in this format, the skew is sometimes referred to as a sticky-delta skew because the delta is an approximation of how far in the money or out of the money an option is.Figure 24-6 FTSE 100 implied volatilities, March 16, 2012.


## The skews in Figure 24-

6 appear to be similar, but they are clearly not identical. All adjustments thus far have been to the $x$ axis, changing the calibration to more easily compare exercise prices. But we might also adjust the $y$ axis, the volatility. When a trader refers to the overall implied volatility in a market, he is almost always referring to the implied volatility of at-
the-money options. Whether the implied volatility at any exercise price is high or low will depend on whether it is high or low compared with the at-the-money implied volatility. As a result, many traders recalibrate the $y$ axis in terms of how the implied volatility at an exercise price compares with the at-themoney implied volatility. We can do this by expressing $y$ values as the difference
between the implied volatility of an at-the-money option and the implied volatility at each exercise price. If the at-the-money implied volatility is 20 (percent) and the implied volatility at an exercise price is 25 (percent), the $y$ value is $20-25=-5$. If the implied volatility at a different exercise price is 18 (percent), the $y$ value is 20 $18=2$.

## This method may be

satisfactory if implied volatilities remain relatively constant, but suppose that the at-the-money implied volatility doubles from 20 to 40 percent. We might also expect the volatility at each exercise to double. An exercise price that previously had an implied volatility of 25 percent will now have an implied volatility of 50
percent, and an exercise price

# that previously had an implied volatility of 18 percent implied will now have an percent. volatility <br> expressing the volatility at <br> percent of at-the-money 

 implied volatility. With an at-the-money implied volatilityof 20 percent, an implied volatility of 25 percent would be expressed as $25 / 20=125$

# percent. An implied volatility 

 of 18 percent would be expressed as $18 / 20=90$ percent. And an implied volatility equal to the at-themoney implied volatility would be expressed as $20 / 20$ $=100$ percent. In Figure 24-7, the $y$ axis for the sample FTSE 100 skews has been recalibrated using this approach.Figure 24-7 FTSE 100 implied volatilities, March 16, 2012.


# Figure 24-7 is typical of <br> stock indexes, 

## many

 exhibiting a very pronounced investment skew, with lower exercise prices significantly inflated compared with higher exercise prices. A different set of skews, for wheat options, is shown in Figure 24-8. In this example, the skews exhibit more curvature but with higher exercise pricessomewhat
more
inflated, as is often the case with a demand or commodity skew. The skews also seem to exhibit less consistency across different expiration months than the FTSE 100. While skews in a financial product tend to be similar across expiration months, skews in a commodity market can often vary across different expirations, perhaps owing to seasonal volatility considerations or because of

# short-term supply and demand imbalances. 

Figure 24-8 wheat implied volatilities, January 27, 2012.


## The foregoing method of

modeling a skew is used by many traders but is in no way meant to be definitive. Adjustments are often required to prevent the model from generating illogical volatilities or theoretical values. For example, as we reduce volatility, an out-of-the-money option
goes further
out
of the money because it is a greater number

# of standard deviations away 

 from the underlying price. But in an investment skew, as a put goes further out of the money, it's volatility is rising _it is "climbing the skew." If the skew is sufficiently steep, the increase volatility may in fact cause the theoretical value of the put to rise. This is inherently illogical because we expect all option values to decline if we reduce volatility.
## Skewness and

## kurtosis

## The shape of the skew is

 not constant. As market conditions change, option prices will also change, often causing the shape of the skew to change. Two common changes have to do with the tilt and curvature of the skew. The tilt, which defines how much the implied volatilitiesof lower exercise prices differ from the implied volatilities of higher exercise prices, is often referred to as the skewness. This follows logically from the definition of skewness in Chapter 23 (see Figure 23-10). If the probability distribution has a longer left tail (negative skewness), there is greater likelihood of large down moves, resulting in greater demand for lower exercise
prices. If the distribution has a longer right tail (positive skewness), there is greater likelihood of large up moves and, consequently, greater demand for higher exercise prices. Examples of positive and negative skewness are shown in Figure 24-9.

Figure 24-9 Skewness.


Lower exercise pricices
At the money
Highere exercise pices

## Figure 24-10 kurtosis.

As kurtosis increases, the volatily skew becomes more curved.
The implied volatily of both lower and highere execise picces increases.


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

Lower exercise pirices
At the money
Highere exercise pices

# The 

## curvature,

or
kurtosis, defines how much the implied volatilities of both higher and lower exercise prices are inflated compared with the at-themoney implied volatility. This also follows logically from the definition in Chapter $\underline{23}$ (see Figure 23-11). If the probability distribution has "fat tails," there is a greater likelihood of large moves in

## either

direction.
Consequently, there will be a greater demand for out-of-the-money options (positive kurtosis). Examples
of increasing positive kurtosis are shown in Figure 24-10. 4

Figure 24-11 The skew as a model input.
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 ExecispopiceUndelying pitice
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We might think of the
skew as an input into a theoretical pricing model (Figure 24-11), but the skew is input into the model as a formula rather than as a single number. As with any input, it will be useful to determine how sensitive an option value or option position is to changes in the shape of the skew. The
sensitivities
associated with a skew will depend on the skew model that is used. For example, let's assume a very simple second-degree-polynomial model where the volatility $y$ at an exercise price $x$ is given by

$$
y=a+b x+c x^{2}
$$

In this model, the value of $a$ is the base volatility, usually the implied volatility
of the at-the-money options. The values of
b
and
c
represent the skewness and kurtosis, respectively, of the volatility skew. We can raise or lower the value of $a$ as implied volatility
rises
or
falls. We can raise or lower
the value of $b$ to increase or
decrease the skewness. And
we can raise or lower the
value of $c$ to increase or
decrease the kurtosis. $b$ can
be either positive or negative

# depending on whether higher 

 or lower exercise prices are inflated. For exchange-traded markets, the value of $c$ is almost always positive because the probability distributions of these markets always exhibit some fat-tail characteristics.The sensitivity of an
option's theoretical value to a change in
skewness
Or
kurtosis will depend on how
the option's value changes as we raise or lower the value of $b$ and $c$. If raising the value of $b$ by one unit will cause the option to fall by 0.15 , then the option has a skewness sensitivity of -0.15 . If raising the value of $c$ by one unit will cause the option to rise by 0.08 , the option has a kurtosis sensitivity of 0.08 . For active traders who carry very large option positions, the skewness
sensitivities can represent significant risks and, as with all risks, must be monitored to ensure that that they remain within acceptable bounds. The units used to $\begin{array}{lcc}\text { express } & \text { skewness } & \text { and } \\ \text { kurtosis } & \text { sensitivity } & \text { will }\end{array}$ depend on how the skew model has been constructed. Most traders choose a unit that represents
a
common
change in the skewness and kurtosis values. For example, if the value of $b$ commonly ranges from 0.20 to 0.40 , a logical unit for $b$ might be 0.01 . If the unit value is an unwieldy number, the value can be adjusted by including a multiplier. If the unit value for $b$ is 0.001 but we wish to express the unit value as a whole number, we can use a multiplier of 0.001 to yield a unit value of 1 . The model

## will then be expressed as

$$
y=a+0.001 b x+c x^{2}
$$

If we raise the skew value of $b$ by 1 , we are really raising it by 0.001 . The same approach can also be used to express $c$ in simple units. ${ }^{5}$
In most skew models,
the at-the-money exercise price acts as a pivot point so that an option that is exactly at the money has a skewness
and kurtosis sensitivity of 0 . Options that are in the money or out of the money can have either a positive or a negative skewness sensitivity. If we increase the skewness input, the volatility of higher exercise prices will rise, whiles the volatility of lower exercise prices will fall. Consequently, higher exercise prices will have positive skewness sensitivity values, and lower exercise prices will
have negative sensitivity. If we increase the kurtosis input, the volatility of options at both higher and lower exercise prices will rise. Consequently, any option that is not exactly at the money will have a positive kurtosis sensitivity.

## Which options are the

 most sensitive to changes in skewness and kurtosis? There is no definitive answerbecause it depends on the volatility characteristics in many skew models puts with deltas of -25 and calls with deltas of +25 tend to have the greatest skewness sensitivity. For this reason, a

## common

measure
of
skewness is the difference between the implied volatility of the -25 delta put and the +25 delta call. There is no
similar benchmark for kurtosis, but for many models, puts with deltas of approximately -5 and calls with deltas of +5 tend to have the greatest sensitivity to a change in kurtosis.

## Skewed Risk

## Measures

> How we model the
volatility
24-4 $\underline{a}$, where the floating skew is shifted either right or left as the underlying price rises or falls. As the skew is shifted, the volatility at some exercise prices will rise, while the volatility at other exercise prices will fall. This change in volatility can cause
an option's value and its risk sensitivities to change either more or less than expected if there were no skew.

## For example, consider

 an out-of-the-money put with a delta of -20 . Ignoring the gamma, if the underlying price rises 1.00 , we expect the option value to decline by 0.20 . But in an investment skew, such as in Figure 24$\underline{4} \underline{a}$, as the underlying pricerises, the volatility of an out-of-the-money put will rise as it moves further out of the money. If the option has a vega of 0.10 and the shift in the skew causes the implied volatility of the option to rise 0.5 percent, the higher volatility will cause the option's value to rise by $0.5 \times$ $0.10=0.05$. Consequently, the option will only decline by 0.15 , a decline of 0.20 due to a change in the underlying

## price combined with an

 increase of 0.05 due to the increase in implied volatility. The option has a skewed or adjusted delta of -15 .$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { The inclusion of a } \\
\text { volatility skew in a pricing }
\end{gathered}
$$ model will affect the calculation of all option risk measures and can greatly complicate a trader's ability to manage risk. For many traders, it may be best to keep

things simple, perhaps using a skew model to generate theoretical values while using a traditional model to
calculate the delta, gamma,
theta, and vega. For an active trader who carries large option positions, calculating accurate skewed sensitivities becomes much
more important because the total value
change
of the position
can
market
conditions
change.
Financial engineers at professional option trading firms are often responsible for developing methods to accurately calculate theoretical values and risk sensitivities using a volatility skew model. But even the most sophisticated model is unlikely to generate values that exactly model option prices under all market conditions. A model can help, but it will always have
limitations.

combining
the
volatility term structure across expiration dates with the volatility skew across exercise prices, we can form a volatility surface. While sometimes difficult to visualize, a volatility surface may enable a trader to more easily see the basic volatility characteristics of an option market. The more exercise

# prices and expiration dates 

 that are available, the more accurate will be the volatility surface. Sample volatility surfaces for the FTSE 100 options and wheat options are shown in Figures 24-12 and 24-13. At the time, the FTSE 100 Index was trading at 5,966, and the front-month wheat futures contract was trading at 647.$$
\text { Figure 24-12 FTSE } 100 \text { volatility }
$$

surface, March 16, 2012.

Figure 24-13 wheat volatility surface, January 27, 2012.


# Shifting the Volatility <br> <br> Traders have long noted 

 <br> <br> Traders have long noted} that in many option markets, implied volatility tends to change as the price of the underlying contract changes. Some markets exhibit a direct relationship
between movement in the underlying price and changes in implied volatility:
underlying price rises, implied volatility tends to rise; when the underlying price falls, implied volatility tends to fall. This is typical of markets with a demand skew, such as agricultural and energy products. Other markets may exhibit an inverse relationship: when the underlying price rises, implied volatility tends to
fall;
when the underlying price falls, implied volatility
tends to rise. This is typical of markets with an investment skew, such as stock and stock index markets.
For
purposes
of
both
option
evaluation
and
risk
management, many traders
will attempt to incorporate this
characteristic into
an
option pricing model. One possible theoretical solution is the CEV model referred to in Chapter 23. But this model

## can <br> be mathematically

 complex and requires additional inputs, all of which make it difficult to use. Alternatively, many traders simply use a "home grown" model that shifts the volatility up or down in a way that is consistent with the observed volatility characteristics of a market. However, no model will generate accurate values under all conditions becauseimplied
volatility
often
changes in ways that seem to defy even the best model. A shift in volatility can also affect the risks associated with a position. Consider a trader who buys an at-the-money straddle. Ignoring interest considerations and slight adjustments for a lognormal distribution,
the trader's
position is approximately
delta neutral:
the
call
h has
a
delta of 50 , and the put has a delta of -50 . But delta neutral means that the trader has no particular preference for market
movement in
one direction or the other. Is this really true? If this position is taken in a stock index market, the trader actually has a
preference for downward movement because he prefers higher volatility, something
that is more likely to occur in a falling market. Even though
the position may be delta neutral in a theoretical world, in the real world, it is delta negative. On the other hand, if this position is taken in a commodity market, where the market is likely to become more volatile when prices rise, the trader really has a positive delta position. Of course, it may be difficult to determine the real-world delta of either position. That will depend on how fast volatility
rises or falls as the underlying price changes. But in neither case is the position truly delta neutral.

## Skewness and

kurtosis Strategies

Just as a trader may have an opinion about the direction of movement in a market (delta strategies) or about
implied and realized volatility (vega and gamma strategies), a trader may also have an opinion about the shape of the volatility skew. We can see in Figure 24-14 that, depending on the type of skew and whether a trader expects the skew to become steeper or flatter, the trader will want to buy lower exercise prices and sell higher exercise prices, or vice versa. This is most
commonly done using out-of-
the-money options, very often 25 delta calls and -25 delta puts because these options tend to be most sensitive to changes in the slope of the skew.

Figure 24-14 (a) Declining skewness. (b) Increasing skewness.
Lower exercise prices
At the money
Highere exercise pices
Lower exercise prices
At the money
Highere exercise pices

## If a skew trade is not

 hedged, the position will clearly have a positive delta (long calls and short puts) or negative delta (long puts and short calls). A trader who wants to focus solely on "buying skew" or "selling skew" must offset the delta position, most commonly with an opposing deltaposition in the underlying contract. When this is done,
the entire strategy is usually referred to as a risk reversal. With the underlying contract trading at a price close to 100 , the following are typical risk reversals (delta values are in parentheses):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +10 \text { June } 95 \\
& \text { puts }(-25) \\
& -10 \text { June } 105 \\
& \text { calls }(+25) \\
& +5 \text { underlying } \\
& \text { contracts }
\end{aligned}
$$

Or

> -30 December 90 puts $(-15)$ +30 December 110 calls $(+15)$ -9 underlying contracts

## In these examples, the

 calls and puts have the samedelta, but
requirement.
this is
not
a
commonly, calls and puts are
chosen with the same vega values. 6 This ensures that the position is vega neutral at inception
primarily sensitive to changes in the slope of the skew rather than changes in overall implied volatility. Of course, as market conditions change, the delta, gamma, and vega of the position will
almost certainly change. When this occurs, a trader will have to
decide whether to maintain the position and, if so, how best to manage the delta, gamma, and vega risk. The risk characteristics of a typical risk reversal
discussed in Chapter 21.

Just as a trader may have an opinion about skewness, the slope of a volatility skew, a trader may also have an opinion about kurtosis, the curvature of a volatility skew.

If the kurtosis is expected to increase, the prices of options at both lower and higher exercise prices will increase. A trader will therefore want to buy strangles by purchasing both out-of-themoney calls and out-of-themoney puts. If the kurtosis is expected to decrease, option prices at both lower and higher exercise prices will decline.

A trader will
then want to sell strangles by
selling both out-of-the-money calls and out-of-the-money puts. This is shown in Figure 24-15.

Figure 24-15 Rising and falling kurtosis.

It the skev is expected to become more curved (greater kutosis), a tader wil want to buy both lower and higher exercise pices (buy strangles), higher exercise prices seel stangles).

If ithe skew is expectedit become less curved (Iower kurtosis), a trader will wan to sell both lower and


# If a trader "buys" <br> kurtosis <br> strangles or "sells" kurtosis by selling strangles, the position will also be sensitive to overall changes in volatility because the position will have a very pronounced positive or negative vega. Even if the trader is correct in his assessment of kurtosis, the position can be negatively affected by overall changes in 

# implied volatility. If the trader wishes to focus solely 

 on kurtosis, he will need to neutralize his vega position without changing the kurtosis of the position. Because at-the-money options are neutral with respect to kurtosis, a trader can achieve this by taking an offsetting vega position in at-the-money straddles. Assuming that the selected strangles and straddles are delta neutral, theentire position will also be delta neutral. With the underlying contract trading at a price close to 100 , the following are typical kurtosis positions (vega values are in parentheses):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Long strangles: } & +35 \text { June OO puts (0.11) } \\
& +35 \text { June } 110 \text { calls ( } 0.12 \text { ) } \\
\text { Shoot straddles: } & -20 \text { June } 100 \text { calls ( } 0.20) \\
& -20 \text { June } 100 \text { puts }(0.20)
\end{array}
$$

## 01

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { Shortstrangles: } & -26 \text { December } 80 \text { puts }(0.08) \\
& -26 \text { December } 120 \text { calls }(0.09) \\
\text { Long straddles: } & +10 \text { December } 100 \text { calls }(0.22) \\
& +10 \text { December } 100 \text { puts }(0.22)
\end{array}\right)
$$

# exactly half the vega of the straddle, a vega-neutral 

 position will consist of two strangles for each straddle. When done in this $2 \times 1$ ratio, the position is sometimes referred to as a dragonfly. An opinion about skew and kurtosiincorporated can also be strategies. Consider the skews in Figure $24-16$ on the same underlying product but for
different expiration months. If a trader has no opinion on whether either skew is mispriced individually but believes that the skews are mispriced with respect to each other, a logical strategy might be to take a skew position in one expiration month and an opposing skew position in the other month. For example, a trader might buy out-of-the-money puts in June and sell out-of-the-
money puts in March. At the same time, the trader might sell out-of-the-money calls in June and buy out-of-themoney calls in March. The trader has, in effect, bought put calendar spreads and sold call calendar spreads. If the skew is the only consideration (the trader has no opinion on whether implied volatility is high or low), the trader will try to take a position that is vega
neutral by choosing calendar spreads approximately the same vega. Any residual deltas can be hedged away with the underlying contract.

Figure 24-16 Buying and selling skew in different expiration months.
Implied volatility
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If, in addition to an
opinion about the skew, a trader also has an opinion about the relative implied volatility in
different expiration months,
spreads-buy June options and sell March options. If, at the same time, the trader also believes that the skews are mispriced with respect to each other, as in Figure 2416, he will choose calendar spreads that take
both relationships consideration. Now he will want to buy put calendar spreads-buy out-of-themoney June puts and sell out-of-the-money March puts. By
doing so, the trader takes advantage of both implied volatility and skew. Note that the trader will avoid call calendar spreads because the volatility and skew will tend to offset each other. The June calls are too expensive with respect to skew, but the March calls are too expensive with respect to implied volatility.
If the trader
believes
that
June
implied
volatility is high compared
with March, now he will choose to sell call calendar spreads because the June calls are too expensive with respect to both implied volatility and skew. The same approach can be used when kurtosis in two different expiration months seems to be mispriced, as shown in Figure 24-17. Now a trader might consider buying June strangles and
selling March strangles. If this is a simple kurtosis strategy within a single expiration month, it will be necessary to offset the vega by purchasing at-the-money straddles. But a trader can avoid this complication by choosing strangles in the two different expiration months that have approximately the same ensures vega values. This strategy is sensitive only to
changes in kurtosis. If, at the same time, June implied volatility seems low compared with March, the strategy has an added advantage. June options are cheap compared with March options with respect to both volatility and kurtosis.

Figure 24-17 Buying and selling kurtosis in different expiration months.
At the money
Higherexercise pices

In a perfect Black-Scholes world, the prices of the underlying
contract
are
assumed to be lognormally distributed at expiration, and every option with the same expiration date ought to have the same implied volatility. The fact that options across different exercise prices have

# different implied volatilities 

must mean that the
marketplace believes that the distribution of underlying prices at expiration is not
lognormal. Exactly what probability distribution is the marketplace implying to the underlying

## At expiration, a butterfly

has a minimum value of 0 if the underlying price is at or outside the wings and a maximum value of the amount between exercise prices if the underlying price is exactly at the body, or midpoint, of the butterfly. At expiration, the $95 / 100 / 105$ butterfly (i.e., buy a 95 call, sell two 100 calls, buy a 105 call) will have a minimum
value of 0 if the underlying
price is at or below 95 or at or above 105 , a maximum value of 5.00 if the underlying price is exactly 100 , or some amount between 0 and 5.00 if the underlying price is between 95 and 100 or between 100 and 105.

## Suppose that exercise

 prices at five-point intervals are available extending from 0 to infinity:$$
\ldots, 70,75,80,85,90,95
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
100,105,110,115,120,125, \\
130, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

What will be the value of the position at expiration if we buy every five-point
butterfly?
$\cdots+170 \mathrm{call}+175 \mathrm{call} \cdots+1115 \mathrm{call}+1120 \mathrm{call} \cdot \cdots$
... -275 calls -280 calls $\cdots-2120 \mathrm{calls}-2125 \mathrm{calls} \cdot$.
$\cdots+180 \mathrm{call}+185 \mathrm{call} \cdots+125 \mathrm{call}+1130 \mathrm{call} \cdot \cdots$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Regardless } & \text { of } & \text { the } \\
\text { underlying } & \text { price } & \text { at }
\end{array}
$$

expiration, the entire position will always have a value of exactly 5.00. As a result, if we add up the prices of all the butterflies, the total value must be $5.00 .{ }^{7}$

## Suppose that we make

 the assumption that the only prices that are possible at expiration are prices that are equal to an exercise price$$
\ldots, 70,75,80,85,90,95,
$$

# $100,105,110,115,120,125$, 

$$
130, \ldots
$$

## The probability of each

 underlying price occurring must be equal to the price of that butterfly, where the inside exercise price is equal to the underlying price divided by 5.00 . If the price of the $75 / 80 / 85$ butterfly is 0.15 , the probability of an underlying price of 80 at expiration must be
## $0.15 / 5.00=0.03(3 \%)$

 If the price of the$90 / 95 / 100$ butterfly is 0.50,
the probability of an underlying price of 95 at expiration must be

$$
0.50 / 5.00=0.10(10 \%)
$$

## Figure 24-18 <br> shows <br> a

 series of call values together with the resulting butterfly values for our series ofexercise prices. $\underline{8}$
The
probability associated with each underlying price is determined by dividing the butterfly value by the total value of all butterflies, which we know must be 5.00. (The reader may wish to confirm that all the butterfly values do indeed sum to 5.00 and that the probabilities sum to 1.00 , or 100 percent.) The underlying prices and their
associated probabilities are shown in Figure 24-19. Note that these values form a probability distribution that is skewed to the right. This should come as no surprise because the values were derived from the BlackScholes model, which
assumes
a
lognormal distribution of underlying prices.

Figure 24-18 Butterfly values and probabilities.

## 



## 

## 

Figure 24-19 A discrete probability distribution implied from the prices of butterflies.

Of course, the
distribution in Figure 24-19 is only an approximation because it includes a limited number of underlying prices. A more exact distribution requires us to consider more and more exercise prices. We can do this by reducing the width of the butterflies. Instead of using increments
of 5.00,
we
might
use
increments
of 2.00 ,
1.00,
or
0.50. Indeed, if we use increments that are infinitesimally small, the butterfly values will enable us to construct a continuous probability distribution. Figure 24-20 shows the probability distribution with the increment between exercise prices reduced to 0.10 . With such a small increment, the distribution appears almost continuous.

Figure $24-20$ A continuous lognormal probability distribution implied from the prices of butterflies.


## How does

distribution implied by option
prices
traditional
compare
with
a
distribution? The lognormal distribution will change as option prices change, so there cannot be one implied distribution under all market conditions. But we might get some sense of the distribution that the marketplace is
implying by using the prices

## of butterflies generated by a

 volatility skew to derive a distribution and comparing it with a Black-Scholes distribution with a constant volatility. In Figure 24-21, we have taken the volatility skew for the FTSE 100 options shown in Figure 24-3 and created two distributions, one from the prices generated from the skew and one from prices generated fromevery exercise price. What can we infer from Figure 2421?

Figure 24-21 Three-month price distribution implied from FTSE 100 option prices, March 16, 2012 (FTSE 100 Index = 5,965.58).


## Compared

## with

a

## traditional

lognormal
distribution, the marketplace seems to be implying the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
1 . & \text { A } & \text { greater } \\
\text { probability } & \text { of a } & \text { a } \\
\text { small } & & \text { to } \\
\text { intermediate } & \\
\text { upward move } \\
2 . \quad \text { A greater } \\
\text { probability } & \text { of a }
\end{array}
$$

# large 

 move3. 

A
smaller
probability
of
a small intermediate downward move
4. A smaller probability of a large upward move This implied distribution is typical of most stock index
markets, and many of these points seem to be consistent with the S\&P 500 histogram in Figure 23-8a. There do seem to be more small moves in the real world than is predicted by a theoretical distribution. There also seem to be more large downward moves and fewer intermediate downward moves. But the histogram also shows more big upward moves, which is not consistent with the

## implied distribution.

## Figure 24-22 shows the

three-month distribution implied from the prices of options on wheat futures on January 27, 2012. This implied distribution seems to conform more closely to a theoretical lognormal distribution than does the distribution in the FTSE 100 example. However, the marketplace is still implying
more small moves, slightly fewer intermediate upward moves, and slightly more large upward moves than a true lognormal distribution.

Figure 24-22 Three-month price distribution implied from wheat option prices, January 27, 2012 (with threemonth wheat futures at 661.75).

## Of course, Figures 24-21

# and $24-22$ are snapshots of 

markets at one moment in
time, and it would be unwise to draw

# the way to a potentially profitable strategy. 

${ }^{1}$ The Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index (the FTSE 100) is the most widely followed index of U.K. stock prices.
$\underline{2}$ There are, of course, investors and traders who take short stock positions, but they are relatively small in number compared with those who are long stock.
${ }^{3}$ A more theoretically correct approach involves using the forward price $F$ rather than the spot price, $S$

In this case, the at-the-forward

## option has a standard deviation of 0 .

 seem to exhibit positive kurtosis, we ignore negative kurtosis skews.$\underline{5}$ When traders use the terms skewness and kurtosis (or skew and kurt for short), it is not always clear whether they are referring to the inputs into the model (the values of $b$ and $c$ in our example) or the sensitivity of the option's value to a change in these inputs. Typically, a trader will refer to the sensitivities as the option's skewness or kurtosis. Or the trader will refer to his skewness and kurtosis position: the sensitivity of his entire position to a one-unit change in the
skewness or kurtosis inputs.
6 A risk reversal that is vega neutral will tend to be gamma neutral, although this will not always be the case. A trader may have to decide whether it is more important for the risk reversal to be vega neutral or gamma neutral.
${ }^{7}$ If we include interest rates, and the options are subject to stock-type settlement, the total will be the present value of 5.00 .
$\underline{8}^{8}$ The values in Figure 24-18 correspond, approximately, to BlackScholes values using an underlying price of 100 , three months to expiration, a volatility of 20 percent, and an interest rate of 0 .


# Volatility Contracts 

## Volatility contracts have

 been one of the major success stories in the derivatives market. They enable market participants to pursue strategies thatwere

# previously either impossible or, even under the best conditions, difficult to 

execute.
But volatility contracts have unusual characteristics, and any trader hoping to make the best use of these contracts must be fully familiar with these characteristics.

Prior to the introduction of options and option pricing models, there was
no
effective way for a trader to capture volatility value or to profit from a perceived mispricing of volatility in the marketplace. Once listed options were introduced, however, it became possible to use the implied volatility in an option market to determine how the marketplace was pricing volatility. In Chapter 8, we showed that a trader could then capture a volatility mispricing by either buying
or selling options and dynamically hedging the position over the life of the option. This all sounds very
$d$ in theory, but in the real good in theory, but in the real
world, things are not so good in theory, but in the real
world, things are not so good in theory, but in the real
world, things are not so no
we good in theory, but in the real
world, things are not so simple. Even if
are somehow able to look into the future and determine the true volatility of the underlying contract over the life of the option, the actual results of
any single dynamic hedging strategy will almost certainly differ from the results predicted by the theoretical pricing model. This is often due to the weaknesses in traditional theoretical pricing models, many of which we touched on in Chapter 23:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The order in } \\
& \text { which price } \\
& \text { changes occur can } \\
& \text { affect the results of }
\end{aligned}
$$

a dynamic hedging strategy.

If gaps occur in the underlying price, it may not be possible to buy or sell the underlying contract in a way that is consistent with the dynamic hedging process. The returns for an underlying

# contract may not be normally distributed. 

## In addition to weakness

 in the model, the costs of dynamically hedging position may be significant. Each time the position is rehedged, a trader may have to give up the bid-ask spread, and there will also be brokerage and exchange fees. These costs will certainlyreduce, and may even erase, any expected profit.

Even if one is interested
in trading volatility, the
drawbacks
of using
dynamic hedging approach will often deter a trader from using options to trade volatility. To overcome this obstacle, traders have sought a less complicated method of implementing volatility strategies. This has led to the

## development of volatility

 contracts, contracts that enable a trader to take a position on volatility without going through a complex and costly dynamic hedging process. At expiration, the value of these contracts depends solely on a relatively straightforward volatility calculation.$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { There are two primary } \\
& \text { types of volatility-realized }
\end{aligned}
$$

volatility

## Realized Volatility

## Contracts

At expiration, the value of a realized volatility contract is equal to the annualized standard deviation of logarithmic price returns over the life of the contract. The returns are typically calculated from daily settlement prices on the primary exchange on which
the contract is traded. This means that the annualization factor will depend on the number of trading days in a year on that particular exchange. If there are 252 trading days, the settlement volatility will be

where each data point $x_{i}$ is

# equal to the daily price returns <br> $p_{i} / p_{i-1}$ (today's 

 settlement price divided by yesterday's settlement price), and $n$ is the number of trading days in the calculation period. There are two points of particular note. First, the expiration value represents the true volatility over the calculation period rather than a volatility estimate. We therefore use the populationstandard deviation rather than the sample standard

deviation,

dividing
by
$n$
rather than $n-1$. Second, the volatility calculation is independent of any trend in prices. We therefore assume a 0 mean, using $\ln \left(x_{i}\right)$ for each data point rather than $\ln \left(x_{i}\right)$ $\mu$. These
calculation conventions are common to most realized volatility contracts.

# The ration <br> profit <br> Or <br> loss <br> at expiration for <br> a realized volatility contract will depend on the price at which the initial trade was made, the notional amount of the trade, and the value of the contract 

 at expiration. If the buyer of a realized volatility contract enters into the trade at a price of 20 percent with an agreedon notional amount equal to $\$ 1,000$ per volatility point and the realized volatilityover the calculation period turns out to be 23.75 percent, the buyer will show a profit of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 1,000 \times(23.75-20.00)= \\
\$ 3,750
\end{gathered}
$$

## If the realized volatility

 turns out to be 18.60 percent, the buyer will show a loss of$\$ 1,000 \times(18.60-20.00)=-$ \$1,400

## Realized volatility

contracts
are
most
often
traded in the off-exchange market, with banks and proprietary trading firms acting as market makers. ${ }^{1}$ Quotes for realized volatility contracts typically include a price, quoted in volatility points, and a volatility exposure, quoted as notional vega. A market maker who offers a quote for realized
volatility of $19.50-20.50$ for \$10,000 notional vega
is willing to buy the contract at a volatility of 19.50 percent and sell the contract at a volatility of 20.50 percent, with every volatility point having a value of $\$ 10,000$. In the same way, a client may put in an order to buy $\$ 25,000$ notional vega at 30. The client is prepared to pay a volatility of 30 percent, with every volatility point
having a value of $\$ 25,000$.

## In these examples, the

price of the volatility contract was quoted in volatility points and settled in volatility points. In fact, most realized volatility contracts are settled in variance points, where variance is equal to the square of volatility variance $=$ volatility $y^{2}$ and, conversely, volatility $=\sqrt{\text { variance }}$

# For this reason, realized 

## volatility contracts are often

 referred to as variance contracts Or, more commonly, variance swaps. Why settle a volatility contract in variance points rather than volatility points? As we shall see later, for purposes of hedging a volatility contract, it is much easier to replicate a variance position than a volatilityposition. Additionally, the
reader may recall from the discussion of forward volatility in Chapter 20 that variance has the very desirable characteristic that it is proportional to time. If the variance over some time period $t_{1}$ is equal to $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and the variance over a second successive time period $t_{2}$ is equal to $\sigma_{2}{ }^{2}$, then the variance over the combined time periods is

## $t_{1}+t_{2}$

# This means that variance <br> contracts <br> can be easily <br> combined <br> to <br> cover <br> consecutive time periods, 

 even if the time periods are not of equal length.For example, if the
annualized volatility over a two-month time period is 25 (expressing the volatility in
points) and the annualized volatility over the following one-month time period is 22 , the annualized variance over the entire three-month period is
$\frac{\left(2 / 12 \times 25^{2}\right)+\left(1 / 12 \times 22^{2}\right)}{3 / 12}=\frac{(2 / 12 \times 625)+(1 / 12 \times 484)}{3 / 12}=578$

If a volatility contract is quoted in volatility points with a notional vega amount, but settlement is in variance

## points, how much is each

Without going into the mathematics, by convention, each variance point is equal to the notional amount divided by twice the volatility price

## Value per variance point =

## vega notational

If the buyer of a volatility contract pays 20 for $\$ 10,000$

## vega notional,

 contract is settled in variance points, each variance point has a value of$$
\$ 10,000 / 2 \times 20=\$ 250
$$

If the realized volatility over the life of the contract turns out to be 19 percent, the buyer will show a loss of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 250 \times\left(19^{2}-20^{2}\right)=\$ 250 \times \\
(361-400)=\$ 9,750
\end{gathered}
$$

## If the realized volatility

 over the life of the contract turns out to be 23 percent, the buyer will show a profit of$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 250 \times\left(23^{2}-20^{2}\right)=\$ 250 \times \\
(529-400)=\$ 32,250
\end{gathered}
$$

Because variance is the square of volatility, if a contract is settled in variance points, the value at settlement can quickly escalate with higher volatilities. If a single

# dramatic event occurs that 

 causes the underlying contract to make an unexpectedly large move, resulting in a volatility over the calculation period of 50 , the profit to the buyer in our example will be$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 250 \times\left(50^{2}-20^{2}\right)=\$ 250 \times \\
(2,500-400)=\$ 525,000
\end{gathered}
$$

Of course, the seller will
have an equal loss. Indeed,

## the seller of a variance swap

 may not be willing to take on the risk of a one-time dramatic event that causes volatility to skyrocket. Many variance swaps therefore have a cap that limits the expiration value of the contract. If the contract trades at a price of 20 and has a volatility cap of 40 (equal to a variance of 1,600 ), no matter how high volatility goes, the profit to the buyer and risk tothe seller can never be greater than

$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 250 \times\left(40^{2}-20^{2}\right)=\$ 250 \times \\
(1,600-400)=\$ 300,000
\end{gathered}
$$

## Caps are most common

for variance swaps on individual stocks, where a one-time event can result in a $\begin{array}{llr}\text { dramatic increase in } \\ \text { volatility. } & \text { Caps are less }\end{array}$ common for variance swaps on broad-based indexes,

# where a one-time event affecting 

index. Of course, variance swaps are primarily an offexchange product. The buyer and seller of the swap are free to negotiate any contract specifications, including

## Implied Volatility

## Contracts

# Realized volatility is an important consideration in option pricing, but it is something that cannot be directly observed, at least at 

 any given moment in time. When option traders talk about volatility, they are most often referring to implied volatility, which is somethingthat can be observed. The
consensus, derived from the prices of options in the marketplace, of what the volatility of the underlying contract will be over some period in the future. Because option prices can be observed at any moment in time, implied volatility at any moment
observed.

## In the early days of

exchange-traded options, the concept of implied volatility was not well understood, at least not among most nonprofessional traders. However, as option trading increased in popularity, all market participants, both professional and nonprofessional, began to pay closer attention to the implied volatility in option markets. As a means of promoting a

# better understanding 


disseminating
volatility data. With growing public interest in options, these
numbers with began to appear increasing frequency in financial news reports.
There are, of course,
many
different
implied
volatilities. Not only are there many different underlying markets, but for each underlying, there are many different exercise prices and expiration months. What exchanges wanted was one number that reflected the general implied volatility environment. This led the
Chicago
Board
Options

Exchange (CBOE) to focus on the implied volatility of a broad-based
specifically its most actively traded product, the Options Exchange Index, with ticker symbol OEX. In 1993, the CBOE began disseminating values for the volatility index (VIX), a theoretical 30-day implied volatility calculated from the prices of options on the OEX. The VIX eventually developed into a widely recognized financial indicator not only in the option
community but also in the
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ own, but the VIX remains the best known of all implied volatility indexes. $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { financial } & \text { world in general. } \\ \text { Other } & \text { exchanges } & \text { have } \\ \text { followed } & \text { suit by creating } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { indexes of their }\end{array}$
As the VIX became
more widely recognized, the CBOE began to consider the possibility
of
creating
a tradable contract based on the VIX. This necessitated two
major changes in the index. The first change had to do with the underlying contract. Initially, VIX values disseminated by the CBOE were derived from the prices of OEX options. However, the CBOE subsequently introduced options on the Standard and Poor's (S\&P) 500 Index, with ticker symbol SPX, and these eventually replaced the OEX as the
exchange's most actively
traded index product. That, combined with the fact that the S\&P 500 was a much more widely followed index than the OEX, led the exchange in 2003 to begin calculating the VIX from the prices of S\&P 500 options rather than OEX options. The second change had to do with the calculation method. The original VIX was calculated from calls and
puts at the two exercise prices that bracketed the index price -essentially the at-the-
money options. For a given
expiration month, the call and put implied volatilities at each exercise price were averaged, and these were then weighted by the difference between the exercise price and the index price to yield an implied volatility for that expiration month. In order to determine $a$ theoretical 30-
day implied volatility, the two near-term expiration months were weighted to derive a final value. $\underset{\sim}{-}$ An example may help to clarify the methodology.

Assume that the index price is 863.40 and that the two exercise prices that bracket this number are 860 and 870. Assume also that the nearest option contract,
Month 1, has 14 days
remaining to expiration and that the second option contract, Month 2, has 42 days remaining. Implied volatilities for the two exercise prices in each month are as follows:

## Vorth1 <br> Nontr2

## 860 <br> $87^{\circ} 0$860 870

(al
2.16
2148
$20: 3$
1993

Pit
221
2.14
20.17

194

The
average
implied
volatilities for each exercise price and month are


## The implied volatility in

 each month is the interpolated implied volatility between the two exercise prices-the implied volatilities weighted by their distance from the index price. The closer the exercise price is to the index price, the greater is the
## weighting:




$=1)_{i=1}^{2 N}$

## The VIX value is the

## interpolated implied volatility

 between the two expirationmonths-the implied volatilities weighted by how
close their expirations are to 30 days. The closer to 30 , the greater the weighting. With expirations of 14 and 42 days, the final VIX value is $20.0769 \times(30-14 / / 28+22.2785 \times(42-30) / 28$ $=20.0769 \times 0.5714+22.2785 \times 0.4286$ $=11.4725+9.549=21.0204$
The final VIX value
disseminated by the exchange is the calculated VIX value rounded to two decimal
points, in this case 21.02 .

## When the exchange

began planning for trading in VIX-related products, there were two major objections to the original calculation methodology. First,
any
exchange-traded product has to have a very well-defined value on which everyone can agree. If there are significant disagreements as to the value of a contract, especially at

## expiration, some traders will

 feel that they are being treated unfairly. This will certainly inhibit trading in the product and might, in some situations, lead to legal action against the exchange. The original
calculation required a theoretical pricing model to determine implied volatilities. This in itself can result in disagreements. Which model should be used? The Black-Scholes model?

The binomial model? Some other more exotic model? (Recall from Chapter 22 that the OEX is an American option, carrying with it the right of early exercise.) Even if there is general agreement on an appropriate model, there may be disagreements as to the inputs into the model. What interest rate ought to be used? What dividend assumptions should be made? The exchange
concluded that if it wanted to introduce trading in VIXrelated products, it would be necessary to improve on the existing
calculation methodology.

## The second objection

had to do with the fact that only at-the-money options were used to calculate VIX values. As traders became more knowledgeable about options, the volatility skew,

# or smile, became increasingly 

 important in describing the volatility environment and in determining appropriate strategies. Traders wanted an implied volatility index that would encompass not only the implied volatility of at-the-money options but also the implied volatility across a broad range of exercise prices.> The VIX calculation

## methodology that was

 eventually chosen to replace the old methodology was suggested in a research paper from GoldmanSachs published in 1999. ${ }^{3}$ The paper essentially asked this question: is it possible to create an option position that will capture the true volatility of the underlying contract under all possible volatility scenarios?

## In theory, if we want to

take a volatility position, we can either buy options (a long volatility position) or sell options (a short volatility position) and then dynamically
hedge the position to expiration. For example, we might take a long volatility position by purchasing one or more at-the-money options and selling a delta-neutral amount of the

# underlying contract. 4 

Byperiodically rehedging the position to remain delta neutral, we will capture the volatility value
underlying contract.

## This all sounds very nice

 in theory, but it almost never works out exactly expected. Perhaps the greatest drawback to the strategy is the fact that exposure to the volatility of the underlyingmarket, as measured by the vega, will change over the life
of
the strategy.

An option's vega value is greatest when the option is at the money, but even if we begin by purchasing at-themoney options, the options will almost certainly
not remain at the money. As the underlying price rises or falls, the options will either go into the money
or out
of the money, and the vega of the
position will decline. This was discussed in Chapter 9 and is shown again in Figure 25-1.

Figure 25-1 The vega (volatility sensitivity) of an option.


## If we want to create a

 long volatility position, we want a constant exposure to volatility regardless of changes in the price of the underlying contract. might try to accomplish this by purchasing options across a broad range of exercise prices. In this scenario, shown in Figure 25-2, one exercise price will always be at the money. Unfortunately,this will still not result in a constant vega exposure because at-the-money options with higher exercise prices have greater vega values than at-the-money options with lower exercise prices. If we add up all the vega values in Figure 25-2 at each underlying price, the total vega will be lower at lower underlying prices and higher at higher underlying prices.

Figure 25-2 Volatility exposure if we purchase one option at each exercise price.


## To achieve a constant

volatility exposure, we need to buy more options with lower exercise prices and fewer options with higher exercise prices. How many options at each exercise price should we buy? It turns out that the proper proportion of each exercise price needed to create a position with constant volatility exposure is inversely proportional to the
square of the exercise price

$$
1 / X^{2}
$$

The result of doing this is shown in Figure 25-3.

Figure 25-3 Purchasing $1 / X^{2}$ options at each exercise price.


## Of course, to exactly

replicate a volatility position, we would have to purchase options, in the correct
proportion, at every possible exercise price-essentially an infinite number of options. Exchanges, however, only list a finite number of exercise prices. Still, it might be possible to use the exercise prices that are listed to create a position that closely

# approximates a theoretically 

 constant volatility position. This is the basis for the VIX calculation methodology used by the CBOE. Essentially, the value of the VIX is the cost of purchasing a strip consisting of options at every available exercise price. Because the VIX represents a 30-day implied volatility, the value of the VIX is derived fromstrips of options in the two monthly expirations that bracket 30 days. The values of the strips are then weighted by how close each expiration is to 30 days. Without going into the complete derivation of the VIX, $\underline{5}$ there are some important aspects that are worth pointing out:

1. The value of the
VIX is derived
from the volatility
determined using put-call parity for the closest-to-themoney exercise price.
2. The option value used at each exercise price is the average of the quoted bid price and ask price. 4. When two exercise prices with
a nonzero bid are encountered,
lower prices for puts or higher exercise prices for calls are included in the calculation
3. Because only a finite number of exercise prices are available, the contribution of each
option to the final VIX calculation is adjusted based on the distance between consecutive exercise prices. The greater the distance between exercise prices, the greater is the weighting in the index for a specific option.

## Note that the VIX

 calculation depends only on the prices of options -no theoretical pricing model is required. Other than option prices, the only other required input is an interest rate, which is necessary to determine the index forward price under put-call parity as well as the interest cost of purchasing the options. For this, the CBOE uses the risk-free rate-theU.S. Treasury bill rate with
maturity closest to the option expiration. Otherwise, calculation of the VIX is relatively straightforward.

## Because

represents a theoretical 30day implied volatility, traded contracts on the VIX typically expire 30 days prior to expiration of the options used to calculate VIX values, usually the third Wednesday of the previous month. VIX

January contracts expire 30 days prior to expiration of February SPX options; VIX February contracts expire 30 days prior to expiration of March SPX options; and so On.

$$
\text { With exactly } 30 \text { days }
$$

remaining to expiration of

SPX options, the value of
at expiration of the VIX solely
by the determined is prices of SPX options in the

# expiration month. <br> For 

 purposes of settlement, rather than using the average of the bid and ask, the expiration value of the VIX is calculated from the actual opening trade prices of SPX options on expiration Wednesday. The trade prices are determined through a special opening rotation where standing buy and sell orders are automatically matched to determine one opening tradeprice for each option. If no trade takes place for an option, the price used for that option reverts to the average of the bid and ask. This procedure can sometimes cause unusual jumps in the VIX value at expiration. If all options trade at the ask price on the opening (a buy print), the expiration value is likely to be higher than expected. If all options trade at the bid price on the opening (a sell
print), the expiration value is likely to be lower than expected. Immediately after the VIX expiration value is determined by the special opening rotation, calculation reverts to its normal methodology using the average of the bid-ask spread.

## Some VIX

## Characteristics

 that some markets tend to become more volatile as the underlying price rises, while other markets tend to become more volatile as the underlying price falls. There is a widely held belief that stock index markets exhibit

## the latter characteristic. It

 should therefore not come as a surprise that the VIX is generally negatively correlated with the S\&P 500 Index. When the index falls, the VIX tends to rise; when the index rises, the VIX tends to fall. This inverse correlation between changes in the S\&P 500 and changes in the VIX for the 10-year period from 2003 to 2012 canbe seen in Figures $25-4$ and

25-5. Figure 25-4 confirms the tendency of S\&P 500 prices and VIX prices to move in opposite directions. Figure 25-5 shows the strong inverse correlation value of 0.7444 between percent changes in the values of the two indexes. Figure 25-5 also includes a best-fit line for the two sets of values: the percent change in the VIX is approximately

# change in the S\&P 500, but in the opposite direction. 

Figure 25-4 s\&P 500 and ViX
prices: 2003-2012.


## Figure 25-5 Daily s\&P 500 index

 changes versus daily ViX changes: 2003-2012.

## Given the apparent

inverse correlation between
the S\&P 500 Index and the VIX, one might wonder whether this
is
actually supported by market data. If the VIX rises, will the S\&P 500 Index become more volatile? If the VIX falls, will the index
become less volatile? Because the VIX represents a 30-day implied volatility, if the marketplace
is correct, whenever the VIX rises, the next 30 days ought to be more volatile than the previous 30 days, and whenever the VIX falls, the next 30 days ought to be less volatile than the previous 30 days. The more the VIX rises or falls, the greater should be the change in realized volatility. The actual results
in
Figure
25-6.

Figure 25-6 Does a change in the
ViX predict a change in realized volatility?


## If there is a correlation

 between changes in the VIX and changes in realized volatility, it is not apparent from the data. Sometimes the VIX rises and sometimes it falls, but there is no obvious increase or decline involatility over the following 30-day period. (There is a very small but probably insignificant positive correlation
of
+0.1561.$)$

## Therefore,

as
an
indicator of rising or falling realized volatility. Perhaps what drives the VIX is not the expectation of future realized volatility, but the desire to buy protection in a falling stock market. In a falling market, more hedgers enter the market, and they are often willing to pay higher prices for protective options without
regard to considerations of realized volatility. They are driven by the fear of further declines in the market. For this reason, the VIX is sometimes referred to as the fear index.

## We have also noted the

 widely held belief that stock index markets tend to become more volatile as the underlying price falls and less volatile as the underlyingprice rises. We might ask whether this assumption is borne out by the available data. Figure $25-7$ shows the change in the price of the s\&P 500 Index over a 30-day period compared with the realized volatility over the same period. If the conjecture is true, more data points ought to fall in both the upper left portion (a falling index together with higher volatility) and the lower right

## portion (a rising index together with lower volatility).

Figure 25-7 Are falling stock markets more volatile than rising markets?


## Here there is some

reason to believe that falling stock markets do indeed tend to be more volatile than rising stock markets. We can see from the sample period (2003-2012) that there are more high-volatility occurrences to the left of the 0 line and more low-volatility occurrences to the right of the 0 line. There is a moderate inverse correlation of

### 0.3895.

## Trading the VIX

As with all indexes, the VIX is composed of components, with each component having a weight within the index

# An index can often be 

 replicated by purchasing all or a large number of the index components in the correct proportion. This is commonly done in the stock index market to create a portfolio that tracks an index or as part of an arbitrage strategy. But unlike a stock index, it is not easy to replicate the VIX. As options go into and out of the money, the index components andtheir weights within the index are constantly changing. For most traders, the only practical method of buying or selling the VIX is through its derivative products: futures and options or products linked to these contracts. Because the VIX itself cannot be easily bought or sold, VIX derivatives do not always track the index or perform as expected, and new traders are often surprised by the results

# of VIX-related strategies. 

## VIX Futures

## The CBOE began trading

 VIX futures contracts (with ticker symbol UX or VX depending on the quote vendor) in 2004. The futures contracts settle into the value of the VIX at the opening of trading on expiration Wednesday,volatility point having a value of $\$ 1,000$.

futures
have
unusual characteristics when
compared
with
more
traditional
futures
markets,
and traders who enter the
VIX futures market for the first time are often surprised and frequently disappointed at the results of a VIX futures
strategy.
There
are
two
primary reasons for this.

## First, VIX futures exhibit a

 term structure, which can affect how futures prices change as market conditions change.Second,unlike

# position <br> in <br> other <br> futures 

 markets, an underlying position in the VIX cannot be easily replicated. In a stock index futures market, a trader can replicate an underlying index position by buying or selling the component stocks. In a physical commodityfutures market, a trader can replicate a long underlying position by purchasing the commodity. But for most traders, replicating underlying VIX position directly using options from which the index is calculated is usually not a practical choice.
VIX futures tend to
reflect the term structure of implied volatility in the s\&P

## 500 discussed in Chapter 20

 and shown in Figure 20-13.Most
often
VIX
futures
exhibit a contango (upwardsloping) relationship, where long-term maturities trade at higher prices than short-term maturities. A typical VIX contango structure, futures during August 2012, is shown in Figure 25-8. Although less common, VIX
futures
can also
exhibit
a
backward
(downward-
sloping) relationship. Such a structure for futures prices one year earlier, in August 2011, is shown in Figure 259. Figure $25-10$ shows the VIX moving from contango to backward during the financial crisis in the latter half of 2008 .

Figure $25-8 \mathrm{ViX}$ futures in contango (upward sloping).


# Figure 25-9 ViX futures in backwardation (downward sloping). 



## Figure 25-10 ViX futures moved

 dramatically from contango to backward during the financial crisis in late 2008.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { When VIX futures are in } \\
& \text { a normal contango } \\
& \text { relationship, as in Figure } 25- \\
& \underline{8} \text {, if there is no change in } \\
& \text { market conditions, as time } \\
& \text { passes, the futures contract } \\
& \text { will move down the term- } \\
& \text { structure curve, gradually } \\
& \text { losing value as time passes. } \\
& \text { How does this affect trading } \\
& \text { decisions in the VIX futures } \\
& \text { market? }
\end{aligned}
$$

Logically, a trader will

## want to buy a futures contract

 when he believes that the futures price will rise and sell a futures contract when he believes that the price will fall. Most traders assume that when an underlying index rises or falls, futures contracts on that index will also rise or fall, and this is generally true of the VIX-when the index rises, VIX futures rise; when the index falls, VIX futures fall. Most traders also assume
## that when an index rises or

 falls, futures prices will rise or fall by approximately the same amount. But VIX futures prices reflect where the marketplace thinks SPX implied volatility will be at maturity of the futures contract. Implied volatility, as reflected in the index value, may be high or low today. If, however, the marketplacebelieves that implied volatility will change between

# now and expiration of the 

 futures contract, the futures contract will be priced accordingly. A trader will be disappointed indeed if he buys a VIX futures contract, sees an increase in the index, but finds that there is no corresponding increase in the futures price.$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Suppose that VIX } \\
& \text { futures are in a normal }
\end{aligned}
$$

contango relationship and that
a trader believes that there is likely to be a rise in the value of the VIX in the near future. If he buys a futures contract and the expected increase in the VIX occurs, what will be the result? The trader might assume that the futures price will increase by the same amount as the index, but this will not necessarily be true. If the increase in the VIX occurs well before expiration of the futures contract, the
futures price may rise much less than the index price. Such a scenario is shown in Figure 25-11. Over a four-day period in July 2011, the index value rose from approximately 19.4 to 23.7, an increase of 4.4 index points. But over the same period, the front-month August futures contract, with approximately three weeks remaining to expiration, rose only 2.0 , from 19.3 to 21.3.

Indeed, over the last two days, even though the index rose from 23.0 to 23.8, futures prices hardly changed at all. A trader who owned an August futures contract would have shown a profit because the futures price rose. But seeing the increase in the VIX value without a similar increase in the futures price, the trader would almost certainly have been disappointed at the result.

Figure $25-11 \mathrm{ViX}$ futures prices do
not change as quickly as the index.


## A similar situation can

 occur if VIX futures are in a backward structure and the index begins to fall. Figure 25-12 shows the change in VIX prices over a four-day period in December 2008. During this period, the VIX fell from approximately 52.4 to 44.9 , a decline of 7.5 index points. But the front-monthJanuary futures price fell only 5.0, from 52.4 to 47.4. A
trader who sold January futures would likewise be disappointed with the results. Figure 25-12 ViX futures prices do not change as quickly as the index.


## In a traditional futures

market, where it is usually
possible to take a long or
short
position
index
the underlying

Or
commodity,
futures
prices must change at approximately the same rate as underlying prices. If this were not true, there would be an arbitrage opportunity available. In a stock index market, if the futures price rises faster than
the index price, traders will sell the futures contract and buy the component stocks; if the index rises faster than the futures price, traders will buy the futures contract and sell the component stocks. A trader can hold both positions to maturity, knowing that at maturity the index and futures prices must converge. Unlike a stock index, though, the VIX is not easily tradable.
Consequently, VIX futures
prices need not change at the same rate as the index. If the index price rises or falls, VIX futures may not rise or fall by the same amount. Indeed, futures prices might not change at all.

## At expiration, the price

 of a VIX futures contract will settle into the index valueregardless structure of any termconsiderations. Therefore, the closer the
futures contract to expiration, the more closely it will respond to any change in the index value. A change in the index value at expiration will be reflected immediately in the futures price.
Given the foregoing
discussion, when choosing a simple futures strategy, a
trader should always keep the following in mind:

1. When the VIX

# term structure is 

contango, as time passes with no change in the index value, VIX futures prices will inevitably decline. 2. The price of a VIX futures contract will almost never change as quickly as the index price.
3. Futures prices and index prices must converge at futures expiration.
4. For most traders, replicating the index is not a realistic choice. Therefore, futures prices must often be evaluated independent of the index price.

# Because of its unusual 

characteristics, trading VIX futures may sound complex. But VIX futures are not necessarily more complex than other futures markets. They are simply different, and a trader must recognize these differences. Buying a VIX futures contract can be profitable if a trader believes that an increase in the index value will occur, especially if the increase occurs close to

## expiration, or if the trader

 believes that there will be a large increase in the value of the index, perhaps resulting in an inversion of the termstructure curve from contango to backward. In the same way, selling a VIX futures contract can be profitable if a trader believes that a decline in the index value will occur close to expiration or if the trader believes that there will be a large decline in the valueof the index, perhaps resulting in an inversion of the term structure from backward to contango. But in both cases the trader must also temper his expectations, knowing that the change in the futures price will almost always be less than the change in the index price. Instead of simply buying or selling a single futures
month, a
consider a futures spread, buying one futures month and selling a different month. VIX futures spreads, like
individual futures, are
sensitive to the term structure of the futures market. In the unlikely situation where the term structure is a straight line with
constant slope, regardless of whether futures prices rise or fall, the spread value will remain unchanged. Even if both futures contracts
lose value as time passes (a contango term structure) or gain value as time passes (a backward term structure), their relationship will remain constant. They will lose or gain value at exactly the same rate. If, however, the term structure is curved, a much more common situation, the short-term futures contract
will
change
value
more quickly than the long-term futures contract. Under these
conditions, if the shape of the term structure remains unchanged, the purchase of a long-term futures contract and the sale of a short-term future contract will be profitable in a contango market, and the purchase of a short-term futures contract and the sale of a long-term future contract will be profitable in a backward market. Examples of this are shown for a contango market

## in Figure 25-13.

Figure 25-13 a futures spread in a contango market.


## Of course, it is unlikely

that the term structure will remain constant. As market conditions change, the structure
can alternate
between
contango and
backward,
with varying
degrees of curvature for each structure. Because a shortterm futures contract will almost always change more
quickly
than
a long-term
contract, if a trader believes
that a contango structure will become less curved or will move toward a backward structure, the sale of a futures spread (i.e., sell long term, buy short term) is likely to be profitable. If the trader believes that a backward structure will become less curved or will move toward a contango structure, the purchase of a futures spread (i.e., buy long term, sell short term) is likely
to be

# profitable. 

These
two scenarios are shown in Figures 25-14 and 25-15.

Figure 25-14 a futures spread when the term structure moves from contango toward backward.

Figure 25-15 a futures spread when the term structure moves from backward toward contango.


## VIX Options

 The CBOE began trading VIX options in 2006. The options are European (no early exercise) and settle into the value of the VIX at the opening of trading on expiration Wednesday, with each volatility point having a value of $\$ 100$.Compared
with
other

## financial indexes, the VIX is

 highly volatile. From Figure 25-4, it's evident that the VIX can double or even triple in price over short periods of time. The volatile nature of the VIX is confirmed in Figure 25-16, the 50- and 250-day volatilities of the VIX from 2003 to 2012. Over the 10 -year sample period, the $\quad 50$-day volatility occasionally reached highs of almost 200 percent, while itrarely fell below 50 percent. A trader might assume that options on the VIX will be priced accordingly, with implied volatilities that reflect the highly volatile nature of the index. This would be true if one could hedge a VIX option position with the VIX. But because the index itself cannot be easily bought or sold, the instrument that is most commonly used to hedge a VIX option position
is a VIX futures contract.
change at a slower rate than the price of the index. Figure 25-17 shows the 50-day volatility of the index compared with the same 50day volatility of the first three futures months. Rarely is the front-month futures contract $\begin{array}{ll}\text { as volatile } & \text { as the index. } \\ \text { Moreover, } & \text { back months }\end{array}$
become progressively less volatile, reflecting the converging term structure of the index.

Figure 25-16 ViX 50- and 250-day historical volatility: 2003-2012.

# Figure 25-17 Fifty-day historical volatility of the ViX and the first three futures months. 

## $200 \%$ ( $275 \%$ - <br> 

Just as a VIX futures
trader is likely to be
disappointed when a futures contract fails to move as much as the index, a VIX options trader is likely to be disappointed when the value of an option does not react to the full volatility of the index. For a theoretical trader who follows a dynamic hedging procedure, expectations about VIX volatility should focus
on the volatility of the futures contract used to hedge the option position, $n$
volatility of the index.

Not only do VIX options tend to carry lower implied volatilities than one would expect from the volatility of the index, but the distribution of implied volatilities differs significantly from other option markets. The price of a traditional stock
commodity can, in theory, rise without limit. Moreover, over long periods of time, there is an expectation that the prices of many traded stocks and commodities will appreciate, with longer time periods accompanied by greater appreciation. This is the philosophy behind longterm investing. But, unlike
the
price
of
a
stock
or commodity,
over
any given
period
of
time,
there
are

## practical limits beyond which

 implied volatility is unlikely to go. An option trader would be surprised indeed to see implied volatility in a stock index market fall below 5
## percent, no matter how long

he observed the market. A trader would likewise be $\begin{array}{ll}\text { surprised } & \text { to see implied } \\ \text { volatility } & \text { rise above } 100\end{array}$ percent. Moreover, the value of the VIX is influenced by the
mean
reverting

# characteristics of volatility. 

 When the VIX is at a very low level, there is a greater likelihood that it will rise; when it is at a very high level, there is a greater likelihood that it will fall. Consequently, expectations about VIX prices will differ from expectations about the price of traditional underlying contracts. These expectations are reflected in the volatilityimplied volatilities for VIX options across exercise prices. The volatility skews for VIX options on March 19, 2012, are shown in Figure 2518. The shape of these skews is considerably different from the skew for a typical stock or commodity. With
some
variation, in most stock and commodity option markets, exercise prices that are farther
away from the current underlying price tend to carry increasingly higher implied volatilities-hence the term volatility smile. But, for VIX options, the implied volatility of lower exercise prices drops off very quickly. While higher exercise prices carry higher implied volatilities, at some point on the upside, implied volatilities stop increasing and tend to flatten out. Rather than being a
smile, the shape of the skew might be described as a half frown.

VIX options seem to be implying a price distribution that is different from a traditional stock or
commodity. Using
option prices and the butterfly approach described in Chapter 24 , we can construct an implied price distribution for the VIX. This distribution
is shown in Figure 25-19 for June options on March 19, 2012 with approximately three months remaining to expiration. At the time, June VIX futures were trading at 23.95. Compared with a traditional
lognormal distribution, the left tail is much more restricted, reflecting a belief that there is almost no chance that the VIX will be below 10.00 at
June expiration. The right tail
is also more restricted,
perhaps reflecting a belief that large upward moves are less likely than in a lognormal distribution. Although it may be difficult to discern from the graph, the marketplace also seems to be implying a slightly better chance of a very large upward move at the far end of the right tail.
Figure 25-18 ViX option implied volatility skews, March 19, 2012.


## Figure 25-19 three-month price

 distribution implied from ViX option prices, March 19, 2012 [with the threemonth (June) future at 23.95].

## Replicating a

 Volatility Contract
## Even though replication of

 a realized variance or VIX position is not a practical choice for most traders, in theory, it is possible to create such a position. How can this be done?
# Suppose <br> that <br> a <br> trader 

## sells a realized variance

 contract at a volatility of 20 (percent), equal to a variance of $20^{2}=400$. If the actual realized volatility over the life of the contract is greater than 20 percent, the trader will lose money; if the actual realized volatility is less than 20 percent, the trader will make money. Howcan trader hedge this position? A variance position can be
replicated by purchasing a strip of
options
across
all exercise prices. To create a position with a
constantvariance exposure, (where $X$ is the exercise price) of each option. Then, by dynamically hedging the entire position in order to remain delta neutral throughout the life of the variance swap, the total value
of the strategy will exactly match the actual realized variance of the variance contract.

$$
\text { It may seem that } a
$$

volatility position can be replicated using the
same approach. But the fact that volatility is the square root of variance means that if the variance exposure is constant, the volatility exposure cannot be constant. Let's return to an
earlier example where a realized volatility contract with a vega exposure $\$ 10,000$ was purchased at a price of 20 . We can compare the outcomes in two different cases. In the first case, the contract is settled in variance points, with each point having a value equal to the notional vega divided by twice the volatility price: $\$ 10,000 /(2 \times$ $20)=\$ 250$. In the second case, the contract is settled in
volatility points with each point have a value of
$\$ 10,000$.

## Realized

Variance
Varime Pal
Vadility
VodilityPel.
250
$-33150$
15.81
$-541,90$

| 300 | $-\$ 55000$ | 1732 | $-\$ 26880$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 330 | $-\$ 12500$ | 18.71 | $-\$ 12,90$ |

## At a realized variance of

 400 (a realized volatility of 20), the variance $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ and the volatility P\&L are the same. However, as the difference between the contract variance price of 400 (a volatility price of 20) and the realized variance increases, the difference between the variance $P \& L$ and volatility P\&L increases.A strip of options done
in the correct proportion of $1 / X^{2}$ yields a
constant exposure to variance. But the same strip of options does not yield a constant exposure to volatility. As realized volatility rises or falls, a trader who uses a strip of options to hedge a volatility position cannot be certain that the strip will exactly offset his position. This uncertainty makes it difficult to hedge

## volatility exposure, which is

 why such contracts are usually settled in variance points.
## In our example, if the

 trader can create the hedge that replicatesa long volatility position at a price of 19 (percent), the trader will have a certain profit in the form of an arbitrage. He has a short volatility position at a price of 20 (a variance of
400) and a long variance position at a price 19 (a variance of 361). If the contract is settled in variance points, he must show a profit of $39 \times \$ 250=\$ 9,750$. If a trader buys the entire strip of options in order to achieve a constant-variance exposure, how can he determine the volatility value of the strip? Did he buy the strip at a volatility of 19

# percent, or 20 percent, or 21 

 percent, or some other volatility?The methodo
CBOE used by
the
VIX
to
calculate
the
essentially a way of turning
is the cost of the strip into a volatility value. This is analogous to taking the price of an option and turning it into an implied volatility. The VIX methodology takes the prices of all the options in the strip and turns them into an

# implied volatility position, <br> but <br> one <br> with <br> constant- 

variance exposure.
At expiration, the value of a VIX contract is determined by a single strip of SPX options that expire 30 days in the future. But the VIX represents a constant $30-$ day implied volatility, and prior to expiration, there are no options that expire in exactly

30
days.

Consequently, two strips of options that bracket 30 days are required to calculate the VIX, with appropriate
weighting
of each strip to
yield a
volatility. strip must 30-day implied hedged In theory, each neutral. But VIX replication requires the purchase of one strip and the sale of the one strip, and it turns out that
gamma values of each strip

## will approximately offset each other. With a total

 gamma close to 0 , no deltaneutral rehedging is necessary. The position can be carried to expiration of the VIX contract, at which time the long-term strip can be closed out at the option market prices that will determine the expiration value of the VIX. Unfortunately, there areseveral problems with this strategy. When the long-term strip is closed, a trader will also want to close the shortterm strip. However, while the long-term strip is closed by the special opening rotation on VIX expiration Wednesday, the short-term strip actually
expires
on either the Friday immediately preceding
or immediately following VIX expiration. If the options that make up the
short-term strip expire on the Friday after Wednesday VIX expiration, the trader can try to close the short-term strip himself on expiration Wednesday. But in order to do so, he will have to give up the bid-ask spread on every option, and this can be costly. If the options that make up the short-term strip expire on the Friday prior to Wednesday VIX expiration,
the trader will have to carry a
naked position in the longterm strip for an additional five days. This can also be costly. How can the trader deal with the risk that the short- and long-term strips do not expire at the same time? Unfortunately, there is no good solution to this problem, which is one reason why replicating the VIX is so difficult.

An additional problem
arises because the value of the VIX is calculated from the prices of out-of-themoney options. If a trader replicates the VIX by buying one strip and selling another, some of the options that were previously out of the money will almost certainly go into the money over the life of the strip. To have a position that is equal to the VIX value at expiration, the in-the-money options must be converted to
out-of-the-money options. We know from synthetics that an in-the-money option hedged with an underlying contract is equivalent to an out-of-the-money option of the opposite type. Therefore, for each option that is in the money, a trader can buy or sell, as necessary, one underlying contract. When the entire position, including the underlying contracts, is closed at expiration, it will
exactly equal the expiration value of the VIX. The only problem with this approach is that there is no easily traded underlying for SPX options because the underlying consists of a basket of the 500 stocks that make up the s\&P 500. If there are s\&P 500 futures available that expire at the same time as the VIX, the futures can be used as a proxy for the underlying contract. Otherwise, a trader may have

# to create a proxy underlying, 

 perhaps in the form of combos (i.e., long call/short put or short call/long put, with the same exercise price) expiring at the same time as the short-term strip.While a professional
derivatives trading firm might in some cases seek to replicate a realized variance or VIX contract in the option market, for most traders,
given the complexities, replicating these contracts is not a realistic possibility.

## Volatility Contract

 ApplicationsCertainly the most
common use of VIX and
variance contracts is to
speculate on volatility. A
trader who has an opinion on

## whether realized volatility

 will rise or fall can speculate by buying or sellingvariance swap. A trader who has an opinion on whether implied volatility will rise or fall can speculate by buying or selling a VIX contract. In the latter case, a trader can speculate directly on implied volatility

trading
 futures or speculate on VIX volatility by trading
 options.

## Volatility contracts can

 also be used as a hedging instrument. Market makers and hedge fund managers sometimes acquire volatility positions, perhaps unintentionally, as a result of their market activities. If they want to hedge away some of this volatility risk, variance and VIX contracts offer a simple way of doing this. A trader who has a realized volatility position, either apositive or negative gamma, can trade variance contracts to hedge his realized volatility risk. A trader who has an implied volatility position, either a positive or negative vega, can trade VIX contracts to hedge his implied volatility risk.

## In addition to hedging a

 volatility position,
contracts can sometimes be
used as a hedge against a
market position, especially a market position that approximates a broad-based portfolio. Because there is an inverse correlation between movement in the stock market and changes in implied volatility (see Figures 25-4 and 25-5), a portfolio manager who is long equities might take a long position in the VIX by either buying VIX futures, buying VIX calls, or
selling VIX puts. If stock
prices decline, there is an expectation that implied volatility will rise, and the resulting increase in value of the VIX position will offset at least some of the losses in the stock market.
Although volatility
contracts are used most often to address direct volatility concerns, market participants sometimes take on indirect volatility positions, positions
that have
implications that are not immediately apparent. For example, an option market maker typically profits from higher option trading volume. But higher volume is often the result of higher volatility. When there is greater
volatility, there is greater demand for options. As such, the market maker has an
indirect long volatility
volatility to increase not because he has intentionally taken a long volatility position but because he is in a business where higher volatility tends to result in higher profits. To hedge this indirect long volatility

position,
trading volume, and he
should not take such a large VIX position that his attention is diverted from his primary market-making activities.

## Another type of indirect

 volatility position is one in which a portfolio manager is required to periodically rebalance a portfolio. There is a cost to the rebalancing process,typically higher in times of high volatility when bid-ask spreads tend to widen. The portfolio manager therefore takes on a short volatility position as the rebalancing period approaches. He can hedge this short volatility position by taking a long volatility position in the VIX.
Finally, there are some positions that are taken in the option market that are not
usually thought
Perhaps the most common option hedging strategy is the covered call, the sale of call options against a long underlying position. Consider a portfolio manager who sells index calls against a broad-

What are his goals? First, he wants the value of his
portfolio to increase. Second,
he wants to outperform some benchmark against which his performance is measured, perhaps a broad-based index such as the s\&P 500. If the manager sells calls against his portfolio holdings and the market rises, he will achieve his first goal because the portfolio will increase in value. But, if the market rises too far, eventually the calls he sold will be exercised,
limiting the upside profit potential. continues to rise, he will fail in his second goal because the benchmark index will eventually outperform the portfolio.

## If the manager sells calls

 against his portfolio and the market declines, he will achieve his second goal ofoutperforming the index because he will have taken in
premium through the sale of calls. But, if the decline is great enough, he will fail in his first goal because the covered calls offer only a partial hedge against a declining market.
From the portfolio
manager's point of view, the covered call strategy will perform best, and he will achieve both his goals when the market either doesn't
move or moves very little. The portfolio will increase in value as a result of the premium received for the
covered calls.

And
the
portfolio will
outperform
a
benchmark index that consists
only of stocks. If the portfolio manager wants the market to sit still, he has a short

## position, usually by buying

 VIX futures.1 When a contract is traded between private parties without an exchange as an intermediary, the possibility of one party defaulting on its obligations adds an additional risk dimension to the trade. Counterparty risk can be an important consideration in the offexchange market. methodology, see Robert Whaley, "Derivatives on Market Volatility: Hedging Tools Long Overdue," Journal of Derivatives, Fall 1993, pp. 71-84.
$\underline{3}$ Kresimir Demeterfi, Emmanuel Derman, Michael Kamal, and Joseph Zou, "More than You Ever Wanted to Know about Volatility Swaps,"

Goldman Sachs Quantitative Strategies Research Notes, New York, March 1999.
${ }^{4}$ This is essentially equivalent to buying at-the-money straddles.
$\underline{5}$ For a detailed description of the VIX calculation methodology, see "The CBOE Volatility Index," available at: https://www.cboe.com/micro/vix/vixwhi


## Because the use of a theoretical pricing model

 requires a trader to make so many different decisions with respect to both the inputs into the model and the reliability of the assumptions on which the model is based, a new option trader may feel thatmaking the right decisions is either an impossible task or simply a matter of luck. It is true that a trader using a model will almost certainly be wrong about at least some of the inputs into the model, and luck undoubtedly does play a role in the short run. But in the long run traders who are willing to put in the effort required to understand how a model works, including its strengths and

## weaknesses, always seem to

 come out ahead. Experienced traders know that under most conditions, using a model, with all its problems, is still the best way to evaluate options and manage risk. Regardless of whether a model is simple or complex, a trader who uses a model needs to have faith in the model. Otherwise, why use a model at all? Indeed, for
## traders who are not

 mathematically proficient, using a model is often a leap of faith. But having faith in a model does not mean having blind, unquestioning faith. If a model returns values that are clearly inconsistent with common sense, or if market conditions are changing so quickly that it is impractical to use the model in its current form, a trader may have to decide whether to adjust themodel, if that is possible, or simply to stop using the model. Although we have emphasized the importance of models, trading is both an art and a science. Experienced traders know that there are times when it is perhaps best to put the model aside and make decisions based on
other intangible intuition,
"'market feel," or experience. A trader who slavishly uses a model to
make every trading decision is heading for disaster. Only a trader who fully understands what a model can and cannot do will be able to make the model his servant rather than his master.


This glossary includes
option-related terms as they
are most commonly used. However, the reader should be aware that option terminology is not uniform. Traders may sometimes refer to different strategies

Oroption characteristics with the same term. They may sometimes refer to the same strategy or characteristic with different terms.

$$
\text { All or None }(\mathbf{A O N}) \text { An }
$$ order that must be filled in its

## entirety or not at all.

## American

OptionAn option that can be exercised at any time prior to expiration. Arbitrage The purchase and sale of the same or closely related products in different markets to take advantage of a price disparity between the two markets.

# Asian Option See Average 

 Price Option.
## Assignment The process

by which the seller of an
option is notified of the buyer's intention to exercise. The seller is required to take a short position in the underlying position in the case of a call or a long position in the case of a put. At the Forward An option
whose exercise price is equal to the forward price of the underlying
Sometimes referred to as $A t$ -the-Money Forward.

## At the Money An option

 whose exercise price is equal to the current price of the underlying contract. On listed option exchanges, the term is more commonly used to refer to the option whose exercise price is closest to the current
# price of the underlying 

## contract.

## Automatic Exercise The

 exercise by the clearinghouse of an in-the-money option at expiration unless the holder of the option submits specific instructions to the contrary.Average Price Option An
underlying instrument over some period of time. Also known as an Asian Option.

## Backspread <br> A <br> spread,

 usually delta neutral, where more options are purchased than sold, where all options are the same type and expire at the same time.
## Backward <br> A <br> futures

 market where the long-term delivery months trade at adiscount to the short-term delivery months.

## Barrier Option A type of

 exotic option that will either become effective or cease to exist if the underlying instrument trades at or beyond some predetermined price prior to expiration.
## Bear Spread Any spread

 that will theoretically increase in value with a decline in the
# price of the underlying 

 contract.
## Bermuda <br> Option An

 option that can be exercised prior to expiration, but only during a predetermined period or window. Also known as a Mid-Atlantic Option.Binary Option An option that, if in the money at expiration,

## predetermined payout. Also

 known as a Digital Option.
## Box A long call and short

 put at one exercise price, together with a short call and long put at a different exercise price. All options must have the same underlyingexpire at the same time.

## Bull Spread Any spread

that will theoretically increase

# in value with a rise in the <br> price <br> of <br> the <br> underlying <br> contract. 

## Butterfly The sale

(purchase)
of two
options
with the same exercise price, together with the purchase (sale) of one option with a lower exercise price and one option with a higher exercise price. All options must be of the same type, have the same underlying contract, and
expire at the same time, and there must be an equal increment between exercise prices.

## Buy/Write The purchase

 of an underlying contract together with the sale of a call option on that contract.
## Cabinet Bid An option

 price that is smaller than the normally allowable minimum price. On some exchanges, a
## cabinet bid is permissible

 between traders desiring to close out positions in very far out-of-the-money options.
## Calendar Spread The

 purchase (sale) of one option expiring on one date and the sale (purchase) of another option expiring on a different date. Typically, both options are of the same type, have the same exercise price, and have the same underlying stock or
## commodity. Also known as a

 Time Spread or Horizontal Spread.
## Call Option A contract

 between a buyer and a seller whereby the buyer acquires the right, but not the obligation, to purchase a specified underlying contract at a fixed price on or before a specified date. The seller of the call option assumes the obligation of delivering theunderlying contract should the buyer wish to exercise his option.

## Cap A contract between a

 borrower and a lender of floating-rate funds whereby the borrower is assured of paying no more than some maximum interest rate for borrowed funds. This is analogous to a call option where the underlying instrument is an interest rate
## on borrowed funds.

## Charm The sensitivity of

 an option's delta to the passage of time.
## Chooser Option A straddle where the owner

 must decide by some predetermined date whether to keep either the call or the put.Christmas Tree A spread
involving three exercise prices. One or more calls (puts) are purchased at the lowest (highest) exercise price, and one or more calls (puts) are sold at each of the higher (lower) exercise prices. All options must expire at the same time, be of the same type, and have the same underlying contract. Also known as a Ladder.

Class All options of the

# same type with the same 

 expiration date and same underlying instrument.
## Clearinghouse

# Clearing Member A 

 member firm of an exchange that is authorized by the clearinghouseto
process
trades for its customers and
that guarantees, through the collection of margin and variation, the integrity of its customers' trades.

> Collar A long (short)
underlying position that is hedged with both a long (short) out-of-the-money put and a short (long) out-of-themoney call. All options must expire at the same time. Also known as a Cylinder, Fence, or Range Forward.

# Color The sensitivity of an 

 option's gamma to the passage of time.
## Combination (Combo) A

 two-sided option spread that does not fall into any welldefined category of spreads. Most commonly, it refers to a long call and short put or short call and long put, which together make up a synthetic position in the underlyingcontract.

## Compound

## Option <br> An

 option to purchase an option. Condor The sale (purchase) of two options with different exercise prices, together with the purchase (sale) of one option with a lower exercise price and one option with a higher exercise price. All options must be of the same type, have the same underlying contract, and expire at the same time, andthere must be an equal increment between exercise prices.

## Contango <br> A <br> futures

market where the long-term delivery months trade at a premium to the short-term delivery months.

## Contingency Order An

 order that becomes effectiveonly
on
the
fulfillment
of
some
predetermined

# condition(s) <br> in <br> the marketplace. 

Conversion A long underlying position together with a synthetic short underlying position. The synthetic position consists of a short call and long put, where both options have the same exercise price and
expire at the same time. Sometimes referred to as a Forward Conversion.

## Covered Write The sale of

 a call (put) option against an existing long (short) position in the underlying contract.
## Cylinder See Collar.

## Delta ( $\Delta$ ) The sensitivity of

 an option's theoretical value to a change in the price of the underlying contract. Also known as the Hedge Ratio.Delta Neutral A position
where the sum total of all the deltas add up to approximately

0 . Under current market conditions, the position has no preference as to the direction of movement in the underlying market.

Diagonal Roll See Time Box.

## Diagonal Spread A long

 option at one exercise price and expiration date, togetherwith a short option at a different exercise price and expiration date. All options must be the same type. This is the same as a calendar spread using different exercise prices.

Digital Option See Binary Option.

## Dragonfly A long (short)

 straddle, together with two short (long) strangles at thesame exercise price, where all options expire at the same time and have the same underlying contract. The exercise price of the straddle will usually fall as close as possible to the midpoint between the exercise prices of the strangles.

## Dynamic

 process underlying contract is periodically bought or sold in
# order to maintain a desired 

 position in a market.Dynamic hedging is most often used to maintain a delta-neutral option position.

Efficiency A number that represents the relative risk and reward of a potential option strategy. The risk and reward are typically represented by the total gamma, theta, and vega of the strategy. The efficiency is

# generated by dividing one 

 sensitivity by another.
## Elasticity The percent

change in an option's value for a given percent change in the value of the underlying instrument. Sometimes referred to as an option's Leverage Value. The elasticity
is sometimes denoted by the Greek letter Lambda ( $\Lambda$ ).

## Eurocurrency Currency

 deposited in a bank outside the currency's home country.Eurocurrency rate The interest rate paid on currency deposited in a bank outside the currency's home country.

## European <br> OptionAn

 option that may onexercised at expiration.

Exchange

Option
option to exchange one asset for another asset.

Ex-Dividend The first day on which a dividend-paying stock is trading without the right to receive the dividend.

## Exercise The process by

 which the holder of an option notifies the seller of hisintention to take a long position in the underlying contract in the case of a call

# or a short position in the underlying contract in the case of a put. 

## Exercise Price The price at

 which the underlying contract will be delivered in the event an option is exercised. Also known as the Strike Price.
## Expiration (Expiry) The

 date and time after which an option may no longer be exercised.
# Exotic Option An option 

 with nonstandard contract specifications. Sometimes referred to as a SecondGeneration Option. Exotic options are usually traded in the over-the-counter (off exchange) market.Extrinsic Value See Time Value.

$$
\text { Fair } \quad \text { Value } \quad \text { See }
$$

## Theoretical Value.

## Fence See Collar.

## Fill or Kill (FOK) An

 order that will automatically be canceled unless it can be executed immediately and in its entirety.Flex Option An exchangetraded option where the buyer and seller are permitted to negotiate the exact terms of the option contract. Typically, this includes the exercise

## price, the expiration date, and

 the exercise style (either European or American).
## Floor A contract between a

 borrower and a lender of floating-rate funds whereby the lender is assured of receiving no less than some minimı inter rate for analogous to a put optionwhere the underlying instrument is an interest rate

## on loaned funds.

## Forward <br> Contract An

 agreement between a buyer and $a$ seller to exchange money for goods at some later date. At maturity, the buyer is obligated to take delivery and the seller is obligated to make delivery.
## Forward Conversion See

Conversion.

## Forward Price The price

 that the buyer of a forward contract agrees to pay at maturity of the contract.
## Forward Start Option An

 option that becomes effective only on some future predetermined date.
## Front Spread A spread,

 usually delta neutral, where more options are sold than purchased, where all optionsare the same type, and all expire at the same time.

## Fugit <br> Assuming that all

 market conditions remain unchanged, the expected amount of time remaining to optimal early exercise of an American option.Futures Contract An exchange-traded forward contract.

# Futures-Type Settlement 

 A settlement procedure used by commodity exchanges whereby an initial margin deposit is made but under which no immediate cash payment is made by the buyer to the seller. Cash settlement takes place at the end of each trading day based on the difference between the original trade price or the previous day's settlement price and the current day'ssettlement price.

Gamma
(1)

The
sensitivity of an option's
delta to a change in the price of the underlying contract.

## Good 'til Canceled (GTC)

 An order that remains active until it can either be executedor
is
canceled
by
the customer.

> Guts A strangle where
both the call and the put are in the money.

| Haircut | On a securities |
| ---: | :--- |
| exchange, money that a |  |

professional trader is required to keep in his account in order to cover the risk of his position. Haircut requirements are normally determined by the regulatory authority under which the exchange operates.

## Hedge Ratio See Delta.

## Hedger A trader who

 enters the market with the specific intent of protecting an existing position in an underlying contract.
## Horizontal Spread See

Calendar Spread.

# Immediate or Cancel (IOC) An order that will automatically be canceled if it 

## cannot <br> be <br> executed

 immediately. An IOC order need not be filled in its entirety.
## Implied

 would have to be input into a theoretical pricing model to yield a theoretical value that is identical to the price of the option in the marketplace.
# In-Option A barrier option 

 that becomes effective only if the underlying instrument trades at or through some predetermined price prior to expiration. Also known as a Knock-In Option.In-Price The price at

## In the Money An option

 that has intrinsic value greater than 0 . A call option is in the money if its exercise price is lower than the current price of the underlying contract. A put option is in the money if its exercise price is higher than the current price of the underlying contract. An option may also be In the Money Forward if it has intrinsic value greater than 0 whencompared

# forward <br> price <br> of <br> the 

 underlying contract.
## Index Arbitrage

 stocks which make up a stock index.
## Intermarket Spread A

 spread consisting of opposing market positions in two or
# more different underlying 

 securities or commodities. Intrinsic Value For an in-the-money option, the
difference between the
exercise price and the underlying price. Out-of-themoney options have
no intrinsic value.
 option whose price is equal to its intrinsic value is said to be trading at Parity.

# Iron Butterfly A long 

 (short) straddle, together with a short (long) strangle, where all options expire at the same time and have the same underlying contract. The exercise price of the straddle is located at the midpoint between the exercise prices of the strangle.Iron Condor A long
(short) strangle with narrower exercise prices, together with
a short (long) strangle with wider exercise prices, where all options expire at the same time and have the same underlying contract. The narrower strangle is centered between the exercise prices of the wider strangle.

## Jelly Roll See Roll.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kappa (K) See Vega. The } \\
& \text { Greek letter kappa is }
\end{aligned}
$$ sometimes used to denote an

option's exercise price.

## Knock-In Option See In-

 Option.Knock-Out Option See Out-Option.

## Ladder See Christmas

 Tree. Alternatively, a type of exotic option whose minimum value increases as the underlying contract goes through a series
# predetermined 

# Lambda 

LEAP
Equity
Security)
(usually more than one year)
exchange-traded
(Long-Term
Anticipation

## option.

Leg One side of a spread position.

## Leverage Value <br> See

 Elasticity.Limit The maximum allowable price movement over some time period for an exchange-traded contract.

Limit Order An order to be executed at a specified price or better.

# Local An independent trader on a commodity exchange. Locals perform functions similar to market makers on stock and stock option exchanges. <br> Locked <br> Market <br> An exchange-traded market where trading has been halted because prices have reached the limit permitted by the exchange. 

# Long A position resulting 

 from the purchase of a contract. The term is also used to describe a position that will theoretically increase (decrease) in value should the price of the underlying contract rise (fall). Note that a long (short) put position is a short (long) market position.
## Long Premium A position

 that will theoretically increase invalue

should
the
underlying contract make a large or swift move in either direction. The position will theoretically decrease in value should the underlying market fail to move or move very slowly. The term may also refer to a position that will increase in value should implied volatility rise.

## Long Ratio Spread A spread where more options

 are purchased than sold.
# Lookback 

exotic option whose exercise price will be equal to either the lowest price of the underlying instrument in the case of a call or the highest price of the underlying instrument in the case of a put over the life of the option. A lookback option can also have a fixed strike, in which case its value at expiration will be determined by the maximum underlying price in
the case of a call or the minimum underlying price in the case of a put over the life of the option.

Margin Money deposited by a trader with the clearing house to ensure the integrity of his trades.

Market-if-Touched
(MIT) A contingency order that becomes a market order if the contract trades at or
beyond a specified price.
Market maker An
independent trader or trading firm, usually appointed by an exchange, that is prepared to both buy and sell contracts in a designated market. A market maker is required to quote both a bid and offer price in his designated contract.

Market-on-Close

An order to be executed at the market price at the close of that day's trading.

Market Order An order to be executed immediately at the current market price.
Mark-to-market method of valuing a position based on the current market price of all contracts which make up the position.

# Married <br> Put <br> A <br> long <br> (short) put together with a long (short) underlying position. 

Mid-Atlantic Option See Bermuda Option .

## Midcurve <br> OptionIn

futuresoption markets, a short-term option on a longterm futures
contract.
Midcurve
options are most common
in
euro-currency

## futures markets, such as

 Eurodollars and Euribor.
## Naked A long (short) market position with no

 offsetting short (long) market position.
## Neutral Spread A spread

 that is neutral with respect to some risk measure, most commonly the delta. A spread may also be lot neutral, where the total number of long andshort contracts of the same type are equal.
Not Held An order
submitted to a broker but over which the broker has discretion as to when and how the order is executed.

## Omega ( $\mathbf{\Omega}$ ) The Greek

 letter sometimes used to denote an option's elasticity. An alternative to lambda $(\Lambda)$.
## One-Cancels-the-Other

 (OCO) Two orders submitted simultaneously,
# one order is executed, the 

 other is automatically canceled.Order Book Official
(OBO) An exchange official
responsible for executing
market or limit orders for
public customers.

## Out of the Money An

option that currently has no intrinsic value. A call is out of the money if its exercise price is more than the current price of the underlying contract. A put is out of the money if its exercise price is less than the current price of the underlying contract. An option may also be Out of the Money Forward if it has no intrinsic value when

compared with the forward

# price of the underlying 

## contract.

# Out-Option <br> A type <br> of 

 barrier option that is deemed to have expired if the underlying instrument trades at some predetermined price prior to expiration. Also known as a Knock-Out Option.Out-Price The price at which
the
underlying
instrument must trade before an out-option is deemed to have expired.

Out-Trade A trade that cannot be processed by the clearinghouse due to conflicting
information reported by the two parties to the trade.

## Overwrite The sale of an

 option against an existingposition in the underlying

## contract.

## Parity See Intrinsic Value.

## Phi (Ф) For foreigncurrency options, the

 sensitivity option's value
foreign to a change in the Sometimes referred to rate. $R h o_{2}$.

## Pin Risk The risk to the

 seller of an option that atexpiration will be exactly at the money. The seller will not know whether the option will be exercised.

## Portfolio

 Insurance A process in which the quantity of holdings in an underlying instrument is periodically adjusted to replicate the characteristics of an option on the underlying instrument. This is similar to the deltaneutraldynamic
hedging

## process used to capture the

 value of a mispriced option.
## Position The sum total of a

 trader's open contracts in a particular underlying market.
## Position Limit For an

 individual trader or firm, the maximum number of open contracts in the same underlying market permitted by an eclearinghouse.

# Premium The price of an 

 option.
## Program Trading An

 arbitrage strategy involving the purchase or sale of a stock index futures contract against an opposing position in the component stocks that make up the index.
## Put Option A contract tween a buyer and a seller

 between a buyer and a sellerwhereby the buyer acquires
the right but not the obligation to sell a specified underlying contract at a fixed price on or before a specified date. The seller of the put option assumes the obligation of taking delivery of the underlying contract should the buyer wish to exercise his option.
Range Forward See Collar.

# Ratchet Option A type of 

 exotic option whose minimum value is determined by the underlying price at a series of predetermined time intervals over the life of the option.
## Ratio Spread Any spread

 where the number of long market contracts (long underlying, long call, or short put) and short market contracts (short underlying,short call, or long put) are unequal.

## Ratio Write The sale of

 multiple options against an existing positionunderlying contract.

> Reversal See Reverse

## Conversion.

## Reverse Conversion A

 short underlying position together with a synthetic longunderlying position. The synthetic position consists of a long call and short put, where both options have the same exercise price and expire at the same time. Also known as a Reversal.

## Rho (P) The sensitivity of

 an option's theoretical value to a change in interest rates.
together with a long (short) out-of-the-money put and a short (long) out-of-the-money call. Both options must expire at the same time. Also known as a Split-Strike Conversion. The position is equivalent to a Collar.

## Roll A long call and short

 put with one expiration date, together with a short call and long put with a differentexpiration date. All four
options must have the same exercise price and the same underlying
to as
a

## Scalper A floor trader on

 an exchange who hopes to profit by continually buying at the bid price and selling at the offer price in a specific market. Scalpers usually try to close out all positions at
## the end of each trading day.

## Second-Generation

## Option See Exotic Option.

# Serial Option On futures exchanges, 

## Series All options with the

 same underlying contract, same exercise price, and same expiration date.
## Short A position resulting

 from the sale of a contract. The term is also used to describe a position that will theoretically increase (decrease) in value should the price of the underlying contract fall (rise). Note that a short (long) put position is a
## long (short) market position.

## Short Premium A position

 that will theoretically increase in value should the underlying contract fail to move or move very slowly. The position will theoretically decrease in value should the underlying market make a large or swift move in either direction. The term may also refer to a position that will increase in value should
## implied volatility fall.

# Short Ratio Spread A spread where more options are sold than purchased. 

## Short Squeeze A situation

 in the stock option market, usually resulting from a partial tender offer, where no stock can be borrowed to maintain a short stockposition. If assigned on a short call position, a trader
may be forced to exercise a call early to fulfill his delivery obligations, even though the call still has some time value remaining.

Sigma ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ) The commonly used notation for standard deviation. Because volatility is usually expressed as a standard deviation, the same notation is often used to denote volatility.

## Specialist A market maker

 given exclusive rights by an exchange to make a market in a specified contract or group of contracts. A specialist may buy or sell for his own account or act as a broker for others. In return, a specialist is required to maintain a fair and orderly market.
## Speculator A trader who

 hopes to profit from a specific directional
## underlying contract.

Speed The sensitivity of an option's gamma to a change in the underlying price. Spread A long market
position and an offsetting short market position usually, but not always, in contracts with the same underlying market.

# See Risk Reversal. 

## Stock-Type Settlement A

 settlement procedure in which the purchase of a contract requires full and immediate payment by the buyer to the seller. All profits or losses from the trade are unrealizeduntil
the
position
is
liquidated.

Stop-Limit
Order
A
contingency
order
that
becomes a limit order if the contract trades at a specified price.

# Stop (Loss) Order A 

 contingency order that becomes a market order if the contract trades at a specified price.Straddle A long (short) call and a long (short) put where both options have the same underlying contract, the
same expiration date, and the same exercise price.

$$
\text { Strangle } \mathrm{A} \text { long (short) }
$$ call and a long (short) put where both options have the same underlying contract, the same expiration date, but different exercise prices. Strap An archaic term for a position consisting of two long (short) calls and one long (short) put where all

options have the same underlying contract, the same expiration date, and the same exercise price.

# Strike Price (Strike) See 

 Exercise Price.
## Strip An archaic term for a

 position consisting of one long (short) call and two long (short) puts where all options have the same underlying contract, the same expirationdate, and the same exercise price. Alternatively,
Eurocurrency markets,
series of futures or futures options designed to replicate the characteristics of a longterm interest-rate position. Swap An agreement to
exchange cash flows. Most
commonly, a swap involves exchanging variable-interestrate payments for fixed-interest-rate payments.

# Swaption An option to 

 enter into a swap agreement.Synthetic A combination of contracts that together have approximately the same characteristics as some other contract.

Synthetic Call A long (short) underlying position together with a long (short) put.

## Synthetic Put A short

 (long) underlying position together with a long (short) call. Synthetic Underlying Along (short) call and short (long) put where both options have the same underlying contract, the same expiration date, and the same exercise price.

$$
\text { Tau }(\tau) \text { The commonly }
$$

used notation for the amount of time remaining expiration. Some traders also use the term to refer to the sensitivity
of an
option's
theoretical value to a change in volatility (equivalent to the vega)

## Term Structure The

 distribution of implied volatilitiesacross
different expiration months in the same underlying market.

## Theoretical <br> Value <br> An

option value generated by a mathematical model given certain prior assumptions about the terms of the option, the characteristics of the underlying contract, and prevailing interest rates. Also known as Fair Value.

## Theta ( $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ ) The sensitivity

 of an option's theoreticalvalue to a change in the amount of time remaining to

## expiration.

Three-Way A position

## similar to a conversion or

 reversal but where the long or short position in the underlying instrument has been replaced with a very deeply in-the-money call or put.
## Time Box A long call and

 short put with the same exercise price and expirationdate together with a short call and long put at a different exercise price and expiration date. This is simply a roll using different exercise prices. Also
Diagonal Roll.

Time Premium See Time Value.

## Time Value The price of

 an option less its intrinsic value. The price of an out-of-the-money option consists solely of time value. Also known as Extrinisic Value or Time Premium.

$$
\text { Time } \quad \text { Spread } \quad \text { See }
$$

Calendar Spread.

## Type The designation of

 an option as either a call or a put.Underlying

# the event an option is 

 exercised.
## Vanilla Option An option,

 usually exchange traded, with standardized and traditional contract specifications as opposed to an exotic option.Vanna The sensitivity of an option's delta to a change in volatility.

Variation The daily cash
flow resulting from changes in the settlement price of a futures contract.

## Vega. The sensitivity of an

 option's theoretical value to a change in volatility. Also known as Kappa.
## Vega



The sensitivity of an option's vega to the passage of time.

Vertical
purchase of an option at one exercise price and the sale of an option at a different exercise price where both options are of the same type, have the same underlying contract, and expire at the same time.

## Volatility The degree to

 which the price of a contract tends to fluctuate over time.tendency of options at different exercise prices to trade at different implied volatilities. Also known as a Volatility Smile.

# Volatility <br> Smile 



Volga The sensitivity of an option's vega to a change in volatility. Also known as Soma.

## Vomma See Volga.

## Warrant A long-term call

 option. The expiration date of a warrant may under some circumstances be extended by the issuer.
## Write To sell an option.

## Zero-Cost Collar A collar

 where the prices of the purchased and sold options are equal.
## Zomma The sensitivity of

 an option's gamma to a change in volatility.
## Some Useful

## Math

## The

## mathematical

functionscalculations referred to in this text are
included in almost all
commonly used spreadsheets, and for most traders, it is not necessary to know exactly how the calculations
are made. Of far greater importance is the ability to interpret the numbers that result from the calculations. For the reader who is interested, a detailed discussion of these mathematical concepts can be found in any good statistics or

# finance 

textbook.
For
convenience, we include an overview of these concepts and applications.

## Rate-of-Return

## Calculations

An interest rate is the most common rate of return. The total interest can be computed in three ways: simple,

## compound, and continuous. If

$1=$ annual interest rate
た time to maturity, in years
$n=$ number of compounding periods per year
$P V=$ present value of an investment
$F V=$ future value of an investment
$\ln (x)=$ natural logarithm
$i^{i}=\exp (x)=\operatorname{exponential}$ function [note that the natural exponential function and naturallogarithm are inverses, thatis, $\left.\ln (x)=b^{2 h}=x\right]$
then, for simple interest,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F V & =P V \times(1+r \times t) \\
P V & =F V /(1+r \times t) \\
r & =(F V / P V-1) / t \\
t & =(F V / P V-1) / r
\end{aligned}
$$

for compound interest,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F V & =P V \times(1+r \times t)^{n t} \\
P V & =F V /(1+r / n)^{m t}=F V \times(1+r / n)^{n t} \\
r & =\left[\left(F V / P V V^{1 / n t}-1\right] \times n\right. \\
t & =[\ln (F V / P V / \ln (1+r / n)] / n
\end{aligned}
$$

and for continuous interest,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F V & =P V \times e^{t} \\
P V & =F V / e^{t}=F V \times e^{-r} \\
r & =\ln (F V / P V) / t \\
t & =\ln (F V / P V) / r
\end{aligned}
$$

Because volatility is a continuously compounded rate of return, we can use the exponential and logarithmic functions to do similar calculations for volatility. If
expiration, in
years
$F=$ a forward price after the period of time $t$
$\sigma=$ annual
volatility
Or standard deviation X
an
option's exercise price
then a price range of $n$ standard deviations is
$F \times e^{-n o v t}$ (down $n$ standard deviations)
$F \times e^{\text {iovt }}$ (up $n$ standard deviations)

## The number of standard

 deviations required to reach an exercise price is

# Normal Distributions 

## and Standard

## Deviation

If
$x_{i}=$ each data
point
$n=$ number of data points

## $\sigma=$ standard <br> deviation <br> or

## volatility

$\mu=$ average or mean

## then the mean or average $\mu$

 is

When calculating the standard deviation from the entire population, $\sigma$ is given by


## standard deviation from a sample of the entire

population, $\sigma$ is given by 1


The normal distribution curve $n(x)$ is given by

# In a standard normal distribution, $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$. 

 Many of the measures associated with a distribution are derived from a group of numbers called moments. In general, the $j$ th moment $m_{j}$ about the mean $\mu$ of a distribution is given by ${ }^{2}$
## $m_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{j}$

From the second, third, and fourth moments, we can calculate the skewness and kurtosis of a distribution


## A perfectly normal

 distribution has a skewness of 0 and a kurtosis of 3 . To normalize the kurtosis such that a normal distribution has a kurtosis of 0 , it is common to subtract 3$$
\text { Figure } \quad \mathrm{B}-1 \quad \text { shows }
$$

calculation of the mean and standard deviation for the

## pinball distribution in Figure

 6-2. The steps required to calculate the skewness and kurtosis would require an inordinate amount of space. However, the relevant values, including the first three moments, are$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2} & =9.0291 \quad m_{3}=1.1095 \quad m_{4}=222.7640 \\
\text { Skewness } & =1.1095 /(9.0291 \times 19.0291)=+0.0409
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (The right tail of the } \\
& \text { distribution is very slightly }
\end{aligned}
$$

## longer than the left tail.)


(The peak of the
distribution is slightly lower and the tails slightly shorter than a
true normal distribution.)
figure B-1 Calculation of the mean and standard deviation for the distribution in Figure 6-2

| Trough Number of Total number occurences value |  |  |  | Devialion <br> from the <br> mean | Deviation squared | $\begin{gathered} \text { squared } \\ \text { times } \\ \text { occumence } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  | -7,4955 | 56.1525 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -6.4905 | 12.1905 | 84.3310 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | -5.4935 | 30.1785 | 60.3570 |
| 3 | 4 | 12 | 0000 | -4,4935 | 20.1915 | 80.7860 |
| 4 | 5 | 20 | 00000 | -3,4935 | 122915 | 61.0225 |
| 5 | 6 | 30 | 00000 | $-2.4935$ | 6.2175 | 37,3050 |
| 6 | 9 | 54 | aencoses | -1.4935 | 2235 | 20.0745 |
| 7 | 10 | 70 | Oencosones | -0.4935 | 0.2435 | 24450 |
| 8 | 11 | 38 | OPMONSOCOS | +0.5055 | 0.2565 | 2.8215 |
| 9 | 9 | 81 | 000000 | $+1.505$ | 22085 | 20.4255 |
| 10 | 7 | 70 | COMOMO | +2.5055 | 6.2825 | 43.975 |
| 11 | 5 | 55 | 00000 | +3.5055 | 12.2955 | 61.475 |
| 12 | 3 | 36 | 000 | 44.5065 | 20.3025 | 60.9255 |
| 13 | 2 | 26 | 0 | +5.5025 | 30.3215 | 60.6430 |
| 14 | 1 | 14 | 0 | +6.5065 | 423345 | 42.3445 |
| 15 | 1 | 15 | 0 | +7.505 | 56.3475 | 56.3775 |
|  | $\overline{77}$ | 577 |  |  |  | 685.2440 |

Mean = $57717=7.4395$
Pop ilation slandard dsiaxion $=1605.244077=3.0049$
Sample stancard devidion $=1695.240076=3.0246$

## Volatility

## Volatility is usually

calculated as a sample standard deviation. It is also common to assume a mean of 0 . The estimated annualized volatility is then given by

where $x_{i}=\ln \left(p_{n} / p_{n-1}\right)$
natural logarithm of the
current price $p_{n}$ divided by
the previous price $p_{n-1}$ and $t=$ the time interval, in years, between price changes. If the underlying contract is a stock, in theory,
the price returns $x_{i}$ should be adjusted to reflect the forward price of $p_{n-1}$ over each time period. However, unless interest rates are very high or the stock will pay a dividend, using the actual price rather than the forward price is unlikely to significantly alter the results.

## The volatility calculation

 for the stock option example in Figure 8-1 is shown inFigure B-2. Because price changes were observed at seven-day intervals $(t$ $7 / 365$ ), to annualize the volatility, it was necessary to divide by $\sqrt{ } 7 / 365$. The calculation represents the population standard deviation (dividing by $n$ rather than $n-$ 1) and is based on the actual mean of the price changes. We might also calculate the volatility assuming a 0 mean or use an estimated standard

# deviation. The various results 

 are as follows:|  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |

There is very little

## difference <br> between <br> the

 calculations made from the actual mean and a 0 mean. The estimated standarddeviation is always greater than the population standard deviation.

# Figure B-2 Volatility 

calculation for the
stock option example
in Figure 8-1.
Stock Logarithmic Deviation

| 99.50 | +.018256 | +.012152 | .000148 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 92.75 | -.070250 | -.076355 | .005830 |
| 95.85 | +.032877 | +.026773 | .000717 |
| 96.20 | +.003645 | -.002460 | .000006 |
| 102.45 | +.062946 | +.056842 | .003231 |
| 93.30 | -.093555 | -.099660 | .009932 |

91.15
$-.023314$
$-.029419$
.000865
95.20
$+.043473$
$+.037369$
.001396
102.80
$+.076805$
$+.070701$
.004999
103.85
$+.010162$
$+.004058$
Sum of
the returns
$+.061045$

Sum of the squared deviations
.027140
mean return $=.006105$

# Annualized 

## volatility

$$
=\sqrt{(.027140 / 10)} / \sqrt{7 / 365}
$$

$$
=.052096 / .138485
$$

$$
=.3762 \text { (37.62\%) }
$$

$\underline{1}^{1}$ The sample standard deviation is sometimes denoted with $s$ (instead of $\sigma)$.
$\underline{2}$ In the same way we calculate a sample standard deviation by dividing by $n-1$, we can also calculate sample moments by dividing by $n-1$ rather than dividing by $n$.

## Index

Please note that index links point to page beginnings from the print edition. Locations are approximate in e-readers, and you may need to page down one or more times after clicking a link to get to the
indexed material.

## Adjusted delta, 499

Adjustments
in Black-Scholes model,
$\underline{348}$
dynamic hedging with,
121-122
to original hedge, 123 risks and neutral, $\underline{246}$ to spread, 246-248 trader choices for, 247248
in volatility spreads, 206-208
All or none (AON), $\underline{539}$
American option, 32, 292,
539
arbitrage boundaries for,
293-295, $\underline{300}$
binomial tree and, 370-
371
Black-Scholes model not
for, 309,377
delta values in, $\underline{313}$
dividend-paying stocks
and, 373-376

# European <br> compared to, 315-317 

evaluating, 314
pricing of, 309-317
AON. See All or none
Approximations, ,

> for put-call-parity, $\underline{271-}$
> 273
for Black-Scholes value,
350-352
Arbitrage, 19-21, 265-266, 539

# boundaries, 293-299, 300 free, $\underline{59}, \underline{60}$ index, 452-454, 545 relationships, 291 risk, 273-290 

ARCH. See Autoregressive conditional
heteroskedasticity
Asian option. See Average price option
Ask price, $\underline{66}$
Assignment, 29-30, $\underline{539}$

At the forward, $\underline{60}, \underline{539}$
At the money, $\underline{33}-35, \underline{93}$

# bull and bear strategies <br> and, 222-226 <br> delta, 136 

gamma, 149-150 straddles, 477
theta, 141
vega, 145-146
Automatic exercise, 35-36, 539

Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARCH),

Average price option, 540

# Bachelier, Louis, 61-62, 76 

 Backspread, 179, 540Backward, 14, 524-526, 530, 540
Balanced skew, 487
Barone-Adesi, Giovanni, $\underline{309}$
Barone-Adesi-Whaley model,

$$
\underline{309}-310
$$

Barrier option, 540
Bear spread, 222-226, $\underline{540}$

## Bermuda option, $\underline{540}$

Bias, in futures market, 457458

Bid price, $\underline{66}$
Bid-ask spread, 251-252,
427-428, 485
Binary option, 540
Binomial expansion, 361
Binomial model. See Cox-
Ross-Rubinstein model
Binomial notation, 363-365
Binomial option pricing, $\underline{358}$
Binomial tree, 359-360

American options and, 370-371
Black-Scholes
model
values in, $\underline{379}$
call value, $\underline{364}$
dividend-paying stock
and, 374-375
with dividends, $\underline{372}$
notation for, 363-365
one-period, 360-361
periods used in, $\underline{378}$ recombining, 368
Black, Fischer, 57, $61, \underline{62}$

## Blackout period, 304

Black-Scholes model, $\underline{61-68 \text {, }}$ 120
approximations of, 350-
352
binomial values in, $\underline{379}$
continuous diffusion
process assumed in, $\underline{472}$ as continuous-time model, $\underline{84}$
delta in, 352-354 dividends in, 67-68 equation, 339-340
as European pricing model, 309, 377
formulae, 349-350
gamma and vega in,
354-357
implied volatility in,
130-131, $\underline{307}$
interest rates in, 66-67
jump-diffusion
model variation of, $\underline{475}$
lognormal
distribution assumptions in, 85, 341344, 506

# not <br> for <br> American <br> options, 309, 377 

out of the money options and, 470-471 as probabilistic model, $\underline{471}$
put-call parity in, 340341
theoretical values calculated with, $\underline{63}$ theta of, $\underline{354}$ time to expiration in, 65-66

# underlying price in, 66, 

345-346
variations, $\underline{62}$
volatility in, $\underline{68}, \underline{339}$
Black-Scholes-Merton model, 338
BMX. See Chicago Board
Options

BuyWrite Index
Body of butterfly, 192
Bonds and notes, 17
Borrowing costs $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{bc}}, \underline{24}$

## Box, 280-282, 540

Breakeven prices, 51
Breakeven volatility, 116 -

## 117,130

Broad based stock indexes,
441-442
Bull and bear butterfly, 211212

Bull and bear calendar spread, 212-214
Bull and bear ratio spread, 210-211, $\underline{213}$
Bull and bear vertical spread,

## 215-217

## Bull spread, 222-226, 540

 Bull straddle, 171 Bund futures, $388, \underline{390}$ Butterfly, 289, 506-507, 540$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bull and bear, } \frac{211-212}{\text { call or put, } \underline{290}} \\
& \text { iron, } \underline{260}-262, \frac{290, \underline{545}}{\text { implied }} \\
& \text { and } \\
& \text { distributions, } \underline{508} \\
& \text { long, } \underline{174}-175, \underline{201} \\
& \text { short, } \underline{174}-175, \underline{201}
\end{aligned}
$$

## time, 191-192

## Buying, 284, 287

Buy/write, $\underline{326}-327, \underline{540}$

Cabinet bid, 420, 540
Calendar spread, 195, 237,

$$
\underline{239}-240, \underline{540}
$$

bull and bear, 212-214 implied volatility and, 405-408 long and short, 189-190, 204, 214
rolls and, 284-286

# butterfly, $\underline{290}$ 

Christmas tree, 183, 203
covered, 486
delta, 137, 139
lambda and, 154-156
option, $\underline{3}, \underline{26}, \underline{299-302, ~}$
540-541
protective, 322-324 ratio spread, 179-181, 203
synthetics, $\underline{288}, \underline{551}$
theoretical value of, 101 ,

## 108-109, 111, 310-311

Cap, 322, 514, 540, 541
Capitalization, 443
Capitalization weighted
index, 443, 454-456
Carry trade, $\underline{20}$
Cash, 1, $\underline{8}, \underline{31-32, ~ 459-461, ~}$ 461-462
Cash-and-carry arbitrage, $\underline{20}$
Cash-and-carry strategy, 159,

## 161

Cash-secured put, $\underline{327}$

Castelli, Charles, $\underline{61}$
CBOE. See Chicago Board
Options Exchange
CEV. See Constant elasticity of variance model
Charm, 139-141, 541
Chicago Board Options
Exchange (CBOE), 62,
250, 309, 459, 515, 530
Chicago Board Options
Exchange BuyWrite Index (BXM), 327
Chicago

Exchange, 36, 67, $\underline{81}, 452$ Chooser option, 541 Christmas tree, $\underline{182-184, ~ \underline{203} \text {, }}$ 541
Circuit breakers, 464 Clearing firm, 11 Clearing member, 541 Clearinghouse, $10,11, \underline{51}$ Closing trade, $\underline{5}$ CME Clearing House, 10 Collar, 328-330, 541
Color, of option, 152-153,

# Combination (Combo), 266, 

 541Combo value, $\underline{266}, \underline{267}$
Commodity markets, $\underline{486}$
Companion option, $\underline{256}, \underline{259}$
Complex positions, $\underline{45-46,}$
410-411, 420-423
Compound option, 541
Condor, 176-178, 541
Constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model, 478 Contango, 14, 524-526, 530,

## 541

Contingency order, 208, $\underline{542}$ Continuous diffusion process, 471-472
Continuous-time model, $\underline{84}$ Contract specifications, $\underline{26}^{-}$ 30

Convenience yield, 15
Conversion market, $\underline{267}$
Conversion, 265-266, 273,
275, 277-282, 289, $\underline{542}$
Counterparty, 10
Covered call, 486

Covered option, $\underline{329}$
Covered write, 61, 324-328, 542
Cox, John, 309, $\underline{358}$
Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model,
309-310
Crack spread, 163-164
Credit spread, 214
Curvature, 105-108
Cylinder. See Collars

DAX Index, 448
Debit spread, $\underline{214}$

Declared date, $\underline{22-23}$
Delta $(\Delta), \underline{64}, \underline{110}, \underline{492}, \underline{542}$ adjusted, 499
of American options,
$\underline{313}$
in Black-Scholes model,
352-354
of bull spread, 218-219,
223
call, 137, 139
decay, 139
hedge ratio and, 102103
implied, 136
at the money, 136
negative and positive,
416
position, 169
probability and, 104-106
put, 138
rate of change and, 100 102
theoretical underlying position and, 103-104 as volatility changes, 135-136

## Delta (directional) risk, 227

 Delta-neutral, 103, 121, 129-$130,133,353-354, ~ 421$, 542Derivative contracts, $\underline{4}$

## Dermna, Emanuel, 57

## Diagonal ratio spread, 236-

 237Diagonal roll. See Time box Diagonal spread, 196-200, 542
Diffusion process, 472-473 Digital option. See Binary

## option

## Dividend play, 318

Dividend value, $\underline{\underline{000}, \underline{302}}$
Dividend-paying stocks, 373-
376
Dividends, 279, 373-379
binomial tree with, $\underline{372}$
in Black-Scholes model,
67-68
declared date of, 22-23
Dow Jones Industrial
Average paying, 452
ex-date of, $\underline{22-23, ~ 68, ~}$

543
implied, 21, 273
payable date of, $\underline{23}$ record date of, 22 risk and, 239-240, $\underline{278}$ 280 stock options influenced by, 100 calendar spreads and, 192-195

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Divisor, } \frac{446}{\text { Jones }}-447 \\
& \text { Dow } \\
& \quad \text { Average, } \underline{445,} \underline{452}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dow Jones Industrial

Downside contract position, 418
Dragonfly, 504, 542
Driftless theta, 354
Dynamic hedging, 336, 474,

## 512, 542

with adjustments, $\underline{121-}$ 122
delta-neutral, 133
process of, 127-129

Early exercise, $\underline{292}$

$$
\text { of call option, } 299-302
$$

of future option, 305307
protective value and, 308
of put option, 302-305 risk, 319-320
short stock impact on, 305
strategies, 317-319
Efficiency, 244-246, 542
Efficient-market hypothesis, 394
Elasticity, 154, 542. See also

## Lambda

# Equal-weighted index, 444 

## Equilibrium price, 429

## Equity portfolio, 457

Euro-bund options, $\underline{269}$
Eurocurrency, $\underline{81-82, ~} 542$
Eurocurrency rate, 543

## European box, 314

European option, $\underline{32}, \underline{60, ~ 62, ~}$

$$
\underline{253}, \underline{292}, \underline{543}
$$

American option
compared to, 315-317
arbitrage boundaries for, 293-299
arbitrage
relationships for, 291
Black-Scholes model pricing of, $\underline{309}, \underline{377}$
dividend-paying stocks and, $\underline{373}$

European pricing model, $\underline{309}$, 377
EuroStoxx 50 Index, 385$386,402,405,407$
EWMA. See Exponentially

## weighted moving average

 Exchange option, 543Exchanges, 6-9, 449, 464 Exchange-traded contracts, 10, 160
Exchange-traded funds, 454 Exchange-traded options, 29 Ex-dividend date (Ex-date), 22-23, 68, 543
Execution risk, 273-274 Exercise, 29-30, 543
Exercise notice, $3 \underline{55}$

Exercise price, $\underline{4}, \underline{29}, \underline{65}, \underline{215}$, 543

Exotic option, 182, 543
Expected value, 53-54, 5960

Expiration date, $\underline{2}, \underline{4}, \underline{28}-29$, 65-66, 73, 543
Expiration straddle, 476-477 Expiry. See Expiration date Exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA), 393-394

Extreme-value method, $\underline{384}$

Extrinsic value. See Time value

## Fair value. See Theoretical

 valueFear index, $\underline{523}$
Fence. See Collars
Figlewski, Stephen, 57
Fill or kill (FOK), 208, 543
Fixed-income markets, 161162

Flat peak (platykurtic), 481
Flat skew, $\underline{487}$

Flex option, $\underline{27}, \underline{543}$
Floating skew, 489, 490
Floor, 322-323, 543
FOK. See Fill or kill
Forecasting, volatility, 391394
Foreign currencies, 17-18
Foreign currency options, 99
Forward contract, $\underline{2}, \underline{543}$
basis, 13
fair price for, 12-14
for foreign currency, 17-

18
interest rate risk, $\underline{21}$
long-term, 452 notional value, $\underline{6}$ stock index, 455 synthetics, $\underline{254}$

## Forward conversion. See

 ConversionForward price, $\underline{2}-3,12-17$, 76-77, 270-271, $\underline{543}$
Forward rate, $\underline{16,408}$
Forward start option, $\underline{544}$

Forward volatility, 404-409 Forward-rate agreement (FRA), 16
Free float, 445
Frequency distribution, 480481

Frictionless
$126,463-466$
Front spread, 182, 544
FTSE 100 index, 485
Fugit, $307, \underline{544}$
Future volatility, 394-397
Futures contract, $\underline{2}, \underline{544}$
early exercise of, 305307
locked markets, 269-270 notional value, 455 option's difference with, 26-27
options on, 267-269 on stock index, 450-451 synthetics, $\underline{270}, \underline{277}$
Futures exchange, $\underline{2}$
Futures market, 457-458, 464 Futures option, 19, 30-31

Futures-type settlement, 7-9, 36, 112, 544

Gamma $(\Gamma), \underline{110}, \underline{113}, \underline{152}$, 544
in Black-Scholes model, 354-357
of bull spreads, $\underline{223}$
influence of expiration and volatility, 150-151 magnitude risk measured by, 115-116 at the money, $149-150$
negative and positive, $\underline{106}-107, \underline{230}, \underline{414-418 \text {, }}$ 421
options, 154, 367 as option's curvature, 105-108
rent, 369-370
spread, 165
theta tradeoff with, 237
underlying price changes and, 149-150

Gamma (curvature)<br>risk, 227-228

Gamma spread, 165
Gaps, real world, 476
GARCH. See Generalized
Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity
Garman, Mark, 62, $\underline{385}$
Garman-Klass estimators, $\underline{385}$
Garman-Kohlhagen model, 62, $\underline{67}$
Generalized Autoregressive conditional
heteroskedasticity

## (GARCH), 394

Geometric-weighted index, 445
Go long or short, $\underline{5}$
Gold, $\underline{93-96, ~ \underline{384}, \underline{387}, \underline{389}}$
Good 'til canceled (GTC), 544

Greeks, 99
GTC. See Good 'til canceled
Guts, $172, \underline{544}$

Haircut, $\underline{8}, \underline{544}$
Half-steps, $\underline{378}$

Hedge ratio. See Delta $(\Delta)$
Hedgers, 321, 544

## Hedging

collars used in, $\underline{328-330}$
complex strategies in,
331-333
covered-writes used in,
324-328
high and low implied volatility in, 331
natural long or short in,
321
protective calls and puts
in, 322-324 stock index futures and, 457
strategy

of,
330
using vertical spreads,
332-333
using volatility spreads, 332
volatility contracts used
for, 536
volatility
reduced
by,
333-334

Higher-order risk measures, 355
Historical volatility, 381-386,

## 392

of Bund futures, $\underline{388}$,
$\underline{390}$
of EuroStoxx 50, 386, $\underline{402}$
of gold, $\underline{93-96, \underline{384}, \underline{389}}$ of S\&P 500 Index, $\underline{87}$, 383, 389
Hockey-stick diagrams, 40 Horizontal spread. See

## Calendar spread

## Illiquid market, $\underline{66}$

Immediate or cancel (IOC), 544 Implied delta, 136 Implied distribution, 506-510 Implied dividend, 21, 273 Implied interest rates, $\underline{21}, \underline{273}$ Implied spot price, $\underline{21}$ Implied volatility, $\underline{88}-89, \underline{92}-$ $95,116,199,544$ assessing risk of, $\underline{401}$
in Black-Scholes model, 130-131, 307 calendar spread and, 405-408
contracts, 512, 514-523 defining, 514
EuroStoxx 50 and, 407 floating skews as, $\underline{490}$ future volatility predicted by, 394-397 hedging with high and low, 331
influence of, 188-189
option pricing compared with, 90-91
reevaluation of, 131 relative changes in, $\underline{400}$ sensitivity to changes in, 425-426
term structure of, 397-
404, 430-432
time decay and, 380-381
In price, 544
In the money, $\underline{33}-35, \underline{92}, \underline{545}$ Index
arbitrage, $\underline{452-454, \underline{545}}$
broad based stock, 441442
calculation, 443-445
capitalization-weighted,
443, 454-456
divisor, 446-447
equal-weighted, 444
fear, 523
geometric-weighted, 445 impact of individual stock price changes, 448-450
based
stock,
$441-442$
price-weighted, 443
445 , 449, 454-455
rebalancing, 445
replicating, 454-456
total-return, 447-448
volume-weighted average price, 450
In-option, 544
In-price, 544
Insurance policies, 4, 321
Interest, 5, 123-124, 239

$$
240, \quad \underline{278}-280, \quad 303, \quad \underline{318}-
$$

## 319

Interest rates, $\underline{228}$
in Black-Scholes model,
66-67
foreign currency options and, 99
forward contract and risks of, $\underline{21}$
implied, 21, 273
negative, $\underline{83}$
option values influenced
by, 97-100, 466-467
products volatility of,

## $\underline{81}-82$

stock options importance of, 305-306, 466-467 in theoretical pricing models, 126 theoretical value and, $\underline{467}$ volatility spreads with dividends and, 192-195
Interest-rate value, $\underline{300}$
Intermarket spreads, 161 -

$$
162, \underline{545}
$$

Intrinsic value, $\underline{32-34, ~ 38-40, ~}$

299-300, $\underline{545}$
Investment skew, 486-487 IOC. See Immediate or cancel

Iron butterfly, 260-262, 290, 545

Iron condor, $\underline{262-263, \underline{545}}$

Jelly roll. See Roll
Jump process, 472, 473
Jump-diffusion model, $\underline{475}$, 478
Jump-diffusion process, 472, $\underline{473}$

# Kansas City Board of Trade, 

 452Kappa (K), 110, 545
Klass, Michael, $\underline{385}$
Knock-in option. See Inoption
Knock-out option. See Outoption
Kohlhagen, Steven, $\underline{62}$
Kurtosis, 481-483, 495-498, 501-506, 555
545.

See
also

## Christmas tree

Lambda $(\Lambda), \underline{154-156 . ~ S e e ~}$ also Elasticity
Last trading day, 28
LEAP. See Long-term Equity
Anticipation Security
Leg, 163-164, 545
Leverage value. See Elasticity
LIBOR. See
London Interbank Offered Rate

Limit, 546
Limit order, $\underline{208}, \underline{546}$

## Liquid market, $\underline{66}$

## Liquidity, 249-250, 427

 Local, 546
## Locked limit, 23

Locked markets, 23, 546

## Lognormal distributions, 8283

Black-Scholes model and assumptions of, 85,
341-344, 506
butterflies with, $\underline{508}$
price changes in, $\underline{84}$ standard deviations and,

## 346-348

of underlying price, 478-481

## London Interbank Offered

Rate (LIBOR), 67, 81
Long, 54, 321, 477

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { butterfly, } \underline{174-175, \underline{201}} \\
& \text { calendar spread, } \underline{189}- \\
& 190, \underline{204}, \underline{214} \\
& \text { call, } \underline{38}, \underline{42-43} \\
& \text { call Christmas tree, } \underline{183}, \\
& \underline{204}
\end{aligned}
$$

condor, $177-178, \underline{202}$
natural, 321
position, $\underline{5}, \underline{98}, \underline{328}, \underline{546}$ premium, 197, 546 put, 39, 42-43 put Christmas tree, 184 , 203
rate $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \underline{24}$
ratio spread, 546 straddle, 170-171, 205 strangle, 172-173, 201, $\underline{262}$ synthetic, 253, 260-261

## time butterfly, 193

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Long-term } \begin{array}{l}
\text { Equity } \\
\text { Anticipation } \\
(\mathrm{LEAP}), \underline{545}
\end{array} \\
& \text { Long-term options, } \underline{27-28} \\
& \text { Lookback option, } \underline{546}
\end{aligned}
$$

Magnitude risk, 115-116
Margin and variation settlement, 7
Margin deposit, 7
Margin of error, 239, 240244

Margins, $\underline{8}, \underline{546}$ Market conditions
market making and,
429-430
option traders and
changes in, 139-140
option values influenced by, 97-98
positions influenced by,
435-436
risk analysis and
changes in, 434-435,
$\underline{437}$
risk and, $413,415-416$ stock splits and, 438440

## theoretical edge and, $\underline{231}$

Market integrity, $10-11$
Market liquidity, 235
Market maker, 427-430,
432-433, 546
Market order, 208, 546

## Market-if-touched (MIT), 546

 Market-on-close (MOC), 453, 546Markets, $126,165-166$
commodity, 486
declining, 426-427 fixed-income, 161-162 frictionless, 463-466
futures contracts and locked, 23, 269-270, 546
option position in, $\underline{64}$ option traders and speed of, 53 volatility measuring speed of, $\underline{69}$
Mark-to-market, 546

## Married put, 547

Maturity date. See expiration date
Mean, 73-77, 345 Mean reverting, $387-388$, $\underline{398}$

## Median, 345

Merton, Robert, 62, $\underline{338}$
Mid-Atlantic option. See
Bermuda option
Midcurve options, 28, $\underline{547}$ MIT. See Market-if-touched MOC. See Market-on-close

## Models. See specific model

 Modes, $\underline{84}$, $\underline{345}$ Moneyness, $\underline{491}$
## Naked position, $\underline{164}, \underline{209}, \underline{547}$

## Narrow based stock index,

 441-442Natural gas futures, 403-404 Natural longs and shorts, 321 Negative dividend risk, $\underline{279}$

Negative 106-107, gamma position, 421

Negative interest rates, $\underline{83}$
Negative theoretical edge, 118
Negative time value, $\underline{109-110}$
Negative vega, 230, 236
Negative volga, 236
Net contract position, 417
Neutral hedge. See Riskless hedge
Neutral spread, 210, 547 New York Mercantile

## Exchange, 29

Nominal value. See notional

## value

Nonsymmetrical strategies, 185
Normal distribution, 69-73, 75-77, 480-481, 554-556
Not held, 209, 547
Notional value, $\underline{6}, \underline{455}$
Notional vega, 513

OBO. See Order book official
OCO. See One-cancels-theother

OEX. See Options Exchange

# Index Omega (?), 154, 547 

One-cancels-the-other
(OCO), 209, 547
Open interest, $\underline{5}$
Open position, $\underline{5}$
Opening trade, $\underline{5}$
Option pricing, 32-36, 62,
90-91, $\underline{338-339, ~} \underline{358}$
Option replication. See
Portfolio insurance
Option risk analysis, 116
Option-pricing theory, 119
Options $\quad$ Clearing

# Corporation, 10, $\underline{36}$ 

Options Exchange Index (OEX), 459, 515
Order book official (OBO), 547

Orders, submitting spread, 208-209

OTC. See Over-the-counter market
Out of the money, $\underline{33}-35, \underline{93}$,

$$
\underline{135}-136, \quad \underline{419}-420, \quad \underline{423},
$$

$$
\underline{470}-471, \underline{547}
$$

Outliers, 481

## Out-option, 547

Out-price, 547
Out-trade, $\underline{547}$
Over-the-counter
(OTC)
market, 19
Overwrite, 324, 547

Paralysis through analysis, 118
Parity. See Intrinsic value Parity graphs, 38-51 Parkinson, Michael, $\underline{384}$
Partial derivatives, $\underline{100}$

## Path dependent, 471

 Phi ( $\Phi$ ), 112Physical commodities, $\underline{2}, \underline{14}$ 15

Physical settlement, 7-8 Physical underlying, 30-31 Pin risk, 274-275

P\&L. See Profit and loss
Plain-vanilla-interest-rate
swap, $\underline{5}$
Population
standard
deviation, $\underline{382}$
Portfolio insurance, 335-337

Portfolio manager, 333-334, 336, 537
Positive dividend risk, $\underline{279}$
Positive gamma position,
106-107, 415
Premium, 4
Price changes, 479 individual stock, $\underline{448}-$
450
in lognormal
distributions, $\underline{84}$ underlying, $141-142$, $145-146,149-150$

# volatility and observed, 

 80-81
## Price distribution, 73

Price movement, $\underline{65}, \underline{72-75}$
Price volatility, $\underline{82}$
Price weighted index, 443-
$445, \underline{449}, \underline{454-455}$
Pricing, of American options, 309-317
Pricing models, $\underline{62,} \underline{338-339}$
American, 309
entering skew into, 489
494

European, 309, 377 volatility skew in, 499 weaknesses, 484-485
Probabilistic model, 471 Probabilities, $\underline{4}, \underline{376}, \underline{483}$ delta and, 104-106 expected value, $53-54$, 59-60
models and, 56-57 symmetrical distribution of, $\underline{60}$ theoretical values in, 5456

Probability functions, 344
Profit and loss (P\&L), 46-51, 124, 125, 133
Program trading, 453
Protective options, 323-324,

## 327, 329

Protective put, 486
Protective value, $\underline{308}$
Pseudoprobabilities, $\underline{376}$
Put

> butterfly, $\underline{290}$
> cash-secured, $\underline{327}$

Christmas tree, $\underline{184, \underline{203}}$ delta, 138
lambda and, 154-156
long, 39, 42-43 married, 547
option, $\underline{4}, \underline{26}, \underline{302-305}$
protective, $\underline{322-324, ~} 486$
ratio spread, 179-181,
204
short, 40
short Christmas tree, 184 synthetics, $\underline{261-262, ~} 551$

# theoretical value of, $101-102,108-109,111$, 312 

Put-call parity, 267-268, 462 in Black-Scholes model, 340-341 for stock options, 270273, 288 synthetic futures contract and, 270

## Quadratic model. See Barone-

 AdesiWhaley model
## Random walk, $\underline{69-73,471}$

Rate of return, 82, 553-554 Ratio spread, $179-182, \underline{202}$,

$$
210-211, \quad \underline{213}, \quad \underline{236}-237
$$

$$
546,549
$$

## Ratio straddle, 171

Ratio strategy, 162
Ratio write, 332
Realized profit, 7
Realized volatility, $\underline{86}-87$,

$$
116,512-514
$$

Rebalancing process, 537 Recombining binomial tree,

## 368

Record date, 22
Rehedging, 129, 367
Reversal, 273, 275, 277-282,

$$
502,549
$$

Reverse conversion, 265 ,

$$
268, \underline{278}-279, \underline{289}
$$

## Rho (P), 111-112, 113, 467,

 549Rho (interest-rate) risk, $\underline{228}$
Risk, 21, 273-274
analysis, $\underline{434-435, ~} 437$
arbitrage, 273-290
boxes, $280-282$
complex positions, 422423
delta (directional), $\underline{227}$ dividends and interest,
239-240, 278-280
early exercise, 319-320
gamma (curvature), 227-228

> gamma spreads and, $\underline{236}-237$
interest and, 239-240,
278-280
magnitude, 115-116
management, $\underline{97}-100$, 135
margin for error in, $\underline{239}$ negative and positive dividend, $\underline{279}$ neutral adjustments, 246 pin, 274-275 rho (interest-rate), $\underline{228}$ rolls and, 282-285 settlement, 276-278 spreading strategies and, $161,166-168$
in stock options, $\underline{240}$ strategies

## 238

theoretical pricing models and types of, 463 theta (time decay), $\underline{228}$ time boxes and, 285-287 vega (volatility), 228 volatility, 228-234
Risk measures, 99
higher-order, $\underline{355}$
interpreting, 112-118
skewed, 498-499
stock splits and, 439440 traditional and nontraditional, 156-157
Risk reversal, 502, 549
Risk-analysis process, 432
Risk-free rate, $\underline{67}$
Riskless hedge, $\underline{63-64,102}$ Risk-neutral world, 358-360 Risk-reward tradeoff, 40, $\underline{97}$,

$$
176, \underline{244}
$$

Roll, 282-286, 549

Ross, Stephen, 358

## Rubinstein, Mark, $\underline{358}$

Sample standard deviation, 382

Scalper, 158, 549
Scholes, Myron, 61, 62, $\underline{338}$
Second-generation
option.
See Exotic option
Sell stock short, $\underline{23}$
Serial correlated, 386
Serial options, $\underline{27}, \underline{549}$
Settlement in to cash, 31-32
dates, 22
in to a futures position,
30-31
futures-type,
7-9, $\underline{36}$,
112,544
margin and variation, 7 options and types of, $\underline{36}$ physical, 7-8
in to the physical underlying, 30-31
procedures, $\underline{6}-9$
risk, 276-278
stock-type, $7-9, \underline{36}, \underline{268}$,

## $316, \underline{318}-319, \underline{550}$

Sharpe, William, 334
Sharpe ratio, $\underline{334}$
Short, $\underline{5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { butterfly, } \underline{174}-175, \underline{201} \\
& \text { calendar spread, } \underline{189}- \\
& 190, \underline{204}, \underline{214} \\
& \text { call, } \underline{39} \\
& \text { call Christmas tree, } \underline{183}, \\
& \underline{203} \\
& \text { collars, } \underline{330} \\
& \text { condor, } \underline{177}-178, \underline{202}
\end{aligned}
$$

natural long or, 321
position, $\underline{5}, \underline{98}, \underline{328}, \underline{549}$
premium, 197, 549
put, 40
put Christmas tree, $\underline{184}$,
$\underline{203}$
sales, 23-25
sell stock, 23
squeeze, 23, 195, 549
stock, 98, 305
straddle, 170-171, 201
strangle, 172-173, 201,

## $\underline{262}$

Short rate $r_{s}, \underline{24}$
Short ratio spread, $\underline{549}$
Short-stock rebate, $\underline{24}$
Short-stock squeeze, $\underline{23}, \underline{195}$, 549
Short-term options, 27-28
Sigma ( $\sigma$ ), 77, 549
Skew
balanced, 487
flat, 487
floating, 489, 490
investment, 486-487 model input of, 498 modeling, 489-494 risk measures and, 498 499
sticky-delta, 492
sticky-strike, $\underline{489}$
volatility, 485-486, 532,
552
Skewness, 481-482, 495-

$$
498, \underline{501}-506, \underline{555}
$$

Slope, in parity graphs, 40-46 S\&P 500 Index, 250, 327,

# $\underline{448}, 478-479,482,515$ 

## historical volatility, $\underline{87}$,

$$
\underline{383}, \underline{389}
$$

SPAN. See Standard Portfolio Analysis of Risk
Special opening rotation, $\underline{520}$
Specialist, 549-550
Speculator, 53, $131, \underline{321}, 410$, 428, 5 50

Speed, 150-151, 550
Split-strike conversion, $\underline{328}$, 550. See also Risk reversal

Spread, 158, 550
adjustments to, 246-248
bear, 222-226, 540
bid-ask, 251-252
bull, 222-226, $\underline{540}$
common features of, 169
credit and debit, $\underline{214}$
diagonal, 196-200, 542
diagonal ratio, 236-237
dynamic hedging and, 165
efficiency of, 244-246
front, $\underline{182, ~} \underline{544}$
for futures contracts, 160-161 gamma, 165 gamma risk of, 236-237 intermarket and
intramarket, 161-162
liquidity in, 249-250 with negative vega, $\underline{230}$ static, 165 submitting order for, 208-209
theoretical value
and
deltas in, 218-219
trader buying, 164 trading style and, 248249
volatility characteristics
and, 233-234
Spreading strategies
defining, 159-164
futures contracts using,
160-161
in options markets, $165-$
166
risk controlled by, 166168
risks reduced through, 161 synthetics used in, 259260

# Standard cumulative normal 

 distribution function, 344 Standard deviationBlack-Scholes model,
344-346
calculating, $\underline{382}$
lognormal distributions
and, 346-348
mean and, 73-76
normal distribution and, 554-556
price movement and,
74-75
sample and population,
382
volatility as, 77-78
weekly, 79
Standard Portfolio Analysis of Risk (SPAN), $\underline{36}$
Static hedge, 121
Static spread, 165
Sticky-delta skew, 492

Sticky-strike skew, $\underline{489}$
Stock index
broad and narrow based,
441-442
forward contract, 455
futures, 458-459
futures contract on, 450-
451
hedging and futures in,
457
implied distribution of, 509
options, 458-462

Stock options, 19 dividends influencing, 100
interest rates important in, 305-306, 466-467 long and short positions, $\underline{98}$
physical underlying and, 30-31 put-call parity for, 270273, 288
Stock splits, $\underline{438-440}$
Stocks
dividend-paying, $\underline{373}$ 376
forward price for, 15-17 Index impacted by price changes in, 448-450
Stock-type settlement, 7-9,

$$
\underline{36}, \underline{268}, \underline{316}, \underline{318}-319, \underline{550}
$$

Stop (loss) order, 209, $\underline{550}$
Stop-limit order, 209, $\underline{550}$
Straddles, 61, 170-171, 205,
238, 474, 550
buying, 287
delta neutral and, 353

354
expiration, 476-477
at the money, 477
synthetics and, 260-261
Strangles, 171-173, 238, 261, 550
Strap, 550
Strategies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bull and bear, } 222-226 \\
& \text { buy/write, } \underline{326-327, \underline{540}} \\
& \text { cash-and-carry, } \underline{159,} \underline{161} \\
& \text { choosing appropriate, }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 199-206

complex hedging, 331333
early exercise, 317-319 efficiency of, 244-246 hedging summary
of, 330
nonsymmetrical, 185 ratio, 162
risk considerations in,
234, 238
skewness and kurtosis,
501-506

## symmetrical, 178

Strike price. See Exercise price
Strip, 519, 534-536, $5 \underline{50}$
Swap, $\underline{5}, \underline{550}$
Swaption, 550
Symmetrical strategies, 178
Synthetics, 287-290, $5 \underline{50}$
call, $\underline{288}, \underline{551}$
contract types, 258
forward contract, $\underline{254}$
futures contract,
270,

## $\underline{277}$

long straddles, 260-261 long underlying, 253 options, 255-260
put, 261-262, 551 relationships, $\underline{267}$ short position, 264-265 spreading strategies using, 259-260 underlying, 253-255, 551
volatility spread using, 287-290

## Tailing, 277

Tall peak (leptokurtic), 481 $\operatorname{Tau}(\tau), \underline{551}$

$$
\text { Taxes, } 127, \underline{465}
$$

Term structure, 390, 397-

$$
405, \underline{430}-432, \underline{551}
$$

Theoretical edge, 118,227

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { margin of error and, } \\
& 240-244
\end{aligned}
$$

market conditions and,

$$
231
$$

Theoretical pricing models
basic inputs in, 335
diffusion process
assumed in, 472-473
interest rates in, $\underline{126}$
performance of, 119121
problems with, 56-57 risk types in, $\underline{463}$ underlying price in, $\underline{66}$ understanding having faith in, 538 volatility in, $\underline{80}, \underline{89-90, ~}$
$\underline{204}, 206,380$ weaknesses in, 511-512 Theoretical value, 142-143, 551
Black-Scholes model calculating, $\underline{63}$ of call, 101, 108-109, 111, 310-311 in dynamic hedging, 133-134 of futures contract, 316 interest rates and, $\underline{467}$ of options, 55, $\underline{58}$
and probability, 54-56 of put, 101-102, 108 $109,111, \underline{312}$ of underlying contract, 115 volatility changes and, 146-147
"The Theory of Options in Stocks and Shares," 61
The Theory of Speculation (Bachelier), 61-62
Theta $(\Theta), 113,142-143,551$ of Black-Scholes model,

354
of bull spreads, 224-225 driftless, 354 gamma tradeoff with, 237
at the money, 141 negative time value and, 109-110
of options, 367-368 positive, 417 as time decay, 108-109 underlying price changes and, $141-142$

## volatility changes and,

 144-145Theta (time decay) risk, $\underline{228}$ Three-way, 280, $\underline{551}$
Ticker symbols, $\underline{460}$
Time, volatility scaled for, 78-79
Time box, 285-287, 551
Time butterfly, 191-192
Time decay, 108-109, 380381
Time premium, $\underline{33}, \underline{551}$
Time spreads. See Calendar
spread
Time value, 32, 33-34, 543, 551
Time-series analysis, $\underline{393}$ Total-return index, 447-448 Trading, exchanges halting, 449, 464
Transaction costs, 126-127, 129
Type, 6, 551
Underlying, 4, 27-28, $5 \underline{51}$
Underlying contract, 439
synthetic options and, 255-260
synthetic short position and, 264-265 theoretical value of, $\underline{115}$ volatility independent of price of, 478
Underlying position, 41-43,

$$
103-104
$$

Underlying price
in Black-Scholes model,
66, 345-346
cash index option and,

461-462
exercise price and, 4951
gamma and changes in, 149-150
lognormal distribution
of, 478-481
option value and, 37-38 in theoretical pricing models, $\underline{66}$
theta and changes in, 141-142
vega and changes in,

## 145-146

## Unrealized profits, 7

Upside contract position, $\underline{419}$,

Value Line Index, 452
Vanilla option, 551
Vina, 139, 140, 146, 551
Variance contract, $\underline{513}$
Variance swap, 513
Variation, $\underline{8}, \underline{551}$
Vega, $110,113,116,552$
in Black-Scholes model,
354-357
of bull spreads, $\underline{224}$ decay, 147, 149, 356357, 552
at the money, 145-146
negative, 230, $\underline{236}$
neutral, 399
notional, 513
as time passes, 147-148
underlying price changes
and, 145-146
volatility
changes
influencing, 146-147
Vega (volatility) risk, $\underline{228}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Vertical spread, } \underline{213}-226, \\
& \underline{329}, \underline{332-333, ~} \underline{552} \\
& \text { VIX. See Volatility index } \\
& \text { Volatility, } \underline{552} \\
& \text { in Black-Scholes model, } \\
& \underline{68}, \underline{339} \\
& \text { breakeven, } \\
& \quad \underline{130}-117, \\
& \text { calculating, } \underline{556}-557 \\
& \text { delta and changes in, } \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
135-136 \\
\text { forecast, } \underline{87} \\
\text { forecasting, } \\
\underline{391}-394
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

forward, 404-409
future, 394-397
gamma and changes in,
150-151
hedging to reduce, 333-
334
implied, $\underline{88}-95, \underline{512}$
interpreting data on, $\underline{85}$
95
in-the-money
options and, 92
mean reverting, 387-388
observed price changes
and, $\underline{80-81}$
realized, $\underline{86}-87, \quad \underline{116}$,
512
rising and falling, 468-
469
risk, 228-234
scaled for time, 78-79
shifting, 500-501
smirk, $\underline{485}$
as speed of market, $\underline{69}$ as standard deviation,
77-78
surface, 499
term structure of, $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ in theoretical pricing models, $\underline{80}, \underline{89-90, ~ \underline{204} \text {, }}$ 206, 380 theoretical value and changes in, 146-147 theta and changes in, 144-145 underlying contract price and, 478 value, 299, 304 vega and changes in, 146-147

## Volatility contracts, 512-514

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { applications, } \underline{536}-537 \\
& \text { replicating, } \underline{534}-536 \\
& \text { used for hedging, } \underline{536} \\
& \text { Volatility index (VIX), } \underline{515-} \\
& 519 \\
& \text { characteristics of, } \underline{520-} \\
& 523 \\
& \text { futures, } \underline{524}-529 \\
& \text { options, } \underline{530}-533 \\
& \text { trading, } \underline{523}-524
\end{aligned}
$$

Volatility skew, 485-486,
532,552

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Volatility smile. } \\
\text { Volatility skew }
\end{array} \\
\text { Volatility spread, } \underline{169} \\
\text { adjustments, } \underline{206}-208 \\
\text { butterfly, } \underline{173}-176 & \\
\text { calendar } & \text { spread, } \\
191 & \\
191 & \\
\text { Christmas tree, } \underline{182} & \\
\text { condor, } \underline{176-178} & \\
\text { diagonal } & \text { spread, } \\
196- \\
\text { 199 } & \\
\text { hedgers using, } \underline{332} & \\
\text { interest rates } & \text { and }
\end{array}
$$

dividends in, 192-195 ratio spread, 179-182 straddles, 170-171
strangle, 171-173
submitting an order,
208-209
synthetics used in, 287-
290
time butterfly, 191-192
Volga, 147-148, 552
Volume-weighted
average price (VWAP), 450
Vomma. See Volga

# VWAP. See Volumeweighted average price 

## Warrant, 552

Wilmot, Paul, 57
Wings of butterfly, 192
Write, 325, 552

Yield volatility, $\underline{82}$

Zero-cost collar, $\underline{330}, \underline{552}$ Zero-mean assumptions, 382 Zomma, 152-154, 552
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options at
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independent floor trader, at the Chicago Board of Trade. While continuing
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[^0]:    the out-of-the-money put (the

[^1]:    call is less likely to
    be exercised early. At a lower

[^2]:    Before continuing, it will

